

T. L. Hill's Graphical Method for Solving Linear Equations

S. ROY CAPLAN

*Department of Membrane Research, The Weizmann Institute of Science,
Rehovot 76100, Israel*

AND

DORON ZEILBERGER*

*Department of Theoretical Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science,
Rehovot 76100, Israel*

T. L. Hill's method for solving homogeneous linear equations by tree enumeration is presented in standard mathematical language and extended to nonhomogeneous systems. It is also shown how Hill's method implies the matrix-tree theorem and the Wang algebra of electrical networks.

1. INTRODUCTION

That tree enumeration can be used for solving systems of linear equations was independently realized by physicists [9], electrical engineers [11], chemists [7] and most recently by biophysicists [4, 5]. Going in the opposite direction, mathematicians realized that one can use linear algebra to count trees ([1, p. 378; 8, p. 578; 10, pp. 39-51]). However, both mathematicians and scientists had to resort to 'fancy' mathematics in order to establish this connection. It is therefore remarkable that the biophysicist T. L. Hill came up with a very elegant and short combinatorial proof [4, 5].

We are going to present Hill's proof in standard mathematical language and show how it implies the matrix-tree theorem. We also extend Hill's method to inhomogeneous equations and show how it justifies the Wang algebra of networks, which was extensively studied by Duffin [3] and Bott and Duffin [2].

*Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

2. HILL'S METHOD AND PROOF

We refer the reader to [10] for the definitions of the standard terms “directed graph,” “rooted tree,” “cycle,” etc. However, contrary to common usage, we do not allow loops (edges which connect a node to itself) and all the paths in a rooted tree are directed *toward* the root. It is well known [10, p. 3] that a tree with n nodes has $n - 1$ edges and that from every node there is a unique path to the root. Thus every node, except the root, has exactly one outgoing edge.

Let α_{ij} , $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, be *commuting* indeterminates. The weight of an edge (i, j) is α_{ij} . The weight, $w(G)$, of a directed graph is defined to be the product of its edge weights. Finally, the weight of a set of directed graphs is the sum of the weights of its members. For example,

$$w(2 \longrightarrow 3) = \alpha_{23}, \quad w\left(1 \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ 2 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ 3 \end{array}\right) = \alpha_{12}\alpha_{32},$$

$$w\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 4 & 1 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \square & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \end{array}, \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & 4 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 2 & & 3 \end{array}, \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & 4 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 2 & & 3 \end{array}\right) = \alpha_{12}\alpha_{23}\alpha_{43}\alpha_{14} + \alpha_{12}\alpha_{32} + \alpha_{14}\alpha_{34}.$$

THEOREM 1 (Hill [4, 5], King and Altman [7]). *The solution of the homogeneous system*

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ij}\right)x_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ji}x_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{1}$$

is given by

$$x_i = kw(\Gamma_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n \text{ (} k \text{ scalar)}, \tag{2}$$

where Γ_i is the set of trees on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ rooted at i .

Note. Hill [5] chooses k such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$.

Proof (Hill [5, pp. 201–205]). Let f_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be the set of connected directed graphs S on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that S has exactly one cycle, i is contained in that cycle, and there is a unique path to the cycle from every node. Now if $T \in \Gamma_i$ adding an edge (i, j) will create an element $S \in f_i$ with $w(S) = \alpha_{ij}w(T)$. Conversely, if $S \in f_i$ and the outgoing edge from i is to j then deleting (i, j) from S will yield an element T of Γ_i with $w(S) = \alpha_{ij}w(T)$. Thus $(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ij}) w(\Gamma_i) = w(f_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Similarly, by considering the incoming edge at i , $\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ji}w(\Gamma_j) = w(f_i)$, establishing that $x_i = w(\Gamma_i)$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is indeed a solution of (1).

EXAMPLE. For $n = 3$.

$$x_1 = w \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} \right) = \alpha_{32}\alpha_{21} + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{31} + \alpha_{23}\alpha_{31},$$

$$x_2 = w \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} \right) = \alpha_{12}\alpha_{32} + \alpha_{31}\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}\alpha_{32},$$

$$x_3 = w \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} \right) = \alpha_{12}\alpha_{23} + \alpha_{21}\alpha_{13} + \alpha_{13}\alpha_{23},$$

$$f_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \swarrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} , \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 1 \quad 3 \end{array} \right).$$

It should be noted that Hill's method is particularly useful when one knows beforehand that many α_{ij} 's are zero. Let G be the directed graph on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ which has an edge (i, j) iff $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$. Then the only surviving terms in $x_i = w(\Gamma_i) = \sum_{T \in \Gamma_i} w(T)$ are those T which are subgraphs of G . Thus $x_i = w(\Gamma_i \cap \mathcal{G})$, where \mathcal{G} is the set of spanning subgraphs of G . Now if one interprets α_{ij} as the number of edges from i to j (allowing multiple edges) then $w(\Gamma_i \cap \mathcal{G})$ counts the number of spanning trees of G which are rooted at i .

Let us write the system (1) in matrix notation

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)A = 0, \tag{3}$$

where $A = (a_{ij})$ with $a_{ii} = \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ij}$, $a_{ij} = -\alpha_{ij}$. Let A_i be the $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix obtained from A by deleting the i th row and i th column. By standard linear algebra, $x_i = \det A_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) is also a solution of (3). By uniqueness $w(\Gamma_i \cap \mathcal{G}) = k \det A_i$ for some universal constant k , which is easily seen to be 1. Thus $w(\Gamma_i \cap \mathcal{G}) = \det A_i$. We have just given a short combinatorial proof of Borchartd's matrix-tree theorem [1; 8, p. 378], which states that the number of spanning trees rooted at i is $\det A_i$.

3. INHOMOGENEOUS SYSTEMS

We will now apply Hill's method to the problem of solving inhomogeneous systems.

THEOREM 2. *The solution of the following system of $n - 1$ equations and $n - 1$ unknowns*

$$\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_{1i} \right) x_1 - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \alpha_{j1} x_j = 1, \quad (4a)$$

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq 1} \alpha_{ij} \right) x_i - \sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1}} \alpha_{ji} x_j = 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (4b)$$

is given by

$$x_i = w(\Gamma_{i,1;n})/w(\Gamma_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (5)$$

where

- (i) Γ_n is the set of trees on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ rooted at n ;
- (ii) $\Gamma_{i,1;n}$ is the set of directed graphs on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with two components; one component is a tree rooted at i which contains 1 and the other component is a tree rooted at n ($i = 1, \dots, n-1$).

Proof. Let $f_{i,1;n}$ be the set of two-component directed graphs such that one component is a tree rooted at n and the other component is a directed graph containing 1 and i , having exactly one cycle, i belongs to that cycle and there is a unique path to the cycle from every node of that component. We claim that

$$\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \alpha_{1i} \right) w(\Gamma_{1,1;n}) = w(f_{1,1;n}) + w(\Gamma_n). \quad (6)$$

Indeed, adjoining the edge $(1, i)$ to an element $T \in \Gamma_{1,1;n}$ will either create an element of $f_{1,1;n}$ (if i belongs to the component of 1 in T) or an element of Γ_n (if i belongs to the component of n). Similarly,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \alpha_{i1} w(\Gamma_{i,1;n}) = w(f_{1,1;n}). \quad (7)$$

Combining (6) and (7) establishes that the solution (5) satisfies (4a).

Now, we also claim that if $\mathcal{Q}_i = \{T \in \Gamma_n; \text{ the path from } 1 \text{ to } n \text{ passes through } i\}$, then

$$\left(\sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1}} \alpha_{ij} \right) w(\Gamma_{i,1;n}) = w(f_{i,1;n}) + w(\mathcal{Q}_i), \quad i = 2, \dots, n + 1. \tag{8}$$

Indeed, adjoining the edge (i, j) to $T \in \Gamma_{i,1;n}$ either creates an element of $f_{i,1;n}$ (if j belongs to the component of i in T) or an element of \mathcal{Q}_i (if j belongs to the component of n). Similarly we have

$$\sum_{\substack{j \neq i \\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1}} \alpha_{ji} w(\Gamma_{j,1;n}) = w(f_{i,1;n}) + w(\mathcal{Q}_i), \quad i = 2, \dots, n - 1. \tag{9}$$

Combining (8) and (9) establishes that the proposed solution (5) indeed satisfies (4b), completing the proof of the theorem.

Remark 1. As in Section 2, if some α_{ij} 's are zero and G is the graph which has a vertex (i, j) iff $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$ and \mathcal{G} is the set of spanning subgraphs of G , then $x_i = w(\Gamma_{i,1;n} \cap \mathcal{G})/w(\Gamma_n \cap \mathcal{G})$.

Remark 2. If $A = (a_{ij})$ is the generic $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix, then the system $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})A = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ can be written in the form (4) by setting $\alpha_{ij} = -a_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$) and $\alpha_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$.

Remark 3. Consider the system of linear differential equations

$$\frac{d\mathcal{N}_i}{dt} = \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ij} \right) \mathcal{N}_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ji} \mathcal{N}_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

In the steady state one sets $d\mathcal{N}_i/dt = 0$ getting the system (1), the case considered in [5]. One may use Theorem 2 to get diagrammatic solutions of the Laplace transforms, $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_i(s)$. Indeed, taking Laplace transform and assuming that $\mathcal{N}_1(0) = 1, \mathcal{N}_2(0) = \dots = \mathcal{N}_n(0) = 0$, we get

$$\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ij} - s \right) \hat{\mathcal{N}}_i - \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_{ji} \hat{\mathcal{N}}_j = \delta_{1i}$$

and Theorem 2 applies with $\{1, \dots, n + 1\}$ and all the edges $(i, n + 1)$ having weight $-s$.

Remark 4. We have established that the Hill diagram method constitutes a common algorithm both for kinetic diagram and for electrical network representation of bioenergetic systems as represented in [6].

4. THE WANG ALGEBRA

Consider a network with n nodes $1, \dots, n$ such that the wire between i and j has conductance α_{ij} ($1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$). Suppose that there is a battery which causes a current of 1 amp to flow from n to 1 and that n is grounded. If x_i denotes the voltage at i ($i = 1, \dots, n - 1$) then it is readily seen that applying Kirchoff's current law at every node yields the system (4). Thus the system of linear equations considered in network theory is a special case of (4) where one has in addition that $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$. The value of x_1 has a special significance in network theory, being the joint conductance between node 1 and node n . The electrical engineer K. T. Wang [12] developed an ingenious method for deriving an explicit formula for x_1 . This method was justified and extensively studied by Duffin [3] and Bott and Duffin [2]. The following theorem extends Wang's method to the nonsymmetrical case $\alpha_{ij} \neq \alpha_{ji}$.

In $\mathbb{C}[(\alpha_{ij})]$ define the involution $\overline{x} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ by $\overline{\alpha_{ij}} = \alpha_{ji}$ and extend it to be a ring homomorphism: $\overline{xy} = \bar{y}\bar{x}, \overline{x + y} = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that in the system (4), $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha_{ji} \neq 0$. Let G be the graph whose nodes are $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and whose edges are the (i, j) for which $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$. An explicit formula for x_1 is obtained by expanding $\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} (\sum_{(i,j) \in G} \alpha_{ij}) / \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\sum_{(i,j) \in G} \alpha_{ij})$ and applying the following simplification rules to both numerator and denominator:*

$$(i) \ x\bar{x} = 0, \quad (ii) \ x + \bar{x} = 0,$$

in addition to the regular distributive and commutative laws.

Proof. By the remark following the proof of Theorem 2, $x_1 = w(\Gamma_{1,1;n} \cap \mathcal{G}) / w(\Gamma_n \cap \mathcal{G})$. Let \mathcal{V}_n ($\mathcal{V}_{1,n}$) be the set of directed graphs on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with the property that n (1 and n) has (have) no outgoing edges and every other node has exactly one outgoing edge. By the remarks made in the beginning of Section 2, $\Gamma_n \subset \mathcal{V}_n$ and $\Gamma_{1,1;n} \subset \mathcal{V}_{1,n}$. We have

$$w(\mathcal{V}_n \cap \mathcal{G}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{(i,j) \in G} \alpha_{ij} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad w(\mathcal{V}_{1,n} \cap \mathcal{G}) = \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{(i,j) \in G} \alpha_{ij} \right).$$

In order to get $w(\Gamma_n \cap \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{T \in \Gamma_n \cap \mathcal{G}} w(T)$ from $w(\mathcal{V}_n \cap \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{V}_n \cap G} w(S)$ we have to get rid of all the terms $w(S)$ for which S is not a tree, i.e. has a cycle. If S has a cycle of length 2: $\{(i, j), (j, i)\}$ then $w(S)$ is eliminated by simplification rule (i). If it has a cycle of length ≥ 3 : $\{(i_1, i_2), (i_2, i_3), \dots, (i_k, i_1)\}$ then the directed graph S' obtained from S by reversing that cycle must also be eliminated and rule (ii) gets rid of both $w(S)$ and $w(S')$ at the same time. Similarly, the application of (i) and (ii) to $w(\mathcal{V}_{1,n} \cap \mathcal{G})$ leaves us with $w(\Gamma_{1,n} \cap \mathcal{G})$. ■

Remark. In the symmetrical case $\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$, so $x = \bar{x}$ and rules (i) and (ii) become $x^2 = 0$, $x + x = 0$. These are the original rules of the Wang algebra [3, 4]. Thus the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 taken together give a simple combinatorial proof of the Wang algebra.

REFERENCES

1. C. W. BORCHARDT, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **57** (1861), 111–121.
2. R. BOTT AND R. J. DUFFIN, On the algebra of networks, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **74** (1953), 99–109.
3. R. J. DUFFIN, An analysis of the Wang algebra of networks, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1959), 114–131.
4. T. L. HILL, *J. Theoret. Biol.* **10** (1966), 442.
5. T. L. HILL, “*Free Energy Transduction in Biology*,” Academic Press, New York, 1977.
6. A. KATCHALSKY, G. F. OSTER, AND A. S. PERELSON, Network thermodynamics: Dynamic modelling of biophysical systems, *Quart. Rev. Biophys.* **6**, 1 (1973), 1–134.
7. E. L. KING AND C. ALTMAN, *J. Phys. Chem.* **60** (1956), 1375.
8. D. E. KNUTH, “The Art of Computer Programming,” Vol. 1, “Fundamental Algorithms,” Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1973.
9. J. C. MAXWELL, “Electricity and Magnetism,” Vol. 1, Part II, p. 409, 3rd Edition, Oxford Univ. Press, London/New York, 1892.
10. J. W. MOON, “Counting Labelled Trees,” Canadian Math. Monographs, No. 1, Canadian Math. Congress, 1970.
11. S. SESHU AND M. B. REED, “Linear Graphs and Electrical Networks,” Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1961.
12. K. T. WANG, “On a New Method of Analysis of Electrical Networks,” Memoirs 2, Nat. Res. Inst. Eng. Academic Sinica, 1934.