Alice HH vs Bob HT using an Edgeworth Expansion

Mihai Nica, University of Guelph

June 11, 2024

Abstract

In the Alice HH vs Bob HT problem, Alice and Bob flip a sequence of n coins, with Alice scores a point whenever "HH" appears and Bob scoring a point whenever "HT" appear. In this note we calculate the first 3 moments of the random variable "Alice's Score minus Bob's Score" and then use an Edgeworth expansion approach to estimate that Bob's probability of winning exceeds Alice's probability of winning by $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi n}}$.

1 Definitions

Symbol	Definition
$n \in \mathbb{N}$	Number of coinflips in the sequence
C_1C_2,\ldots,C_n	Sequence of coinflips $C_i \in \{H, T\}$
$\Delta_i :=$	The difference (Alice score - Bob score) due to the coinflips C_i, C_{i+1}
$1_{C_iC_{i+1}=HH} - 1_{C_iC_{i+1}=HT}$	
$X_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta_i$	Alices total Score minus Bob's total Score. The total difference in score after
	the n flips
$1_{HH\bullet}$ and $1_{\bullet HH}$	Used as a shorthand notation for the indicators of the events like $1_{HH_{\bullet}}$:=
	$1\{C_iC_{i+1}C_{i+2} = HH\bullet\}$ when the value of <i>i</i> is implicit. The bullet "•" stands
	for a "wildcard" that could be either H or T , but makes calculations a bit easier
	to visualize when used in multiple places to keep i constant. For example:
	$1_{HH\bullet} \cdot 1_{\bullet HH} = 1_{HHH}$ or $1_{HH\bullet} \cdot 1_{\bullet HT} = 1_{HHT}$ or $1_{HT\bullet} \cdot 1_{\bullet HT} = 0$ (since the middle coinflip cannot be both H and T simultaneously).

2 Moment Calculations

2.1 1st Moment

$$\mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right] = (n-1)\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{i}\right] = 0$$

2.2 2nd Moment

Note that Δ_i and Δ_j are independent unless i = j or $i = j \pm 1$ (i.e. the "range" of the interactions is only 1 coinflip.) From this it follows that we can expand to get:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}^{2}\right]=(n-1)\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{i}^{2}\right]+2(n-2)\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{i}\Delta_{i+1}\right]$$

and we compuite:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{i}^{2}\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{1}_{HH} - \mathbf{1}_{HT}\right)^{2}\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{HH} + \mathbf{1}_{HT} + 2\cdot 0\right] = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and:

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\Delta_i \Delta_{i+1} \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[(\mathbf{1}_{HH\bullet} - \mathbf{1}_{HT\bullet}) \left(\mathbf{1}_{\bullet HH} - \mathbf{1}_{\bullet HT} \right) \right]$$
$$= \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{HHH} - \mathbf{1}_{HHT} - 0 + 0 \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{16} = 0$$

so combining gives:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[X_n^2\right] = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)$$

2.3 3rd Moment

Again, keeping track of only interactions at range 1 away, we have the expansion:

$$\mathbf{E} \left[X_n^3 \right] = \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \Delta_i \Delta_j \Delta_k \right]$$

$$= (n-1)\mathbf{E} \left[\Delta_i^3 \right] + 3(n-3) \cdot 3! \cdot \mathbf{E} \left[\Delta_i \Delta_{i+1} \Delta_{i+2} \right]$$

$$+ (n-2) \cdot {3 \choose 1} \mathbf{E} \left[\Delta_i^2 \Delta_{i+1} \right]$$

$$+ (n-2) \cdot {3 \choose 1} \mathbf{E} \left[\Delta_i \Delta_{i+1}^2 \right]$$

Now we notice that any expectation of the form $\mathbf{E}\left[\left(\dots \operatorname{product} \text{ of stuff...}\right) \cdot \Delta_{i+2}\right]$ (i.e. it ends with a Δ on its own and not squared) is exactly 0 because the the last Δ is equally likely to be +1 or -1 (either both 50% or both 0% depending on whether the product of stuff at the beginning end is a H or ends in a T.) So the only surviving term here is the term $\mathbf{E}\left[\Delta_{i}\Delta_{i+1}^{2}\right]$ which gives:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} \left[\Delta_i \Delta_{i+1}^2 \right] &= \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\mathbf{1}_{HH\bullet} - \mathbf{1}_{HT\bullet} \right) \left(\mathbf{1}_{\bullet HH} - \mathbf{1}_{\bullet HT} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\left(\mathbf{1}_{HH\bullet} - \mathbf{1}_{HT\bullet} \right) \left(\mathbf{1}_{\bullet HH} + \mathbf{1}_{\bullet HT} + 2 \cdot 0 \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{HHH} + \mathbf{1}_{HHT} - 0 - 0 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{4} \end{split}$$

So we have:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[X_n^3\right] = \frac{3}{4}(n-2)$$

3 Edgeworth Expansion

We use an expansion for the density function of the random variable of the form (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edgeworth ser

$$\rho_X(x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} He_3 \left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \right) + \ldots \right)$$

where $\mu = \mathbf{E}[X]$, $\sigma = \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}[X]}$ and $\kappa_3 = \mathbf{E}[(X - \mu)^3]$, and $He_3(x) = x^3 - 3x$ is the 3rd Hermite polynomial. In our case $\mu = 0$ so this simplifies a bit to:

$$\rho_X(x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} He_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \ldots \right)$$

3.1 A lemma about integrating He_3

Lemma 1. Have that:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} He_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) dx = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

Proof. Have:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} He_{3}\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) dx = \mathbf{E}_{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^{2})} \left[\mathbf{1}_{Z>0} He_{3}\left(\frac{Z}{\sigma}\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)} \left[\mathbf{1}_{Z>0} He_{3}\left(Z\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)} \left[\mathbf{1}_{Z>0} Z^{3}\right] - 3\mathbf{E}_{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)} \left[\mathbf{1}_{Z>0} Z\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}_{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)} \left[\varphi(Z)^{3}\right] - 3\mathbf{E}_{Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)} \left[\varphi(Z)\right]$$

$$= (3-1)!! \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - 3\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

where we have used the relu function $\varphi(x) = x \mathbf{1}_{\{x>0\}}$ and the result for Gaussians that (which can be proved by a nice integration by parts induction)

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\varphi(Z)^{k}\right] = \begin{cases} \frac{(k-1)!!}{2} & k \text{ is even} \\ \frac{(k-1)!!}{\sqrt{2\pi}} & k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

3.2 Alice - Bob using the Edgeworth Approximation.

Lemma 2. If we use the Edgeworth approximation:

$$\rho_X(x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} He_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \ldots \right)$$

then we get:

$$\mathbf{P}(X>0) - \mathbf{P}(X<0) = -\frac{2\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

Proof. By making the chage of variable $x \to -x$ and using the fact that $He_3(x)$ is an odd polynomial:

$$\mathbf{P}\left(X<0\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} H e_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \ldots\right) \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} H e_3\left(\frac{-x}{\sigma}\right) + \ldots\right) \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} H e_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \ldots\right) \mathrm{d}x$$

Hence, when we subtract the probabilities we get:

$$\mathbf{P}(X>0) - \mathbf{P}(X<0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left((1-1) + (1-(-1)) \frac{\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} He_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) + \ldots \right) \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= 2 \frac{\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} He_3\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) \mathrm{d}x + \ldots$$

$$= -\frac{2\kappa_3}{3!\sigma^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

by the Lemma.

Proposition 3. Suppose that the Edgeworth approximation holds for the random variable X_n which is Alice's Score minus Bob's score. Then:

$$\mathbf{P}(Alice\ wins) - \mathbf{P}(Bob\ wins) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi n}} + \dots$$

Remark 4. Note that we know already from other methods that the result of the proposition is true. However, it is **NOT YET PROVEN** that the Edgeworth expansion actually holds (need to deal with the fact that the sequence Δ_i are not purely independent but are instead dependent). One relevant paper that seems to have the type of result needed to justify this Edgeworth expansion is MR0871198 > Heinrich, Lothar > Some remarks on asymptotic expansions in the central limit > theorem for > m-dependent random variables. > Math. Nachr. 122 (1985), 151–155.

Proof. By the lemmas we have:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{P} \left(\text{Alice wins} \right) - \mathbf{P} \left(\text{Bob wins} \right) &= \mathbf{P} \left(X_n > 0 \right) - \mathbf{P} \left(X_n < 0 \right) \\ &= -\frac{2 \kappa_3}{3! \sigma^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} + \dots \\ &= -\frac{2 \left(\frac{3}{4} (n-2) \right)}{3! \left(\frac{1}{2} (n-1) \right)^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} + \dots \\ &= -\frac{2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)}{3! \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{(n-2)}{(n-1)^{3/2}} + \dots \\ &= -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi n}} + \dots \end{split}$$

where we have used $\frac{n-2}{(n-1)^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \dots$ as $n \to \infty$.