

On Round-Robin Tournaments with a Unique Maximum Score

Yaakov Malinovsky

University of Maryland, Baltimore County, USA

joint work with John W. Moon

University of Alberta, Canada

Rutgers Experimental Mathematics Seminar

November 17, 2022

Outline

- ▶ Background and Problem Statement
- ▶ Main Result

Part 1: Introduction and Background

Richard Arnold Epstein Problem^a

Richard Arnold Epstein (1927-2016) published the first edition of "The Theory of Gambling and Statistical Logic" in 1967. He introduced some material on round-robin tournaments (complete oriented graphs) with n labeled vertices in Chapter 9; in particular, he stated, without proof, that the probability that there is a unique vertex with the maximum score tends to one as n tends to infinity.

^aEpstein, R. A. (1967). The theory of gambling and statistical logic. First edition. Academic Press, New York.

Classical Round-Robin Tournament

- ▶ In a classical round-robin tournament, each of n players wins or loses a game against each of the other $n - 1$.
- ▶ Let X_{ij} equal 1 or 0 according as player i wins or loses the game played against player j , for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$, where

$$X_{ij} + X_{ji} = 1.$$

- ▶ We assume that all $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs (X_{ij}, X_{ji}) are independently distributed with

$$P(X_{ij} = 1) = P(X_{ji} = 0) = 1/2.$$

- ▶ Let

$$s_i = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n X_{ij}$$

denote the score of player i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, after playing against all the other $n - 1$ players.

P.A. MacMahon: Counting Score Sequences^a

▶ (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) is the score sequence of the tournament.

▶

$$G(n) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i + a_j).$$

▶ For example,

$$G(3) = a_1^2 a_2 + a_1 a_2^2 + a_1^2 a_3 + a_1 a_3^2 + a_2^2 a_3 + a_2 a_3^2 + 2a_1 a_2 a_3,$$

(ordered) score sequence $(1, 1, 1)$ occurs twice

$(0, 1, 2)$ occurs six times in the $2^{\binom{3}{2}} = 8$ possible outcomes.

^aMacMahon, P. A. (1923). An American tournament treated by the calculus of symmetric functions. Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. vol. XLIX, No. 193, 1–36.

Maximum Score

- ▶ Let r_n denote the probability that an ordinary tournament with n labeled vertices has a unique vertex with maximum score, assuming all the $2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ such tournaments are equally likely.

- ▶ Epstein (1963), p. 353:

$$r_4 = 0.5, r_5 = 0.586, r_6 = 0.627, r_7 = 0.581, r_8 = 0.634$$

with no explanation of how these numbers were calculated.

- ▶ However, the paper of David(1959) ^a is included among the references Epstein gave at the end of the chapter containing these values. From David's Table 1 follows these values except that the value for $r_8 = 160,241,152/2^{28} = 0.596$.

^aDavid, H. A. (1959). Tournaments and Paired Comparisons. *Biometrika* 46, 139–149.

Percy MacMahon and Doron Zeilberger ^a

It follows from MacMahon's data that

$$r_9 = 42,129,744,768/2^{36} = 0.613.$$

Doron Zeilberger had extended MacMahon's work and had generated the score vectors and their frequencies for tournaments with up to **15 vertices** using the Maple program.

^aZeilberger, D. (2016). On the Most Commonly-Occuring Score Vectors of American Tournaments of n-players, and their Corresponding Records. <https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/Percy.html>

Monte-Carlo Simulations

n	M	r_n	$\hat{r}_n(M)$
4	10^6	0.5	0.5003...
5	10^6	$600/2^{10} = 0.5859\dots$	0.5862...
6	10^6	$20,544/2^{15} = 0.6269\dots$	0.6262...
7	10^6	$1,218,224/2^{21} = 0.5808\dots$	0.5806...
8	10^6	$160,241,152/2^{28} = 0.5969\dots$	0.5966...
9	10^6	$42,129,744,768/2^{36} = 0.6130\dots$	0.6129...
10	10^6	$21,293,228,876,800/2^{45} = 0.6051\dots$	0.6054...
11	10^6	$22,220,602,090,444,032/2^{55} = 0.6167\dots$	0.6169...
12	10^6	$45,959,959,305,969,143,808/2^{66} = 0.6228\dots$	0.6231...
13	10^6		0.6240...
14	10^6		0.6323...
15	10^6		0.6355...
30	10^6		0.6881...
50	10^6		0.7290...
100	10^6		0.7808...
500	10^4		0.8673...
1,000	10^4		0.8996...
10,000	300		0.9533...

Erdős and Wilson (1977) ^a

Noga Alon referred us to a paper Paul Erdős and Robin Wilson that contained a Lemma stating that almost all labeled graphs in which pairs of vertices are joined by an edge with probability $1/2$ have a unique vertex of maximum degree.

^aErdős, P., Wilson, R. J. (1977). On the chromatic index of almost all graphs. J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B 23, 255–257.

Main Result

$$t_{n-1} = \frac{n-1}{2} + x_{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{4}}, \quad x_{n-1} = \sqrt{(2 \log(n-1) - (1 + \epsilon) \log(\log(n-1)))}.$$
$$s^* = \max \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$$

in a random n -vertex tournament T_n .

Result 1

- (i) $P(s^* > t_{n-1}) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ^a;
- (ii) If $W_n = W_n(T_n)$ denotes the number of ordered pairs of distinct vertices u and v in T_n such that $s_u = s_v = h$ for some integer h such that $t_{n-1} \leq h \leq n-1$, then

$$P(W_n > 0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

^aHuber, P. J. (1963). A remark on a paper of Trawinski and David entitled: Selection of the best treatment in a paired comparison experiment. *Ann.Math.Statist.* **34**, 92–94.

Proof Sketch of (i)

► Let $Y_{t_{n-1}} = \sum_{j=1}^n I(s_j > t_{n-1})$.

$$E(Y_{t_{n-1}}) \sim n \frac{(\log(n-1))^{\epsilon/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi(n-1)}}.$$

►

$$\text{Var}(Y_{t_{n-1}}) \leq E(Y_{t_{n-1}}).$$

► Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_{t_{n-1}} = 0) &\leq P(|Y_{t_{n-1}} - E(Y_{t_{n-1}})| \geq E(Y_{t_{n-1}})) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(Y_{t_{n-1}})}{(E(Y_{t_{n-1}}))^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{E(Y_{t_{n-1}})} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $P(s^* > t_{n-1}) = P(Y_{t_{n-1}} > 0)$, we obtain (i).

Proof Sketch of (ii)

- ▶ Recall that

$$W_n = \sum_{1 \leq v < u \leq n} I(t_{n-1} < s_u = s_v).$$

- ▶

$$E(W_n) \sim \frac{(\log(n-1))^{1/2+\epsilon}}{\pi\sqrt{2(n-1)}} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- ▶ Appealing to $W_n = W_n I(W_n > 0) \geq I(W_n > 0)$, we find that

$$P(W_n > 0) \leq E(W_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

as required.

Thank You !