

NOTE

**ANDRÉ'S REFLECTION PROOF GENERALIZED TO THE  
MANY-CANDIDATE BALLOT PROBLEM**

Doron ZEILBERGER

*Dept. of Theoretical Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel  
(Current address: Univ. of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA)*

Received 7 May 1981  
Revised 14 May 1982

... There is a war between the odd and the even.  
(Leonard Cohen)

**1. Introduction**

Candidates  $1, \dots, n$  received  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  votes respectively, where  $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n \geq 0$ . The ballot problem asks for the number of ways to count these votes in such a way that at all times the partial scores satisfy  $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n$ . This problem was first solved by Frobenius and MacMahon [6, p. 133]. The special case  $n = 2$  was first solved by Bertrand [3] and received an elegant combinatorial proof by André [1]. An updated exposition of this topic can be found in Knuth [5]. In this paper we extend André's argument to the higher dimensional case. Previous attempts were restricted to  $n = 3$  [4] and the strict ballot problem [2].

Note that the ballot problem is equivalent to the problem of counting the number of lattice paths, with positive unit steps, from  $\mathbf{0}$  to  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$  such that all the points lie in  $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0$ .

**2. Results**

Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$  be two lattice points, and let  $F(a \rightarrow b)$  denote the number of lattice walks, with positive unit steps, from  $a$  to  $b$ . It is well known and easy to see that

$$F(a \rightarrow b) = (b_1 + \dots + b_n - a_1 - \dots - a_n)! / (b_1 - a_1)! \dots (b_n - a_n)! \quad (1)$$

A walk from  $a$  to  $b$  is *good* if it does not touch any of the hyperplanes  $x_i - x_{i+1} = -1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ), otherwise it is *bad*. Let  $G(a \rightarrow b)$ ,  $B(a \rightarrow b)$  denote the number of good walks and bad walks respectively. For a permutation

$\pi \in S_n$  let  $e_\pi = (1 - \pi(1), \dots, i - \pi(i), \dots, n - \pi(n))$ . We claim that

$$\sum_{\pi \text{ even}} B(e_\pi \rightarrow m) = \sum_{\pi \text{ odd}} B(e_\pi \rightarrow m). \tag{2}$$

Consider a typical bad walk from  $e_\pi$  to  $m$ . Let  $x_i - x_{i+1} = -1$  be the first hyperplane it meets. Replace the portion of the walk until that meeting by its reflection with respect to  $x_i - x_{i+1} = -1$ , getting a certain walk from

$$e_{(i,i+1)\pi} = (1 - \pi(1), \dots, i - \pi(i+1), i+1 - \pi(i), \dots, n - \pi(n))$$

to  $m$ . Since this defines a bijection between

$$\bigcup_{\pi \text{ even}} B(e_\pi \rightarrow m) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{\pi \text{ odd}} B(e_\pi \rightarrow m),$$

(2) follows.

Now for  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  with  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_n \geq 0$  (i.e.  $m$  lies on the positive side of all hyperplanes),

$$F(e_\pi \rightarrow m) = B(e_\pi \rightarrow m), \quad \text{if } \pi \neq \text{identity}, \tag{3}$$

since  $e_\pi$  lies on the negative side of at least one of the hyperplanes. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G(0 \rightarrow m) &= F(0 \rightarrow m) - B(0 \rightarrow m) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} F(0 \rightarrow m) + \sum_{\pi \neq \text{id}} (-1)^{\sigma(\pi)} B(e_\pi \rightarrow m) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (-1)^{\sigma(\pi)} F(e_\pi \rightarrow m) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\sigma(\pi)} \frac{(m_1 + \dots + m_n)!}{(m_1 - 1 + \pi(1))! \cdots (m_n - n + \pi(n))!} \\ &= (m_1 + \dots + m_n)! \det(1/(m_i - i + j)!) \\ &= (m_1 + \dots + m_n)! \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (m_i - m_j + j - i) / (m_1 + n - 1)! \cdots m_n! \end{aligned}$$

when the determinant is evaluated. This is the solution of the ballot problem. The determinant is evaluated in the same way as Vandermonde's determinant.

**References**

[1] D. André, Solution directe du problème résolu par M. Bertrand, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 105 (1887) 436-437.  
 [2] D.E. Barton and C.L. Mallows, Some aspects of random sequences, Ann. Math. Statistics 36 (1965) 236-269.  
 [3] T. Bertrand, Solution d'un probleme, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 105 (1887) 369.  
 [4] H.D. Grossman, Fun with lattice paths, Scripta Math. 16 (1950) 206-212.  
 [5] D.E. Knuth, The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 3: Sorting and Searching (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA 1973).  
 [6] P.A. MacMahon, Combinatory Analysis, Vol. I (Cambridge Univ. Press. London, 1915-1916).