

# Hardinian arrays

---

Robert Dougherty-Bliss (with Manuel Kauers)

October 26, 2023

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 21, 32, 33, 43, 44, 74

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 21, 32, 33, 43, 44, 74

A326344:

*Begin with 1. Thereafter, if  $n$  is prime,  $a(n)$  is the next prime after  $a(n-1)$ , but written backwards. If  $n$  is not prime,  $a(n)$  is the next composite after  $a(n-1)$ , written backwards.*

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 21, 32, 33, 43, 44, 74

A326344:

*Begin with 1. Thereafter, if  $n$  is prime,  $a(n)$  is the next prime after  $a(n-1)$ , but written backwards. If  $n$  is not prime,  $a(n)$  is the next composite after  $a(n-1)$ , written backwards.*

By accident,  $a(9) = 9$ , so

$$a(10) = \text{backwards}(\text{nextcomposite}(9)) = 1.$$

### Discussion

- Wed Sep 11 12:11 **Michel Marcus**: apparently  $10^8$  terms without getting 4-digits : but let's wait for some confirmation and longer runs
- 13:34 **Michel Marcus**: apparently  $a(n)$  does not go beyond 909
- 17:11 **Max Tohline**: Yeah, 909's definitely the limit in my dataset (first hits at  $n = 21752$ , then 8 more times in the next 100000 terms). But if there were the exact-right series of prime gaps, could it exceed that? I don't know how to prove it can't get higher than 909.

**Discussion**

- Wed Sep 11 12:11 **Michel Marcus**: apparently  $10^8$  terms without getting 4-digits : but let's wait for some confirmation and longer runs
- 13:34 **Michel Marcus**: apparently  $a(n)$  does not go beyond 909
- 17:11 **Max Tohline**: Yeah, 909's definitely the limit in my dataset (first hits at  $n = 21752$ , then 8 more times in the next 100000 terms). But if there were the exact-right series of prime gaps, could it exceed that? I don't know how to prove it can't get higher than 909.

**Discussion**

- Thu Sep 12 15:55 **Rémy Sigrist**: added proof that the sequence is bounded

A proof came within 24 hours.

Conjectures in the OEIS get in front of a lot of people!

Kauers and Koutschan recently had a great sequence of thoughts.

- There are lots of interesting conjectures in the OEIS.
- There is more OEIS data than anyone can process alone.
- A program could search for promising conjectures.

## Guessing recurrences

Are there recurrences that no one has noticed yet?



# Guessing recurrences

Are there recurrences that no one has noticed yet?

Specifically, recurrences of the form

$$p_d(n)a(n+d) + p_{d-1}(n)a(n+d-1) + \cdots + p_0(n)a(n) = 0$$

for some polynomials  $p_i(n)$ .

## D-finite

In this case,  $a(n)$  is called *D-finite*.

# How guessing works

The normal way to guess a recurrence of the form

$$(c_{11}n + c_{10})a(n + 1) + (c_{01}n + c_{00})a(n) = 0$$

is to plug in  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and set up a system of equations:

# How guessing works

The normal way to guess a recurrence of the form

$$(c_{11}n + c_{10})a(n+1) + (c_{01}n + c_{00})a(n) = 0$$

is to plug in  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$  and set up a system of equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 5 & 10 \\ 5 & 15 & 14 & 42 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} \\ c_{10} \\ c_{01} \\ c_{00} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then you hope that you have a solution.

(I made these numbers up.)

K&K have a neat way to guess that uses lattice reduction techniques.

They tried their guessing procedure on the entire OEIS.

This produced:

K&K have a neat way to guess that uses lattice reduction techniques.

They tried their guessing procedure on the entire OEIS.

This produced:

- A lot of junk.

K&K have a neat way to guess that uses lattice reduction techniques.

They tried their guessing procedure on the entire OEIS.

This produced:

- A lot of junk.
- Some known or easy recurrences.

K&K have a neat way to guess that uses lattice reduction techniques.

They tried their guessing procedure on the entire OEIS.

This produced:

- A lot of junk.
- Some known or easy recurrences.
- About 20 *interesting* recurrences that no one knew.

## Highlight

Let  $a(n)$  be the number of permutations of  $n$  copies of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  such that two neighboring entries differ by at most 1.



Let  $a(n)$  be the number of permutations of  $n$  copies of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  such that two neighboring entries differ by at most 1.

For  $n = 2$ , we have examples like

$$(2, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 4, 5)$$

Let  $a(n)$  be the number of permutations of  $n$  copies of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  such that two neighboring entries differ by at most 1.

For  $n = 2$ , we have examples like

$$(2, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 4, 5)$$

## **Theorem (Kauers and Koutschan)**

*$a(n)$  satisfies the recurrence given on the following slide.*

## Theorem (Kauers and Koutschan)

$$\begin{aligned} & 3n^3(1+n)(1+3n)(2+3n)(3281160 + 13324928n + \dots + 13113n^8)a(n) \\ & - (1+n)^2(14722560 + 163505952n + \dots + 878571n^{12})a(n+1) \\ & + 2(2+n)^2(20370096 + 207973548n + \dots + 668763n^{12})a(n+2) \\ & - (2+n)^2(3+n)^4(10512 + 90060n + \dots + 13113n^8)a(n+3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Theorem (Kauers and Koutschan)

$$\begin{aligned} & 3n^3(1+n)(1+3n)(2+3n)(3281160 + 13324928n + \dots + 13113n^8)a(n) \\ & - (1+n)^2(14722560 + 163505952n + \dots + 878571n^{12})a(n+1) \\ & + 2(2+n)^2(20370096 + 207973548n + \dots + 668763n^{12})a(n+2) \\ & - (2+n)^2(3+n)^4(10512 + 90060n + \dots + 13113n^8)a(n+3) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously the proof is not “by hand.”

K&K left some open conjectures.

- Restricted permutations
- Graph enumeration
- Permanents
- Weird matrix things

K&K left some open conjectures.

- Restricted permutations
- Graph enumeration
- Permanents
- **Weird matrix things (We are here!)**

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_1(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_1(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry is 0.



## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_1(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry entry is 0.
- Every king-step right, down, or south-east must increase values by 0 or 1.

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_1(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry entry is 0.
- Every king-step right, down, or south-east must increase values by 0 or 1.
- Every value must be within 1 of its king-distance from the top-left corner.

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_1(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry entry is 0.
- Every king-step right, down, or south-east must increase values by 0 or 1.
- Every value must be within 1 of its king-distance from the top-left corner.
- The bottom-right entry equals its king-distance minus 1.

## Example for $H_1(6, 5)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example for $H_1(6, 5)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example for $H_1(6, 5)$

0	1	2	2	3
1	1	2	2	3
2	2	2	3	3
3	3	3	3	4
4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4

## Example for $H_1(6, 5)$

0	1	2	2	3
1	1	2	2	3
2	2	2	3	3
3	3	3	3	4
4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4

## Example for $H_1(6, 5)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$



## Example for $H_1(6, 5)$

0	1	2	2	3
1	1	2	2	3
2	2	2	3	3
3	3	3	3	4
4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4

## Example for $H_1(6, 5)$

0	1	2	2	3
1	1	2	2	3
2	2	2	3	3
3	3	3	3	4
4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4

## Example for $H_1(6, 5)$

0	1	2	2	3
1	1	2	2	3
2	2	2	3	3
3	3	3	3	4
4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4

Hardin conjectured

$$H_1(n, n) = \frac{1}{3}(4^{n-1} - 1),$$

and also that  $H_1(n, k)$  is a linear polynomial in  $n$  for  $n \geq k$ .

Hardin conjectured

$$H_1(n, n) = \frac{1}{3}(4^{n-1} - 1),$$

and also that  $H_1(n, k)$  is a linear polynomial in  $n$  for  $n \geq k$ .

## Theorem (RDB, Kauers)

*For  $n \geq k \geq 1$ ,*

$$H_1(n, k) = 4^{k-1}(n - k) + \frac{1}{3}(4^{k-1} - 1).$$

## The diagonal case

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

## The diagonal case

0	1	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	2	3	4	5
2	2	2	2	3	4	5
2	2	3	3	3	4	5
3	3	3	3	3	4	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5

Every valid array can be partitioned into “regions” for each value.

## The diagonal case

0	1	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	2	3	4	5
2	2	2	2	3	4	5
2	2	3	3	3	4	5
3	3	3	3	3	4	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5

Every valid array can be partitioned into “regions” for each value.

$H_1(n, n)$  is the number of tuples of nonintersecting paths from the first column to the first row.



There is a well-known theorem to turn problems about nonintersecting paths into problems about determinants.

There is a well-known theorem to turn problems about nonintersecting paths into problems about determinants.

### **Theorem (Gessel–Viennot)**

*Fix  $n$  distinct start points  $x_k$  and  $n$  distinct end points  $y_k$ .*

There is a well-known theorem to turn problems about nonintersecting paths into problems about determinants.

## **Theorem (Gessel–Viennot)**

*Fix  $n$  distinct start points  $x_k$  and  $n$  distinct end points  $y_k$ .*

*Let  $A$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix where  $A_{ij}$  is the number of lattice paths from  $x_i$  to  $y_j$ .*

There is a well-known theorem to turn problems about nonintersecting paths into problems about determinants.

## **Theorem (Gessel–Viennot)**

*Fix  $n$  distinct start points  $x_k$  and  $n$  distinct end points  $y_k$ .*

*Let  $A$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix where  $A_{ij}$  is the number of lattice paths from  $x_i$  to  $y_j$ .*

*The determinant of  $A$  gives the number of tuples of  $n$  non-intersecting paths which take  $x_i$  to  $y_i$ .*

Plan of attack: Find  $A$  and compute its determinant.

# The matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

There are actually several matrices, because start and stop points are not fixed.

The first row and column each have exactly one “unused” position, so there is a matrix for each pair of position choices.

$$H_1(n, n) = \sum_{i,j} \det A_i^j$$

$$H_1(n, n) = \sum_{i,j} \det A_i^j$$

It turns out that our matrices are all related to

$$A = \left( \binom{i+j}{i} \right)_{0 \leq i, j < n}$$

$$H_1(n, n) = \sum_{i,j} \det A_i^j$$

It turns out that our matrices are all related to

$$A = \left( \binom{i+j}{i} \right)_{0 \leq i, j < n}$$

Specifically,  $A_i^j$  is  $A$  with the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column deleted.



We have many different ways to evaluate

$$\sum_{i,j} \det A_i^j$$

We have many different ways to evaluate

$$\sum_{i,j} \det A_i^j$$

- Elementary row operations with Laplace expansion

We have many different ways to evaluate

$$\sum_{i,j} \det A_i^j$$

- Elementary row operations with Laplace expansion
- Dodgson's condensation identity

We have many different ways to evaluate

$$\sum_{i,j} \det A_i^j$$

- Elementary row operations with Laplace expansion
- Dodgson's condensation identity
- Computer algebra

We have many different ways to evaluate

$$\sum_{i,j} \det A_i^j$$

- Elementary row operations with Laplace expansion
- **Dodgson's condensation identity**
- Computer algebra

# Infinite families

Hardin submitted a *family* of sequences  $H_r(n, k)$ .

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_r(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

# Infinite families

Hardin submitted a *family* of sequences  $H_r(n, k)$ .

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_r(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry entry is 0.

# Infinite families

Hardin submitted a *family* of sequences  $H_r(n, k)$ .

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_r(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry entry is 0.
- Every king-step right, down, or south-east must increase values by 0 or 1.



# Infinite families

Hardin submitted a *family* of sequences  $H_r(n, k)$ .

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_r(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry entry is 0.
- Every king-step right, down, or south-east must increase values by 0 or 1.
- Every value must be within  $r$  of its king-distance from the top-left corner.

# Infinite families

Hardin submitted a *family* of sequences  $H_r(n, k)$ .

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_r(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry is 0.
- Every king-step right, down, or south-east must increase values by 0 or 1.
- Every value must be within  $r$  of its king-distance from the top-left corner.
- The bottom-right entry equals its king-distance minus  $r$ .

# Infinite families

Hardin submitted a *family* of sequences  $H_r(n, k)$ .

## Definition (R.H. Hardin)

Let  $H_r(n, k)$  be the number of  $n \times k$  matrices which obey the following rules:

- The top-left entry is 0.
- Every king-step right, down, or south-east must increase values by 0 or 1.
- Every value must be within  $r$  of its king-distance from the top-left corner.
- The bottom-right entry equals its king-distance minus  $r$ .

## Theorem (RDB, Kauers)

$H_r(n, n)$  is  $D$ -finite for all  $r \geq 1$ .

# Conjectures

For sufficiently large  $n$ :

$$H_2(n, 1) = \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{3}{2}n + 1$$

$$H_2(n, 2) = 4n^2 - 20n + 25$$

$$H_2(n, 3) = 40n^2 - 279n + 497$$

$$H_2(n, 3) = 480n^2 - 4354n + 10098$$

$$H_2(n, 4) = 6400n^2 - 71990n + 206573$$

$$H_2(n, 5) = 90112n^2 - 1212288n + 4150790$$

$$H_2(n, 6) = 1306624n^2 - 20460244n + 81385043$$

Similar conjectures for all  $H_r(n, k)$ .

# Conclusion

- There are lots of conjectures waiting to be discovered in the OEIS.

# Conclusion

- There are lots of conjectures waiting to be discovered in the OEIS.
- Computer algebra can help discover and prove them.

# Conclusion

- There are lots of conjectures waiting to be discovered in the OEIS.
- Computer algebra can help discover and prove them.
- Linz has really great public transit.