A Two Variable Vandermonde Decomposition of *q*-binomials Emerging From a Complex Dynamics Problem

Rodrigo Pérez

IU Indianapolis

Experimental Mathematics Seminar, 9/26/24









 $f: R \longrightarrow R$ $z \mapsto \varphi \left(\lambda \cdot \varphi^{-1}(z) \right)$



Can f be polynomial?



$$z\mapsto \varphi\bigl(\lambda\cdot \varphi^{-1}(z)\bigr)$$

Can f be polynomial? What is the geometry of R?

Quadratic Golden Siegel Disk



 $f(z) = z^2 - (0.39054 + 0.58678i)$

Quadratic Golden Siegel Disk



 $f(z) = z^2 - (0.39054 + 0.58678i)$

Rotation number $= \varphi$ (i.e., rotates by angle $2\pi\varphi$)

Quadratic Golden Siegel Disk



 $f(z) = z^2 - (0.39054 + 0.58678i)$

Rotation number $= \varphi$ (i.e., rotates by angle $2\pi\varphi$)

Conjecture (L. Carleson, ca. 1990). The distance from the center of rotation to the boundary of the disk is $\frac{1}{4}$ (attained at the critical value).

Our Approach (joint with M. Aspenberg, Lund University)



Try and compute the exact radius of convergence of the conjugating map.

$$\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2} a_n z^n$$

Our Approach (joint with M. Aspenberg, Lund University)



Try and compute the exact radius of convergence of the conjugating map.

$$\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2} a_n z^n \qquad \varphi \circ f = \lambda \cdot \varphi$$

Our Approach (joint with M. Aspenberg, Lund University)



Try and compute the exact radius of convergence of the conjugating map.

$$\varphi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2} a_n z^n \qquad \varphi \circ f = \lambda \cdot \varphi$$

$$a_n = \left(\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda^n}\right) \cdot \sum_{r=n/2}^{n-1} {r \choose n-r} a_r$$
, where $\lambda = \exp(2\pi i \varphi)$.

$$a_n = \left(\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda^n}\right) \cdot \sum_{r=n/2}^{n-1} {r \choose n-r} a_r$$

$$a_n = \left(\frac{\lambda^n}{1-\lambda^n}\right) \cdot \sum_{r=n/2}^{n-1} {r \choose n-r} a_r$$





 Σ descents = 3 + 3 + 5 = 11





$$\sum_{ ext{4-paths}} \lambda^{\Sigma ext{ descents}} = 14 + 4\lambda^2 + 6\lambda^3$$



Here, $14 = C_4$ since Catalan numbers count paths without descents.



Here, $14 = C_4$ since Catalan numbers count paths without descents. Goal: $\sum_{n\text{-paths}} \lambda^{\Sigma \text{ descents}} = O(4^n).$



Here, $14 = C_4$ since Catalan numbers count paths without descents.

$$\textbf{Goal:} \sum_{n \text{-paths}} \lambda^{\Sigma \text{ descents}} = O(4^n).$$

Strategy: Collect paths in Catalan equivalence families and prove that the contribution of each family is bounded.

Treat a path like a Lehmer code.

Treat a path like a Lehmer code. 113231547 encodes the permutation 624857913:

____1_

















Treat a path like a Lehmer code. 113231547 encodes the permutation 624857913:



Then a descent translates into an instance of the pattern 213, where the left and right values are consecutive (a dissent):

Treat a path like a Lehmer code. 113231547 encodes the permutation 624857913:



Then a descent translates into an instance of the pattern 213, where the left and right values are consecutive (a dissent):

 $113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913$

Treat a path like a Lehmer code. 113231547 encodes the permutation 624857913:



Then a descent translates into an instance of the pattern 213, where the left and right values are consecutive (a dissent):

 $113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913$ $113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913$

Treat a path like a Lehmer code. 113231547 encodes the permutation 624857913:



Then a descent translates into an instance of the pattern 213, where the left and right values are consecutive (a dissent):

 $\begin{array}{c} 113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913 \\ 113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913 \\ 113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913 \end{array}$
Treat a path like a Lehmer code. 113231547 encodes the permutation 624857913:



Then a descent translates into an instance of the pattern 213, where the left and right values are consecutive (a dissent):

 $\begin{array}{c} 113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913 \\ 113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913 \\ 113231547 \longrightarrow 624857913 \end{array}$

Notice that paths with no descents translate to permutations with no dissents:

 $111344679 \longrightarrow 324657819$

The two sample paths 113231547, 111344679 in the previous slide were selected because their corresponding permutations have the same binary tree structure:



The two sample paths 113231547, 111344679 in the previous slide were selected because their corresponding permutations have the same binary tree structure:



Each tree equivalence class of permutations contains exactly one representative without dissents, namely the one obtained by labeling vertices in ascending order while traversing the tree in clockwise fashion starting at the root.

The two sample paths 113231547, 111344679 in the previous slide were selected because their corresponding permutations have the same binary tree structure:



Each tree equivalence class of permutations contains exactly one representative without dissents, namely the one obtained by labeling vertices in ascending order while traversing the tree in clockwise fashion starting at the root. This permutation contributes 1 to the coefficient sum;

The two sample paths 113231547, 111344679 in the previous slide were selected because their corresponding permutations have the same binary tree structure:



Each tree equivalence class of permutations contains exactly one representative without dissents, namely the one obtained by labeling vertices in ascending order while traversing the tree in clockwise fashion starting at the root. This permutation contributes 1 to the coefficient sum; while all other permutations contribute a power of λ .

The two sample paths 113231547, 111344679 in the previous slide were selected because their corresponding permutations have the same binary tree structure:



Each tree equivalence class of permutations contains exactly one representative without dissents, namely the one obtained by labeling vertices in ascending order while traversing the tree in clockwise fashion starting at the root. This permutation contributes 1 to the coefficient sum; while all other permutations contribute a power of λ .

Revised Goal. Given a binary tree, collect the contributions of all equivalent permutations, and prove that their sum is bounded.

The two sample paths 113231547, 111344679 in the previous slide were selected because their corresponding permutations have the same binary tree structure:



Each tree equivalence class of permutations contains exactly one representative without dissents, namely the one obtained by labeling vertices in ascending order while traversing the tree in clockwise fashion starting at the root. This permutation contributes 1 to the coefficient sum; while all other permutations contribute a power of λ .

Revised Goal. Given a binary tree, collect the contributions of all equivalent permutations, and prove that their sum is bounded.

Since there are C_n such trees, there are $O(4^n)$ equivalence classes. If each provides a bounded contribution to a_n , the coefficients a_n will have exponential growth 4^n .

Example. The tree below represents 8 permutations:



Example. The tree below represents 8 permutations:



Their dissents are, respectively

Thus the contribution of this tree is $1 + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^3 + 2\lambda^4 + \lambda^6 + \lambda^7$.



Observation. Had we used permutations of $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the true dissents

2	(2,4)	3	(3,4)
4	4	0	3
1	(1,3)	2	(2,3)
3	3	0	2

would become

and the contribution of the tree would be

$$1+\lambda+2\lambda^2+2\lambda^3+\lambda^4+\lambda^5=(1+\lambda+\lambda^2+\lambda^3)(1+\lambda^2)$$



Observation. Had we used permutations of $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the true dissents

2	(2,4)	3	(3,4)
4	4	0	3
1	(1,3)	2	(2,3)
3	3	0	2

would become

and the contribution of the tree would be

$$1+\lambda+2\lambda^2+2\lambda^3+\lambda^4+\lambda^5=(1+\lambda+\lambda^2+\lambda^3)(1+\lambda^2)$$

Enticing, since $(1+\lambda^2)$ is the contribution of the left sub-tree, while $(1+\lambda+\lambda^2+\lambda^3)$ is the Gaussian binomial describing the allocation of values on left/right branches.

Theorem. (P-Aspenberg, '22) If the tree T holds subtrees L, R on its left and right branches, its reduced contribution is

$$\widetilde{P}(T) = P(L) \cdot \widetilde{P}(G) \cdot P(R),$$

where *G* is the unbranched tree with m = |L| vertices on the left branch, and n = |R| vertices on the right. Moreover, this reduced polynomial is Gaussian:

$$\widetilde{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem. (P-Aspenberg, '22) If the tree T holds subtrees L, R on its left and right branches, its reduced contribution is

$$\widetilde{P}(T) = P(L) \cdot \widetilde{P}(G) \cdot P(R),$$

where *G* is the unbranched tree with m = |L| vertices on the left branch, and n = |R| vertices on the right. Moreover, this reduced polynomial is Gaussian:

$$\widetilde{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Guiding idea. Reduction boils down to lowering λ exponents:

Theorem. (P-Aspenberg, '22) If the tree T holds subtrees L, R on its left and right branches, its reduced contribution is

$$\widetilde{P}(T) = P(L) \cdot \widetilde{P}(G) \cdot P(R),$$

where *G* is the unbranched tree with m = |L| vertices on the left branch, and n = |R| vertices on the right. Moreover, this reduced polynomial is Gaussian:

$$\widetilde{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Guiding idea. Reduction boils down to lowering λ exponents:

• A permutation with dissent 2 contributes λ^2 to P(T), but only λ to $\tilde{P}(T)$.

Theorem. (P-Aspenberg, '22) If the tree T holds subtrees L, R on its left and right branches, its reduced contribution is

$$\widetilde{P}(T) = P(L) \cdot \widetilde{P}(G) \cdot P(R),$$

where *G* is the unbranched tree with m = |L| vertices on the left branch, and n = |R| vertices on the right. Moreover, this reduced polynomial is Gaussian:

$$\widetilde{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Guiding idea. Reduction boils down to lowering λ exponents:

- A permutation with dissent 2 contributes λ^2 to P(T), but only λ to $\tilde{P}(T)$.
- A permutation with dissents (2, 4) contributes λ^6 to P(T), but only λ^4 to $\tilde{P}(T)$.

Theorem. (P-Aspenberg, '22) If the tree T holds subtrees L, R on its left and right branches, its reduced contribution is

$$\widetilde{P}(T) = P(L) \cdot \widetilde{P}(G) \cdot P(R),$$

where *G* is the unbranched tree with m = |L| vertices on the left branch, and n = |R| vertices on the right. Moreover, this reduced polynomial is Gaussian:

$$\widetilde{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Guiding idea. Reduction boils down to lowering λ exponents:

- A permutation with dissent 2 contributes λ^2 to P(T), but only λ to $\tilde{P}(T)$.
- A permutation with dissents (2, 4) contributes λ^6 to P(T), but only λ^4 to $\tilde{P}(T)$.

Solution. Use a 2-variable polynomial to keep track of the number of dissents.

Theorem. (P-Aspenberg, '22) If the tree T holds subtrees L, R on its left and right branches, its reduced contribution is

$$\widetilde{P}(T) = P(L) \cdot \widetilde{P}(G) \cdot P(R),$$

where *G* is the unbranched tree with m = |L| vertices on the left branch, and n = |R| vertices on the right. Moreover, this reduced polynomial is Gaussian:

$$\widetilde{P}(G) = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n\\n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Guiding idea. Reduction boils down to lowering λ exponents:

- A permutation with dissent 2 contributes λ^2 to P(T), but only λ to $\tilde{P}(T)$.
- A permutation with dissents (2, 4) contributes λ^6 to P(T), but only λ^4 to $\tilde{P}(T)$. Solution. Use a 2-variable polynomial to keep track of the number of dissents. For our sample tree, dissents at 0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, (1, 3), (2, 3) yield

$$P = 1 + (\lambda + 2\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^3)\mu + (\lambda^4 + \lambda^5)\mu^2$$

Classically, the binomial $\binom{m+n}{m} = \binom{m+n}{n}$ enumerates paths in an $m \times n$ grid, ranking them by area. We find the same distribution for a different statistic.

Classically, the binomial $\binom{m+n}{m} = \binom{m+n}{n}$ enumerates paths in an $m \times n$ grid, ranking them by area. We find the same distribution for a different statistic.

Identify the down/right path with a branched tree that has m vertices on the left and n on the right. Then every corner counts a right-left jump; i.e., a dissent.



Classically, the binomial $\binom{m+n}{m} = \binom{m+n}{n}$ enumerates paths in an $m \times n$ grid, ranking them by area. We find the same distribution for a different statistic.

Identify the down/right path with a branched tree that has m vertices on the left and n on the right. Then every corner counts a right-left jump; i.e., a dissent.



Proposition. $\begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m\end{bmatrix}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum. In this example, the 2-variable polynomial is

$$P_{\lambda,\mu} = 1 + (1 + 2\lambda^2 + \lambda^3)\mu + (\lambda^4)\mu^2.$$

Classically, the binomial $\binom{m+n}{m} = \binom{m+n}{n}$ enumerates paths in an $m \times n$ grid, ranking them by area. We find the same distribution for a different statistic.

Identify the down/right path with a branched tree that has m vertices on the left and n on the right. Then every corner counts a right-left jump; i.e., a dissent.



Proposition. $\begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m\end{bmatrix}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum. In this example, the 2-variable polynomial is

$$P_{\lambda,\mu} = 1 + (1 + 2\lambda^2 + \lambda^3)\mu + (\lambda^4)\mu^2.$$

Substituting $\mu = 1$ yields the reduced version

$$\widetilde{P} = 1 + \lambda + 2\lambda^2 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 4\\2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Classically, the binomial $\binom{m+n}{m} = \binom{m+n}{n}$ enumerates paths in an $m \times n$ grid, ranking them by area. We find the same distribution for a different statistic.

Identify the down/right path with a branched tree that has m vertices on the left and n on the right. Then every corner counts a right-left jump; i.e., a dissent.



Proposition. $\begin{bmatrix} m+n\\m\end{bmatrix}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum. In this example, the 2-variable polynomial is

$$P_{\lambda,\mu} = 1 + (1 + 2\lambda^2 + \lambda^3)\mu + (\lambda^4)\mu^2.$$

Substituting $\mu = 1$ yields the reduced version

$$\widetilde{P} = 1 + \lambda + 2\lambda^2 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^4 = \begin{bmatrix} 4\\2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Substituting $\mu = \lambda$ returns the correct, non-reduced version:

$$P = 1 + \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^3 + \lambda^4 + \lambda^6.$$

Again:

Proposition. $\binom{m+n}{m}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum.

Again:

Proposition. $\binom{m+n}{m}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum.

Restrict to paths with *c* corners:

Again:

Proposition. $\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum.

Restrict to paths with *c* corners:

The sum of horizontal corner coordinates is $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ c \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{c(c+1)/2}$.

Again:

Proposition. $\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum.

Restrict to paths with *c* corners:

The sum of horizontal corner coordinates is $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ c \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{c(c+1)/2}$.

The sum of vertical corner coordinates is $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{c(c-1)/2}$.

Again:

Proposition. $\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum.

Restrict to paths with *c* corners:

The sum of horizontal corner coordinates is $\binom{m}{c} \lambda^{c(c+1)/2}$.

The sum of vertical corner coordinates is $\binom{n}{c}\lambda^{c(c-1)/2}$. Since exponents add to c^2 ,

Again:

Proposition. $\binom{m+n}{m}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum.

Restrict to paths with *c* corners:

The sum of horizontal corner coordinates is $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ c \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{c(c+1)/2}$.

The sum of vertical corner coordinates is $\binom{n}{c}\lambda^{c(c-1)/2}$. Since exponents add to c^2 ,

$$\sum \lambda^{\#\text{corners}} = \sum_{c} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{c^2} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix},$$

as claimed.

Again:

Proposition. $\binom{m+n}{m}$ enumerates paths in the $m \times n$ grid, ranked by corner sum.

Restrict to paths with *c* corners:

The sum of horizontal corner coordinates is $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ c \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{c(c+1)/2}$.

The sum of vertical corner coordinates is $\binom{n}{c}\lambda^{c(c-1)/2}$. Since exponents add to c^2 ,

$$\sum \lambda^{\#\text{corners}} = \sum_{c} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ c \end{bmatrix} \lambda^{c^2} = \begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix},$$

as claimed.

The last equality is a symmetric version of the standard Chu-Vandermonde.

The sub-tree L, hanging from the left branch of T, may hold m and n vertices in its two branches, except...

▶ Vertex labels are shifted by one because of the need to label the root of *T*.

The sub-tree L, hanging from the left branch of T, may hold m and n vertices in its two branches, except...

Vertex labels are shifted by one because of the need to label the root of *T*. This is the source of the reduced/unreduced dichotomy.

- Vertex labels are shifted by one because of the need to label the root of *T*. This is the source of the reduced/unreduced dichotomy.
- L may receive extra dissents directly from the right sub-tree *R*.

- Vertex labels are shifted by one because of the need to label the root of *T*. This is the source of the reduced/unreduced dichotomy.
- L may receive extra dissents directly from the right sub-tree R. These increase the contribution of any given permutation, and the pattern of increase is dictated by a grid ornament.

- Vertex labels are shifted by one because of the need to label the root of *T*. This is the source of the reduced/unreduced dichotomy.
- L may receive extra dissents directly from the right sub-tree R. These increase the contribution of any given permutation, and the pattern of increase is dictated by a grid ornament.


Ornated Grids

The sub-tree L, hanging from the left branch of T, may hold m and n vertices in its two branches, except...

- Vertex labels are shifted by one because of the need to label the root of *T*. This is the source of the reduced/unreduced dichotomy.
- L may receive extra dissents directly from the right sub-tree R. These increase the contribution of any given permutation, and the pattern of increase is dictated by a grid ornament.



Ornated Grids

The sub-tree L, hanging from the left branch of T, may hold m and n vertices in its two branches, except...

- Vertex labels are shifted by one because of the need to label the root of *T*. This is the source of the reduced/unreduced dichotomy.
- L may receive extra dissents directly from the right sub-tree R. These increase the contribution of any given permutation, and the pattern of increase is dictated by a grid ornament.



$$P^{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda,\mu} = (\lambda^3)\mu + (\lambda^4 + 2\lambda^5 + \lambda^6)\mu^2 + (\lambda^7)\mu^3.$$

Ornated Grids

The sub-tree L, hanging from the left branch of T, may hold m and n vertices in its two branches, except...

- Vertex labels are shifted by one because of the need to label the root of *T*. This is the source of the reduced/unreduced dichotomy.
- L may receive extra dissents directly from the right sub-tree R. These increase the contribution of any given permutation, and the pattern of increase is dictated by a grid ornament.



polynomial becomes
$$p^Q = (\lambda^3) = (\lambda^4)$$

$$P^{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda,\mu} = (\lambda^3)\mu + (\lambda^4 + 2\lambda^5 + \lambda^6)\mu^2 + (\lambda^7)\mu^3.$$

Substituting $\mu={\rm 1}$ yields

$$\widetilde{P}^{\mathcal{O}} = \lambda^3 + \lambda^4 + 2\lambda^5 + \lambda^6 + \lambda^7 = \lambda^3 \begin{bmatrix} 4\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Main Result Finally! (no proofs...)

Theorem. (**P**-Aspenberg, '22) For any ornament $\mathcal{O} = (H, V)$ on the $m \times n$ grid, with |H| = d and |V| = r, the generating function of the bi-statistic (CINDEX, CORNERS) over all paths is the polynomial

$$\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{\lambda,\mu}^{\mathcal{O}} = \lambda^{s} \cdot \sum_{c} \begin{bmatrix} m+r-d \\ c-d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n+d-r \\ c-r \end{bmatrix} \cdot \lambda^{(c-d)(c-r)} \cdot \mu^{c}$$

THANK YOU!