

- Out of 20 rolls, only 4 times it was not exactly the same in the 8th and 9th decimal place. But overall out of 20, the numbers were similar.
- Out of 20 trials, 14 trials the numbers of 1 and 3 were the same, while 6 times in the 20 trials they were not the same.
- Out of 20 trials, 14 trials the numbers of 1 and 3 were not the same, while 6 times out of 20 trials were the same.

» $f := RR([z[1], z[2]], 10); T := RecToTs(2, z, f); SSg(T, [z[1], z[2]]); SSSg(T, [z[1], z[2]]); Orb(2, z, f, [5., 8.], 2000, 2010)[-1];$

$$f := \frac{4 + 3z_1 + 5z_2}{3 + 10z_1 + 9z_2}$$

$$T := \left[\frac{4 + 3z_1 + 5z_2}{3 + 10z_1 + 9z_2}, z_1 \right], [z_1, z_2]$$

$$[[0.6089041355, 0.6089041355]]$$

$$\{[0.6089041355, 0.6089041355]\}$$

$$0.6089041356$$

4.

- Out of 20 trials, 18 were the same and there were 2 trials where the numbers were not the same.
- 5/20 trials had a steady stable state.
- 22/50 had no set for number 3. While 47/100 also did not have a term.