



The American Mathematical Monthly

ISSN: 0002-9890 (Print) 1930-0972 (Online) Journal homepage: maa.tandfonline.com/journals/uamm20

On Subprime Recurrences

Vadim Ponomarenko, Sam Rhinehart, Lara Schwarz & Jessie Tong

To cite this article: Vadim Ponomarenko, Sam Rhinehart, Lara Schwarz & Jessie Tong (2026) On Subprime Recurrences, The American Mathematical Monthly, 133:3, 275-280, DOI: [10.1080/00029890.2025.2594388](https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.2025.2594388)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.2025.2594388>



Published online: 09 Jan 2026.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 104



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

On Subprime Recurrences

Vadim Ponomarenko , Sam Rhinehart, Lara Schwarz,
and Jessie Tong

Abstract. Mathematical legend John Conway invented a sequence that combines elements of Fibonacci numbers and the Collatz problem: after adding the previous two elements, we divide that sum by its least prime factor (but only if that sum is composite). This leads to some very interesting structure, which has yet to receive the widespread attention it deserves. We generalize from Fibonacci numbers to general second order recurrences, and prove a variety of results for such sequences.

The Fibonacci sequence $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ (with $F_0 = 0, F_1 = 1$) is everybody's favorite recurrence (see, e.g., [1–3]). John Conway invented an interesting variation, the subprime Fibonacci sequence. We include an operation after adding the previous two terms: if the sum is composite, it is divided by its least (positive) prime factor. We will use \mathbb{P} to denote the set of positive primes, $\text{lpf}(x) = \min\{p \in \mathbb{P} : p|x\}$ for the least positive prime factor of x , and \mathbb{X} for the set of composites $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : |n| \geq 2 \text{ and } \exists a, b \in \mathbb{P}, ab|n\}$. Now we have

$$a_n = \frac{a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}}{B(a_{n-1} + a_{n-2})},$$

where

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} \text{lpf}(x) & x \in \mathbb{X} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Conventionally we set $\text{lpf}(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$, so $B(x) = \text{lpf}(x)$ unless x is prime.

It turns out the subprime Fibonacci sequence ends in a cycle of length 18. More generally, we can define the Conway subprime function on \mathbb{Z} via

$$C(n) = \frac{n}{B(n)},$$

with which the Conway subprime Fibonacci sequence becomes $a_n = C(a_{n-1} + a_{n-2})$.

This family of subprime sequences (with various initial conditions) has interesting structure, combining elements of Fibonacci sequences with elements of the famous Collatz ($3x + 1$) problem. Like with the Collatz problem, long-term behavior remains unknown in general, although substantial progress was made in [4]. Also, a group of undergraduate students generalized this to the subprime Tribonacci recurrence in [5]. They were able to classify cycles of length 2, and prove a variety of results for cycles of other lengths. Very few other papers have appeared even close to this area, e.g., [6] (which uses not $C(n)$ but rather a similar function) and [7] (which uses $C(n)$ to build a subset of \mathbb{N} rather than a sequence).

We propose to generalize to arbitrary first- and second-order recurrences on \mathbb{Z} . This leads to many beautiful sequences and a wealth of interesting and accessible questions.

doi.org/10.1080/00029890.2025.2594388

MSC: 40-02

In particular, we consider the problem of fixing $a_0, a_1, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and looking at the subprime sequence $a_n = C(c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2})$ (for $n \geq 2$). Here the special case of $c_1 = c_2 = 1$ gives the original subprime Fibonacci sequence.

An important step to understanding these sequences is to look at end conditions, such as cycles. For fixed c_1, c_2 , we call $[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ a *cycle* or *n-cycle* if the sequence beginning with $a_0 = x_0, a_1 = x_1$ satisfies $a_i = x_{i \pmod n}$ for all $i \geq 0$. In particular, we say that $[x_0]$ is a 1-cycle if $a_0 = a_1 = x_0$ leads to the constant sequence $a_i = x_0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Note that $[0]$ (or $[0, 0]$, etc.) is always a 1-cycle, regardless of c_1, c_2 ; we call any such cycle trivial. For convenience, let \mathbb{Z}^* denote the set of nonzero integers.

We begin by considering cycles of the form $[x]$ and $[x, -x]$.

Theorem 1. *The subprime sequence for any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ has a 1-cycle $[x]$ exactly when:*

1. $c_1 + c_2 \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\text{lpf}(x) \geq c_1 + c_2$; or
2. $c_1 + c_2 = 1$ and $x \notin \mathbb{X}$.

Proof. A nontrivial 1-cycle $[x]$ arises exactly when $x = C(c_1 x + c_2 x) = x \frac{c_1 + c_2}{B(x(c_1 + c_2))}$, i.e., exactly when $c_1 + c_2 = B(x(c_1 + c_2))$. In particular, $c_1 + c_2 \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{1\}$. If $c_1 + c_2 \in \mathbb{P}$, then x must have a prime factor (else $B(x(c_1 + c_2)) = 1$), and in fact $\text{lpf}(x) \geq c_1 + c_2$ (else $B(x(c_1 + c_2)) = \text{lpf}(x)$). If instead $c_1 + c_2 = 1$, then $B(x) = 1$, so $x \notin \mathbb{X}$. ■

A similar result holds for the class of 2-cycles $[x, -x]$.

Theorem 2. *The subprime sequence for any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ has a nontrivial 2-cycle $[x, -x]$ exactly when:*

1. $c_2 - c_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\text{lpf}(x) \geq c_2 - c_1$; or
2. $c_2 - c_1 = 1$ and $x \notin \mathbb{X}$.

Proof. A nontrivial 2-cycle $[x, -x]$ arises exactly when $x = C(-c_1 x + c_2 x) = x \frac{-c_1 + c_2}{B(x(-c_1 + c_2))}$ (and also $-x = C(c_1 x - c_2 x) = -x \frac{c_1 - c_2}{B(x(c_1 - c_2))}$, which is algebraically equivalent). This occurs exactly when $c_2 - c_1 = B(x(c_2 - c_1))$. In particular, $c_2 - c_1 \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{1\}$. If $c_2 - c_1 \in \mathbb{P}$, then x must have a prime factor (else $B(x(-c_1 + c_2)) = 1$), and in fact $\text{lpf}(x) \geq c_2 - c_1$ (else $B(x(-c_1 + c_2)) = \text{lpf}(x)$). If instead $c_2 - c_1 = 1$, then $B(x) = 1$, so $x \notin \mathbb{X}$. ■

It turns out that the first order special case (i.e., $a_n = C(c_1 a_{n-1})$ for $n \geq 1$) is completely characterized by these simple cycles. Note that if $c_1 = 0$ we immediately fall into the trivial cycle $[0]$.

Theorem 3. *Consider the first order subprime sequence for any $c_1, a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. Then its long-term behavior is determined by c_1 , as follows:*

1. If $c_1 \notin \mathbb{X}$ and $c_1 > 0$, then the sequence will fall into a 1-cycle.
2. If $c_1 \notin \mathbb{X}$ and $c_1 < 0$, then the sequence will fall into some $[x, -x]$ cycle.
3. If $c_1 \in \mathbb{X}$, then the sequence will diverge.

Proof. First consider $c_1 = 1$. If $a_0 \notin \mathbb{X}$, then the 1-cycle is immediate by Theorem 1 (taking $c_2 = 0$). Otherwise, $a_n = \frac{a_{n-1}}{B(a_{n-1})}$, so each successive term in the sequence loses the smallest prime factor of the preceding term. This continues until all that is left is (\pm) the largest prime factor of a_0 , and then the 1-cycle begins.

Now consider $c_1 \in \mathbb{P}$. If $\text{lpf}(a_0) \geq c_1$, then again the 1-cycle is immediate. Otherwise, suppose a_0 has j prime factors (counted with multiplicity) strictly less than c_1 . Now $a_1 = \frac{c_1 a_0}{B(c_1 a_0)} = c_1 \frac{a_0}{\text{lpf}(a_0)}$, so a_1 now has $j - 1$ prime factors strictly less than c_1 . Continuing in this way we see that $a_j = c_1^j a'_0$, where a'_0 is just a_0 with its j smallest prime factors removed. Now $\text{lpf}(a'_0) \geq c_1$, so the 1-cycle begins at this point.

Next consider $c_1 = -1$. If $a_0 \notin \mathbb{X}$, then the 2-cycle is immediate by Theorem 2 (taking $c_2 = 0$). Otherwise, $a_n = \frac{-a_{n-1}}{B(-a_{n-1})}$, so each successive term in the sequence alternates sign, and loses the smallest prime factor of the preceding term. This continues until all that is left is (\pm) the largest prime factor of a_0 , and then the 2-cycle begins.

Now consider $-c_1 \in \mathbb{P}$. If $\text{lpf}(a_0) \geq -c_1$, then again the 2-cycle is immediate. Otherwise, suppose a_0 has j prime factors (counted with multiplicity) strictly less than c_1 . Now $a_1 = \frac{c_1 a_0}{B(c_1 a_0)} = c_1 \frac{a_0}{\text{lpf}(a_0)}$, so a_1 now has $j - 1$ prime factors strictly less than c_1 . Continuing in this way we see that $a_j = c_1^j a'_0$, where a'_0 is just a_0 with its j smallest prime factors removed. Now $\text{lpf}(a'_0) \geq -c_1$, so the 2-cycle begins at this point.

Lastly, suppose $c_1 \in \mathbb{X}$. Now we have

$$|a_n| = \left| \frac{c_1 a_{n-1}}{B(c_1 a_{n-1})} \right| \geq \left| \frac{c_1}{\text{lpf}(c_1)} a_{n-1} \right| \geq 2|a_{n-1}|.$$

Hence $|a_n| \geq 2^n |a_0| \geq 2^n$. ■

Note that if $c_1 = 0$, then the second order sequence is really two interwoven first order sequences: $a_{2n} = C(c_2 a_{2n-2})$ and $a_{2n+1} = C(c_2 a_{2n-1})$, which are each fully explained by Theorem 3. Henceforth we restrict to the case of $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. We now continue our study of 2-cycles $[x, y]$ by restricting to $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ via the following.

Lemma 4. *Suppose the subprime sequence for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ and some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ has a nontrivial 2-cycle $[x, y]$. Then $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^*$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $y = 0$. Then $0 = y = C(c_1 x + c_2 y) = \frac{c_1 x}{B(c_1 x)}$ and hence $c_1 x = 0$. Since $c_1 \neq 0$ by hypothesis, we must have $x = 0$, so the 2-cycle is trivial. The case $x = 0$ is similar. ■

We now offer a characterization of nontrivial 2-cycles.

Theorem 5. *The subprime sequence for some $c_1, c_2, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ has a nontrivial $[x, y]$ 2-cycle, if and only if*

1. $B(c_1 y + c_2 x) = c_1 \frac{y}{x} + c_2$; and
2. $B(c_1 x + c_2 y) = c_1 \frac{x}{y} + c_2$.

Proof. Set $b_1 = B(c_1 x + c_2 y)$, $b_2 = B(c_1 y + c_2 x)$ for convenience. Suppose now that we have a $[x, y]$ cycle. Then $x = C(c_1 y + c_2 x) = \frac{c_1 y + c_2 x}{b_2}$ and $y = C(c_1 x + c_2 y) = \frac{c_1 x + c_2 y}{b_1}$. These rearrange to $b_2 = \frac{c_1 y + c_2 x}{x}$ and $b_1 = \frac{c_1 x + c_2 y}{y}$, respectively. ■

Theorem 5 admits various corollaries.

Corollary 6. *If the subprime sequence for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ admits a nontrivial $[x, y]$ cycle, then it also admits the cycle $[kx, ky]$, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with*

$$\text{lpf}(k) \geq \max\left(c_1 \frac{y}{x} + c_2, c_1 \frac{x}{y} + c_2\right).$$

These 2-cycles have some interesting number theoretic properties.

Corollary 7. *Suppose the subprime sequence for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ admits a nontrivial $[x, y]$ cycle with $y \neq -x$. Set $x' = \frac{x}{\gcd(x,y)}$, $y' = \frac{y}{\gcd(x,y)}$. The following must hold:*

1. Both $c_1 \frac{y}{x} + c_2$ and $c_1 \frac{x}{y} + c_2$ lie in $\mathbb{P} \cup \{1\}$, and are not equal; and
2. If $c_1 \frac{y}{x} + c_2 \in \mathbb{P}$, then $\text{lpf}(x) \geq c_1 \frac{y}{x} + c_2$ (otherwise $x \notin \mathbb{X}$); and
3. If $c_1 \frac{x}{y} + c_2 \in \mathbb{P}$, then $\text{lpf}(y) \geq c_1 \frac{x}{y} + c_2$ (otherwise $y \notin \mathbb{X}$); and
4. $\frac{xy}{\gcd(x,y)^2} = \frac{\text{lcm}(x,y)}{\gcd(x,y)} = x'y'$ divides c_1 ; and
5. $|x'| \leq |c_1|$ and $|y'| \leq |c_1|$; and
6. $c_2 > 0$; and
7. $c_1^2 = (c_2 - B(c_1y + c_2x))(c_2 - B(c_1x + c_2y))$.

Proof. (1) If $c_1 \frac{y}{x} + c_2 = c_1 \frac{x}{y} + c_2$, then $\frac{y}{x} = \frac{x}{y}$. Hence $x = \pm y$.

(6) $c_2 \geq 0$ follows from combining $\frac{1}{c_1} \leq \frac{x}{y} \leq c_1$ with $c_1 \frac{y}{x} + c_2 \geq 1$. ■

Corollary 8. *Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, and set $S = \{c_2 - n : n \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{1\}\}$. If S does not contain two distinct elements whose product is c_1^2 , then the subprime sequence with c_1, c_2 admits no nontrivial 2-cycles.*

Proof. Corollary 7(7). ■

For example, with $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 3$, we have $S = \{2, 1, 0, -2, -4, \dots\}$. No two distinct elements of S have product $4 = c_1^2$. Indeed, nontrivial 2-cycles seem rather rare due to these many conditions, but once one is found in a sequence there are infinitely many others due to Corollary 6.

We now demonstrate infinitely many examples of 2-cycles that are neither trivial nor simple.

Proposition 9. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ with $a + b \in \mathbb{P}$. Let $c, x \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ with $ac^2 + b \in \mathbb{P}$, $\text{lpf}(c) \geq a + b$, and $\text{lpf}(x) \geq \max(a + b, ac^2 + b)$. Then the subprime sequence with $c_1 = ac, c_2 = b$ admits 2-cycle $[x, cx]$.*

Proof. We calculate $B(c_1y + c_2x) = B(ac(cx) + bx) = B(x(ac^2 + b)) = ac^2 + b = c_1 \frac{y}{x} + c_2$ and $B(c_1x + c_2y) = B(acx + b(cx)) = B(xc(a + b)) = a + b = c_1 \frac{x}{y} + c_2$. ■

For example, we could take $a = b = 1, c = x = 2$ in Proposition 9, which gives cycle $[2, 4]$ in subprime sequence $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 1$.

All of the 2-cycles we found have the structure from Theorem 2 and Proposition 9, and we believe there are no others. This conjecture is supported by our computation of various examples.

Conjecture 10. *If the subprime sequence for some $c_1, c_2, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ has a 2-cycle $[x, y]$, then one of x, y must divide the other.*

Our analysis of the first order case shows that if a cycle arises in a subprime sequence, it need not do so immediately. However, this is not true for the trivial cycle $[0]$. We show that if it does not begin immediately with $a_0 = a_1 = 0$, it never does.

Proposition 11. *Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, and let $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, not both zero. Then this subprime sequence will not fall into the trivial cycle $[0]$.*

Proof. Suppose otherwise; let n be minimal with $a_n = a_{n+1} = 0$, so $a_{n-1} \neq 0$. Now $a_{n+1} = C(c_1a_n + c_2a_{n-1}) = C(c_2a_{n-1}) \neq 0$, a contradiction. ■

There is much more to learn about cycles. For example, take the subprime sequence with $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 5, a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1$. Its terms get as big as 10932575866748112593 (which is a prime and approximately 1.09×10^{19}), but at $n = 133581$ it falls into a cycle of length 37790.

We close with some families of sequences free from cycles.

Theorem 12. *Let $c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(c_1, c_2) > 1$. Then this subprime sequence diverges.*

Proof. Set $k = \text{lpf}(\gcd(c_1, c_2))$, $c'_1 = \frac{c_1}{\gcd(c_1, c_2)}$, and $c'_2 = \frac{c_2}{\gcd(c_1, c_2)}$. We have

$$a_n = \frac{c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2}}{B(c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2})} \geq \frac{\gcd(c_1, c_2)}{k} (c'_1 a_{n-1} + c'_2 a_{n-2}) \geq c'_1 a_{n-1} + c'_2 a_{n-2}.$$

Hence $a_n \geq a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$ and $a_0, a_1 \geq 1$. Hence the non-subprime recurrence with c'_1, c'_2, a_0, a_1 is bigger than the Fibonacci sequence F_n (easily proved by induction). Consequently $a_n \geq F_n$, which diverges. ■

Theorem 12 can be immediately generalized to allow $c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ with $c_1 c_2 > 0$ and $a_0 a_1 > 0$. More complicated are the cases with mixed signs, which sometimes give sequences that alternate sign (for a while?). There is more to learn here. Our final result shows a familiar sequence that is also a subprime sequence.

Theorem 13. *Let $c_1, a_0, k \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, and set $a_1 = a_0 k$. Choose $p \in \mathbb{P}$ with $p \leq \text{lpf}(a_1)$, and set $c_2 = pk^2 - c_1 k$. This gives a subprime sequence that is also geometric, namely $a_n = k^n a_0$.*

Proof. By strong induction, assume $a_{n-1} = k^{n-1} a_0$ and $a_{n-2} = k^{n-2} a_0$. Now $a_n = C(c_1 a_{n-1} + c_2 a_{n-2}) = C(pk^n a_0) = \frac{pk^n a_0}{B(pk^n a_0)} = \frac{pk^n a_0}{p} = k^n a_0$. ■

Apart from Conjecture 10, we offer some additional problems for future investigation.

Conjecture 14. *For arbitrary $N > 0$, there are choices of c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 whose subprime sequence falls into a cycle of length at least N .*

Conjecture 15. *For arbitrary $N > 0$, there are choices of c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 whose subprime sequence falls into a cycle of length exactly N .*

Problem 16. *Given c_1, c_2, a_0, a_1 , compute sharp bounds for the maximal/minimal growth rate of the associated subprime sequence.*

Problem 17. *Determine the long-term behavior of subprime sequences for special choices of c_1, c_2 that are analogous to “famous” sequences, such as Fibonacci (as in [4]), Pell, Jacobsthal, Mersenne, etc.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT. No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

REFERENCES

- [1] Javaheri M, Cambrea S. The distribution of Fibonacci numbers modulo primes. Amer Math Monthly. 2022;129(1):75–79. doi: 10.1080/00029890.2022.1993733

- [2] Miles EP Jr. Generalized Fibonacci numbers and associated matrices. *Amer Math Monthly*. 1960;67:745–752. doi: 10.2307/2308649
- [3] Wall DD. Fibonacci series modulo m . *Amer Math Monthly*. 1960;67:525–532. doi: 10.2307/2309169
- [4] Guy RK, Khovanova T, Salazar J. Conway’s subprime Fibonacci sequences. *Math Mag*. 2014;87(5):323–337. doi: 10.4169/math.mag.87.5.323
- [5] Barrows S, Noye E, Uttormark S, Wright M. Three’s a crowd: an exploration of subprime tribonacci sequences. *Coll Math J*. 2023;54(5):464–475. doi: 10.1080/07468342.2023.2263109
- [6] Alm JF, Herald T. A note on prime Fibonacci sequences. *Fibonacci Q*. 2016;54(1):55–58.
- [7] Caragiu M, Vicol PA, Zaki M. On Conway’s subprime function, a covering of \mathbb{N} and an unexpected appearance of the golden ratio. *Fibonacci Q*. 2017;55(4):327–331.

VADIM PONOMARENKO *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Dr., San Diego, CA 92182-7720*
vponomarenko@sdsu.edu

SAM RHINEHART *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Dr., San Diego, CA 92182-7720*
srhinehart@ucdavis.edu

LARA SCHWARZ *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Dr., San Diego, CA 92182-7720*
lschwarz3174@sdsu.edu

JESSIE TONG *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, San Diego State University, 5500 Campanile Dr., San Diego, CA 92182-7720*
jtong7286@sdsu.edu