Lots and Lots of Perrin-Type Primality Tests and Their Pseudo-Primes

Robert DOUGHERTY-BLISS and Doron ZEILBERGER

Abstract: We use *Experimental Mathematics* and *Symbolic Computation* (with Maple), to search for lots and lots of Perrin- and Lucas- style primality tests, and try to sort the wheat from the chaff. More impressively, we find quite a few such primality tests for which we can explicitly construct infinite families of pseudo-primes, rather, like in the cases of Perrin pseudo-primes and the famous Carmichael primes, proving the mere existence of infinitely many of them.

Preface: How it all Started thanks to Vince Vatter

It all started when we came across Vince Vatter's delightful article [V], where he gave a cute combinatorial proof, inspired by COVID, and social distancing, of the following fact that goes back to Raoul Perrin [P] (See also [Sl1], [Sl2], [St], [W]).

Perrin's Observation: Let the integer sequence $A(n)$ defined by:

$$A(1) = 0 \quad , \quad A(2) = 2 \quad , \quad A(3) = 3 \quad , \quad A(n) = A(n-2) + A(n-3) \ (for \quad n > 3) \quad ,$$

then for every prime p, we have:

$$p | A(p) \quad .$$

Perrin, back in 1889, was wondering whether the condition is **sufficient**, i.e. whether there are any *pseudo-primes*, i.e. *composite* n such that $A(n)/n$ is an integer. He could not find any, and as late as 1981, none was found ≤ 140000 (see [AS]). In 1982, Adams and Shanks [AS] *rather quickly* found the smallest Perrin pseudo prime, 271441, followed by the next-smallest, 904631, and then they found quite a few other ones. Jon Grantham [Gr] proved that there are *infinitely many* Perrin pseudo-primes, and finding as many as possible of them, became a computational challenge, see Holger's paper [H].

Another, older, primaility test is that based on the Lucas numbers ([Sl3], [Sl4]).

Vince Vatter's Combinatorial Proof

Vatter first found a **combinatorial interpretation** of the Perrin numbers, as the number of circular words of length n in the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$, that **avoid** the **consecutive subwords** (aka *factors* in formal language lingo), $\{000, 11\}$.

More formally: words $w = w_1, \dots, w_n$ in the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$, such that for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, $w_i w_{i+1} w_{i+2} \neq 000$, and also $w_{n-1} w_n w_1 \neq 000$ and $w_n w_1 w_2 \neq 000$ as well as for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $w_i w_{i+1} \neq 11$, and $w_n w_1 \neq 11$.

Then he argued that if p is a prime, all the p circular shifts are **different**, since otherwise there would be a non-trivial period, that can't happen since p is prime. Since the constant words 0^p and 1^p obviously can't avoid both 00 and 111, Perrin's theorem follows.

This proof is reminiscent of Solomon Golomb's [G] snappy combinatorial proof of Fermat's little theorem [G] that argued that there are $a^p - a$ non-monochromatic straight necklaces with p beads of a colors, and for each such necklace, the p rotations are all different (see also [Z1], p. 560).

When we saw Vatter's proof we got all excited. Vatter's argument transforms *verbatim* to counting circular words in *any* (finite) alphabet, and any (finite) set of forbidden (consecutive) patterns! More than twenty years ago one of us (DZ) wrote a paper, in collaboration with his then PhD student, Anne Edlin [EZ], that *automatically* finds the (rational) generating function in any such scenario, hence this is a cheap way to manufacture lots and lots of Perrin-style primality tests. We already had a Maple package `https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/CGJ` to handle it, so all that remained was to *experiment* with many alphabets and many sets of forbidden patterns, and search for those that have only few small *pseudo-primes*.

This inspired us to write our first Maple package, `PerrinVV.txt`, available from

`https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/PerrinVV.txt` .

See the front of this article

`https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/perrin.html` ,

for many such primality tests, inspired by sets of forbidden patterns, along with all the pseudoprimes less than a million.

An even better way to manufacture Perrin-style Primality tests

After the initial excitement we got an *epiphany*, and as it turned out, it was already made, in 1990, by Stanley Gurak [Gu]. Take *any* polynomial $Q(x)$ with **integer coefficients**, and constant term 1, and write it as

$$Q(x) = 1 - e_1 x + e_2 x^2 - \ldots + (-1)^k e_k x^k \quad .$$

Factorize it over the complex numbers

$$Q(x) = (1-\alpha_1 x)(1-\alpha_2 x) \cdots (1-\alpha_k x) \quad .$$

Note that $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ are the **elementary symmetric functions** in $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$.

Defining

$$a(n) := \alpha_1^n + \alpha_2^n + \ldots + \alpha_k^n \quad ,$$

it follows thanks to Newton's identities ([M]) that $\{a(n)\}$ is an **integer sequence**. The generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)\, x^n = \frac{1}{1-\alpha_1 x} + \frac{1}{1-\alpha_2 x} + \ldots + \frac{1}{1-\alpha_k x} \quad ,$$

has denominator $Q(x)$ and *some* numerator, let's call it $P(x)$, with **integer coefficients**, that Maple can easily find *all by itself*.

So we can *define* an integer sequence $\{a(n)\}$ in terms of the rational function $P(x)/Q(x)$, where $Q(x)$ is *any* polynomial with constant term 1, and $P(x)$ *comes out* as above:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)x^n = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \quad .$$

We claim that each such integer sequence engenders a *Perrin-style* primality test, namely

$$a(p) \equiv e_1 (mod\ p).$$

To see this, note that

$$(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k)^p = a(p) + p\,A(p),$$

where

$$A(p) = \sum_{\substack{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_k=p \\ i_1,i_2,\ldots i_k<p}} \frac{(p-1)!}{i_1! \cdots i_k!} \alpha_1^{i_1} \cdots \alpha_k^{i_k}$$

is a symmetric polynomial in the α_i. The fundamental theorem of symmetric functions [M] implies that $A(p)$ is an integer. Fermat's little theorem then gives

$$a(p) \equiv (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k)^p = e_1^p \equiv e_1 (mod\ p).$$

So this is an even easier way to manufacture lots and lots of Perrin-style primality tests, and we can let the computer search for those that have as few small pseudo-primes as possible.

This is implemented in the Maple package `Perrin.txt`, available from

`https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/Perrin.txt` .

Again, see the front of this article

`https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/perrin.html` ,

for many such primality tests, inspired by this more general method (first suggested by Stanley Gurak [Gu]).

Searching for such primality tests with as few pseudo-primes less than a million, we stumbled on the following:

The DB-Z Primality Test

Let

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)\,x^n := \frac{-3x^4 - 5x^2 - 6x + 7}{-4x^7 - x^4 - x^2 - x + 1} \quad ,$$

or equivalently, the integer sequence defined by

$$a(1)=1\,,\,a(2)=3\,,\,a(3)=4\,,\,a(4)=11\,,\,a(5)=16\,,\,a(6)=30\,,\,a(7)=78,$$

$$a(n)\;=\;a(n-1)\;+\;a(n-2)\;+\;a(n-4)\;+\;4\,a(n-7)\;(for\quad n>7)\quad,$$

then if p is prime, we have

$$a(p)\equiv 1\,(mod\ p)\quad.$$

Manuel Kauers kindly informed us that the seven smallest DB-Z pseudo-primes are

- $1531398=2\cdot 3\cdot 11\cdot 23203$,
- $114009582=2\cdot 3\cdot 17\cdot 1117741$,
- $940084647=3\cdot 47\cdot 643\cdot 10369$,
- $4206644978=2\cdot 97\cdot 859\cdot 25243$,
- $7962908038=2\cdot 191\cdot 709\cdot 29401$,
- $20293639091=11\cdot 3547\cdot 520123$,
- $41947594698=2\cdot 3\cdot 19\cdot 523\cdot 703559$.

[This was a computational challenge posed by us to Manuel Kauers, and we offered to donate 100 dollars to the OEIS in his honor. The donation was made].

An even better Primality Test

After the first version of this paper was written, with the help of Manuel Kauers, we discovered an even better primality test.

The DB-Kauers primality test

Let

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)\,x^n\;:=\;\frac{-9x^5-16x^4-10x+6}{-3x^6-9x^5-8x^4-2x+1}\quad,$$

or equivalently, the integer sequence defined by

$$a(1)=2\,,\,a(2)=4\,,\,a(3)=8\,,\,a(4)=48\,,\,a(5)=157\,,\,a(6)=382\,,$$

$$a(n)\;=\;2a(n-1)\;+\;8\,a(n-4)\;+\;9\,a(n-5)\;+\;a(n-6)\;(for\quad n>6)\quad,$$

then if p is prime, we have

$$a(p)\equiv 2\,(mod\ p)\quad.$$

The smallest pseudoprime happens to be $2,260,550,373 = 3 \cdot 103 \cdot 107 \cdot 68371$.

Perrin-Style Primality Tests with Explicit Infinite Families of Pseudo-Primes

We are particularly proud of the next primality test, featuring the *Companion Pell numbers* `https://oeis.org/A002203`. These numbers have been studied extensively, but as far as we know using them as a *primality test* is new. It is not a very good one, but the novelty is that it has an *explicit* **doubly-infinite** set of pseudo-primes.

The Companion Pell Numbers Primality Test Let

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)\, x^n \,:=\, \frac{2-2x}{-x^2-2x+1} \quad ,$$

or equivalently,

$$a(1)=2\,,\, a(2)=6 \quad , \quad a(n) \,=\, a(n-1) \,+\, 2a(n-2) \quad (for \quad n>2) \quad ,$$

then if p is a prime, we have

$$a(p) \equiv 2 \,(mod\ p) \quad .$$

Theorem 1; The following doubly-infinite family

$$\{\, 2^i \cdot 3^j \,|\, i \geq 3\,,\, j \geq 0 \,\} \quad ,$$

are Companion-Pell pseudoprimes, in other words,

$$\frac{a(2^i \cdot 3^j) - 2}{2^i 3^j} \quad ,$$

are always integers, if $i \geq 3$ and $j \geq 0$.

Proof: let

$$\alpha_1 := 1+\sqrt{2} \quad , \quad \alpha_2 := 1-\sqrt{2} \quad ,$$

then, since

$$\frac{2-2x}{-x^2-2x+1} \,=\, \frac{1}{1-\alpha_1\, x} \,+\, \frac{1}{1-\alpha_2\, x} \quad ,$$

we have the Binet-style formula

$$a(n) = \alpha_1^n + \alpha_2^n \quad .$$

Since $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 = -1$, we have the following two recurrences (check!)

$$a(2n) = a(n)^2 + 2(-1)^{n+1} \quad ,$$

$$a(3n) = a(n)^3 + 3(-1)^{n+1} a(n) \quad .$$

Let

$$b(n) = a(n) - 2 \quad ,$$

hence we have

Fact 1: If n is even then

$$b(2n) = b(n)(b(n) + 4) \quad .$$

It follows immediately that:

if n is even and $b(n)/n$ is an integer then $b(2n)/(2n)$ is also an integer.

It remains to prove that $b(8\,3^j)/(8\,3^j)$ is an integer, for all $j \geq 0$.

Thanks to the second recurrence we have

$$b(3n) + 2 = (b(n) + 2)^3 + 3(-1)^{n+1}(b(n) + 2) \quad .$$

Hence

Fact 2: If n is even then

$$b(3n) = b(n)(b(n)^2 \, + \, 6\,b(n) \, + \, 12) \quad .$$

It follows immediately that:

if n is divisible by 6 and $b(n)/n$ is an integer then $b(3n)/(3n)$ is also an integer.

Since $b(24)/24$ is an integer, the theorem follows by induction.

We now state without proofs (except for Theorem 4, where we give a sketch) a few other primality tests that have explicit infinite families of pseudoprimes.

Theorem 2: Let

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)\,x^n \, := \, \frac{2-x}{-2x^2 - x + 1} \quad ,$$

or equivalently,

$$a(1) = 1\,,\, a(2) = 5 \quad , \quad a(n) \, = \, a(n-1) \, + \, 2a(n-2) \quad (for \quad n > 2) \quad ,$$

then if p is a prime, we have

$$a(p) \equiv 1\,(mod\ p) \quad .$$

Furthermore, $\{2^i\,|i \geq 2\}$ are all pseudo-primes, in other words

$$a(2^i) \equiv 1\,(mod\ 2^i) \quad , \quad i \geq 2.$$

Theorem 3: Let
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)\,x^n \;:=\; \frac{2-2x}{-2x^2-2x+1} \quad ,$$

or equivalently,

$$a(1)=2\,,\, a(2)=8 \quad , \quad a(n) \;=\; 2a(n-1) \,+\, 2a(n-2) \quad (for \quad n>2) \quad ,$$

then if p is a prime, we have
$$a(p) \equiv 2 \,(mod\ p) \quad .$$

Furthermore, the following infinite families are all pseudo-primes:

$\{3^i \,|i \geq 2\}$, $\{2 \cdot 3^i \,|i \geq 1\}$, $\{11 \cdot 81^i \,|i \geq 1\}$, $\{23 \cdot 3^{5i} \,|i \geq 1\}$, $\{29 \cdot 3^{4+12i} \,|i \geq 0\}$, $\{31 \cdot 3^{16i} \,|i \geq 1\}$.

Theorem 4: Let
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)\,x^n \;:=\; \frac{2x^2+3}{2x^3+2x^2+1} \quad ,$$

or equivalently,

$$a(1)=0\,,\, a(2)=-4\,,\, a(3)=-6 \quad , \quad a(n) \;=\; -2a(n-2) \,-\, 2a(n-3) \quad (for \quad n>2) \quad ,$$

then if p is a prime, we have
$$a(p) \equiv 0 \,(mod\ p) \quad .$$

Furthermore, the following infinite families are all pseudo-primes:

$\{2^i \,|i \geq 2\}$, $\{3 \cdot 2^{4i} \,|i \geq 2\}$, $\{11 \cdot 2^{18i} \,|i \geq 2\}$, $\{13 \cdot 2^{17+20i} \,|i \geq 2\}$.

Sketch of Proof: We use the *C-finite ansatz* [Z2]. Let

$$b(n) = a(2n) - a(n)^2 \quad ,$$

then it follows from the C-finite anzatz that $b(n)$ satisfies *some* recurrence, that turns out to be

$$b(1)=-4\,,\, b(2)=-8\,,\, b(3)=-40 \quad , \quad b(n) \;=\; 2b(n-1)+4b(n-3) \;\; (for \quad n>3)$$

We now define
$$c(n) := \frac{b(n)}{2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}} \quad ,$$

and once again it follows that $c(n)$ satisfies the recurrence,

$$c(1)=-4\,,\, c(2)=-4\,,\, c(3)=-20,\, c(4)=-24,\, c(5)=-56,\, c(6)=-76$$

$$c(n) \;=\; 2c(n-2)+4c(n-4)+2c(n-6) \;\; (for \quad n>6) \quad .$$

Note that $c(n)$ are manifestly **integers**. Going back to $a(n)$ we have the recurrence

$$a(2n) = a(n)^2 + 2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c(n) \quad ,$$

and it follows by induction that $a(2^i)/2^i$ are all integers. A similar argument goes for the other infinite families claimed.

Theorem 5: Let

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n)\, x^n \,:=\, \frac{-2x^2-2x+3}{-x^3-2x^2-x+1} \quad ,$$

or equivalently,

$$a(1)=1\,,\, a(2)=5\,,\, a(3)=10 \quad , \quad a(n) \,=\, a(n-1) \,+\, 2a(n-2)+a(n-3) \quad (for \quad n>2) \quad ,$$

then if p is a prime, we have

$$a(p) \equiv 1 \,(mod\ p) \quad .$$

Furthermore, the following infinite families are all pseudo-primes:

$$\{3^i \,|i \geq 2\} \quad , \quad \{5 \cdot 3^{6+10\,i} \,|i \geq 0\} \quad , \quad \{5 \cdot 3^{8+10\,i} \,|i \geq 0\} \quad , \quad \{7 \cdot 3^{4+6\,i} \,|i \geq 0\} \,,$$

We found 9 other such primality tests, with infinite explicit families of presodoprimes, that can be viewed by typing

`PDB(x);` ,

in the Maple package `Perrin.txt`.

For fast computations and explorations using C programs, readers are welcome to explore RDB's github site:

`https://github.com/rwbogl/pt` .

Acknowledgment: Many thanks to Manuel Kauers for his computational prowess, and to the referee for a helpful remark.

References

[AS] William Adams and Daniel Shanks, *Strong primality tests that are not sufficient*, Mathematics of Computation **39** (1982), 255-300.

[EZ] Anne E. Edlin and Doron Zeilberger, *The Goulden-Jackson Cluster method For cyclic Words*, Advances in Applied Mathematics **25**(2000), 228-232.
`https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/cgj.html` .

[Go] Solomon W. Golomb, *Combinatorial Proof of Fermat's "Little" Theorem*, The American Mathematical Monthly, **63**(10), (Dec., 1956), 718.
`https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/akherim/golomb56.pdf` .

[Gr] Jon Grantham, *There are infinitely many Perrin pseudoprimes*, Journal of Number Theory **130** (2010), 1117-1128.

[Gu] Stanley Gurak, *Pseudoprimes for Higher-Order Linear Recurrence Sequences*, Mathematics of Computation, **55** (1990), 783-813.

[H] Stephan Holger, *Millions of Perrin pseudoprimes including a few giants*, arXiv:2002.03756 [math.NA], 2020. `https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.03756` .

[M] Ian G. Macdonald, *"Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials"*, Second Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.

[P] Raoul Perrin, *Item 1484*, L'Intermèdiare des math **6** (1899), 76-77.

[Sl1] Neil J. A. Sloane, *The On-line Sequence of Integer Sequences*, Sequence A001608, `https://oeis.org/A001608` .

[Sl2] Neil J. A. Sloane, *The On-line Sequence of Integer Sequences*, Sequence A013998, `https://oeis.org/A013998`

[Sl3] Neil J. A. Sloane, *The On-line Sequence of Integer Sequences*, Sequence A005854, `https://oeis.org/A005845` .

[Sl4] Neil J. A. Sloane, *The On-line Sequence of Integer Sequences*, Sequence A000032, `https://oeis.org/A000032` .

[St] Ian Stewart, *Tales of a Neglected Number*, Mathematical Recreations, Scientific American **274**(6) (1996), pp. 102-103.

[V] Vince Vatter, *Social Distancing, Primes, and Perrin Numbers*, , Math Horizons, **29**(1) (2022). `https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/akherim/vatter23.pdf` .

[W] Wikipedia, *Perrin Number*, `https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Perrin_number` .

[Z1] Doron Zeilberger, *Enumerative and Algebraic Combinatorics*, in: Princeton Companion to Mathematics (edited by W.T. Gowers), Princeton University Press, 2008, 550-561. `https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimPDF/enu.pdf` .

[Z2] Doron Zeilberger, *The C-finite ansatz*, Ramanujan Journal **31** (2013), 23-32. `https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/cfinite.html` .

Robert Dougherty-Bliss , Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA.
Email: `robert dot w dot bliss at gmail dot com` .

Doron Zeilberger, Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA.
Email: `DoronZeil at gmail dot com` .

Published in INTEGERS **23** (2023), #A95.

First written: July 15, 2023; This version (correcting typos): March 30, 2024.