

Addendum to the proof of Proposition 1.4 in [H]

Yi-Zhi Huang

Replacing the first sentence "Conversely, given any element of $H_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(V, W)$, let $\Phi \in C_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(V, W)$ be a representative of this element. " in the last paragraph on Pagd 14 of [H] by the following:

Conversely, given any element of $H_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(V, W)$, let $\Phi \in C_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(V, W)$ be a representative of this element satisfying $(\Phi(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_2) = 0$. We need to show that we can indeed find such a representative. Let $\tilde{\Phi}$ be an arbitrary representative of this element. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle w', ((\delta_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \tilde{\Phi})(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3))(z_1, z_2, z_3) \rangle \\ &= R \left(\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(v_2 \otimes v_3))(z_2, z_3) \rangle + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes Y(v_2, z_2 - z_3)v_3))(z_1, z_3) \rangle \right) \\ & \quad - R \left(\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(Y(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2 \otimes v_3))(z_2, z_3) \rangle + \langle w', Y(v_3, z_3)(\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes v_2))(z_1, z_2) \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 \tilde{\Phi} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & R \left(\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(v_2 \otimes v_3))(z_2, z_3) \rangle + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes Y(v_2, z_2 - z_3)v_3))(z_1, z_3) \rangle \right) \\ & \quad - R \left(\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(Y(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2 \otimes v_3))(z_2, z_3) \rangle + \langle w', Y(v_3, z_3)(\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes v_2))(z_1, z_2) \rangle \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $v_2 = v_3 = \mathbf{1}$ and use $Y(v_1, z_1 - z_2)\mathbf{1} = e^{(z_1 - z_2)L(-1)}v_1$ and

$$(\tilde{\Phi}(e^{(z_1 - z_2)L(-1)}v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_2, z_3) = (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_3).$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & R \left(\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_2, z_3) \rangle + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_3) \rangle \right) \\ & \quad - R \left(\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_3) \rangle + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_2) \rangle \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

By the $L(-1)$ -derivative property,

$$\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_2, z_3) \rangle = \langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(0, 0) \rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_3) \rangle &= \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle, \\
\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_3) \rangle &= \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle, \\
\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_2) \rangle &= \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle$ and $\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle$ are Laurent polynomials in z_1 so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&R \left(\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(0, 0) \rangle + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle \right) \\
&\quad - R \left(\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle \right) \\
&= \langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(0, 0) \rangle + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle \\
&= \langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(0, 0) \rangle - \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (1), we obtain

$$\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(0, 0) \rangle = \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Let $\Gamma : V \rightarrow \widetilde{W}_{z_1}$ be defined by

$$(\Gamma(v_1))(z_1) = (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0).$$

Since $\tilde{\Phi} \in C_{\frac{1}{2}}^2(V, W)$, we see that $\Gamma \in C^1(V, W)$. By definition and (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle w', ((\delta\Gamma)(v_1 \otimes v_2))(z_1, z_2) \rangle \\
&= R(\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\Gamma(v_2))(z_2) \rangle) - R(\langle w', (\Gamma(Y(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2)(z_2)) \rangle) \\
&\quad + R(\langle w', Y(v_2, z_2)(\Gamma(v_1))(z_1) \rangle) \\
&= R(\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(v_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_2, 0) \rangle) - R(\langle w', ((\tilde{\Phi}(Y(v_1, z_1 - z_2)v_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_2, 0)) \rangle) \\
&\quad + R(\langle w', Y(v_2, z_2)(\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $v_2 = \mathbf{1}$. Then using the formulas above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle w', ((\delta\Gamma)(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_2) \rangle \\
&= R(\langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_2, 0) \rangle) - R(\langle w', ((\tilde{\Phi}(Y(v_1, z_1 - z_2)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_2, 0)) \rangle) \\
&\quad + R(\langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle) \\
&= \langle w', Y(v_1, z_1)(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}))(0, 0) \rangle - \langle w', ((\tilde{\Phi}(v_1, \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle \\
&= \langle w', (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since w' is arbitrary, we have

$$((\delta(\Gamma)(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_2) = (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0).$$

Now let $\Phi = \tilde{\Phi} - \delta\Gamma$. Then Φ is a representative of the same cohomology class as $\tilde{\Phi}$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_2) &= (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_2) - ((\delta(\Gamma)(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, z_2) \\ &= (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) - (\tilde{\Phi}(v_1 \otimes \mathbf{1}))(z_1, 0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the existence of such a representative Φ .

References

- [H] Y.-Z. Huang, First and second cohomologies of grading-restricted vertex algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **327** (2014), 261-278.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN RD., PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019