

RESEARCH ARTICLE | SEPTEMBER 01 1962

## Proof of a Conjecture by Dyson

Kenneth G. Wilson



*J. Math. Phys.* 3, 1040–1043 (1962)

<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1724291>



### AIP Advances

#### Why Publish With Us?

-  **21DAYS**  
average time to 1st decision
-  **OVER 4 MILLION**  
views in the last year
-  **INCLUSIVE**  
scope

[Learn More](#)



### Proof of a Conjecture by Dyson

KENNETH G. WILSON\*  
 Lyman Laboratory of Physics,  
 Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts  
 (Received April 27, 1962)

We prove a mathematical conjecture by Dyson which he used in a study of the statistical distribution of energy levels in complex nuclei.

THE purpose of this paper is to prove a theorem that was proposed by Dyson in a recent paper.<sup>1,2</sup> This theorem (conjecture C of reference 1) may be stated as follows:

Let  $z_1, \dots, z_N$  be a set of  $N$  complex variables and  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  a set of  $N$  positive integers ( $\geq 0$ ). Let  $y_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$  be

$$y_j = \prod_{k \neq j} \left(1 - \frac{z_j}{z_k}\right). \tag{1}$$

Let

$$P(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \prod_i (y_i)^{a_i}, \tag{2}$$

which we shall write  $P(z)$  for convenience.  $P$  can be expanded in positive and negative powers of the  $z_i$ ; we are interested in the constant term  $F$  given by

$$F = (2\pi i)^{-N} \int z_1^{-1} dz_1 \dots \int z_N^{-1} dz_N P(z), \tag{3}$$

the contours being the unit circle taken counterclockwise.

*Theorem:*

$$F = \left(\sum_i a_i\right)! \left\{\prod_i a_i!\right\}^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

The proof depends on three lemmas, which will be stated now and proved later.

Define

$$u_i = (y_i)^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

We compute  $F$  by making a change of variable from  $z_1, \dots, z_{N-1}$  to  $u_2, \dots, u_N$ . Lemma 1 will state that this is possible. We note that because  $P$  is homogeneous in the  $z$ 's, the integration over  $z_N$  becomes trivial after the other integrations have

been performed, and that because the  $u$ 's are homogeneous in the  $z$ 's, only  $N - 1$  are independent, which is why we make  $N - 1$  instead of  $N$  changes of variable.

*Lemma 1:*

$$F = (2\pi i)^{-N+1} \int u_2^{-1} du_2 \dots \int u_N^{-1} du_N \times [J(z)]^{-1} P(z) \tag{6}$$

where  $J$  is the Jacobian

$$J(z) = \frac{\partial(\ln u_2, \dots, \ln u_N)}{\partial(\ln z_1, \dots, \ln z_{N-1})} \tag{7}$$

and the  $z$ 's are expressed in terms of the  $u$ 's by Eq. (5). The paths of integration are the circles

$$|u_i| = R_i \tag{8}$$

taken  $i - 1$  times counterclockwise, where the  $R_i$  are arbitrary except that they satisfy

$$R_{i+1} \ll R_i \ll 1 : 2 \leq i \leq N - 1. \tag{9}$$

Lemmas 2 and 3 will show that  $J$  and  $P$  are single-valued functions of the  $u$ 's so we do not have to specify the branch of the solution of Eq. (5).

*Lemma 2:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N u_i = 1. \tag{10}$$

This is an identity in the  $z$ 's, when the  $u_i$  are regarded as functions of the  $z$ 's through Eq. (5).

*Lemma 3:*

$$J(z) = (N - 1)! u_1. \tag{11}$$

See the note for Lemma 2.

As a result of these lemmas,

$$F = \frac{(2\pi i)^{-N+1}}{(N - 1)!} \int du_2 \dots \int du_N \prod_{i=1}^N (u_i)^{-a_i-1}, \tag{12}$$

with  $u_1$  given by Lemma 2. These integrals are elementary, but to save space we use a shortcut.

\* Junior Fellow, Society of Fellows, Harvard University, now at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

<sup>1</sup> F. J. Dyson, *J. Math. Phys.*, **3**, 140, 157, 166 (1962), this theorem has also been proved independently by J. Gunson, *J. Math. Phys.* (to be published).

<sup>2</sup> Conjectures A, B, and D of reference 1 are shown there to reduce to conjecture C; thus they will not be discussed here.

First we note that

$$(2\pi i)^{-1} \int u^{-n-1} e^u du = 1/n! \tag{13}$$

if the contour encloses the origin counterclockwise. Secondly, if  $F(\lambda)$  is defined by Eq. (12), but with

$$u_1 = \lambda - \sum_{i=2}^N u_i, \tag{14}$$

where  $\lambda$  is on the unit circle, then by making a change of variable to  $u'_i = u_i \lambda$  we see that

$$F(\lambda) = \lambda^{-a-1} F, \tag{15}$$

where

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i. \tag{16}$$

Hence, by Eq. (13),

$$F = (2\pi i)^{-1} a! \int e^\lambda F(\lambda) d\lambda. \tag{17}$$

Interchanging the order of integration so that we integrate over  $\lambda$  with  $u_2, \dots, u_N$  held fixed, we must evaluate the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int e^\lambda \left\{ \lambda - \sum_{i=2}^N u_i \right\}^{-a_1-1} d\lambda = \frac{1}{a_1!} e^{u_2 + \dots + u_N} \tag{18}$$

[by Eqs. (8) and (9), the pole at  $\lambda = u_2 + \dots + u_N$  lies inside the unit circle].

Thus,

$$F = \frac{a!}{(2\pi i)^{N-1} (N-1)! a_1!} \int du_2 \dots \int du_N \times \prod_{i=2}^N e^{u_i} u_i^{-a_i-1} = \frac{a!}{a_1! \dots a_N!} \tag{19}$$

[the factor  $(N-1)!$  is exactly compensated by the requirement of Lemma 1 that  $u_i$  execute a circle  $j-1$  times].

Now we prove Lemmas 1-3. We start by stating another lemma. Lemmas 2-4 have probably all occurred in other work<sup>3</sup> but it is easier to prove them than to locate them in the literature.

*Lemma 4:*

Let  $G(x_1, \dots, x_M)$  be a function of  $M$  variables such that

1.  $G$  is a symmetric function of  $x_1, \dots, x_M$ ,
2.  $G$  is a ratio of two polynomials in the  $x$ 's,
3.  $G$  is homogeneous of degree 0 in the  $x$ 's,
4. The denominator of  $G$  is  $\prod_{j < k} (x_j - x_k)$ .

<sup>3</sup> Lemma 2 appears in the theory of Lagrangian interpolation; see F. Hildebrand, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1956), p. 61, Eq. (3.2.5) with  $x = 0$ .

Then  $G$  is a constant. This is because, since the denominator changes sign when we interchange the values of any pair  $x_j, x_k$ , the numerator must also change sign under this exchange; thus, the numerator vanishes when  $x_j = x_k$ . Hence the numerator has  $x_j - x_k$  as a factor (for any  $j$  and  $k$ ), e.g., it has the entire denominator as a factor; thus  $G$  is a polynomial. Since it is of degree 0, it must be a constant.

*Proof of Lemma 2:*

Since  $\sum_i u_i$  considered as a function of the  $z$ 's satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4, it is constant. Putting  $z_1 = 0$  we obtain  $u_1 = 1, u_i = 0 (j > 1)$  so the constant is 1.

*Proof of Lemma 3:*

The Jacobian  $J$  is the determinant of the matrix

$$J_{ij} = \partial \ln u_i / \partial \ln z_j \tag{20}$$

(rows numbered  $i = 2$  to  $N$ , columns  $j = 1$  to  $N-1$ ). Without changing the value of the determinant we may add columns  $j = 2$  through  $N-1$  to column 1; since  $\ln u_i$  is homogeneous in the  $z$ 's we now have

$$J_{i1} = -\partial \ln u_i / \partial \ln z_N. \tag{21}$$

Move this column to the right, calling it  $J_{iN}$ ; thus,

$$J = (-1)^{N-2} \det |J_{ij}|, \tag{22}$$

where  $2 \leq i \leq N, 2 \leq j \leq N$ .

Now,

$$J_{ij} = -z_i(z_j - z_i)^{-1} \quad (i \neq j), \tag{23}$$

$$J_{ii} = \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{z_i}{z_k - z_i} \tag{24}$$

Evidently  $J$  is the ratio of two polynomials in the  $z$ 's the denominator being a product of factors  $z_j - z_i$ . No such factor occurs twice; for a denominator  $z_j - z_i$  occurs only in the elements  $J_{ii}, J_{ij}, J_{ji}$ , and  $J_{ji}$  so that a term  $(z_j - z_i)^2$  occurs in the denominator of  $J$  only if it occurs in the  $2 \times 2$  determinant  $J_{ii}J_{jj} - J_{ij}J_{ji}$ . However the term in  $J_{ii}J_{jj}$  containing the factor  $(z_j - z_i)^{-2}$  cancels the corresponding term in  $J_{ij}J_{ji}$ . Furthermore,  $J$  has a factor  $(z_2 z_3 \dots z_N)$ , it is symmetric in  $z_2$  through  $z_N$  (but not in  $z_1$ ), and it is homogeneous of degree 0 in the  $z$ 's. Using the argument of Lemma 4, we must have

$$J = C \prod_{k=2}^N z_k (z_k - z_1)^{-1} = C u_1, \tag{25}$$

where  $C$  is a constant. Since Eq. (4) is known to

be true if all the  $a_i$  are 0 we shall have to have  $C = (N - 1)!$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1:*

We shall prove Lemma 1 by introducing the new variables one at a time. For convenience we shall first change variables to  $t_j$  ( $2 \leq j \leq N$ ), where

$$(t_j)^{-j+1} = y_j. \tag{26}$$

Before making this change we change the paths of integration in Eq. (3) to be the circles

$$|z_j| = r_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq N), \tag{27}$$

where

$$r_j \ll r_{j+1} \quad (1 \leq j \leq N - 1). \tag{28}$$

We now use mathematical induction. Suppose the following propositions are true for  $m < n$ :

1. Equation (26) can be solved for  $2 \leq j \leq m + 1$  to give  $z_1$  through  $z_m$  as functions of  $t_2, \dots, t_{m+1}, z_{m+1}, \dots, z_N$ . We define functions  $\omega_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  by

$$z_j = z_{j+1} t_{j+1} (t_j)^{-(j-1)/j} e^{(i\pi/j)} \times \omega_j(t_2, \dots, t_{j+1}, z_{j+1}, \dots, z_N), \tag{29}$$

where we define  $t_1 = 1$  and  $0 \leq \arg t_j \leq 2\pi$ . The solution can be chosen so that  $\omega_j \approx 1$  in the region of interest. More specifically,  $\omega_j$  is analytic as a function of  $t_{j+1}$  and  $z_{j+1}$  to  $z_N$ , and satisfies

$$|\omega_j - 1| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \tag{30}$$

when

$$|t_k| = R_k \quad (2 \leq k \leq j), \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}R_{j+1} < |t_{j+1}| < 2R_{j+1}, \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{1}{4}(1 + \epsilon)^k r_k < |z_k| < 4(1 - \epsilon)^k r_k \quad (j + 1 \leq k \leq N), \tag{33}$$

where

$$R_j = (r_1 r_2 \dots r_{j-1})^{1/(j-1)} r_j^{-1} \tag{34}$$

and  $\epsilon$  is a fixed number  $\ll 1$ .<sup>4</sup>

2.

$$F = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^N} \int dt_2 \dots \int dt_{m+1} \int \frac{dz_N}{z_N} \dots \int \frac{dz_{m+1}}{z_{m+1}} \times \left\{ \frac{dt_2}{dz_1} \frac{dt_3}{dz_2} \dots \frac{dt_{m+1}}{dz_m} \right\}^{-1} \{z_1 z_2 \dots z_m\}^{-1} P(z), \tag{35}$$

where the integrations are carried out from right to left (e.g.,  $z_{m+1}$  first, holding the other  $z$ 's and  $t$ 's

<sup>4</sup> To be precise, we should choose a sufficiently small value for  $\epsilon$ , then choose the  $r_i$  with  $r_{i+1}/r_i$  sufficiently small.

fixed). The contours are the circles  $|z_k| = r_k$ ,  $|t_k| = R_k$ . The symbol  $dt_{k+1}/dz_k$  stands for the partial derivative  $\partial t_{k+1}/\partial z_k$  when  $t_2, \dots, t_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_N$  are held fixed.

We now prove these propositions for  $m = n$ . First, we must examine the dependence of  $t_{n+1}$  on  $z_n$ , when  $t_2, \dots, t_n, z_{n+1}, \dots, z_N$  are held fixed;  $z_1$  to  $z_{n-1}$  are functions of these variables and  $z_n$  [through Eq. (26)], and satisfy the restrictions of proposition 1. We obtain

$$t_{n+1}(t_n)^{-(n-1)/n} = (y_n/y_{n+1})^{1/n} = (-1)^{1/n} z_n(z_{n+1})^{-1} g(z), \tag{36}$$

where

$$g(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{z_j}{z_n}\right)^{1/n} \left(1 - \frac{z_j}{z_{n+1}}\right)^{-1/n} \times \prod_{j=n+2}^N \left(1 - \frac{z_n}{z_j}\right)^{1/n} \left(1 - \frac{z_{n+1}}{z_j}\right)^{-1/n}. \tag{37}$$

If  $t_2, \dots, t_n$  and  $z_n, \dots, z_N$  satisfy the inequalities (31) and (33), one finds using Eqs. (29), (30), and (34) that Eq. (33) is satisfied also by  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}$ . Thus (if the ratios  $r_i/r_{i+1}$  are sufficiently small) we may define the  $n$ th roots in  $g(z)$  by requiring

$$|g(z) - 1| < \frac{1}{4}\epsilon; \tag{38}$$

the other  $n$ th roots are defined as in Eq. (29).

Now consider the equation  $x = t_{n+1}(z_n)$  for values of  $x$  such that  $\frac{1}{2}R_{n+1} < |x| < 2R_{n+1}$ . This does not differ very much from the equation

$$x - (t_n)^{(n-1)/n} (-1)^{1/n} z_n(z_{n+1})^{-1} = 0, \tag{39}$$

which has a unique root  $z_n$  for a given  $x$ .

Now consider the functions

$$f(z_n) = x - t_{n+1}(z_n), \tag{40}$$

$$g(z_n) = x - (t_n)^{(n-1)/n} (-1)^{1/n} z_n(z_{n+1})^{-1},$$

where  $\frac{1}{2}R_{n+1} < |x| < 2R_{n+1}$ . On the circles  $|z_n| = r_n/3$  and  $|z_n| = 3r_n$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are almost equal so that

$$|g(z_n)[f(z_n)]^{-1} - 1| < 1. \tag{41}$$

Since  $g(z_n)$  has a single root between the two circles,  $f(z_n)$  does also, by Rouché's theorem.<sup>5</sup> From the explicit formula for the inverse function<sup>5</sup>

$$z_n(t_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int [t_{n+1}(z_n) - t_{n+1}]^{-1} \frac{dt_{n+1}}{dz_n} z_n dz_n, \tag{42}$$

where the contour is taken on the two circles, we

<sup>5</sup> L. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1953), p. 124.

see that the inverse function is analytic in  $t_{n+1}$  for  $\frac{1}{2}R_{n+1} < |t_{n+1}| < 2R_n$ . Since  $t_{n+1}(z_n)$  is analytic in  $z_{n+1}, \dots, z_N$ , the inverse function is also. Since  $\omega_n = 1/g(z)$ , it satisfies the inequality (30).

This proves proposition 1 for  $m = n$ . We now change variables in Eq. (35) (with  $m = n - 1$ ) from  $z_n$  to  $t_{n+1}$ ; the path of  $t_{n+1}$  is almost the circle  $|t_{n+1}| = R_{n+1}$ ; we change it to be exactly this circle and then interchange the order of integration with the remaining  $z$ 's. This proves proposition 2 for  $m = n$ . To complete the proof of propositions 1 and 2 they must be proved for  $m = 1$ ; with some changes the above procedure can be used.

Now consider Eq. (35) with  $m = N - 1$ . The

expression  $I = dt_2/dz_1 \dots, dt_N/dz_{N-1}$  is the Jacobian<sup>6</sup>

$$\frac{\partial(t_2, \dots, t_N)}{\partial(z_1, \dots, z_{N-1})};$$

by Lemma 3,

$$I = (t_2 \dots t_N)(z_1 \dots z_{N-1})^{-1}u_1^{-1}. \quad (43)$$

Since  $u_1$  is a function only of the  $t$ 's, by Lemma 2, the integral over  $z_N$  is trivial. Changing variables from  $t_n$  to  $u_n = t_n^{n-1}$  we obtain Lemma 1 [Eq. (6)].

I am indebted to Dr. Paul Federbush for suggesting this problem.

<sup>6</sup>R. Courant, *Differential and Integral Calculus*, (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1936), Vol. II, pp. 247-256.