Associative algebras for (logarithmic) twisted modules for a vertex operator algebra

Yi-Zhi Huang and Jinwei Yang

February 12, 2016

Lie Group / Quantum Math Seminar, Rutgers University

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

Outline



- 2 Twisted modules
- 3 Associative algebras for g-twisted modules
- 4 Functors between module categories

Outline





3 Associative algebras for g-twisted modules







Associative algebras for g-twisted modules

Functors between module categories

・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ 白・ シック・







Associative algebras for g-twisted modules



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

- Orbifold conformal field theories play an important role in mathematics. The moonshine module constructed by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman is the first example of orbifold conformal field theories. The mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau manifolds was studied first in physics using the conjectures on the existence of the Calabi-Yau superconformal field theories and on the construction of orbifold conformal field theories.
- Conjecture [H.]: Let V be a vertex operator algebra satisfying suitable conditions and G a group of automorphisms of G. Then twisted intertwining operators among irreducible g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G form a twisted intertwining operator algebra satisfying the modular invariance property.

- Orbifold conformal field theories play an important role in mathematics. The moonshine module constructed by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman is the first example of orbifold conformal field theories. The mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau manifolds was studied first in physics using the conjectures on the existence of the Calabi-Yau superconformal field theories and on the construction of orbifold conformal field theories.
- Conjecture [H.]: Let V be a vertex operator algebra satisfying suitable conditions and G a group of automorphisms of G. Then twisted intertwining operators among irreducible g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G form a twisted intertwining operator algebra satisfying the modular invariance property.

- Orbifold conformal field theories play an important role in mathematics. The moonshine module constructed by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman is the first example of orbifold conformal field theories. The mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau manifolds was studied first in physics using the conjectures on the existence of the Calabi-Yau superconformal field theories and on the construction of orbifold conformal field theories.
- Conjecture [H.]: Let V be a vertex operator algebra satisfying suitable conditions and G a group of automorphisms of G. Then twisted intertwining operators among irreducible g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G form a twisted intertwining operator algebra satisfying the modular invariance property.

- Conjecture [Kirillov, H.]: Let V and G be as above. Then the category of g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G is a G-crossed category in the sense of Turaev.
- The first step: Construct and study twisted modules.
- Explicit examples: Twisted vertex operators. Modules for twisted affine Lie algebras.

- Conjecture [Kirillov, H.]: Let V and G be as above. Then the category of g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G is a G-crossed category in the sense of Turaev.
- The first step: Construct and study twisted modules.
- Explicit examples: Twisted vertex operators. Modules for twisted affine Lie algebras.

- Conjecture [Kirillov, H.]: Let V and G be as above. Then the category of g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G is a G-crossed category in the sense of Turaev.
- The first step: Construct and study twisted modules.
- Explicit examples: Twisted vertex operators. Modules for twisted affine Lie algebras.

- Data: $V = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}, Y_V : V \otimes V \to V((x)), 1 \in V_{(0)}$ (the
- The main axioms: The Jacobi identity: For $u, v \in V$,

$$x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_V(u, x_1) Y_V(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Y_V(v, x_2) Y_V(u, x_1) = x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y_V(Y_V(u, x_0)v, x_2)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

• Data: $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}, Y_V : V \otimes V \to V((x)), \mathbf{1} \in V_{(0)}$ (the vacuum), $\omega \in V_{(2)}$ (the conformal element).

• The main axioms: The Jacobi identity: For $u, v \in V$,

$$x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_V(u, x_1) Y_V(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Y_V(v, x_2) Y_V(u, x_1) = x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y_V(Y_V(u, x_0)v, x_2)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- Data: $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}, Y_V : V \otimes V \to V((x)), \mathbf{1} \in V_{(0)}$ (the vacuum), $\omega \in V_{(2)}$ (the conformal element).
- The main axioms: The Jacobi identity: For $u, v \in V$,

$$x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_V(u, x_1) Y_V(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Y_V(v, x_2) Y_V(u, x_1) = x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y_V(Y_V(u, x_0)v, x_2)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- Data: $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}, Y_V : V \otimes V \to V((x)), \mathbf{1} \in V_{(0)}$ (the vacuum), $\omega \in V_{(2)}$ (the conformal element).
- The main axioms: The Jacobi identity: For $u, v \in V$,

$$x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_V(u, x_1) Y_V(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Y_V(v, x_2) Y_V(u, x_1) = x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y_V(Y_V(u, x_0)v, x_2)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- Data: $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}, Y_V : V \otimes V \to V((x)), \mathbf{1} \in V_{(0)}$ (the vacuum), $\omega \in V_{(2)}$ (the conformal element).
- The main axioms: The Jacobi identity: For $u, v \in V$,

$$x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_V(u, x_1) Y_V(v, x_2) -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Y_V(v, x_2) Y_V(u, x_1) = x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y_V(Y_V(u, x_0)v, x_2)$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Vertex operator algebras

• Or, equivalently, the duality property: For $u_1, u_2, v \in V$ and $v' \in V' \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V^*_{(n)},$

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle v', \, Y_V(u_1, z_1) \, Y_V(u_2, z_2) v \rangle, \\ \langle v', \, Y_V(u_2, z_2) \, Y_V(u_1, z_1) v \rangle, \\ \langle v', \, Y_V(Y_V(u_1, z_1 - z_2) u_2, z_2) v \rangle \end{array}$$

$$\frac{g(z_1, z_2)}{z_1^m z_2^n (z_1 - z_2)^t}$$

Vertex operator algebras

• Or, equivalently, the duality property: For $u_1, u_2, v \in V$ and $v' \in V' \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}^*$

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle v', \, Y_V(u_1, z_1) \, Y_V(u_2, z_2) v \rangle, \\ \langle v', \, Y_V(u_2, z_2) \, Y_V(u_1, z_1) v \rangle, \\ \langle v', \, Y_V(Y_V(u_1, z_1 - z_2) u_2, z_2) v \rangle \end{array}$$

$$\frac{g(z_1, z_2)}{z_1^m z_2^n (z_1 - z_2)^t}$$

• Or, equivalently, the duality property: For $u_1, u_2, v \in V$ and $v' \in V' \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V^*_{(n)}$,

$$\langle v', Y_V(u_1, z_1) Y_V(u_2, z_2) v \rangle, \ \langle v', Y_V(u_2, z_2) Y_V(u_1, z_1) v \rangle, \ \langle v', Y_V(Y_V(u_1, z_1 - z_2) u_2, z_2) v \rangle$$

are absolutely convergent in the regions $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$, respectively, to a common rational function of the form

$$\frac{g(z_1,z_2)}{z_1^m z_2^n (z_1-z_2)^t}$$

for a polynomial $g(z_1, z_2), m, n, t \in \mathbb{N}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

- Let V be a vertex operator algebra. An automorphism of V is an invertible linear map g : V → V preserving the grading, the vacuum and the conformal element, and satisfying the condition gY_V(u, x)v = Y_V(gu, x)gv.
- Examples: An element of the Monster group gives an automorphism of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra V^t. Such an automorphism is of finite order.
- An element of a simply connected finite-dimensional Lie group gives an automorphism of the vertex operator algebra associated to the affine Lie algebra of the Lie algebra of the Lie group. Such an automorphism is in general of infinite order. Moreover, in general it might not act on the vertex operator algebra semisimply.

- Let V be a vertex operator algebra. An automorphism of V is an invertible linear map g : V → V preserving the grading, the vacuum and the conformal element, and satisfying the condition gY_V(u, x)v = Y_V(gu, x)gv.
- Examples: An element of the Monster group gives an automorphism of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra V^t. Such an automorphism is of finite order.
- An element of a simply connected finite-dimensional Lie group gives an automorphism of the vertex operator algebra associated to the affine Lie algebra of the Lie algebra of the Lie group. Such an automorphism is in general of infinite order. Moreover, in general it might not act on the vertex operator algebra semisimply.

- Let V be a vertex operator algebra. An automorphism of V is an invertible linear map g : V → V preserving the grading, the vacuum and the conformal element, and satisfying the condition gY_V(u, x)v = Y_V(gu, x)gv.
- Examples: An element of the Monster group gives an automorphism of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra V¹. Such an automorphism is of finite order.
- An element of a simply connected finite-dimensional Lie group gives an automorphism of the vertex operator algebra associated to the affine Lie algebra of the Lie algebra of the Lie group. Such an automorphism is in general of infinite order. Moreover, in general it might not act on the vertex operator algebra semisimply.

- Let V be a vertex operator algebra. An automorphism of V is an invertible linear map g : V → V preserving the grading, the vacuum and the conformal element, and satisfying the condition gY_V(u, x)v = Y_V(gu, x)gv.
- Examples: An element of the Monster group gives an automorphism of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra V¹. Such an automorphism is of finite order.
- An element of a simply connected finite-dimensional Lie group gives an automorphism of the vertex operator algebra associated to the affine Lie algebra of the Lie algebra of the Lie group. Such an automorphism is in general of infinite order. Moreover, in general it might not act on the vertex operator algebra semisimply.

- Let *V* be a vertex operator algebra and *g* an automorphism of *V*.
- Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman and Lepowsky, mid 1980's : *g*-twisted modules when *g* is of finite order.
- H., 2009: *g*-twisted modules in the general case. Important new feature: The twisted vertex operators might involve the logarithm of the variable (logarithmic *g*-twisted module).
- Data for a (grading-restricted generalized) *g*-twisted
 V-module: *W* = ∐_{n∈C,α∈C/Z} *W*^[α]_[n],
 Y_W : *V* ⊗ *W* → *W*{*x*}[log*x*].

- Let *V* be a vertex operator algebra and *g* an automorphism of *V*.
- Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman and Lepowsky, mid 1980's : *g*-twisted modules when *g* is of finite order.
- H., 2009: *g*-twisted modules in the general case. Important new feature: The twisted vertex operators might involve the logarithm of the variable (logarithmic *g*-twisted module).
- Data for a (grading-restricted generalized) *g*-twisted *V*-module: $W = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} W_{[n]}^{[\alpha]},$ $Y_W : V \otimes W \mapsto W\{x\}[\log x].$

- Let *V* be a vertex operator algebra and *g* an automorphism of *V*.
- Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman and Lepowsky, mid 1980's : *g*-twisted modules when *g* is of finite order.
- H., 2009: *g*-twisted modules in the general case. Important new feature: The twisted vertex operators might involve the logarithm of the variable (logarithmic *g*-twisted module).
- Data for a (grading-restricted generalized) *g*-twisted *V*-module: $W = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} W_{[n]}^{[\alpha]}$, $Y_W : V \otimes W \mapsto W\{x\}[\log x]$.

- Let V be a vertex operator algebra and g an automorphism of V.
- Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman and Lepowsky, mid 1980's : *a*-twisted modules when *a* is of finite order.
- H., 2009: g-twisted modules in the general case. Important new feature: The twisted vertex operators might involve the logarithm of the variable (logarithmic g-twisted module).
- Data for a (grading-restricted generalized) g-twisted *V*-module: $W = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} W_{[n]}^{[\alpha]}$,

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Let V be a vertex operator algebra and g an automorphism of V.
- Frenkel-Lepowsky-Meurman and Lepowsky, mid 1980's : *a*-twisted modules when *a* is of finite order.
- H., 2009: g-twisted modules in the general case. Important new feature: The twisted vertex operators might involve the logarithm of the variable (logarithmic g-twisted module).
- Data for a (grading-restricted generalized) g-twisted V-module: $W = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} W_{[n]}^{[\alpha]}$, $Y_W: V \otimes W \mapsto W\{x\}[\log x].$

• Main axioms:

• The equivariance property: For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, $Y^{g;p+1}(gv, z)w = Y^{g;p}(v, z)w$, where for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $Y^{g;p}(v, z)w = Y^{g}(v, x)w|_{x^n = e^{n|p(z)}, \log x = l_{p(z)}}$,

• The duality property: For $u, v \in V$, $w \in W$ and $w' \in W'$, there exist $a_{ijkl} \in \mathbb{C}$, $m_i, n_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the series

are absolutely convergent in the regions $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$, respectively, to

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=0}^{N} a_{ijkl} e^{m_i l_p(z_1)} e^{n_j l_p(z_2)} l_p(z_1)^k l_p(z_2)^l (z_1 - z_2)^{-l}$$

- Main axioms:
 - The equivariance property: For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, $Y^{g;p+1}(gv, z)w = Y^{g;p}(v, z)w$, where for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $Y^{g;p}(v, z)w = Y^{g}(v, x)w|_{x^{n}=e^{nl_{p}(z)}, \log x = l_{p(z)}}$,

$$I_p(z) = \log z + 2\pi p \sqrt{-1}.$$

 The duality property: For u, v ∈ V, w ∈ W and w' ∈ W', there exist a_{ijkl} ∈ C, m_i, n_j ∈ R, t ∈ N such that the series

> $\langle w', Y^{g;p}(u, z_1) Y^{g;p}(v, z_2) w \rangle,$ $\langle w', Y^{g;p}(v, z_2) Y^{g;p}(u, z_1) w \rangle,$ $\langle w', Y^{g;p}(Y(u, z_1 - z_2) v, z_2) w \rangle$

are absolutely convergent in the regions $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$, respectively, to

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=0}^{N} a_{ijkl} e^{m_i l_p(z_1)} e^{n_j l_p(z_2)} l_p(z_1)^k l_p(z_2)^l (z_1 - z_2)^{-t}$$

- Main axioms:
 - The equivariance property: For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, $v \in V$ and $w \in W$, $Y^{g;p+1}(gv, z)w = Y^{g;p}(v, z)w$, where for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $Y^{g;p}(v, z)w = Y^{g}(v, x)w|_{x^n = e^{n(p(z)}, \log x = l_{p(z)})}$, $l_p(z) = \log z + 2\pi p \sqrt{-1}$.
 - The duality property: For u, v ∈ V, w ∈ W and w' ∈ W', there exist a_{ijkl} ∈ C, m_i, n_j ∈ R, t ∈ N such that the series

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle w', Y^{g;p}(u,z_1)Y^{g;p}(v,z_2)w\rangle, \\ \langle w', Y^{g;p}(v,z_2)Y^{g;p}(u,z_1)w\rangle, \\ \langle w', Y^{g;p}(Y(u,z_1-z_2)v,z_2)w\rangle \end{array}$$

are absolutely convergent in the regions $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$, respectively, to

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l=0}^{N} a_{ijkl} e^{m_i l_p(z_1)} e^{n_j l_p(z_2)} l_p(z_1)^k l_p(z_2)^l (z_1 - z_2)^{-t}$$

- The definition of *g*-twisted *V*-modules uses the duality property for the twisted vertex operator map *Y^g*, not a Jacobi identity.
- But as in the theory of intertwining operator algebras, there can be a Jacobi identity for suitable coefficients of twisted vertex operators.
- Bakalov, 2015: Gave an associator formula for Y_0^g and derived from this formula a Jacobi identity for Y_0^g where Y_0^g is given by $Y^g(u, x)v = \sum_{i=0}^k Y_i^g(u, x)v(\log x)^i$.
- Multiplicative Jordan-Chevalley decomposition: $g = \sigma e^{2\pi i N}$ where σ is semisimple and N is nilpotent.

- The definition of *g*-twisted *V*-modules uses the duality property for the twisted vertex operator map *Y^g*, not a Jacobi identity.
- But as in the theory of intertwining operator algebras, there can be a Jacobi identity for suitable coefficients of twisted vertex operators.
- Bakalov, 2015: Gave an associator formula for Y_0^g and derived from this formula a Jacobi identity for Y_0^g where Y_0^g is given by $Y^g(u, x)v = \sum_{i=0}^k Y_i^g(u, x)v(\log x)^i$.
- Multiplicative Jordan-Chevalley decomposition: $g = \sigma e^{2\pi i N}$ where σ is semisimple and N is nilpotent.

- The definition of *g*-twisted *V*-modules uses the duality property for the twisted vertex operator map *Y^g*, not a Jacobi identity.
- But as in the theory of intertwining operator algebras, there can be a Jacobi identity for suitable coefficients of twisted vertex operators.
- Bakalov, 2015: Gave an associator formula for Y_0^g and derived from this formula a Jacobi identity for Y_0^g where Y_0^g is given by $Y^g(u, x)v = \sum_{i=0}^k Y_i^g(u, x)v(\log x)^i$.
- Multiplicative Jordan-Chevalley decomposition: $g = \sigma e^{2\pi i N}$ where σ is semisimple and N is nilpotent.

- The definition of *g*-twisted *V*-modules uses the duality property for the twisted vertex operator map *Y^g*, not a Jacobi identity.
- But as in the theory of intertwining operator algebras, there can be a Jacobi identity for suitable coefficients of twisted vertex operators.
- Bakalov, 2015: Gave an associator formula for Y_0^g and derived from this formula a Jacobi identity for Y_0^g where Y_0^g is given by $Y^g(u, x)v = \sum_{i=0}^k Y_i^g(u, x)v(\log x)^i$.
- Multiplicative Jordan-Chevalley decomposition: $g = \sigma e^{2\pi i N}$ where σ is semisimple and N is nilpotent.

- The definition of *g*-twisted *V*-modules uses the duality property for the twisted vertex operator map *Y^g*, not a Jacobi identity.
- But as in the theory of intertwining operator algebras, there can be a Jacobi identity for suitable coefficients of twisted vertex operators.
- Bakalov, 2015: Gave an associator formula for Y_0^g and derived from this formula a Jacobi identity for Y_0^g where Y_0^g is given by $Y^g(u, x)v = \sum_{i=0}^k Y_i^g(u, x)v(\log x)^i$.
- Multiplicative Jordan-Chevalley decomposition: $g = \sigma e^{2\pi i N}$ where σ is semisimple and N is nilpotent.

V = ∐_{α∈C/Z} V^[α] where V^[α] is the eigenspace of σ with the eigenvalue e^{2πα√-1}.
 For u ∈ V^[α], v ∈ V.

$$\begin{aligned} x_{0}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{x_{0}}\right)Y_{0}^{g}(u,x_{1})Y_{0}^{g}(v,x_{2}) \\ -x_{0}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-x_{2}+x_{1}}{x_{0}}\right)Y_{0}^{g}(v,x_{2})Y_{0}^{g}(u,x_{1}) \\ &=x_{1}^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_{2}+x_{0}}{x_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{x_{2}+x_{0}}{x_{1}}\right)^{a} \cdot \\ &\cdot Y_{0}^{g}\left(Y_{V}\left(\left(1+\frac{x_{0}}{x_{2}}\right)^{\mathcal{N}}u,x_{0}\right)v,x_{2}\right), \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re\{a\} \in [0, 1)$ and $a + \mathbb{Z} = \Re_{a}$.

• $V = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}} V^{[\alpha]}$ where $V^{[\alpha]}$ is the eigenspace of σ with the eigenvalue $e^{2\pi\alpha\sqrt{-1}}$. • For $u \in V^{[\alpha]}, v \in V$, $x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y_0^g(u,x_1)Y_0^g(v,x_2)$ $-x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-x_2+x_1}{x_0}\right)\,Y_0^g(v,x_2)\,Y_0^g(u,x_1)$ $= x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)^a.$ $\cdot Y_0^g \left(Y_V \left(\left(1 + \frac{x_0}{x_2} \right)^{\mathcal{N}} u, x_0 \right) v, x_2 \right),$ (1)

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Re\{a\} \in [0, 1)$ and $a + \mathbb{Z} = \alpha$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- Bakalov stated that one can derive the Jacobi identity from the definition of twisted module using the duality as the main axiom. But no proof was given.
- H.-Yang: The duality property for Y^g and the Jacobi identity for Y_0^g are equivalent.
- The twisted vertex operator map is Y^g , not Y_0^g . The goal is to study Y^g , not Y_0^g . For example, the modular invariance should be for the *q*-(pseudo-)traces of Y^g , not Y_0^g .

- Bakalov stated that one can derive the Jacobi identity from the definition of twisted module using the duality as the main axiom. But no proof was given.
- H.-Yang: The duality property for Y^g and the Jacobi identity for Y_0^g are equivalent.
- The twisted vertex operator map is Y^g , not Y_0^g . The goal is to study Y^g , not Y_0^g . For example, the modular invariance should be for the *q*-(pseudo-)traces of Y^g , not Y_0^g .

- Bakalov stated that one can derive the Jacobi identity from the definition of twisted module using the duality as the main axiom. But no proof was given.
- H.-Yang: The duality property for *Y^g* and the Jacobi identity for *Y*^g₀ are equivalent.
- The twisted vertex operator map is Y^g , not Y_0^g . The goal is to study Y^g , not Y_0^g . For example, the modular invariance should be for the *q*-(pseudo-)traces of Y^g , not Y_0^g .

- Bakalov stated that one can derive the Jacobi identity from the definition of twisted module using the duality as the main axiom. But no proof was given.
- H.-Yang: The duality property for Y^g and the Jacobi identity for Y^g₀ are equivalent.
- The twisted vertex operator map is Y^g , not Y_0^g . The goal is to study Y^g , not Y_0^g . For example, the modular invariance should be for the *q*-(pseudo-)traces of Y^g , not Y_0^g .

・ロット (雪) ・ (ヨ) ・ (ヨ) ・ ヨ

- In the representation theory of Lie algebras, one of the most important tools is the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra. The representation theory of a Lie algebra is equivalent to the representation theory of its universal enveloping algebra.
- Frenkel-Zhu, 1992: Constructed universal enveloping algebra U(V) for a vertex operator algebra V. Any type of modules (even more general than weak modules) for V is equivalent to U(V)-modules.

- In the representation theory of Lie algebras, one of the most important tools is the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra. The representation theory of a Lie algebra is equivalent to the representation theory of its universal enveloping algebra.
- Frenkel-Zhu, 1992: Constructed universal enveloping algebra U(V) for a vertex operator algebra V. Any type of modules (even more general than weak modules) for V is equivalent to U(V)-modules.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- In the representation theory of Lie algebras, one of the most important tools is the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra. The representation theory of a Lie algebra is equivalent to the representation theory of its universal enveloping algebra.
- Frenkel-Zhu, 1992: Constructed universal enveloping algebra U(V) for a vertex operator algebra V. Any type of modules (even more general than weak modules) for V is equivalent to U(V)-modules.

- Need g-twisted universal enveloping algebra U_g(V) such that any type of g-twisted modules is equivalent to U_g(V)-modules.
- H. and Yang: Constructed $U_g(V)$. Straightforward generalization of the construction of Frenkel-Zhu.
- Take the tensor algebra of the affinization of *V* with general powers of the variable and take a topological completion so that infinite sums are allowed. Then divide this algebra by all the relations corresponding to the identities that should hold for any type of *g*-twisted modules.

- Need g-twisted universal enveloping algebra U_g(V) such that any type of g-twisted modules is equivalent to U_g(V)-modules.
- H. and Yang: Constructed $U_g(V)$. Straightforward generalization of the construction of Frenkel-Zhu.
- Take the tensor algebra of the affinization of *V* with general powers of the variable and take a topological completion so that infinite sums are allowed. Then divide this algebra by all the relations corresponding to the identities that should hold for any type of *g*-twisted modules.

- Need g-twisted universal enveloping algebra U_g(V) such that any type of g-twisted modules is equivalent to U_g(V)-modules.
- H. and Yang: Constructed $U_g(V)$. Straightforward generalization of the construction of Frenkel-Zhu.
- Take the tensor algebra of the affinization of *V* with general powers of the variable and take a topological completion so that infinite sums are allowed. Then divide this algebra by all the relations corresponding to the identities that should hold for any type of *g*-twisted modules.

- In the theory of vertex operator algebras, we are interested only in (g-twisted) modules with gradings and are lower bounded with respect to the gradings. For these (g-twisted) modules, there are lowest weight spaces. In the case that a (g-twisted) module is irreducible, the lowest weight space determines the module completely.
- We want to construct an associative algebra from the vertex operator algebra *V* and an automorphism *g* of *V* such that the lowest weight space of a *g*-twisted module is a module for this algebra. Since the action of such an algebra on the lowest weight space must preserve weights, such an algebra is called *g*-twisted zero-mode algebra.

- In the theory of vertex operator algebras, we are interested only in (g-twisted) modules with gradings and are lower bounded with respect to the gradings. For these (g-twisted) modules, there are lowest weight spaces. In the case that a (g-twisted) module is irreducible, the lowest weight space determines the module completely.
- We want to construct an associative algebra from the vertex operator algebra *V* and an automorphism *g* of *V* such that the lowest weight space of a *g*-twisted module is a module for this algebra. Since the action of such an algebra on the lowest weight space must preserve weights, such an algebra is called *g*-twisted zero-mode algebra.

- In the theory of vertex operator algebras, we are interested only in (g-twisted) modules with gradings and are lower bounded with respect to the gradings. For these (g-twisted) modules, there are lowest weight spaces. In the case that a (g-twisted) module is irreducible, the lowest weight space determines the module completely.
- We want to construct an associative algebra from the vertex operator algebra *V* and an automorphism *g* of *V* such that the lowest weight space of a *g*-twisted module is a module for this algebra. Since the action of such an algebra on the lowest weight space must preserve weights, such an algebra is called *g*-twisted zero-mode algebra.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- H.-Yang: Constructed such a *g*-twisted zero-mode algebra Z_g(V) associated to a vertex operator algebra V and an automorphism *g* of V.
- Take the weight 0 subalgebra of U_g(V) and then take the quotient of this algebra by the ideal generated by elements of the form uv where u, v ∈ U_g(V) have weights m and -m for m ∈ C satisfying ℜ{m} > 0.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- H.-Yang: Constructed such a *g*-twisted zero-mode algebra Z_g(V) associated to a vertex operator algebra V and an automorphism *g* of V.
- Take the weight 0 subalgebra of U_g(V) and then take the quotient of this algebra by the ideal generated by elements of the form uv where u, v ∈ U_g(V) have weights m and -m for m ∈ C satisfying ℜ{m} > 0.

- Zhu, 1990: Constructed an associative algebra A(V) on a quotient of the vertex operator algebra V with the product given by the vertex operator map.
- Dong-Li-Mason, 1995: Constructed an associative algebra A_g(V) on a quotient of the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra V under an automorphism g of V of finite order. The construction of Dong-Li-Mason does not work in the case that the order of g is infinite.

- Zhu, 1990: Constructed an associative algebra A(V) on a quotient of the vertex operator algebra V with the product given by the vertex operator map.
- Dong-Li-Mason, 1995: Constructed an associative algebra A_g(V) on a quotient of the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra V under an automorphism g of V of finite order. The construction of Dong-Li-Mason does not work in the case that the order of g is infinite.

- Zhu, 1990: Constructed an associative algebra A(V) on a quotient of the vertex operator algebra V with the product given by the vertex operator map.
- Dong-Li-Mason, 1995: Constructed an associative algebra A_g(V) on a quotient of the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra V under an automorphism g of V of finite order. The construction of Dong-Li-Mason does not work in the case that the order of g is infinite.

- H.-Yang: Constructed $A_g(V)$ in the case that the order of g is finite or infinite.
- Here we give the definition of an isomorphic algebra *A*_g*V*) that generalizes the definition of Zhu's algebra given by H:
 For *u* ∈ *V*^[0] and *v* ∈ *V*,

$$u \bullet_g v = \operatorname{Res}_y y^{-1} Y \left((1+y)^{\mathcal{N}} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y) \right) v$$

and for $u\in V^{[lpha]}$ (lpha
eq 0) and $u\in V,$

$$u \bullet_g v = 0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

- H.-Yang: Constructed $A_g(V)$ in the case that the order of g is finite or infinite.
- Here we give the definition of an isomorphic algebra $\tilde{A}_g V$) that generalizes the definition of Zhu's algebra given by H: For $u \in V^{[0]}$ and $v \in V$,

$$u \bullet_g v = \operatorname{Res}_y y^{-1} Y \left((1+y)^{\mathcal{N}} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y) \right) v$$

and for $u \in V^{[\alpha]}$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) and $v \in V$,

$$u \bullet_g v = 0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

g-twisted Zhu's algebra

- H.-Yang: Constructed A_g(V) in the case that the order of g is finite or infinite.
- Here we give the definition of an isomorphic algebra $\tilde{A}_g V$) that generalizes the definition of Zhu's algebra given by H: For $u \in V^{[0]}$ and $v \in V$,

$$u \bullet_g v = \operatorname{Res}_y y^{-1} Y \left((1+y)^{\mathcal{N}} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y) \right) v$$

and for $u \in V^{[\alpha]}$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) and $v \in V$,

$$u \bullet_g v = 0.$$

 Let
 Õ_g(V) be the subspace of V spanned by elements of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{y} y^{-n} Y\left((1+y)^{\mathcal{N}} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y)\right) v$$

for n > 1 and $u \in V^{[0]}$ and $v \in V$ and elements of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{y} y^{-n} Y\left((1+y)^{\alpha+\mathcal{N}-1} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y)\right) v$$

for n > 0 and $u \in V^{[\alpha]}$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) and $v \in V$.

- Let $\tilde{A}_g(V) = V/\tilde{O}_g(V)$.
- **Conjecture**: $Z_g(V)$ and $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ are isomorphic. Yang almost has a proof of this conjecture.

・ロト・四ト・モー・モー うへぐ

 Let
 Õ_g(V) be the subspace of V spanned by elements of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{y} y^{-n} Y\left((1+y)^{\mathcal{N}} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y)\right) v$$

for n>1 and $u\in V^{[0]}$ and $v\in V$ and elements of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{y} y^{-n} Y\left((1+y)^{\alpha+\mathcal{N}-1} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y)\right) v$$

for n > 0 and $u \in V^{[\alpha]}$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) and $v \in V$.

- Let $\tilde{A}_g(V) = V/\tilde{O}_g(V)$.
- **Conjecture**: $Z_g(V)$ and $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ are isomorphic. Yang almost has a proof of this conjecture.

 Let
 Õ_g(V) be the subspace of V spanned by elements of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{y} y^{-n} Y\left((1+y)^{\mathcal{N}} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y)\right) v$$

for n>1 and $u\in V^{[0]}$ and $v\in V$ and elements of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{y} y^{-n} Y\left((1+y)^{\alpha+\mathcal{N}-1} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y)\right) v$$

for n > 0 and $u \in V^{[\alpha]}$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) and $v \in V$.

- Let $\tilde{A}_g(V) = V/\tilde{O}_g(V)$.
- **Conjecture**: $Z_g(V)$ and $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ are isomorphic. Yang almost has a proof of this conjecture.

 Let
 Õ_g(V) be the subspace of V spanned by elements of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{y} y^{-n} Y\left((1+y)^{\mathcal{N}} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y)\right) v$$

for n>1 and $u\in V^{[0]}$ and $v\in V$ and elements of the form

$$\operatorname{Res}_{y} y^{-n} Y\left((1+y)^{\alpha+\mathcal{N}-1} u, \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(1+y)\right) v$$

for n > 0 and $u \in V^{[\alpha]}$ ($\alpha \neq 0$) and $v \in V$.

- Let $\tilde{A}_g(V) = V/\tilde{O}_g(V)$.
- **Conjecture**: $Z_g(V)$ and $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ are isomorphic. Yang almost has a proof of this conjecture.

Functors between module categories

• **Theorem**: Let *W* be a lower bounded generalized *g*-twisted *V*-module. Let $\Omega_g(W)$ be the subspace of *W* on which the action of the components of the vertex operators of negative weights are 0. Then $\Omega_g(V)$ is a $Z_g(V)$ -module and also an $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ -module. Moreover, the $Z_g(V)$ -module structure and the $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ -module structure on $\Omega^g(W)$ are compatible with the action of *g*.

・ロト・日本・山田・山田・山口・

Functors between module categories

• **Theorem**: Let *W* be a lower bounded generalized *g*-twisted *V*-module. Let $\Omega_g(W)$ be the subspace of *W* on which the action of the components of the vertex operators of negative weights are 0. Then $\Omega_g(V)$ is a $Z_g(V)$ -module and also an $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ -module. Moreover, the $Z_g(V)$ -module structure and the $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ -module structure on $\Omega^g(W)$ are compatible with the action of *g*.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

- Theorem: The functor Ω_g from the category of lower bounded g-twisted generalized V-modules to the category of graded Z_g(V)-modules given by W → Ω_g(W) has a right inverse, that is, there exists functors H_g from the categories of graded Z_g(V)-modules to the category of lower bounded g-twisted generalized V-modules such that Ω_g ∘ H_g = 1, where 1 is the identity functors on the categories of Z_g(V)-modules.
- The same results hold for $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ by replacing $Z_g(V)$ in the theorem above by $A_g(V)$.

- Theorem: The functor Ω_g from the category of lower bounded g-twisted generalized V-modules to the category of graded Z_g(V)-modules given by W → Ω_g(W) has a right inverse, that is, there exists functors H_g from the categories of graded Z_g(V)-modules to the category of lower bounded g-twisted generalized V-modules such that Ω_g ∘ H_g = 1, where 1 is the identity functors on the categories of Z_g(V)-modules.
- The same results hold for $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ by replacing $Z_g(V)$ in the theorem above by $A_g(V)$.

- Theorem: The functor Ω_g from the category of lower bounded g-twisted generalized V-modules to the category of graded Z_g(V)-modules given by W → Ω_g(W) has a right inverse, that is, there exists functors H_g from the categories of graded Z_g(V)-modules to the category of lower bounded g-twisted generalized V-modules such that Ω_g ∘ H_g = 1, where 1 is the identity functors on the categories of Z_g(V)-modules.
- The same results hold for $\tilde{A}_g(V)$ by replacing $Z_g(V)$ in the theorem above by $A_g(V)$.

Functors between module categories

 Theorem: The restriction of Ω_g to the subcategory of g-twisted V-modules (i.e., grading-restricted g-twisted generalized V-modules) and the projection to the lowest weight subspaces is a functor from this subcategory to the category of grading-restricted Z_g(V)-modules or Â_g(V)-modules. The restrictions of H_g to the category of grading-restricted *A*_g(V)-modules is the right inverse of the restriction of Ω_g to the category of g-twisted V-modules.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Functors between module categories