

27.7.2012

The birthday conference in honor of Amitai
Regev and Doron Zeilberger

**Conjugacy classes and representations of
Hopf algebras and their Quantum
Doubles**

Miriam Cohen and Sara Westreich

Semisimple Hopf algebras over algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 have an elusive nature. On one hand they resemble finite groups (or group algebras) in many aspects. As a result, many of the techniques in dealing with Hopf algebras try to use methods of group theory and their representation theory, some times with a great deal of success. On the other hand many elementary facts about finite groups are either hard to translate or even false.

A common key feature of groups and Hopf algebras is that the tensor product $V \otimes W$ of two H -module becomes an H -module in a non-trivial way, by using the coalgebra structure of H .

This resemblance is even stronger when (H, R) is **quasitriangular**. In this case,

$$V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V$$

as H -modules via the R -matrix, $R \in H \otimes H$. This is, in a certain sense, the essence of being quasitriangular.

Throughout, $(H, \mu, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)$ is a semisimple (hence finite dimensional) Hopf algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 with multiplication μ , comultiplication Δ ,

$$\Delta : H \rightarrow H \otimes H, \Delta(h) = \sum h_1 \otimes h_2,$$

an antipode $S : H \rightarrow H$ and an augmentation map $\varepsilon : H \rightarrow k$. Its dual

$$(Hom(H, k) = H^*, \Delta^*, \mu^*, S^* = s, \varepsilon^* = 1)$$

is a Hopf algebra (which is also semisimple) as well.

The Drinfeld (or quantum) double of H , $D(H)$ is the tensor product algebra

$$D(H) = H^{*cop} \otimes H$$

with induced canonical Hopf algebra structure. $D(H)$ is always quasitriangular via the image of the identity under the isomorphism

$$Hom_k(H, H) \cong H \otimes H^*.$$

When H is semisimple, so is $D(H)$.

We denote $p \bowtie h \in D(H)$ where $p \in H^*$, $h \in H$.

When applied the double construction to kG with $\{p_g\}_{g \in G}$ (the g -th projection) as a basis of kG^* dual to $\{g\}_{g \in G}$, we have

$$(p_h \bowtie g)(p_{h'} \bowtie g') = \delta_{h, gh'g^{-1}}(p_h \bowtie gg')$$

for all $g, g', h, h' \in G$.

Two module structures play a central role in this context:

1. H is a left H^* -module via the *hit* action \leftarrow defined for all $a \in H$, $p \in H^*$,

$$\langle a \leftarrow p, p' \rangle = \langle a, pp' \rangle$$

2. H is a left H -module via the adjoint action

$$h_{ad}a = \sum h_1 a S h_2$$

The combination of this two actions makes H into a $D(H)$ -module via

$$(p \bowtie h) \bullet a = (h_{ad}a) \leftarrow s(p)$$

If $\rho_V : H \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)$ is a representation of H , then its character χ_V is given by:

$$\chi_V(h) = \text{trace}(\rho_V(h)).$$

The product of two characters is given by:

$$\chi_V \chi_W = \chi_{V \otimes W}$$

The product of characters (that coincides with the product Δ^* of elements in H^*) is an integral sum of irreducible characters of H . As for groups, the character ring is a ring with involution $\chi_V^* = \chi_{V^*}$.

We define the character algebra $R(H)$ to be the subalgebra of H^* generated by the characters of H . It turns out that $R(H)$ is the algebra of all cocommutative elements of H^* , that is $\Delta(x) = \Delta^{cop}(x)$.

The involution coincides with the antipode s . The set of irreducible characters form a basis for $R(H)$.

When H is quasitriangular, then $R(H)$ is **commutative**.

Theorem(Kac-Zhu): $R(H)$ is semisimple.

Let $\{V_0, \dots, V_{n-1}\}$ be a complete set of non-isomorphic irreducible H -modules of dimension d_i . Let $\{E_0, \dots, E_{n-1}\}$ and $\text{Irr}(H) = \{\chi_0, \dots, \chi_{n-1}\}$ be the associated central primitive idempotents and irreducible characters of H respectively, where $E_0 = \Lambda$, the idempotent integral of H and $\chi_0 = \varepsilon$. We have:

$$\lambda = \chi_H = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i \chi_i$$

is an integral for H^* satisfying $\langle \lambda, \Lambda \rangle = 1$.

When $R(H)$ is commutative, it has also a basis of (central) primitive idempotents $\{F^i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ where $F^0 = \frac{1}{d}\lambda$. Define the **conjugacy class** \mathfrak{C}^i as:

$$\mathfrak{C}^i = \Lambda \leftarrow F^i H^*.$$

We have shown that:

Theorem:[CW] \mathfrak{C}^i is an irreducible $D(H)$ -module via the action \bullet . Moreover,

$$H = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{C}^i$$

as a $D(H)$ -module.

We generalize also the notions of **Class sum** and of a representative of a conjugacy class as follows:

$$C^i = \Lambda \lhd dF_i \quad \eta^i = \frac{C^i}{\dim(F_i H^*)}.$$

As for groups $C^i \in Z(H)$.

We refer to η^i as a **normalized class sum**.

We have:

$\{F^i\}$ and $\{\eta^i\}$ are dual bases for $R(H)$ and $Z(H)$ respectively.

These definitions boil down to the usual ones when applied to finite groups G .

Let $H = kG$, a quasitriangular Hopf algebra (with $R = 1 \otimes 1$) and the projections $\{p_g\}$ be a basis for $kG)^*$. We have,

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \quad g \leftharpoonup p_{g'} = \delta_{g,g'} g$$

For $\sigma_i \in G$ we have

$$\mathfrak{C}^i = \mathfrak{C}_{\sigma_i} = \{g\sigma_i g^{-1}\}_{g \in G}$$

The orthogonal idempotents of $R(kG)$ are precisely $\{F^i\}$ where

$$F^i = \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{C}^i} p_g$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \leftharpoonup |G| \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{C}^i} p_g = \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{C}^i} g = C^i$$

and

$$\left\{ \sum_{g \in G} g \leftharpoonup \left(\sum_{g \in \mathfrak{C}^i} p_g \right) p_h \right\}_{h \in G} = \{ \delta_{g,h} g, g \in \mathfrak{C}^i \} = \mathfrak{C}^i.$$

When (H, R) quasitriangular, we have the following Hopf algebras maps:

$$f_R : H^{*cop} \rightarrow H, \quad f_R(p) = \sum \langle p, R^1 \rangle R^2,$$

$$f_R^* = f_{R^\tau} : H^{*op} \mapsto H, \quad f_{R^\tau}(p) = \sum \langle p, R^2 \rangle R^1,$$

and the Drinfeld map, with $Q = R^\tau R$

$$f_Q(p) = (f_{R^\tau} * f_R)(p) = \sum \langle p, R^2 r^1 \rangle R^1 r^2.$$

H is **factorizable** if the map f_Q is a monomorphism. In particular $D(H)$ is always factorizable. We have a Hopf projection

$$\Phi : D(H) \rightarrow H, \quad \Phi(p \bowtie h) = f_R(p)h$$

and its dual Hopf injection

$$\Phi^* : H^* \longrightarrow D(H)^*, \quad \Phi^*(p) = \sum f_{R^\tau}(p_1) \otimes p_2$$

In particular, if V_i is an irreducible H -module with associated character χ_i , then it is also an irreducible $D(H)$ -module with associated character $\Phi^*(\chi_i) \in R(D(H))$.

In order to distinguish between H and $D(H)$, elements of the $D(H)$ -theory will usually appear with $\hat{}$.

For $D(H)$ (in fact for any factorizable Hopf algebra) the following sets have the same cardinality and the same set of indices.

$$\{\hat{\chi}_i\}, \{\hat{F}_i\}, \{\hat{E}_i = f_{\hat{Q}}(\hat{F}_i)\}, \{\hat{\eta}_i = f_{\hat{Q}}(\frac{\hat{\chi}_i}{\hat{d}_i})\}$$

of respectively irreducible characters, primitive idempotents of $R(D(H))$, central primitive idempotents of $D(H)$ and normalized class sums of $D(H)$.

Let $\{F^t\}$ denote the set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of $R(H)$. Since Φ^* is an algebra injection from $R(H)$ to $R(D(H))$ we have that $\Phi^*(F^t) = \hat{F}^t$ is an idempotent in $R(D(H))$. Hence for each primitive idempotent $\hat{F}_i \in R(D(H))$ there exists a unique t so that

$$\hat{F}_i \hat{F}^t = \hat{F}_i, \text{ and } \hat{F}_i \hat{F}^k = 0, k \neq t$$

We may say that \hat{F}_i "belongs" to \hat{F}^t

We define an equivalence relation on $\{\hat{F}_i\}$ by:
Two primitive idempotents are equivalent if they belong to the same idempotent \hat{F}^t . That is:

$$\hat{F}_i \cong \hat{F}_j \iff \hat{F}_i \hat{F}^t = \hat{F}_i \text{ and } \hat{F}_j \hat{F}^t = \hat{F}_j.$$

We denote the equivalence class of indices of idempotents belonging to \hat{F}^t by I_t . We also denote by I_{t^*} the equivalence class related to $s(\hat{F}^t)$.

We show

Lemma: Let $\{\hat{\eta}_j\}$, $\{\eta^t\}$ be the sets of normalized class sums in $D(H)$ and H respectively. Then

$$\Phi(\hat{\eta}_j) = \eta^t \iff j \in I_t.$$

Important elements of I_t

Let $\hat{\chi}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}}$ denote the character of the irreducible $D(H)$ -module \mathfrak{C}^t . That is,

$$\langle \hat{\chi}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}}, p \bowtie h \rangle = \text{Trace}_{(\mathfrak{C}^t, \bullet)}(p \bowtie h).$$

Motivated by Zhu Shenglin et al we prove a key observation.

Theorem: Let \mathfrak{C}^t be a conjugacy class of H and η^t its normalized class sum. Let $\hat{\chi}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}} \in R(D(H))$ be the character of \mathfrak{C}^t as an irreducible $D(H)$ module. Then

$$\Phi_{f_{\hat{Q}}} \left(\frac{S\hat{\chi}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}}}{\dim \mathfrak{C}^t} \right) = \Phi(S\hat{\eta}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}}) = \eta^t.$$

Hence by the previous lemma

$$j_{\mathfrak{C}^t} \in I_t^*$$

Another equivalence relation arises from Nichols-Richmond equivalence relation on characters.

Motivation: Hopf subalgebras of $(kG)^*$ are in 1 – 1 correspondence with normal subgroups of G via the representations of their quotients. Explicitly, each Hopf subalgebra $B \subset (kG)^*$ is of the form $k(G/N)^*$ for some normal group $N \triangleright G$.

Given a normal subgroup N of G , we have a natural equivalence relation on the irreducible characters of G , where each equivalence class consists of all irreducible characters with the same restriction to N (up to scalar multiplication by dimension).

This equivalence relation is expressed in the following Nichols-Richmond equivalence relation on simple subcoalgebras of H^* , with respect to a Hopf subalgebra B .

Let B be a Hopf subalgebra of H^* . Define an equivalence relation on simple subcoalgebra of H^* as follows:

$$B_j \cong B_k \iff B_j \subset BB_k.$$

Denote the equivalence class of B_j by $[B_j]$. Note that $[B_j] = BB_j$.

Note that the Nichols-Richmond equivalence relation yields a decomposition of H^*

$$H^* = \bigoplus_{[B_t]} BB_t$$

where $\{B_t\}$ is a set of representatives of each equivalence class. Since each simple subcoalgebra B_j is generated as a coalgebra by the irreducible character χ_j , we have equivalently,

$$\chi_j \cong_B \chi_k \iff \lambda_B \frac{\chi_j}{d_j} = \lambda_B \frac{\chi_k}{d_k},$$

where λ_B is the integral of the Hopf algebra B .

Back to $D(H)$. Set $\widehat{N} = D(H)^{co\Phi}$, that is

$$\widehat{N} = \{x \in D(H) \mid \sum x_1 \otimes \Phi(x_2) = x \otimes 1\}$$

In fact, this is the Hopf analogue of the group-theory kernels. Set

$$\widehat{B} = f_{\widehat{Q}}^{-1}(\widehat{N}).$$

by [CW], \widehat{B} is a Hopf subalgebra of $D(H)^*$.

Remark: When $T = (R^\tau)^{-1}$, then (H, T) is quasitriangular as well. To avoid ambiguity, we define f_T, Φ_T etc. as for f_R, Φ , etc. We proved:

$$\widehat{B} = \Phi_T^*(H^*)$$

We show,

Proposition For any $\widehat{\chi}_j, \widehat{\chi}_k \in R(D(H))$,

$$j, k \in I_t \iff \widehat{\chi}_j \cong_{\widehat{B}} \widehat{\chi}_k.$$

equivqlently,

$$\widehat{B}_j \cong_{\widehat{B}} \widehat{B}_k \iff j, k \in I_t$$

In particular, we can choose as a representative of each equivalence class the simple subcoalgebra $B_{j_{\mathcal{G}^t}}$.

We can show now our main result:

Main theorem: Let (H, R) be a semisimple quasitriangular Hopf algebra, \mathfrak{C}^t a conjugacy class and $\hat{\chi}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}} \in R(D(H))$ its corresponding irreducible character. Let $\hat{B}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}}$ be the simple subcoalgebra of $D(H)^*$ generated by $\hat{\chi}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}}$. Then:

$$D(H)^* = \bigoplus_t \Phi_T^*(H^*) \hat{B}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}}.$$

Hence, each irreducible character of $D(H)$ is a constituent of

$$\Phi_T^*(\chi_i) \hat{\chi}_{j_{\mathfrak{C}^t}}.$$

for some irreducible H -character χ_i and some conjugacy class \mathfrak{C}^t .

Equivalently, each irreducible $D(H)$ -representation is a direct summand of $V_i \otimes \mathfrak{C}^t$ where V_i is an irreducible H -representation and \mathfrak{C}^t is some conjugacy class.

The special case of 1-dimensional representations of H .

For any Hopf algebra H , if $\eta \in G(H^*)$ (that is, η is an algebra homomorphism in $\text{Hom}(H, k)$) and (M, \cdot) is an H -module, then we have a modified action of H on M given by

$$h_{\dot{\eta}} m = (\eta \rightharpoonup h) \cdot m = \sum \langle \eta, h_2 \rangle h_1 \cdot m$$

If (M, \cdot) is an irreducible H -module, so is $(M, \dot{\eta})$. Moreover, any 1-dimension representation of H is isomorphic to $k\Lambda^{\eta^{-1}} = k(\Lambda \leftarrow \eta^{-1})$ where $\eta \in G(H^*)$ and so, for all $h \in H$,

$$h\Lambda^{\eta^{-1}} = \langle \eta, h \rangle \Lambda^{\eta^{-1}}.$$

Consider $(f_R(\eta^{-1}) \otimes \eta) \in G(D(H)^*)$ [Radford], then the action \bullet of $D(H)$ on \mathfrak{C}^s , gives rise to the modified $(f_R(\eta^{-1}) \otimes \eta)$ -action of $D(H)$ on \mathfrak{C}^s , which we denote by \bullet_{η} . Explicitly,

$$(p \bowtie h)_{\bullet_{\eta}} c = ((\eta \rightharpoonup h)_{ad} c) \leftarrow s(p \leftarrow f_R(\eta^{-1})).$$

Note that when $H = kG$ then $R = 1 \otimes 1$, hence the action \bullet_{η} of H^* on \mathfrak{C}^s boils down to \bullet .

Based on the above, we obtain a family of irreducible $D(H)$ -modules that are modifications of \mathfrak{C}^s on one hand and have the form $V_i \otimes \mathfrak{C}^s$ on the other.

Proposition: Let $\eta \in G(H^*)$, then

$$H\Lambda^{\eta^{-1}} \otimes \mathfrak{C}^s \cong (\mathfrak{C}^s, \bullet_{\eta})$$

as $D(H)$ -modules. In particular,

$$k\Lambda \otimes \mathfrak{C}^s \cong \mathfrak{C}_s.$$

Remark If $\eta \neq \eta'$ then $\Lambda^\eta \neq \Lambda^{\eta'}$, yet, $H\Lambda^\eta \otimes \mathfrak{C}^s$ and $H\Lambda^{\eta'} \otimes \mathfrak{C}^s$ may be isomorphic as $D(H)$ -modules.

A common way to construct $D(kG)$ modules is known (e.g. [Di, Ma, Gou]). We present below our translated form.

Given a conjugacy classe \mathfrak{C}^σ and an irreducible representations M^σ of its centralizer $Z_G(\mathfrak{C}^\sigma)$, an irreducible $D(kG)$ -representation V^σ is constructed as:

$$V^\sigma = kG \otimes_{kZ_G(\sigma)} M^\sigma.$$

The action of $D(kG)$ on V^σ is given by:

$$h \bullet_{D(kG)} (a \otimes_{(kZ_G(\sigma), \cdot)} m) = ha \otimes_{(kZ_G(\sigma), \cdot)} m$$

$$p \bullet_{D(kG)} (a \otimes_{(kZ_G(\sigma), \cdot)} m) = \langle s(p), a_1 \sigma S a_3 \rangle a_2 \otimes_{(kZ_G(\sigma), \cdot)} m.$$

Example - Representations of $D(kS_3)$: In the following example we compare the Hopf approach and the common $D(kG)$ approach for the irreducible representations of $D(kS_3)$.

Set $x = (1, 2)$ and $y = (1, 2, 3)$.

So $x^2 = 1$, $y^3 = 1$ and $xy = y^2x$.

Conjugacy classes of S_3 are given by:

$$\mathfrak{C}_1 = \{1\} \quad \mathfrak{C}_x = \{x, yx, y^2x\} \quad \mathfrak{C}_y = \{y, y^2\}$$

Their centralizers are given by:

$$Z_G(1) = S_3 \quad Z_G(x) = \{1, x\} \quad Z_G(y) = \{1, y, y^2\}$$

The irreducible representations of kS_3 are:

$$V_1 = (k, \epsilon) \cong k\Lambda \quad V_2 = (k, \text{sgn}) \cong k\Lambda^{\text{sgn}}$$

$$V_3 = He_\omega = sp_k\{e_\omega, xe_\omega\}$$

where ω a third root of unity and

$$e_\omega = \frac{1}{3}(1 + \omega y + \omega^2 y^2)$$

The irreducible representations of $D(kS_3)$ are constructed as follows. From \mathfrak{C}_1 with centralizer kS_3 , we obtain 3 irreducible representations of $D(kS_3)$, which are actually the 3 irreducible representations of S_3 .

1. $M_1 = kS_3 \otimes_{kS_3} V_1 = V_1$
2. $M_2 = kS_3 \otimes_{kS_3} V_2 = V_2$
3. $M_3 = kS_3 \otimes_{kS_3} V_3 = V_3$

From \mathfrak{C}_x with centralizer $Z_G(x) = \{1, x\}$, we obtain 2 irreducible representation of $D(kS_3)$:

$$4. M_4 = kS_3 \otimes_{(kZ_G(x), \dot{\epsilon})} k \cong (\mathfrak{C}_x, \bullet).$$

That is, M_4 is the module obtained from the trivial representation $(k, \dot{\epsilon})$, of $kZ_G(x)$.

$$5. M_5 = kS_3 \otimes_{(kZ_G(x), sgn)} k \cong (\mathfrak{C}_x, \bullet_{sgn}).$$

That is, M_5 is the module obtained from the sign representation (k, sgn) of $kZ_G(x)$. Note that $sgn \in G(kS_3)^*$ and so $(\mathfrak{C}_x, \bullet_{sgn})$ is a modified action of $D(kS_3)$ on \mathfrak{C}_x .

From \mathfrak{C}_y with centralizer $Z_G(y) = \{1, y, y^2\}$, we obtain 3 irreducible representations of $D(kS_3)$,

$$6. M_6 = kS_3 \underset{(Z_G(y), \dot{\epsilon})}{\otimes} k \cong (\mathfrak{C}_y, \bullet)$$

That is, M_6 is the module obtained from the trivial representation $(k, \dot{\epsilon})$, of $kZ_G(y)$. Now,

$$7. M_7 = kS_3 \underset{(Z_G(y), \dot{\omega})}{\otimes} k$$

That is, M_7 is the module obtained from the $(k, \dot{\omega})$ representation of $kZ_G(y)$,

$$y\dot{\omega}\alpha = \omega\alpha, \alpha \in k.$$

Finally,

$$8. M_8 = kS_3 \underset{(Z_G(y), \dot{\omega}^2)}{\otimes} k.$$

That is, M_8 is the module obtained from the $(k, \dot{\omega}^2)$ representation of $kZ_G(y)$, given by:

$$y\dot{\omega}^2\alpha = \omega^2\alpha, \alpha \in k.$$

The Hopf decomposition described in the main theorem into the irreducible $D(kS_3)$ -representations, is given in the following:

1. $\mathfrak{C}_x \otimes V_1 = \mathfrak{C}_x \cong M_4$
2. $\mathfrak{C}_x \otimes V_2 = (\mathfrak{C}_x, \text{sgn}) \cong M_5$
3. $\mathfrak{C}_x \otimes V_3 \cong M_4 \oplus M_5$
4. $\mathfrak{C}_y \otimes V_1 = \mathfrak{C}_y \otimes V_2 \cong \mathfrak{C}_y \cong M_6$
5. $\mathfrak{C}_y \otimes V_3 \cong M_7 \oplus M_8$

Note that, while $\mathfrak{C}_x \otimes V_3$ is a direct sum of already known deformations of \mathfrak{C}_x , this is no longer true for $\mathfrak{C}_y \otimes V_3$.

NOT NECESSARILY FOR THIS LECTURER

Let $\sigma \in kG$, $\eta \in G((kG)^*)$.

Set $\bar{\eta} = \eta|_{kZ_G(\sigma)} \in G(kZ_G(\sigma)^*)$. Let \bar{M} be a $kZ_G(\sigma)$ -module. Denote by $\dot{\bar{\eta}}$ the modified $kZ_G(\sigma)$ -module action on \bar{M}

$$y_{\dot{\bar{\eta}}} \bar{m} = (\eta \rightharpoonup y) \cdot \bar{m}$$

for $\bar{m} \in \bar{M}$, $y \in kZ_G(\sigma)$.

Proposition: Let $\sigma \in kG$, $\eta \in G((kG)^*)$. Then

$$kG \otimes_{(kZ_G(\sigma), \dot{\bar{\eta}})} k \cong (\mathfrak{C}_{\sigma, \bullet})_{\eta}$$

as $D(kG)$ -modules.

Corollary:

$$kG \otimes_{(kZ_G(\sigma), \dot{\bar{\eta}})} k \cong (\mathfrak{C}_{\sigma, \bullet})_{\eta} \cong \mathfrak{C}_s \otimes k\Lambda^{\eta^{-1}}$$

Remark:

If $\eta, \eta' \in kG^*$, $\eta \neq \eta'$, but $\eta|_{kZ_G(\sigma)} = \eta'|_{kZ_G(\sigma)}$, then the modules $\mathfrak{C}_s \otimes k\Lambda^{\eta^{-1}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_s \otimes k\Lambda^{\eta'^{-1}}$ are isomorphic .