Orbifold conformal field theory: General conjectures, open probelms and initial results

Yi-Zhi Huang

Rutgers University

October 19, 2024 AMS 2024 Fall Eastern Sectional Meeting Special Session on Recent Advances in Vertex Operator Algebras

Yi-Zhi Huang (Rutgers)

Orbifold conformal field theory

October 19, 2024 1/25

The main problem in orbifold conformal field theory

- 2 Twisted intertwining operators
- 3 Conjectures and problems
- Results on twisted intertwining operators and tensor product bifunctors

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

The main problem in orbifold conformal field theory

- 2 Twisted intertwining operators
 - 3 Conjectures and problems

Results on twisted intertwining operators and tensor product bifunctors

不同 いんきいんき

The main problem in orbifold conformal field theory

- 2 Twisted intertwining operators
- 3 Conjectures and problems

 Results on twisted intertwining operators and tensor product bifunctors

4 6 1 1 4

The 14 at 14

The main problem in orbifold conformal field theory

- 2 Twisted intertwining operators
- 3 Conjectures and problems

Results on twisted intertwining operators and tensor product bifunctors

< 6 b

The main problem in orbifold conformal field theory

- 2 Twisted intertwining operators
- 3 Conjectures and problems
- Results on twisted intertwining operators and tensor product bifunctors

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- A conformal field theory is a projective algebra over the PROP of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with parametrized boundaries (in the sense of Kontsevich, Segal and possibly some generalizations to include pseudo-traces).
- The first main problem in conformal field theory is to give a construction.
- A program to construct conformal field theories using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras has been very successful in the past thirty years.
- Intertwining operators are the main objects to study and is the same as chiral conformal fields in conformal field theory.
- To construct and study a conformal field theory is the same thing as proving properties of intertwining operators among modules for a vertex operator algebras.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- A conformal field theory is a projective algebra over the PROP of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with parametrized boundaries (in the sense of Kontsevich, Segal and possibly some generalizations to include pseudo-traces).
- The first main problem in conformal field theory is to give a construction.
- A program to construct conformal field theories using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras has been very successful in the past thirty years.
- Intertwining operators are the main objects to study and is the same as chiral conformal fields in conformal field theory.
- To construct and study a conformal field theory is the same thing as proving properties of intertwining operators among modules for a vertex operator algebras.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- A conformal field theory is a projective algebra over the PROP of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with parametrized boundaries (in the sense of Kontsevich, Segal and possibly some generalizations to include pseudo-traces).
- The first main problem in conformal field theory is to give a construction.
- A program to construct conformal field theories using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras has been very successful in the past thirty years.
- Intertwining operators are the main objects to study and is the same as chiral conformal fields in conformal field theory.
- To construct and study a conformal field theory is the same thing as proving properties of intertwining operators among modules for a vertex operator algebras.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- A conformal field theory is a projective algebra over the PROP of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with parametrized boundaries (in the sense of Kontsevich, Segal and possibly some generalizations to include pseudo-traces).
- The first main problem in conformal field theory is to give a construction.
- A program to construct conformal field theories using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras has been very successful in the past thirty years.
- Intertwining operators are the main objects to study and is the same as chiral conformal fields in conformal field theory.
- To construct and study a conformal field theory is the same thing as proving properties of intertwining operators among modules for a vertex operator algebras.

- A conformal field theory is a projective algebra over the PROP of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with parametrized boundaries (in the sense of Kontsevich, Segal and possibly some generalizations to include pseudo-traces).
- The first main problem in conformal field theory is to give a construction.
- A program to construct conformal field theories using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras has been very successful in the past thirty years.
- Intertwining operators are the main objects to study and is the same as chiral conformal fields in conformal field theory.
- To construct and study a conformal field theory is the same thing as proving properties of intertwining operators among modules for a vertex operator algebras.

- A conformal field theory is a projective algebra over the PROP of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with parametrized boundaries (in the sense of Kontsevich, Segal and possibly some generalizations to include pseudo-traces).
- The first main problem in conformal field theory is to give a construction.
- A program to construct conformal field theories using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras has been very successful in the past thirty years.
- Intertwining operators are the main objects to study and is the same as chiral conformal fields in conformal field theory.
- To construct and study a conformal field theory is the same thing as proving properties of intertwining operators among modules for a vertex operator algebras.

- Orbifold conformal field theories are conformal field theories constructed from known conformal field theories and their automorphisms.
- In 1984 (exactly 40 years ago), the first example of orbifold conformal field theories, the moonshine module, was constructed in mathematics by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman.
- In 1985, Dixon, Harvey, Vafa and Witten published their first paper in physics on orbifold conformal field theories.
- To construct an orbifold conformal field theory using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras, a natural approach is to study intertwining operators among modules for the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra under a group of its automorphisms.

- Orbifold conformal field theories are conformal field theories constructed from known conformal field theories and their automorphisms.
- In 1984 (exactly 40 years ago), the first example of orbifold conformal field theories, the moonshine module, was constructed in mathematics by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman.
- In 1985, Dixon, Harvey, Vafa and Witten published their first paper in physics on orbifold conformal field theories.
- To construct an orbifold conformal field theory using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras, a natural approach is to study intertwining operators among modules for the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra under a group of its automorphisms.

- Orbifold conformal field theories are conformal field theories constructed from known conformal field theories and their automorphisms.
- In 1984 (exactly 40 years ago), the first example of orbifold conformal field theories, the moonshine module, was constructed in mathematics by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman.
- In 1985, Dixon, Harvey, Vafa and Witten published their first paper in physics on orbifold conformal field theories.
- To construct an orbifold conformal field theory using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras, a natural approach is to study intertwining operators among modules for the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra under a group of its automorphisms.

- Orbifold conformal field theories are conformal field theories constructed from known conformal field theories and their automorphisms.
- In 1984 (exactly 40 years ago), the first example of orbifold conformal field theories, the moonshine module, was constructed in mathematics by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman.
- In 1985, Dixon, Harvey, Vafa and Witten published their first paper in physics on orbifold conformal field theories.
- To construct an orbifold conformal field theory using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras, a natural approach is to study intertwining operators among modules for the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra under a group of its automorphisms.

- Orbifold conformal field theories are conformal field theories constructed from known conformal field theories and their automorphisms.
- In 1984 (exactly 40 years ago), the first example of orbifold conformal field theories, the moonshine module, was constructed in mathematics by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman.
- In 1985, Dixon, Harvey, Vafa and Witten published their first paper in physics on orbifold conformal field theories.
- To construct an orbifold conformal field theory using the representation theory of vertex operator algebras, a natural approach is to study intertwining operators among modules for the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra of a vertex operator algebra under a group of its automorphisms.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- **Main problem**: Given a vertex operator algebra *V* and a group *G* of automorphisms of *V*, construct and classify all the conformal field theories whose chiral algebras (vertex operator algebras) contain the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra *V*^G of *V* as a subalgebra.
- It is difficult to study directly *V^G*-modules and intertwining operators among *V^G*-modules.
- The only results we have now is the results by Miyamoto and Carnahan-Miyamoto in the case that *V* is *C*₂-cofinite and *G* is finite cyclic.
- On the other hand, we expect that *V^G*-modules are all contained in some twisted *V*-modules.
- So another approach is to study intertwining operators among twisted modules for vertex operator algebras. This approach is even more natural than the approach of studying intertwining operators for V^G .

- **Main problem**: Given a vertex operator algebra *V* and a group *G* of automorphisms of *V*, construct and classify all the conformal field theories whose chiral algebras (vertex operator algebras) contain the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra *V*^G of *V* as a subalgebra.
- It is difficult to study directly *V^G*-modules and intertwining operators among *V^G*-modules.
- The only results we have now is the results by Miyamoto and Carnahan-Miyamoto in the case that *V* is *C*₂-cofinite and *G* is finite cyclic.
- On the other hand, we expect that *V^G*-modules are all contained in some twisted *V*-modules.
- So another approach is to study intertwining operators among twisted modules for vertex operator algebras. This approach is even more natural than the approach of studying intertwining operators for V^G .

- **Main problem**: Given a vertex operator algebra *V* and a group *G* of automorphisms of *V*, construct and classify all the conformal field theories whose chiral algebras (vertex operator algebras) contain the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra *V*^G of *V* as a subalgebra.
- It is difficult to study directly V^G-modules and intertwining operators among V^G-modules.
- The only results we have now is the results by Miyamoto and Carnahan-Miyamoto in the case that *V* is *C*₂-cofinite and *G* is finite cyclic.
- On the other hand, we expect that *V^G*-modules are all contained in some twisted *V*-modules.
- So another approach is to study intertwining operators among twisted modules for vertex operator algebras. This approach is even more natural than the approach of studying intertwining operators for V^G .

- **Main problem**: Given a vertex operator algebra *V* and a group *G* of automorphisms of *V*, construct and classify all the conformal field theories whose chiral algebras (vertex operator algebras) contain the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra *V^G* of *V* as a subalgebra.
- It is difficult to study directly V^G-modules and intertwining operators among V^G-modules.
- The only results we have now is the results by Miyamoto and Carnahan-Miyamoto in the case that *V* is *C*₂-cofinite and *G* is finite cyclic.
- On the other hand, we expect that *V^G*-modules are all contained in some twisted *V*-modules.
- So another approach is to study intertwining operators among twisted modules for vertex operator algebras. This approach is even more natural than the approach of studying intertwining operators for V^G.

- **Main problem**: Given a vertex operator algebra *V* and a group *G* of automorphisms of *V*, construct and classify all the conformal field theories whose chiral algebras (vertex operator algebras) contain the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra *V^G* of *V* as a subalgebra.
- It is difficult to study directly V^G-modules and intertwining operators among V^G-modules.
- The only results we have now is the results by Miyamoto and Carnahan-Miyamoto in the case that *V* is *C*₂-cofinite and *G* is finite cyclic.
- On the other hand, we expect that *V^G*-modules are all contained in some twisted *V*-modules.
- So another approach is to study intertwining operators among twisted modules for vertex operator algebras. This approach is even more natural than the approach of studying intertwining operators for V^G.

- **Main problem**: Given a vertex operator algebra *V* and a group *G* of automorphisms of *V*, construct and classify all the conformal field theories whose chiral algebras (vertex operator algebras) contain the fixed point vertex operator subalgebra *V^G* of *V* as a subalgebra.
- It is difficult to study directly V^G-modules and intertwining operators among V^G-modules.
- The only results we have now is the results by Miyamoto and Carnahan-Miyamoto in the case that *V* is *C*₂-cofinite and *G* is finite cyclic.
- On the other hand, we expect that *V^G*-modules are all contained in some twisted *V*-modules.
- So another approach is to study intertwining operators among twisted modules for vertex operator algebras. This approach is even more natural than the approach of studying intertwining operators for V^G.

The main problem in orbifold conformal field theory

- 2 Twisted intertwining operators
 - 3 Conjectures and problems

Results on twisted intertwining operators and tensor product bifunctors

A (10) > A (10) > A (10)

- This talk is on general conjectures, problems and some initial results on twisted intertwining operators among twisted modules.
- Intertwining operators among twisted modules are called twisted intertwining operators.
- When the automorphisms involved are of finite orders and commute with each other, Xu in 1995 generalized intertwining operators to twisted intertwining operators using a Jacobi identity.
- But in general, an orbifold conformal field theory is associated to a nonabelian group of automorphisms.
- Also, the group might not be finite. So we also have to introduce and study intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to automorphisms of infinite orders.
- The straightforward generalization using Jacobi identity does not work.

- This talk is on general conjectures, problems and some initial results on twisted intertwining operators among twisted modules.
- Intertwining operators among twisted modules are called twisted intertwining operators.
- When the automorphisms involved are of finite orders and commute with each other, Xu in 1995 generalized intertwining operators to twisted intertwining operators using a Jacobi identity.
- But in general, an orbifold conformal field theory is associated to a nonabelian group of automorphisms.
- Also, the group might not be finite. So we also have to introduce and study intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to automorphisms of infinite orders.
- The straightforward generalization using Jacobi identity does not work.

- This talk is on general conjectures, problems and some initial results on twisted intertwining operators among twisted modules.
- Intertwining operators among twisted modules are called twisted intertwining operators.
- When the automorphisms involved are of finite orders and commute with each other, Xu in 1995 generalized intertwining operators to twisted intertwining operators using a Jacobi identity.
- But in general, an orbifold conformal field theory is associated to a nonabelian group of automorphisms.
- Also, the group might not be finite. So we also have to introduce and study intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to automorphisms of infinite orders.
- The straightforward generalization using Jacobi identity does not work.

- This talk is on general conjectures, problems and some initial results on twisted intertwining operators among twisted modules.
- Intertwining operators among twisted modules are called twisted intertwining operators.
- When the automorphisms involved are of finite orders and commute with each other, Xu in 1995 generalized intertwining operators to twisted intertwining operators using a Jacobi identity.
- But in general, an orbifold conformal field theory is associated to a nonabelian group of automorphisms.
- Also, the group might not be finite. So we also have to introduce and study intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to automorphisms of infinite orders.
- The straightforward generalization using Jacobi identity does not work.

- This talk is on general conjectures, problems and some initial results on twisted intertwining operators among twisted modules.
- Intertwining operators among twisted modules are called twisted intertwining operators.
- When the automorphisms involved are of finite orders and commute with each other, Xu in 1995 generalized intertwining operators to twisted intertwining operators using a Jacobi identity.
- But in general, an orbifold conformal field theory is associated to a nonabelian group of automorphisms.
- Also, the group might not be finite. So we also have to introduce and study intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to automorphisms of infinite orders.
- The straightforward generalization using Jacobi identity does not work.

- This talk is on general conjectures, problems and some initial results on twisted intertwining operators among twisted modules.
- Intertwining operators among twisted modules are called twisted intertwining operators.
- When the automorphisms involved are of finite orders and commute with each other, Xu in 1995 generalized intertwining operators to twisted intertwining operators using a Jacobi identity.
- But in general, an orbifold conformal field theory is associated to a nonabelian group of automorphisms.
- Also, the group might not be finite. So we also have to introduce and study intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to automorphisms of infinite orders.
- The straightforward generalization using Jacobi identity does not work.

- This talk is on general conjectures, problems and some initial results on twisted intertwining operators among twisted modules.
- Intertwining operators among twisted modules are called twisted intertwining operators.
- When the automorphisms involved are of finite orders and commute with each other, Xu in 1995 generalized intertwining operators to twisted intertwining operators using a Jacobi identity.
- But in general, an orbifold conformal field theory is associated to a nonabelian group of automorphisms.
- Also, the group might not be finite. So we also have to introduce and study intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to automorphisms of infinite orders.
- The straightforward generalization using Jacobi identity does not work.

- In 2017, I introduced and studied one class of such twisted intertwining operators.
- But this class of twisted intertwining operators are still not general enough because the correlation functions defining such twisted intertwining operators are of a special form.
- Recently, Jlshen Du and I introduced the most general possible twisted intertwining operators.
- They are defined using commutativity and assocaltivity for products and iterates of twisted vertex operators and the twisted intertwining operator we want to define, but without assuming any type of generalized rationality for the multivalued correlation functions.

In 2017, I introduced and studied one class of such twisted intertwining operators.

- But this class of twisted intertwining operators are still not general enough because the correlation functions defining such twisted intertwining operators are of a special form.
- Recently, Jlshen Du and I introduced the most general possible twisted intertwining operators.
- They are defined using commutativity and assocaltivity for products and iterates of twisted vertex operators and the twisted intertwining operator we want to define, but without assuming any type of generalized rationality for the multivalued correlation functions.

- In 2017, I introduced and studied one class of such twisted intertwining operators.
- But this class of twisted intertwining operators are still not general enough because the correlation functions defining such twisted intertwining operators are of a special form.
- Recently, Jlshen Du and I introduced the most general possible twisted intertwining operators.
- They are defined using commutativity and assocaltivity for products and iterates of twisted vertex operators and the twisted intertwining operator we want to define, but without assuming any type of generalized rationality for the multivalued correlation functions.

- In 2017, I introduced and studied one class of such twisted intertwining operators.
- But this class of twisted intertwining operators are still not general enough because the correlation functions defining such twisted intertwining operators are of a special form.
- Recently, Jlshen Du and I introduced the most general possible twisted intertwining operators.
- They are defined using commutativity and assocaitivity for products and iterates of twisted vertex operators and the twisted intertwining operator we want to define, but without assuming any type of generalized rationality for the multivalued correlation functions.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- In 2017, I introduced and studied one class of such twisted intertwining operators.
- But this class of twisted intertwining operators are still not general enough because the correlation functions defining such twisted intertwining operators are of a special form.
- Recently, Jlshen Du and I introduced the most general possible twisted intertwining operators.
- They are defined using commutativity and assocaitivity for products and iterates of twisted vertex operators and the twisted intertwining operator we want to define, but without assuming any type of generalized rationality for the multivalued correlation functions.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >
- For (generalized) g_1 -, g_2 and g_3 -twisted V-modules W_1 , W_2 and W_3 , a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1 W_2}$ is a linear map $\mathcal{Y} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to W_3\{x\}[\log x], w_1 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2$ satisfying the following axioms:
- The L(-1)-derivative property:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{Y}(w_1,x)=\mathcal{Y}(L(-1)w_1,x).$$

• The series

$$\langle w'_{3}, Y^{g_{3}}_{W_{3}}(u_{1}, z_{1}) \cdots Y^{g_{3}}_{W_{3}}(u_{k-1}, z_{k-1}) \mathcal{Y}(w_{1}, z_{k}) w_{2} \rangle$$

is absolutely convergent in the region $|z_1| > \cdots > |z_k| > 0$ and can be maximally extended to a multivalued analytic function on the region $z_i \neq 0$, $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Yi-Zhi Huang (Rutgers)

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- For (generalized) g_1 -, g_2 and g_3 -twisted V-modules W_1 , W_2 and W_3 , a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1 W_2}$ is a linear map $\mathcal{Y} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to W_3\{x\}[\log x], w_1 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2$ satisfying the following axioms:
- The L(-1)-derivative property:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{Y}(w_1,x)=\mathcal{Y}(L(-1)w_1,x).$$

• The series

$$\langle w'_3, Y^{g_3}_{W_3}(u_1, z_1) \cdots Y^{g_3}_{W_3}(u_{k-1}, z_{k-1}) \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_k) w_2 \rangle$$

is absolutely convergent in the region $|z_1| > \cdots > |z_k| > 0$ and can be maximally extended to a multivalued analytic function on the region $z_i \neq 0$, $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Yi-Zhi Huang (Rutgers)

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

- For (generalized) g_1 -, g_2 and g_3 -twisted V-modules W_1 , W_2 and W_3 , a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1 W_2}$ is a linear map $\mathcal{Y} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to W_3\{x\}[\log x], w_1 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2$ satisfying the following axioms:
- The *L*(-1)-derivative property:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{Y}(w_1,x)=\mathcal{Y}(L(-1)w_1,x).$$

• The series

$$\langle w'_3, Y^{g_3}_{W_3}(u_1, z_1) \cdots Y^{g_3}_{W_3}(u_{k-1}, z_{k-1}) \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_k) w_2 \rangle$$

is absolutely convergent in the region $|z_1| > \cdots > |z_k| > 0$ and can be maximally extended to a multivalued analytic function on the region $z_i \neq 0$, $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$.

Yi-Zhi Huang (Rutgers)

- For (generalized) g_1 -, g_2 and g_3 -twisted V-modules W_1 , W_2 and W_3 , a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1 W_2}$ is a linear map $\mathcal{Y} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to W_3\{x\}[\log x], w_1 \otimes w_2 \mapsto \mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2$ satisfying the following axioms:
- The L(-1)-derivative property:

$$\frac{d}{dx}\mathcal{Y}(w_1,x)=\mathcal{Y}(L(-1)w_1,x).$$

The series

$$\langle w'_3, Y^{g_3}_{W_3}(u_1, z_1) \cdots Y^{g_3}_{W_3}(u_{k-1}, z_{k-1}) \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_k) w_2 \rangle$$

is absolutely convergent in the region $|z_1| > \cdots > |z_k| > 0$ and can be maximally extended to a multivalued analytic function on the region $z_i \neq 0$, $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$.

• The duality property: The series

 $\langle w'_{3}, Y^{g_{3}}_{W_{3}}(u, z_{1})\mathcal{Y}(w_{1}, z_{2})w_{2} \rangle, \\ \langle w'_{3}, \mathcal{Y}(w_{1}, z_{2})Y^{g_{2}}_{W_{2}}(u, z_{1})w_{2} \rangle, \\ \langle w'_{3}, \mathcal{Y}(Y^{g_{1}}_{W_{1}}(u, z_{1} - z_{2})w_{1}, z_{2})w_{2} \rangle$

are absolutely convergent on the regions $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$, respectively. Moreover, their sums are equal to a preferred single-valued branch $f^e(z_1, z_2; u, w_1, w_2, w'_3)$ of a maximally extended multivalued analytic function $f(z_1, z_2; u, w_1, w_2, w'_3)$ on the region given by $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$ and $|\arg(z_1 - z_2) - \arg z_1| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, the region given by $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$ and $-\frac{3\pi}{2} < \arg(z_1 - z_2) - \arg z_2 < -\frac{\pi}{2}$, the region given by $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$ and $|\arg z_1 - \arg z_2| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, respectively.

-

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

• The duality property: The series

$$\begin{split} &\langle w_3', Y_{W_3}^{g_3}(u, z_1) \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_2) w_2 \rangle, \\ &\langle w_3', \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_2) Y_{W_2}^{g_2}(u, z_1) w_2 \rangle, \\ &\langle w_3', \mathcal{Y}(Y_{W_1}^{g_1}(u, z_1 - z_2) w_1, z_2) w_2 \end{split}$$

are absolutely convergent on the regions $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$, $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$, respectively. Moreover, their sums are equal to a preferred single-valued branch $f^e(z_1, z_2; u, w_1, w_2, w'_3)$ of a maximally extended multivalued analytic function $f(z_1, z_2; u, w_1, w_2, w'_3)$ on the region given by $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$ and $|\arg(z_1 - z_2) - \arg z_1| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, the region given by $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$ and $-\frac{3\pi}{2} < \arg(z_1 - z_2) - \arg z_2 < -\frac{\pi}{2}$, the region given by $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$ and $|\arg z_1 - \arg z_2| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, respectively.

The main problem in orbifold conformal field theory

2 Twisted intertwining operators

3 Conjectures and problems

 Results on twisted intertwining operators and tensor product bifunctors

4 3 5 4 3

< 6 b

Let V be C_1 -cofinite vertex operator algebra such that all V-modules are C_1 -cofinite and the differences between the real parts of lowest weights of irreducible V-modules are bounded. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of V. Then twisted intertwining operators among grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for $g \in G$ satisfy convergence, associativity and commutativity properties.

Conjecture (H., 2016)

The category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ has a natural structure of G-crossed braided tensor category.

• In the case of $G = \{1_V\}$, these conjectures are theorems (H. 2007).

Let V be C_1 -cofinite vertex operator algebra such that all V-modules are C_1 -cofinite and the differences between the real parts of lowest weights of irreducible V-modules are bounded. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of V. Then twisted intertwining operators among grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for $g \in G$ satisfy convergence, associativity and commutativity properties.

Conjecture (H., 2016)

The category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ has a natural structure of G-crossed braided tensor category.

• In the case of $G = \{1_V\}$, these conjectures are theorems (H. 2007).

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Let V be C_1 -cofinite vertex operator algebra such that all V-modules are C_1 -cofinite and the differences between the real parts of lowest weights of irreducible V-modules are bounded. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of V. Then twisted intertwining operators among grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for $g \in G$ satisfy convergence, associativity and commutativity properties.

Conjecture (H., 2016)

The category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ has a natural structure of G-crossed braided tensor category.

• In the case of $G = \{1_V\}$, these conjectures are theorems (H. 2007).

Let V be C_1 -cofinite vertex operator algebra such that all V-modules are C_1 -cofinite and the differences between the real parts of lowest weights of irreducible V-modules are bounded. Let G be a finite group of automorphisms of V. Then twisted intertwining operators among grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for $g \in G$ satisfy convergence, associativity and commutativity properties.

Conjecture (H., 2016)

The category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ has a natural structure of G-crossed braided tensor category.

• In the case of $G = \{1_V\}$, these conjectures are theorems (H. 2007).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

Conjecture (H., 2020, 2024)

For a strongly rational vertex operator algebra V and a finite group G of automorphisms of V, twisted intertwining operators among g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ satisfy the convergence, associativity, commutativity and modular invariance properties.

Conjecture (H., 2016, 2024)

The category of g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ has a natural structure of G-crossed modular tensor category.

• In the case that $G = \{1_V\}$ or that *V* is holomorphic and *G* is finite cyclic, these conjectures have been proved (H., 2002, 2003, 2005, Carnahan -Miyamoto 2016, van Ekeren-Möller-Scheithauer 2015, Möller 2016).

Yi-Zhi Huang (Rutgers)

Conjecture (H., 2020, 2024)

For a strongly rational vertex operator algebra V and a finite group G of automorphisms of V, twisted intertwining operators among g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ satisfy the convergence, associativity, commutativity and modular invariance properties.

Conjecture (H., 2016, 2024)

The category of g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ has a natural structure of G-crossed modular tensor category.

In the case that G = {1_V} or that V is holomorphic and G is finite cyclic, these conjectures have been proved (H., 2002, 2003, 2005, Carnahan -Miyamoto 2016, van Ekeren-Möller-Scheithauer 2015, Möller 2016).

Yi-Zhi Huang (Rutgers)

Conjecture (H., 2020, 2024)

For a strongly rational vertex operator algebra V and a finite group G of automorphisms of V, twisted intertwining operators among g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ satisfy the convergence, associativity, commutativity and modular invariance properties.

Conjecture (H., 2016, 2024)

The category of g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ has a natural structure of G-crossed modular tensor category.

• In the case that $G = \{1_V\}$ or that V is holomorphic and G is finite cyclic, these conjectures have been proved (H., 2002, 2003, 2005, Carnahan -Miyamoto 2016, van Ekeren-Möller-Scheithauer 2015, Möller 2016).

Yi-Zhi Huang (Rutgers)

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

Conjecture (H., 2020, 2024)

For a strongly rational vertex operator algebra V and a finite group G of automorphisms of V, twisted intertwining operators among g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ satisfy the convergence, associativity, commutativity and modular invariance properties.

Conjecture (H., 2016, 2024)

The category of g-twisted V-modules for all $g \in G$ has a natural structure of G-crossed modular tensor category.

In the case that G = {1_V} or that V is holomorphic and G is finite cyclic, these conjectures have been proved (H., 2002, 2003, 2005, Carnahan -Miyamoto 2016, van Ekeren-Möller-Scheithauer 2015, Möller 2016).

Problem (H., 2020)

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and let G be a group of automorphisms of V. Under what conditions do the twisted intertwining operators among grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for all $g \in G$ satisfy the convergence, associativity, commutativity and modular invariance properties?

Problem (H., 2020)

Under what conditions does the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for all $g \in G$ has a structure of a G-crossed braided tensor category or a G-crossed modular tensor category structure?

Problem (H., 2020)

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and let G be a group of automorphisms of V. Under what conditions do the twisted intertwining operators among grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for all $g \in G$ satisfy the convergence, associativity, commutativity and modular invariance properties?

Problem (H., 2020)

Under what conditions does the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for all $g \in G$ has a structure of a G-crossed braided tensor category or a G-crossed modular tensor category structure?

Problem (H., 2020)

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and let G be a group of automorphisms of V. Under what conditions do the twisted intertwining operators among grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for all $g \in G$ satisfy the convergence, associativity, commutativity and modular invariance properties?

Problem (H., 2020)

Under what conditions does the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules of finite lengths for all $g \in G$ has a structure of a G-crossed braided tensor category or a G-crossed modular tensor category structure?

The main problem in orbifold conformal field theory

- 2 Twisted intertwining operators
- 3 Conjectures and problems

Results on twisted intertwining operators and tensor product bifunctors

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- Let (W, Y_W^g) be a (generalized) *g*-twisted *V*-module.
- Let *h* be annother automorphism of *V* and let *φ_h*(*Y^g_W*) : *V* × *W* → *W*{*x*}[*logx*], *v* ⊗ *w* → *φ_h*(*Y^g*)(*v*, *x*)*w* be the linear map defined by

$$\phi_h(Y_W^g)(v, x)w = Y_W^g(h^{-1}v, x)w.$$

- The pair $(W, \phi_h(Y_W^g))$ is a (generalized) hgh^{-1} -twisted *V*-module denoted by $\phi_h(W)$ and $W \mapsto \phi_h(W)$ gives an action of *h* on the category of (generalized) twisted *V*-modules.
- Let W_1 , W_2 , W_3 be g_1 -, g_2 -, g_1g_2 -twisted *V*-modules, respectively, and $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. A (twisted) P(z)-intertwining map of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$ is a linear map $I : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W}_3$ given by $I(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$, where \mathcal{Y} is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$.

- Let (W, Y_W^g) be a (generalized) *g*-twisted *V*-module.
- Let *h* be annother automorphism of *V* and let *φ_h*(*Y^g_W*) : *V* × *W* → *W*{*x*}[*logx*], *v* ⊗ *w* → *φ_h*(*Y^g*)(*v*, *x*)*w* be the linear map defined by

$$\phi_h(Y_W^g)(v, x)w = Y_W^g(h^{-1}v, x)w.$$

- The pair $(W, \phi_h(Y_W^g))$ is a (generalized) hgh^{-1} -twisted *V*-module denoted by $\phi_h(W)$ and $W \mapsto \phi_h(W)$ gives an action of *h* on the category of (generalized) twisted *V*-modules.
- Let W_1 , W_2 , W_3 be g_1 -, g_2 -, g_1g_2 -twisted V-modules, respectively, and $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. A (twisted) P(z)-intertwining map of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$ is a linear map $I : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W}_3$ given by $I(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$, where \mathcal{Y} is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$.

- Let (W, Y_W^g) be a (generalized) *g*-twisted *V*-module.
- Let *h* be annother automorphism of *V* and let φ_h(Y^g_W) : V × W → W{x}[logx], v ⊗ w ↦ φ_h(Y^g)(v, x)w be the linear map defined by

$$\phi_h(Y^g_W)(v,x)w = Y^g_W(h^{-1}v,x)w.$$

- The pair $(W, \phi_h(Y_W^g))$ is a (generalized) hgh^{-1} -twisted *V*-module denoted by $\phi_h(W)$ and $W \mapsto \phi_h(W)$ gives an action of *h* on the category of (generalized) twisted *V*-modules.
- Let W_1 , W_2 , W_3 be g_1 -, g_2 -, g_1g_2 -twisted V-modules, respectively, and $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. A (twisted) P(z)-intertwining map of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$ is a linear map $I : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W}_3$ given by $I(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$, where \mathcal{Y} is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$.

- Let (W, Y_W^g) be a (generalized) *g*-twisted *V*-module.
- Let *h* be annother automorphism of *V* and let *φ_h*(*Y^g_W*) : *V* × *W* → *W*{*x*}[*logx*], *v* ⊗ *w* → *φ_h*(*Y^g*)(*v*, *x*)*w* be the linear map defined by

$$\phi_h(Y^g_W)(v,x)w=Y^g_W(h^{-1}v,x)w.$$

• The pair $(W, \phi_h(Y^g_W))$ is a (generalized) hgh^{-1} -twisted *V*-module denoted by $\phi_h(W)$ and $W \mapsto \phi_h(W)$ gives an action of *h* on the category of (generalized) twisted *V*-modules.

• Let W_1 , W_2 , W_3 be g_1 -, g_2 -, g_1g_2 -twisted V-modules, respectively, and $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. A (twisted) P(z)-intertwining map of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$ is a linear map $I : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W}_3$ given by $I(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$, where \mathcal{Y} is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$.

- Let (W, Y_W^g) be a (generalized) *g*-twisted *V*-module.
- Let *h* be annother automorphism of *V* and let *φ_h*(*Y^g_W*) : *V* × *W* → *W*{*x*}[*logx*], *v* ⊗ *w* → *φ_h*(*Y^g*)(*v*, *x*)*w* be the linear map defined by

$$\phi_h(Y^g_W)(v,x)w=Y^g_W(h^{-1}v,x)w.$$

- The pair $(W, \phi_h(Y^g_W))$ is a (generalized) hgh^{-1} -twisted *V*-module denoted by $\phi_h(W)$ and $W \mapsto \phi_h(W)$ gives an action of *h* on the category of (generalized) twisted *V*-modules.
- Let W_1 , W_2 , W_3 be g_1 -, g_2 -, g_1g_2 -twisted V-modules, respectively, and $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. A (twisted) P(z)-intertwining map of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$ is a linear map $I : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W}_3$ given by $I(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$, where \mathcal{Y} is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$.

- The definition and construction of *P*(*z*)-tensor product below is essentially the same as those in the untwisted case given by H.-Lepowsky.
- Let G be a group of automorphisms of V and C the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G.
- Let W₁ and W₂ be g₁- and g₂-twisted V-modules, respectively, in C. A P(z)-product of W₁ and W₂ is a pair (W₃, I) consisting of a g₁g₂-twisted V-module W₃ in C and a twisted P(z)-intertwining map I of type (^{W₃}<sub>W₁W₂).
 </sub>
- A P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 is a P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ satisfying the following universal property: For any P(z)-product (W_3, I) of W_1 and W_2 , there exists a unique module map $f : W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 \to W_3$ such that $I = \overline{f} \circ \boxtimes_{P(z)}$, where \overline{f} is the natural extension of f to $\overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- The definition and construction of *P*(*z*)-tensor product below is essentially the same as those in the untwisted case given by H.-Lepowsky.
- Let G be a group of automorphisms of V and C the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G.
- Let W₁ and W₂ be g₁- and g₂-twisted V-modules, respectively, in C. A P(z)-product of W₁ and W₂ is a pair (W₃, I) consisting of a g₁g₂-twisted V-module W₃ in C and a twisted P(z)-intertwining map I of type (^{W₃}<sub>W₁W₂).
 </sub>
- A P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 is a P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ satisfying the following universal property: For any P(z)-product (W_3, I) of W_1 and W_2 , there exists a unique module map $f : W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 \to W_3$ such that $I = \overline{f} \circ \boxtimes_{P(z)}$, where \overline{f} is the natural extension of f to $\overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$.

- The definition and construction of *P*(*z*)-tensor product below is essentially the same as those in the untwisted case given by H.-Lepowsky.
- Let G be a group of automorphisms of V and C the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G.
- Let W₁ and W₂ be g₁- and g₂-twisted V-modules, respectively, in C. A P(z)-product of W₁ and W₂ is a pair (W₃, I) consisting of a g₁g₂-twisted V-module W₃ in C and a twisted P(z)-intertwining map I of type (^{W₃}<sub>W₁W₂).
 </sub>
- A P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 is a P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ satisfying the following universal property: For any P(z)-product (W_3, I) of W_1 and W_2 , there exists a unique module map $f : W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 \to W_3$ such that $I = \overline{f} \circ \boxtimes_{P(z)}$, where \overline{f} is the natural extension of f to $\overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$.

- The definition and construction of *P*(*z*)-tensor product below is essentially the same as those in the untwisted case given by H.-Lepowsky.
- Let G be a group of automorphisms of V and C the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G.
- Let W₁ and W₂ be g₁- and g₂-twisted V-modules, respectively, in C. A P(z)-product of W₁ and W₂ is a pair (W₃, I) consisting of a g₁g₂-twisted V-module W₃ in C and a twisted P(z)-intertwining map I of type (^{W₃}_{W₁W₂}).
- A P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 is a P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ satisfying the following universal property: For any P(z)-product (W_3, I) of W_1 and W_2 , there exists a unique module map $f : W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 \to W_3$ such that $I = \overline{f} \circ \boxtimes_{P(z)}$, where \overline{f} is the natural extension of f to $\overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$.

- The definition and construction of *P*(*z*)-tensor product below is essentially the same as those in the untwisted case given by H.-Lepowsky.
- Let G be a group of automorphisms of V and C the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G.
- Let W₁ and W₂ be g₁- and g₂-twisted V-modules, respectively, in C. A P(z)-product of W₁ and W₂ is a pair (W₃, I) consisting of a g₁g₂-twisted V-module W₃ in C and a twisted P(z)-intertwining map I of type (^{W₃}_{W₁W₂}).
- A P(z)-tensor product of W₁ and W₂ is a P(z)-product (W₁ ⊠_{P(z)} W₂, ⊠_{P(z)}) satisfying the following universal property: For any P(z)-product (W₃, I) of W₁ and W₂, there exists a unique module map f : W₁ ⊠_{P(z)} W₂ → W₃ such that I = f ∘ ⊠_{P(z)}, where f is the natural extension of f to W₁ ⊠_{P(z)} W₂.

- The definition and construction of *P*(*z*)-tensor product below is essentially the same as those in the untwisted case given by H.-Lepowsky.
- Let G be a group of automorphisms of V and C the category of grading-restricted generalized g-twisted V-modules for g ∈ G.
- Let W₁ and W₂ be g₁- and g₂-twisted V-modules, respectively, in C. A P(z)-product of W₁ and W₂ is a pair (W₃, I) consisting of a g₁g₂-twisted V-module W₃ in C and a twisted P(z)-intertwining map I of type (^{W₃}_{W₁W₂}).
- A P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 is a P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ satisfying the following universal property: For any P(z)-product (W_3, I) of W_1 and W_2 , there exists a unique module map $f : W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 \to W_3$ such that $I = \overline{f} \circ \boxtimes_{P(z)}$, where \overline{f} is the natural extension of f to $\overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$.

- Given a P(z)-product (W_3, I) of W_1 and W_2 , for $w'_3 \in W'_3$, we have an element $\lambda_{I,w'_3} \in (W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$ defined by $\lambda_{I,w'}(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \langle w'_2, I(w_1 \otimes w_2) \rangle$ for $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$.
- Let W₁ □_{P(z)} W₂ be the subspace of (W₁ ⊗ W₂)* consisting of λ_{I,w'₃} for all P(z)-products (W₃, I) and w'₃ ∈ W'₃.
- For $v \in V$ and $\lambda_{I,W'_3} \in W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$, we define

$$Y_{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}(v, x) \lambda_{l, W'_3} = \lambda_{l, Y_{W'_3}^{(g_1 g_2)^{-1}}(v, x) W'_3}$$

We now assume that W₁ □_{P(z)} W₂ is in C (see a theorem below).
 Let

$$W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 = (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'.$$

- Given a *P*(*z*)-product (*W*₃, *I*) of *W*₁ and *W*₂, for *w*'₃ ∈ *W*'₃, we have an element λ_{*I*,*w*'₃} ∈ (*W*₁ ⊗ *W*₂)* defined by λ<sub>*I*,*w*'₃(*w*₁ ⊗ *w*₂) = ⟨*w*'₃, *I*(*w*₁ ⊗ *w*₂)⟩ for *w*₁ ∈ *W*₁ and *w*₂ ∈ *W*₂.
 </sub>
- Let W₁ □_{P(z)} W₂ be the subspace of (W₁ ⊗ W₂)* consisting of λ_{I,w'₃} for all P(z)-products (W₃, I) and w'₃ ∈ W'₃.
- For $v \in V$ and $\lambda_{I,W'_3} \in W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$, we define

$$Y_{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}(v, x) \lambda_{l, w'_3} = \lambda_{l, Y_{W'_3}^{(g_1 g_2)^{-1}}(v, x) w'_3}$$

We now assume that W₁ □_{P(z)} W₂ is in C (see a theorem below).
 Let

$$W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 = (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'.$$

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- Given a P(z)-product (W_3, I) of W_1 and W_2 , for $w'_3 \in W'_3$, we have an element $\lambda_{I,w'_3} \in (W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$ defined by $\lambda_{I,w'_2}(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \langle w'_3, I(w_1 \otimes w_2) \rangle$ for $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$.
- Let $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$ be the subspace of $(W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$ consisting of λ_{I,w'_3} for all P(z)-products (W_3, I) and $w'_3 \in W'_3$.
- For $v \in V$ and $\lambda_{I, W'_3} \in W_1 \boxtimes_{P(Z)} W_2$, we define

$$Y_{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}(v, x) \lambda_{l, w'_3} = \lambda_{l, Y_{W'_3}^{(g_1 g_2)^{-1}}(v, x) w'_3}$$

We now assume that W₁ □_{P(z)} W₂ is in C (see a theorem below).
 Let

$$W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 = (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'.$$

- Given a *P*(*z*)-product (*W*₃, *I*) of *W*₁ and *W*₂, for *w*'₃ ∈ *W*'₃, we have an element λ_{*I*,*w*'₃} ∈ (*W*₁ ⊗ *W*₂)* defined by λ<sub>*I*,*w*'₃(*w*₁ ⊗ *w*₂) = ⟨*w*'₃, *I*(*w*₁ ⊗ *w*₂)⟩ for *w*₁ ∈ *W*₁ and *w*₂ ∈ *W*₂.
 </sub>
- Let $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$ be the subspace of $(W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$ consisting of λ_{I,w'_3} for all P(z)-products (W_3, I) and $w'_3 \in W'_3$.
- For $v \in V$ and $\lambda_{l,w'_3} \in W_1 \boxtimes_{P(Z)} W_2$, we define

$$Y_{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}(v, x) \lambda_{I, W'_3} = \lambda_{I, Y^{(g_1, g_2)^{-1}}_{W'_3}(v, x) W'_3}.$$

We now assume that W₁ □_{P(z)} W₂ is in C (see a theorem below).
 Let

$$W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 = (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'.$$

- Given a P(z)-product (W_3, I) of W_1 and W_2 , for $w'_3 \in W'_3$, we have an element $\lambda_{I,w'_3} \in (W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$ defined by $\lambda_{I,w'_3}(w_1 \otimes w_2) = \langle w'_3, I(w_1 \otimes w_2) \rangle$ for $w_1 \in W_1$ and $w_2 \in W_2$.
- Let $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$ be the subspace of $(W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$ consisting of λ_{I,w'_3} for all P(z)-products (W_3, I) and $w'_3 \in W'_3$.
- For $v \in V$ and $\lambda_{l,w'_3} \in W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$, we define

$$Y_{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}(v, x) \lambda_{I, W'_3} = \lambda_{I, Y^{(g_1, g_2)^{-1}}_{W'_3}(v, x) W'_3}.$$

We now assume that W₁□_{P(z)} W₂ is in C (see a theorem below).
 Let

$$W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 = (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'.$$

- We still need to give a P(z)-intertwining map $\boxtimes_{P(z)}$.
- We define an intertwining operator 𝒱 of type (^{W₁⊠_{P(2)}W₂}_{W₁W₂}) as follows: For w₁ ∈ W₁, w₂ ∈ W₂ and λ ∈ W₁⊠_{P(z)}W₂, by

$$\langle \lambda, \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2 \rangle = \lambda(w_1 \otimes w_2),$$

we obtain $\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2 \in \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{\mathcal{P}(z)} W_2}$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2 = \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}\left(\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_1, z\right) \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_2.$$

• Let $\boxtimes_{P(z)} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$ be the P(z)-intertwining map defined by $\boxtimes_{P(z)} (w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2$.
- We still need to give a P(z)-intertwining map $\boxtimes_{P(z)}$.
- We define an intertwining operator \mathcal{Y} of type $\binom{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}{W_1 W_2}$ as follows: For $w_1 \in W_1$, $w_2 \in W_2$ and $\lambda \in W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$, by

$$\langle \lambda, \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2 \rangle = \lambda(w_1 \otimes w_2),$$

we obtain $\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2 \in \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2 = \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}\left(\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_1, z\right) \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_2.$$

• Let $\boxtimes_{P(z)} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$ be the P(z)-intertwining map defined by $\boxtimes_{P(z)} (w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2$.

-

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- We still need to give a P(z)-intertwining map $\boxtimes_{P(z)}$.
- We define an intertwining operator 𝒱 of type (^{W1⊠_{P(2)}W₂}_{W1W₂}) as follows: For w₁ ∈ W₁, w₂ ∈ W₂ and λ ∈ W₁⊠_{P(2)}W₂, by

 $\langle \lambda, \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2 \rangle = \lambda(w_1 \otimes w_2),$

we obtain $\mathcal{Y}(w_1,z)w_2\in \overline{W_1\boxtimes_{\mathcal{P}(z)}W_2}.$ Then we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2 = \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}\left(\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_1, z\right) \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_2.$$

• Let $\boxtimes_{P(z)} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$ be the P(z)-intertwining map defined by $\boxtimes_{P(z)} (w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2$.

- We still need to give a P(z)-intertwining map $\boxtimes_{P(z)}$.
- We define an intertwining operator 𝔅 of type (^{W₁⊠_{P(2)}W₂}_{W₁W₂}) as follows: For w₁ ∈ W₁, w₂ ∈ W₂ and λ ∈ W₁□_{P(z)}W₂, by

$$\langle \lambda, \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2 \rangle = \lambda(w_1 \otimes w_2),$$

we obtain $\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2 \in \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2 = \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}\left(\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_1, z\right) \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_2.$$

• Let $\boxtimes_{P(z)} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$ be the P(z)-intertwining map defined by $\boxtimes_{P(z)} (w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2$.

- We still need to give a P(z)-intertwining map $\boxtimes_{P(z)}$.
- We define an intertwining operator 𝔅 of type (^{W₁⊠_{P(z)}W₂}_{W₁W₂}) as follows: For w₁ ∈ W₁, w₂ ∈ W₂ and λ ∈ W₁□_{P(z)}W₂, by

$$\langle \lambda, \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2 \rangle = \lambda(w_1 \otimes w_2),$$

we obtain $\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2 \in \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2 = \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}\left(\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_1, z\right) \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_2.$$

• Let $\boxtimes_{P(z)} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$ be the P(z)-intertwining map defined by $\boxtimes_{P(z)} (w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2$.

- We still need to give a P(z)-intertwining map $\boxtimes_{P(z)}$.
- We define an intertwining operator 𝔅 of type (^{W₁⊠_{P(z)}W₂}_{W₁W₂}) as follows: For w₁ ∈ W₁, w₂ ∈ W₂ and λ ∈ W₁□_{P(z)}W₂, by

$$\langle \lambda, \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2 \rangle = \lambda(w_1 \otimes w_2),$$

we obtain $\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z)w_2 \in \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{Y}(w_1, x)w_2 = \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{L(0)} \mathcal{Y}\left(\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_1, z\right) \left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{-L(0)} w_2.$$

• Let $\boxtimes_{P(z)} : W_1 \otimes W_2 \to \overline{W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2}$ be the P(z)-intertwining map defined by $\boxtimes_{P(z)} (w_1 \otimes w_2) = \mathcal{Y}(w_1, z) w_2$ for $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2$.

3

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

The P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ is a P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 .

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- There are only finitely many irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules.
- Every grading-restricted generalized twisted V-module is completely reducible.
- The fusion rules among irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules are finite.

Then $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$ is in C.

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

The P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ is a P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 .

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- There are only finitely many irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules.
- Every grading-restricted generalized twisted V-module is completely reducible.
- The fusion rules among irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules are finite.

Then $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$ is in C.

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

The P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ is a P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 .

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- There are only finitely many irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules.
- Every grading-restricted generalized twisted V-module is completely reducible.
- The fusion rules among irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules are finite.

Then $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$ is in C.

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

The P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ is a P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 .

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- There are only finitely many irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules.
- Every grading-restricted generalized twisted V-module is completely reducible.
- The fusion rules among irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules are finite.

Then $W_1 \square_{P(z)} W_2$ is in C.

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

The P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ is a P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 .

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- There are only finitely many irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules.
- Every grading-restricted generalized twisted V-module is completely reducible.
- The fusion rules among irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules are finite.

Then $W_1 \square_{P(z)} W_2$ is in C.

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

The P(z)-product $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2, \boxtimes_{P(z)})$ is a P(z)-tensor product of W_1 and W_2 .

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- There are only finitely many irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules.
- Every grading-restricted generalized twisted V-module is completely reducible.
- The fusion rules among irreducible grading-restricted twisted V-modules are finite.

Then $W_1 \square_{P(z)} W_2$ is in C.

 For a twisted intertwining operator 𝒴 of type (^{W3}_{W1W2}), let Ω(𝒴) : W2 ⊗ W1 → W3{x}[log x], w2 ⊗ w1 ↦ Ω(𝒴)(w2, x)w1 be the linear map defined by

$$\Omega(\mathcal{Y})(W_2, x)W_1 = e^{xL(-1)}\mathcal{Y}(W_1, y)W_2\Big|_{y^n = e^{n(\log z - \pi i)}, \log y = \log z - \pi i}$$

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

 $\Omega(\mathcal{Y})$ is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{\phi_{q_1}(W_2)W_1}$.

Using the skew-symmetry isomorphism Ω(𝔅), we obtain a *G*-commutativity isomorphism
 *R*_{P(z)} : *W*₁ ⊠_{P(z)} *W*₂ → φ_{g1}(*W*₂) ⊠_{P(-z)} *W*₁ and a *G*-braiding isomorhism 𝔅 : *W*₁ ⊠_{P(1)} *W*₂ → φ_{g1}(*W*₂) ⊠_{P(1)} *W*₁.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

 For a twisted intertwining operator 𝒴 of type (^{W3}_{W1W2}), let Ω(𝒴) : W₂ ⊗ W₁ → W₃{x}[log x], w₂ ⊗ w₁ ↦ Ω(𝒴)(w₂, x)w₁ be the linear map defined by

$$\Omega(\mathcal{Y})(w_2, x)w_1 = e^{xL(-1)}\mathcal{Y}(w_1, y)w_2\Big|_{y^n = e^{n(\log z - \pi i)}, \log y = \log z - \pi i}$$

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

 $\Omega(\mathcal{Y})$ is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{\phi_{q_4}(W_2)W_1}$.

Using the skew-symmetry isomorphism Ω(𝔅), we obtain a *G*-commutativity isomorphism
 *R*_{P(z)} : *W*₁ ⊠_{P(z)} *W*₂ → φ_{g1}(*W*₂) ⊠_{P(-z)} *W*₁ and a *G*-braiding isomorhism 𝔅 : *W*₁ ⊠_{P(1)} *W*₂ → φ_{g1}(*W*₂) ⊠_{P(1)} *W*₁.

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A

 For a twisted intertwining operator 𝒴 of type (^{W3}_{W1W2}), let Ω(𝒴) : W₂ ⊗ W₁ → W₃{x}[log x], w₂ ⊗ w₁ ↦ Ω(𝒴)(w₂, x)w₁ be the linear map defined by

$$\Omega(\mathcal{Y})(w_2, x)w_1 = e^{xL(-1)}\mathcal{Y}(w_1, y)w_2\Big|_{y^n = e^{n(\log z - \pi i)}, \log y = \log z - \pi i}$$

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

 $\Omega(\mathcal{Y})$ is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{\phi_{g_1}(W_2)W_1}$.

Using the skew-symmetry isomorphism Ω(𝒱), we obtain a *G*-commutativity isomorphism
 *R*_{P(z)} : W₁ ⊠_{P(z)} W₂ → φ_{g1}(W₂) ⊠_{P(-z)} W₁ and a *G*-braiding isomorhism 𝔅 : W₁ ⊠_{P(1)} W₂ → φ_{g1}(W₂) ⊠_{P(1)} W₁.

 For a twisted intertwining operator 𝒴 of type (^{W3}_{W1W2}), let Ω(𝒴) : W₂ ⊗ W₁ → W₃{x}[log x], w₂ ⊗ w₁ ↦ Ω(𝒴)(w₂, x)w₁ be the linear map defined by

$$\Omega(\mathcal{Y})(w_2, x)w_1 = e^{xL(-1)}\mathcal{Y}(w_1, y)w_2\Big|_{y^n = e^{n(\log z - \pi i)}, \log y = \log z - \pi i}$$

Theorem (Jishen Du and H.)

 $\Omega(\mathcal{Y})$ is a twisted intertwining operator of type $\binom{W_3}{\phi_{q_1}(W_2)W_1}$.

• Using the skew-symmetry isomorphism $\Omega(\mathcal{Y})$, we obtain a *G*-commutativity isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{P(z)} : W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2 \to \phi_{g_1}(W_2) \boxtimes_{P(-z)} W_1$ and a *G*-braiding isomorhism $\mathcal{B} : W_1 \boxtimes_{P(1)} W_2 \to \phi_{g_1}(W_2) \boxtimes_{P(1)} W_1$.

- As in the untwisted case, the first major difficulty is the construction of associativity isomorphisms.
- The existence of the associativity isomorphism is in fact equivalent to the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- One of the main technique used in the proof of the associativity of intertwining operators in the untwisted case is another construction of the tensor product using the P(z)-compatibility condition and P(z)-grading-restriction condition.
- In our case, Jishen Du and I find a P(z)-compatibility condition, a P(z)-grading-restriction condition and give another construction of the tensor product using these conditions. (See Jishen Du's talk.)

- As in the untwisted case, the first major difficulty is the construction of associativity isomorphisms.
- The existence of the associativity isomorphism is in fact equivalent to the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- One of the main technique used in the proof of the associativity of intertwining operators in the untwisted case is another construction of the tensor product using the P(z)-compatibility condition and P(z)-grading-restriction condition.
- In our case, Jishen Du and I find a P(z)-compatibility condition, a P(z)-grading-restriction condition and give another construction of the tensor product using these conditions. (See Jishen Du's talk.)

- As in the untwisted case, the first major difficulty is the construction of associativity isomorphisms.
- The existence of the associativity isomorphism is in fact equivalent to the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- One of the main technique used in the proof of the associativity of intertwining operators in the untwisted case is another construction of the tensor product using the P(z)-compatibility condition and P(z)-grading-restriction condition.
- In our case, Jishen Du and I find a P(z)-compatibility condition, a P(z)-grading-restriction condition and give another construction of the tensor product using these conditions. (See Jishen Du's talk.)

- As in the untwisted case, the first major difficulty is the construction of associativity isomorphisms.
- The existence of the associativity isomorphism is in fact equivalent to the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- One of the main technique used in the proof of the associativity of intertwining operators in the untwisted case is another construction of the tensor product using the P(z)-compatibility condition and P(z)-grading-restriction condition.
- In our case, Jishen Du and I find a P(z)-compatibility condition, a P(z)-grading-restriction condition and give another construction of the tensor product using these conditions. (See Jishen Du's talk.)

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- As in the untwisted case, the first major difficulty is the construction of associativity isomorphisms.
- The existence of the associativity isomorphism is in fact equivalent to the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- One of the main technique used in the proof of the associativity of intertwining operators in the untwisted case is another construction of the tensor product using the P(z)-compatibility condition and P(z)-grading-restriction condition.
- In our case, Jishen Du and I find a P(z)-compatibility condition, a P(z)-grading-restriction condition and give another construction of the tensor product using these conditions. (See Jishen Du's talk.)

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- Under the assumption that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy a convergence and extension property, Jishen Du is making progress on the proof of the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- To prove the convergence and extension property, we need to show that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy differential equations with regular singular points.
- Dan Tan derived such differential equations in certain special cases under a suitable C₁-cofiniteness condition on twisted modules.
- In the case that the automorphisms involved are of infinite order, the singularities of these differential equations are not regular.
- This means that we will need new tools and techniques.

3

- Under the assumption that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy a convergence and extension property, Jishen Du is making progress on the proof of the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- To prove the convergence and extension property, we need to show that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy differential equations with regular singular points.
- Dan Tan derived such differential equations in certain special cases under a suitable *C*₁-cofiniteness condition on twisted modules.
- In the case that the automorphisms involved are of infinite order, the singularities of these differential equations are not regular.
- This means that we will need new tools and techniques.

3

- Under the assumption that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy a convergence and extension property, Jishen Du is making progress on the proof of the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- To prove the convergence and extension property, we need to show that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy differential equations with regular singular points.
- Dan Tan derived such differential equations in certain special cases under a suitable C₁-cofiniteness condition on twisted modules.
- In the case that the automorphisms involved are of infinite order, the singularities of these differential equations are not regular.
- This means that we will need new tools and techniques.

3

- Under the assumption that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy a convergence and extension property, Jishen Du is making progress on the proof of the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- To prove the convergence and extension property, we need to show that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy differential equations with regular singular points.
- Dan Tan derived such differential equations in certain special cases under a suitable C₁-cofiniteness condition on twisted modules.
- In the case that the automorphisms involved are of infinite order, the singularities of these differential equations are not regular.
- This means that we will need new tools and techniques.

3

- Under the assumption that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy a convergence and extension property, Jishen Du is making progress on the proof of the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- To prove the convergence and extension property, we need to show that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy differential equations with regular singular points.
- Dan Tan derived such differential equations in certain special cases under a suitable C₁-cofiniteness condition on twisted modules.
- In the case that the automorphisms involved are of infinite order, the singularities of these differential equations are not regular.
- This means that we will need new tools and techniques.

- Under the assumption that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy a convergence and extension property, Jishen Du is making progress on the proof of the associativity of twisted intertwining operators.
- To prove the convergence and extension property, we need to show that the products of twisted intertwining operators satisfy differential equations with regular singular points.
- Dan Tan derived such differential equations in certain special cases under a suitable C₁-cofiniteness condition on twisted modules.
- In the case that the automorphisms involved are of infinite order, the singularities of these differential equations are not regular.
- This means that we will need new tools and techniques.

3

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

THANK YOU!

Yi-Zhi Huang (Rutgers)

Orbifold conformal field theory

October 19, 2024 25/25

э