The Reluctance of a Sequence

Guest Lecture, Math 640, Rutgers, May 2 2024

- Neil J. A. Sloane,
- Chairman, OEIS Foundation,
- Visiting Scholar, Rutgers University

- Recamán's sequence A5132
- Minimized Recamán A125717
- **Definition of reluctance function**
- **EKG A064413**
- Cup of Coffee sequence A280864
- Cald's sequence A6509

Outline

Recamán's Sequence



A5132

Recamán's Sequence

Bernardo Recamán Santos, 1991

- Subtract or add: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ... No negative terms, no repeats (except when adding)
- 0, 1, 3, 6, 2, 7, 13, 20, 12, 21, 11, ...
 - 1 2 3 -4 5 6 7 -8 9 -10 ...

A5132





Edmund Harriss,

First 62 terms drawn as a spiral







Recamán's Sequence (5)



The Big Question: Does every number appear?

- After 10^15 terms, $852655 = 5 \times 31 \times 5501$ was missing (Allan Wilks, 2001)
 - After 10^230 terms, 852655 is still missing (Ben Chaffin, 2018)
- 30 years ago I believed that every number would eventually appear. Today I think that there are infinitely many missing terms, and 852655 just got lucky and is the first of many.
 - Why is 852655 so reluctant to appear? Can we define "reluctance"?

Minimized Recamán Sequence

A125717

Leroy Quet, 2007

Minimized Recamán Sequence (Leroy Quet, 2007) A125717



a(0)=0; a(n) = min m >= 0 s.t. m is new and m == a(n-1) mod n

A 125717 Minimized Recamon When slowpst an=min m 20 s.t. new & 90=0. = ann moden M ens Towent Which . A125717 N 9n action -2 Z inverse 2510 4100 A 370 [[8] A 370 D SMn A 372057 dont aller unt n å(n) action A370 958 -(-1 -10, 6, 1518 50121 82924 704 751 1518 32 58 30 184 28 OK inverse A245340 Azzogsi Whigh

A370959 vs A370956



Reluctance Function for a sequence a(n) believed to be a permutation of positive integers

Let b(n) = inverse permutation to a(n),

compute h(n) = record high-points in b(n),

and w(n) = indices of these high-points in b(n)

Then w(n) are numbers that take a record number of steps to appear in a(n), and h(n) = how long it takes fotw(n) to appear in a(n).

If h(n) = f(w(n)), we call y = f(x) the reluctance function.



The EKG Sequence

Jonathan Ayres, 2001

A064413

EKG Sequence (A64413) 1, 2, 4, 6, 3, 9, 12, 8, 10, 5, 15, ...

- a(1)=1, a(2)=2, $a(n) = \min k \text{ such that}$
 - GCD { a(n-1), k } > 1
- Jonathan Ayres, 2001
- Analyzed by Lagarias, Rains, NJAS, Exper. Math., 2002
- Gordon Hamilton, Videos related to this sequence:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yd2jr30K2R4&feature=youtu.be

• k not already in sequence

http://m.youtube.com/watch?v=Y2KhEW9CSOA







EKG cont. A64413

Question: Does every number appear?

High school student: That's obvious!

Me: I don't think so!

The EKG sequence (cont) A64413 **Theorem: Every positive number appears**

Proof:

There are several steps. (i) Sequence is infinite (easy). (ii) Let T(m) = n such that a(n)=m, or -1 if m is missing from sequence. Let W(m) = max T(i), i <= m. Then if n > W(m), a(n) > m.

(iii) Let p = prime. Exists n such that $p \mid a(n)$. If not, no prime q > p can divide any term either, because if a(n) = qk then pk would be a smaller choice. So all terms are products just of primes < p. Choose $n>W(p^2)$, say a(n) = qk, for prime q < p, so $qk > p^2$. Then $pk < p^2 < qk$ was a smaller candidate for a(n), contradiction.

(iv) When p first divides a(n), say a(n) = kp, then k is a prime < p. If k = 2 we have a(n)=2p, a(n+1)=p. Otherwise we have a(n)=kp, a(n)=p, a(n+1)=2p. Either way we see adjacent terms p and 2p.

Proof (continued)

(v) If for some prime p there are infinitely many multiples of p, then all multiples of p are in the sequence.
If not, let kp = smallest missing multiple of p.
Find n >W(kp) with a(n) = mp. Then kp < mp was a smaller candidate for a(n), a contradiction.

(vi) If for some prime p all multiples of p are in the sequence then all numbers appear. For suppose k is smallest missing number.
Find n > W(k) such that a(n) is multiple of kp. Then k was smaller candidate for a(n), contradiction.

(vii) By (iii) and (iv) we see infinitely many multiples of 2, and by (v) and (vi) we see all numbers.

QED

The three worst non-proofs

in order of increasing badness

It's obvious It's true for the first 10000 terms Here is the proof ... [and it's wrong]

When you write your paper proving that the long-standing Gauss PQR conjecture is true, start off by describing the previous attempts at proof, and where they failed and then explain how your proof is better

EKG Reluctance A064413 230 2 2 0 0 3 8 2 3 8 4 3 AGUUIS M SENG 13 2 2 4 Q 3 9 15 8 12 10 5 AGACZINVErse 1403 5 DEG 8 14 20 0 13 28 37 5 33 43 28 **<**A064955 57 14 20. 10 -1× when? 6 29 3 2× sowest 5 23 8578 13 7 [] 17 **Open Problem** smn 3 12.105 what are these RA 137847 heavy line slope = 2 numbers?? when Reluctance: 4 = 2x conj 5 primes 10 in inverse R+1 high point k log h 2 PR abent hen nder us Consistent with "Conjecture: if a(n) = p then $a(n) \sim \frac{n}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{3\log n})$ "



The "Cup of Coffee" Sequence

A280864

Rémy Sigrist, 2017



A280864 **REMY SIGRIST'S SEQUENCE** LES of positive integers such that if a prime p divides a(n) then p divides a(n-1) or a(n+1) but not both



REMY SIGRIST'S SEQUENCE (cont.)

- Conjecture: This is a permutation of the positive integers.

 - I can prove:
 - every prime appears
 - every even number appears - infinitely many odd multiples of any odd prime p - every number appears iff every square appears

But I cannot prove that every odd number appears

A280864

Reluctance of Cup-of-Coffee Sequence A280864

<u>A372059</u> vs <u>A372058</u>



A372059 Missing **Conjecture: 1, 25, and all primes**

A372058 When?

Conjecture: Reluctance function is y = 2x**Essentially same reluctance** as EKG sequence

Other LES (Lexicographically Earliest Sequences)

Yellowstone Permutation, Enots Wolley.

but there are very few proofs.

- There are a great many! Tetris A109812,
- Grant Olson, Two-Up, Cald's Sequence, Ali Sada, etc
- Many are conjectured to be permutations of positive integers,

An inconclusive example A252867

(Set-theory analog of Yellowstone permuation A098550)







Another inconclusive example

A109812

The Tetris sequence

Set-theory analog of natural numbers!

What is the reluctance function?



<u>A352336</u> vs <u>A352359</u>

Cald's Sequence A6509

Jnl. Rec. Math., 1974

Francis Cald's Seguence A 6509 J. Rec Moth 1974 a(i) = 1 $a(n+i) = a_n - prime(n) \quad if > 0 \\ k ne$ $a(n+i) = a_n + prime(n) \quad if ne$ a(n+i) = 0Missing terms? A 111339 5, 7, 8, 9, (0, 13, ..., What are these numbers?Zeros at A 112877: 117, 199, ...



Cald's Sequence

Can't define reluctance in same way, because don't know inverse function.

I offer \$250 for first proof that **5** is missing from Cald's sequence

