

1. Out of 20 rolls, only 4 times it was not exactly the same in the 8th and 9th decimal place. But overall out of 20, the numbers were similar.
2. Out of 20 trials, 14 trials the numbers of 1 and 3 were the same, while 6 times in the 20 trials they were not the same.
3. Out of 20 trials, 14 trials the numbers of 1 and 3 were not the same, while 6 times out of 20 trials were the same.

$f := RR([z[1], z[2]], 10); T := RecToTs(2, z, f); SSg(T, [z[1], z[2]]); SSSg(T, [z[1], z[2]]);$
 $OrbA(2, z, f, [5., 8.], 2000, 2010)[-1];$

$$f := \frac{4 + 3z_1 + 5z_2}{3 + 10z_1 + 9z_2}$$

$$T := \left[\frac{4 + 3z_1 + 5z_2}{3 + 10z_1 + 9z_2}, z_1 \right], [z_1, z_2]$$

$$[[0.6089041355, 0.6089041355]]$$

$$\{[0.6089041355, 0.6089041355]\}$$

$$0.6089041356$$

- 4.
5. Out of 20 trials, 18 were the same and there were 2 trials where the numbers were not the same.
6. 5/20 trials had a steady stable state.
7. 22/50 had no set for number 3. While 47/100 also did not have a term.