

A DEGREE THEORY FOR SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC OPERATORS WITH NONLINEAR OBLIQUE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

YANYAN LI, JIAKUN LIU, AND LUC NGUYEN

Dedicated to Paul H. Rabinowitz on his 75th birthday with admiration

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce an integer-valued degree for second order fully nonlinear elliptic operators with nonlinear oblique boundary conditions. We also give some applications to the existence of solutions of certain nonlinear elliptic equations arising from a Yamabe problem with boundary and reflector problems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Degree theories are very useful in the study of partial differential equations, for example, in the study of existence and multiplicities of solutions, eigenvalue and bifurcation problems. See for example [4, 12, 20, 21, 22].

In [13], the first named author introduced a degree theory for second order nonlinear elliptic operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions. It is natural to ask for a degree theory for other boundary operators. Problems with nonlinear oblique boundary conditions have been considered in the literature for some time, see e.g. [3, 8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23, 24].

For example, in the study of boundary Yamabe problems [3, 9, 14, 15], one considers the boundary condition

$$(1.1) \quad h_{u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g} := u^{-\frac{n}{n-2}} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \frac{n-2}{2} h_g u \right] = c \quad \text{on } \partial M,$$

where ∂M is the boundary of a smooth Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$, ν is the outer unit normal to ∂M and h_g is the mean curvature of ∂M . (1.1) is a semi-linear Neumann boundary condition.

More recently, in the study of a near field reflector problem [18] one has the boundary condition

$$(1.2) \quad T_u(\Omega) = \Omega^*,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.*

Key words and phrases.

©2012 by the authors. All rights reserved.

where Ω, Ω^* are two bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and T_u is the reflection mapping given by

$$T_u(x) = \frac{2Du}{|Du|^2 - (u - Du \cdot x)^2}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

The boundary condition (1.2) is fully nonlinear, and in [18] it was shown that (1.2) is oblique for any admissible solution u .

The equations associated with (1.1) and (1.2) are Hessian and Monge-Ampère types, respectively.

The main goal of the present paper is to define a degree theory, along the line of [13, 14] for fully nonlinear elliptic operators with fully nonlinear oblique boundary conditions. See Section 2 for the statement and Sections 3-6 for its proof. As applications, in Section 7, we outline how our degree theory can be used to prove the existence of solutions of the boundary Yamabe problem and the near-field reflector problem. In the Appendix, we collect some properties of the Laplace operator $\Delta : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$ for $s \in [0, 2]$ on a compact Riemannian manifold, which are needed in the body of the paper.

2. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we introduce a degree theory for second order fully nonlinear elliptic operators with nonlinear oblique boundary conditions of general form,

$$(2.1) \quad F[u] = f(\cdot, u, Du, D^2u), \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(2.2) \quad G[u] = g(\cdot, u, Du), \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain in Euclidean n -space, $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $f \in C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{S}^n)$ and $g \in C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ are real valued functions, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Here $\mathcal{S}^n$ denotes the $n(n+1)/2$ dimensional linear space of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices, and $Du = (D_i u)$ and $D^2u = [D_{ij}u]$ denote the gradient vector and Hessian matrix of the real valued function u .

Letting (x, z, p, r) denote points in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{S}^n$, we shall adopt the following definitions of ellipticity and obliqueness for operators F and G [6]. An operator $F : C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ is *uniformly elliptic* on some bounded open subset $\mathcal{O}$ of $C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ if there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{O}, x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there holds

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_{ij}}(x, u, Du, D^2u) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \lambda |\xi|^2.$$

An operator $G : C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{3,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ is *uniformly oblique* on $\mathcal{O}$ if there exists a constant $\chi > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{O}$ and $x \in \partial\Omega$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial p}(x, u, Du) \cdot \gamma(x) \geq \chi,$$

where $\gamma(x)$ denotes the outer unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ at x .

Let $\mathcal{O} \subset C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a bounded open set with $\partial\mathcal{O} \cap (F, G)^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$. Suppose that F is uniformly elliptic on $\mathcal{O}$ and G is uniformly oblique on $\mathcal{O}$. We will define an integer-valued degree for (F, G) on $\mathcal{O}$ at 0 along the line of [13, 14].

Theorem 1. *There exists a unique integer-valued degree*

$$\deg : \left\{ ((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) : (F, G) \text{ and } \mathcal{O} \text{ are as above} \right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which satisfies the following three properties:

- (p1) $\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}_1, 0) + \deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}_2, 0)$ whenever $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ are open disjoint subsets of $\mathcal{O}$ satisfying $(F, G)^{-1}(0) \cap (\mathcal{O} \setminus (\mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2)) = \emptyset$.
- (p2) Homotopy invariance property: *If $t \mapsto (f_t, g_t)$ is continuous from $[0, 1]$ to $C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{S}^n) \times C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, F_t is elliptic on $\mathcal{O}$, G_t is oblique on $\mathcal{O}$ (both uniformly in $t \in [0, 1]$), and $\partial\mathcal{O} \cap (F_t, G_t)^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, then $\deg((F_t, G_t), \mathcal{O}, 0)$ is independent of t .*
- (p3) Compatibility with Leray-Schauder degree: *If (F, G) is an invertible linear operator and $\mathcal{O}$ is a neighborhood of 0, then*

$$\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = (-1)^{\dim E^-(F, G)}$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad E^-(F, G) = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i < 0} \left\{ u \in C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) : -(F[u], G[u]) = (\lambda_i u, 0) \right\}.$$

As usual, the basic properties (p1)–(p3) imply immediate consequences which we list below.

- Corollary 2.1.** (a) *If $\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) \neq 0$, then there exists $u \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $(F[u], G[u]) = 0$.*
 (b) *If $\bar{\mathcal{U}} \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{U}} \cap (F, G)^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$, then $\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg((F, G), \mathcal{O} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{U}}, 0)$.*
 (c) *If $(F_1, G_1)|_{\partial\mathcal{O}} = (F_2, G_2)|_{\partial\mathcal{O}}$, then $\deg((F_1, G_1), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg((F_2, G_2), \mathcal{O}, 0)$.*
 (d) *If $(F, G)(u_0) = 0$, (F, G) is Fréchet differentiable at u_0 and $(F', G')(u_0)$ is invertible. Then $\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg((F', G'), \mathcal{B}, 0)$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is a neighborhood of u_0 in $C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ which does not contain any other points of $(F, G)^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is any bounded open set containing the origin.*

The next property is a mild extension of property (p3).

Corollary 2.2. (e) *Assume (F, G) has linear leading terms, $(F, G) = (F_1, G_1) + (F_2, G_2)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1, G_1)[u] &= (a_{ij}(x)u_{ij} + b_i(x)u_i + c(x)u, (\beta_i(x)u_i + \ell(x)u)|_{\partial\Omega}) \\ (F_2, G_2)[u] &= (f_*(x, u, Du), b_*(x, u)|_{\partial\Omega}), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{ij}, b_i, c \in C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\beta_i, \ell \in C^{4,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$, $f_* \in C^{3,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and $b_* \in C^{4,\alpha}(\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$. Assume that F is elliptic, i.e. $(a_{ij}) > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega}$, and G is oblique, i.e. $\beta \cdot \gamma > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where γ denotes the unit outer normal of $\partial\Omega$.

If (F_1, G_1) is invertible, then for any open bounded $\mathcal{O} \in C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $\partial\mathcal{O} \cap (F, G)^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$, there holds

$$\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = (-1)^{\dim E^-(F_1, G_1)} \deg_{L.S.}(Id + (F_1, G_1)^{-1} \circ (F_2, G_2), \mathcal{O}, 0),$$

where $E^-(F_1, G_1)$ is defined as in (2.5).

3. DEFINITION OF THE DEGREE

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} S &: C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \\ (3.1) \quad u &\mapsto (\Delta u, (\gamma_i D_i u + u)|_{\partial\Omega}), \end{aligned}$$

where γ is the outer unit normal of $\partial\Omega$, and

$$\begin{aligned} T &: C^{3,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \\ (3.2) \quad u &\mapsto \Delta_T u - u, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_T denotes the tangential Laplacian over $\partial\Omega$. It is well-known that S, T are isomorphisms.

Let $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}$ be the composite maps as follows,

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{F} = (\tilde{F}_{(1)}, \tilde{F}_{(2)}) = S \circ F : C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{G} = T \circ G : C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega).$$

Since S and T are isomorphisms, $(F, G) = 0$ is equivalent to $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) = 0$. We are going to define a degree for (F, G) by defining a degree for $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G})$.

As in [13], we write

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{F}_{(1)}[u] = a_{st}(x, u, Du, D^2u) D_{iist}u + C_*(x, u, Du, D^2u, D^3u),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{F}_{(2)}[u] = (a_{st}(x, u, Du, D^2u) D_{sti}u \gamma_i + E_*(x, u, Du, D^2u))|_{\partial\Omega},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{G}[u] = (b_i(x, u, Du) \Delta_T(D_i u) + H_*(x, u, Du, D^2u))|_{\partial\Omega},$$

where

$$a_{st}(x, u, Du, D^2u) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_{st}}(x, u, Du, D^2u),$$

$$b_i(x, u, Du) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i}(x, u, Du).$$

To freeze coefficients in (3.5)–(3.7), we make use of the following result, whose proof is postpone until Section 6.

Theorem 2. *Let $a_{st} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, $b_i \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$, where $1 \leq i, s, t \leq n$. Assume that (a_{st}) is symmetric and there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $a_{st}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \geq \lambda|\xi|^2$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, and there exists $\chi > 0$ such that $b_i(x)\gamma_i(x) \geq \chi$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$. For a constant N , define*

$$\begin{aligned} L^N &: C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \\ w &\mapsto (L_{(1)}^N w, L_{(2)}^N w, L_{(3)}^N w), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(1)}^N w &= a_{st} D_{iist} w - N a_{st} D_{st} w, \\ L_{(2)}^N w &= (a_{st} D_{sti} w \gamma_i)|_{\partial\Omega}, \\ L_{(3)}^N w &= (b_i \triangle_T(D_i w) - N b_i D_i w - N w)|_{\partial\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists some constant N_0 , depending only on $\|a_{st}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}, \|b_i\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}, n, \lambda, \chi$ such that L^N is an isomorphism for all $N > N_0$. Furthermore, L^N depends continuously on a_{st}, b_i with respect to the corresponding topologies.

We are in a position to define an integer-valued degree for $(F, G) : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{3,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$. Note that $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}$ in (9)–(11) can be represented as

$$(3.8) \quad (\tilde{F}[u], \tilde{G}[u]) = L^{u,N}[u] + R^{u,N}[u],$$

where $L^{u,N} = (L_{(1)}^{u,N}, L_{(2)}^{u,N}, L_{(3)}^{u,N})$ and $R^{u,N} = (R_{(1)}^{u,N}, R_{(2)}^{u,N}, R_{(3)}^{u,N})$ are

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(1)}^{u,N} w &= a_{st}(x, u, Du, D^2 u) D_{iist} w - N a_{st}(x, u, Du, D^2 u) D_{st} w, \\ L_{(2)}^{u,N} w &= (a_{st}(x, u, Du, D^2 u) D_{sti} w \gamma_i)|_{\partial\Omega}, \\ L_{(3)}^{u,N} w &= (b_i(x, u, Du) \triangle_T(D_i w) - N b_i(x, u, Du) D_i w - N w)|_{\partial\Omega}, \\ R_{(1)}^{u,N} w &= N a_{st}(x, u, Du, D^2 u) D_{st} w + C_*(x, u, Du, D^2 u, D^3 u), \\ R_{(2)}^{u,N} w &= E_*(x, u, Du, D^2 u)|_{\partial\Omega}, \\ R_{(3)}^{u,N} w &= N b_i(x, u, Du) D_i w + N w + H_*(x, u, Du, D^2 u)|_{\partial\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

One can see that $R^{u,N}[u]$ maps $C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ into $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$. According to Theorem 2, there exists some positive number N_0 such that $L^{u,N}$ is an isomorphism for any $N > N_0$. By [1, Theorem 7.3], $(L^{u,N})^{-1}$ maps $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ into $C^{5,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, and its norm as a linear map between these spaces is bounded by a constant depends only on $\|a_{st}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}, \|b_i\|_{C^{3,\alpha}}, \lambda$ and χ . It follows that

$$u \mapsto (L^{u,N})^{-1} R^{u,N}[u]$$

is a compact operator from $\mathcal{O}$ to $C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Moreover, $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G})[u] = 0$ is the same as $u + (L^{u,N})^{-1} R^{u,N}[u] = 0$, i.e.,

$$\partial\mathcal{O} \cap (Id + (L^{u,N})^{-1} R^{u,N})^{-1}(0) = \partial\mathcal{O} \cap (F, G)^{-1}(0) = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, we can define the degree of (F, G) as the Leray-Schauder degree of the map $u \mapsto u + (L^{u,N})^{-1}R^{u,N}[u]$. (See e.g. [20] for the definition of the Leray-Schauder degree.) More precisely we have the following definition.

Definition 1. Let (F, G) and $\mathcal{O}$ be as in Theorem 1. We define a degree of (F, G) on $\mathcal{O}$ at 0 by

$$(3.9) \quad \deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg_{L.S.}(Id + (L^{u,N})^{-1}R^{u,N}, \mathcal{O}, 0),$$

where $N > N_0$ and N_0 is the constant in Theorem 2.

Note that, by the homotopy invariance of the Leray-Schauder degree, the degree above is independent of $N > N_0$. In Sections 4 and 5 below, we shall prove Theorem 2 and verify the above defined degree (3.9) satisfies the required properties (p1)–(p3) in Theorem 1.

4. SOME BOUNDARY ESTIMATES

We start with some boundary estimates for linear elliptic systems with oblique boundary conditions. We use B_r^+ to denote $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r, x_n > 0\}$ and let $\Gamma = \{x_n = 0\} \cap B_1$. Fix some integers $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$. In the sequel, repeated Roman indices are summed from 1 to n and repeated Greek indices are summed from 1 to m . Consider the system

$$(4.1) \quad a_{st}^{\alpha\beta} D_{st} u^\beta + d_s^{\alpha\beta} D_s u^\beta + c^{\alpha\beta} u^\beta = f^\alpha \quad \text{in } B_1^+,$$

$$(4.2) \quad b_i^{\alpha\beta} D_i u^\beta = g^\alpha \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

We assume that $a_{st}^{\alpha\beta}$ is uniformly strongly elliptic in B_1^+ , i.e. there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$a_{st}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \xi_s^\alpha \xi_t^\beta \geq \lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in B_1^+ \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m},$$

and $b_i^{\alpha\beta}$ is uniformly oblique along Γ , i.e. there exists $\chi > 0$ such that

$$-b_n^{\alpha\beta}(x) \eta^\alpha \eta^\beta \geq \chi |\eta|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \Gamma \text{ and } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Lemma 1. For $m \geq 1$, assume that $a_{st}^{\alpha\beta} \in W^{1,\infty}(\bar{B}_1^+)$ is uniformly elliptic and symmetric, $d_s^{\alpha\beta} \in L^\infty(B_1^+)$, $c^{\alpha\beta} \in L^\infty(B_1^+)$ and $b_i^{\alpha\beta} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma)$ is uniformly oblique along Γ . Let $F = (f^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^m \in L^2(B_1^+)$, $G = (g^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^m \in L^2(\Gamma)$, and $U = (u^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^m \in H^2(B_1^+)$ be a solution of the oblique boundary value problem (4.1)–(4.2). Then we have the estimate

$$(4.3) \quad \|DU\|_{L^2(B_{1/2}^+)} + \|DU\|_{L^2(\Gamma \cap B_{1/2})} \leq C \left[\|F\|_{L^2(B_1^+)} + \|G\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \|U\|_{L^2(B_1^+)} \right],$$

where C depends only on $\|a_{st}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\bar{B}_1^+)}$, $\|d_s^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)}$, $\|c^{\alpha\beta}\|_{L^\infty(B_1^+)}$, $\|b_i^{\alpha\beta}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma)}$ and the ellipticity and obliqueness constants λ and χ .

Proof. We adapt the proof of the well-known Rellich identity for harmonic functions.

Fix $0 < r_1 < r_2 < 1$. In the sequel, C will denote some positive constant that may vary from line to line and depends only on the coefficients of the equation.

Select a smooth cutoff function φ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \varphi \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_{r_1}(0), \\ \varphi \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n - B_{(r_1+r_2)/2}(0), \\ 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, |D^k \varphi| \leq C A^k, \end{cases}$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} > 1.$$

1. Testing (4.1) against $\varphi^2 U$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{B_{r_1}^+} |DU|^2 \leq C \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |F|^2 + C A^2 \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |U|^2 + C K \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_2}} |U|^2 + K^{-1} \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_2}} |DU|^2$$

for large $K > 0$.

2. Testing (4.1) against $\varphi^2 D_n U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 f^\alpha D_n u^\alpha &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_n u^\alpha D_s u^\beta a_{sn}^{\alpha\beta} + \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 a_{st}^{\alpha\beta} D_s u^\beta D_{nt} u^\alpha \\ &\quad + C A \int_{B_1^+} \varphi |DU|^2 + C \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 |U|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_n u^\alpha D_s u^\beta a_{sn}^{\alpha\beta} + \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 D_n \left(\frac{1}{2} a_{st}^{\alpha\beta} D_s u^\beta D_t u^\alpha \right) \\ &\quad + C A \int_{B_1^+} \varphi |DU|^2 + C \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 |U|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_n u^\alpha D_s u^\beta a_{sn}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{C} \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 |DU|^2 + C A \int_{B_1^+} \varphi |DU|^2 + C \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 |U|^2, \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_1}} |DU|^2 \leq C \max \left\{ 0, \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_n u^\alpha D_s u^\beta a_{sn}^{\alpha\beta} \right\} + C \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |F|^2 + C A \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |DU|^2 + C \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |U|^2.$$

3. Let $\tilde{b}_n^{\beta\alpha}$ be the inverse of $b_n^{\alpha\beta}$, i.e. $\tilde{b}_n^{\beta\alpha} b_n^{\alpha\gamma} = \delta^{\beta\gamma}$. Set $v^\alpha = \tilde{b}_n^{\alpha\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i^{\gamma\mu} D_i u^\mu$. Then

$$D_n u^\alpha = \tilde{b}_n^{\alpha\beta} g^\beta - v^\alpha.$$

Testing (4.1) against $\varphi^2 V = (\varphi^2 v^\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 f^\alpha v^\alpha &= - \int_{B_1^+} (\varphi^2 v^\alpha a_{st}^{\alpha\beta}) D_s u^\beta - \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 v^\alpha a_k^{\alpha\beta} D_k u^\beta \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_s u^\beta v^\alpha a_{sn}^{\alpha\beta} + \int_{B_1^+} \varphi^2 a_{st}^{\alpha\beta} D_t v^\alpha D_s u^\beta \\ &\quad + O \left(A \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |DU|^2 dx + \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |U|^2 dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$a_{st}^{\alpha\beta} D_t v^\alpha D_s u^\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{st}^{\alpha\beta} D_t (\tilde{b}_n^{\alpha\gamma} b_i^{\gamma\mu} D_i u^\mu) D_s u^\beta$$

This implies that

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_s u^\alpha v^\alpha a_{sn} \right| \leq C A^{-1} \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |F|^2 + C A \int_{B_{(r_1+r_2)/2}^+} |DU|^2.$$

Hence, by the uniform obliqueness we obtain in case the integral on the left hand side below is positive that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_s u^\alpha D_n u^\alpha a_{sn} &\leq C \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_s u^\alpha (-b_n) D_n u^\alpha a_{sn} = -C \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 D_s u^\alpha (g^\alpha - v^\alpha) a_{sn} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma} \varphi^2 |DU|^2 + C_\varepsilon \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_2}} |G|^2 \\ &\quad + C A^{-1} \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |F|^2 + C A \int_{B_{(r_1+r_2)/2}^+} |DU|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small. Recalling (4.5) we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_1}} |DU|^2 \leq C A^{-1} \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |F|^2 + C \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_2}} |G|^2 + C A \int_{B_{(r_1+r_2)/2}^+} |DU|^2.$$

Recalling (4.4) with $K = \varepsilon^{-1} A$ for some small $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_1}} |DU|^2 &\leq C A^{-1} \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |F|^2 + C \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_2}} |G|^2 \\ &\quad + C A^3 \int_{B_{r_2}^+} |U|^2 + C \varepsilon^{-1} A^2 \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_2}} |U|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Gamma \cap B_{r_2}} |DU|^2. \end{aligned}$$

4. Let

$$\Phi(r) = \int_{\Gamma \cap B_r} |DU|^2.$$

Then by (4.7) with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(r_1) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi(r_2) + C \int_{B_1^+} |F|^2 + C \int_{\Gamma} |G|^2 + C A^3 \int_{B_1^+} |U|^2 + C A^2 \int_{\Gamma} |U|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Phi(r_2) + \frac{C}{(r_2 - r_1)^3} \left[\int_{B_1^+} |F|^2 + C \int_{\Gamma} |G|^2 + \int_{B_1^+} |U|^2 + \int_{\Gamma} |U|^2 \right] \\ &=: \frac{1}{2} \Phi(r_2) + \frac{C}{(r_2 - r_1)^3} X. \end{aligned}$$

A standard iteration (see e.g. [5, Lemma 1.1]) leads to

$$\Phi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq C X.$$

In other words,

$$\int_{\Gamma \cap B_{1/2}} |DU|^2 \leq C \int_{B_1^+} |F|^2 + C \int_{\Gamma} |G|^2 + C \int_{B_1^+} |U|^2 + C \int_{\Gamma} |U|^2.$$

Combining with (4.4) we conclude the proof. $\square$

As a consequence, we obtain the following boundary estimate for scalar oblique boundary value problems.

Lemma 2. *Assume that $a_{st} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ is uniformly elliptic and symmetric and $b_i \in W^{1,\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ is uniformly oblique along $\partial\Omega$. Let $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and let $w \in H^3(\Omega)$ be a solution of the oblique boundary value problem*

$$(4.9) \quad a_{st} D_{st} w = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(4.10) \quad b_i D_i w + w = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then we have the estimates

$$(4.11) \quad \|w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \|Dw\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)},$$

$$(4.12) \quad \|D^2 w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)},$$

where the constant C depends only on $\|a_{st}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|b_i\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\partial\Omega)}$ and the ellipticity and obliqueness constants λ and χ .

Remark 1. Later on, we will use the following consequence of (4.12):

$$(4.13) \quad \|\nabla_T w_i\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq C \left(\left\| \sum_j b_j \nabla_T w_j \right\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \right)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, where ∇_T denotes the covariant derivative along $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. The proof is based on the local boundary estimate in Lemma 1.

For every point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, we can find some sufficiently small $r > 0$ and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : \Omega \cap B_r(x_0) \rightarrow B_1^+(0)$.

Define

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{w}(y) := w(\Phi^{-1}(y)), \quad (y \in B_1^+).$$

It is straightforward to check that $\tilde{w}$ satisfies

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{a}_{st} D_{st} \tilde{w} + \tilde{d}_s D_s \tilde{w} = 0 & \text{in } B_1^+, \\ \tilde{b}_i D_i \tilde{w} + \tilde{w} = \tilde{g} & \text{on } \Gamma = \{y_n = 0\} \cap B_1. \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{a} = (D\Phi)^T a D\Phi$ is uniformly elliptic, $\tilde{b} = (D\Phi)^T b$ is uniformly oblique, and $\tilde{d} = a_{st} \partial_{st} \Phi$.

Proof of (4.11): Apply Lemma 1 to (4.15) by setting $m = 1, F = 0$ and $G = g - \tilde{w}$, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma \cap B_{1/2}} |D\tilde{w}|^2 + \int_{B_{1/2}^+} |D\tilde{w}|^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\Gamma} |g|^2 + \int_{\Gamma} |\tilde{w}|^2 + \int_{B_1^+} |\tilde{w}|^2 \right).$$

Consequently

$$(4.16) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{r/2}} |Dw|^2 + \int_{\Omega \cap B_{r/2}} |Dw|^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_r} |g|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_r} |w|^2 + \int_{\Omega \cap B_r} |w|^2 \right).$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is compact, we can cover $\partial\Omega$ with finitely many small balls $B_r(x_i)$, $x_i \in \partial\Omega$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Summing the estimates for i from 1 to N , we obtain that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |Dw|^2 + \int_{\Omega_r} |Dw|^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |g|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} |w|^2 + \int_{\Omega_r} |w|^2 \right),$$

for a small constant $r > 0$, where $\Omega_r = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < r\}$. Together with interior L^2 gradient estimates for (4.9), we arrive at

$$(4.17) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} |Dw|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |g|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} |w|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |w|^2 \right).$$

We can then apply a standard argument using compactness and the uniqueness of the problem (4.9)–(4.10) to simplify estimate (4.17) to

$$(4.18) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} |Dw|^2 + \int_{\Omega} |Dw|^2 \leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} |g|^2.$$

This finishes the proof of (4.11).

Proof of (4.12): As before, we first investigate (4.15). Fix some $\tau = 1, \dots, n-1$. By differentiating equation (4.15) with respect to x_τ , one has

$$(4.19) \quad \tilde{a}_{st} D_{st}(D_\tau \tilde{w}) + \tilde{d}_k D_k(D_\tau \tilde{w}) = -D_\tau(\tilde{a}_{st}) D_{st} \tilde{w} - (D_\tau \tilde{d}_k) D_k \tilde{w}.$$

Also, from (4.15), we can write $D_{nn} \tilde{w}$ as a combination of $\{D_{st} \tilde{w} : (s, t) \neq (n, n)\}$ and $\{D_k \tilde{w} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. Thus, $W = (D_\tau \tilde{w})_{\tau=1}^{n-1}$ satisfies

$$(4.20) \quad \tilde{a}_{st} D_{st} W^\tau + \tilde{d}_k^{\tau\tau'} D_k W^{\tau'} = f^\tau$$

for some smooth $d_k^{\tau\tau'}$ and $F = (f^\tau)_{\tau=1}^{n-1}$ satisfying $|F| \leq C|D\tilde{w}|$.

Applying Lemma 1 to (4.20), we have

$$\|DW\|_{L^2(\Gamma \cap B_{1/2})} \leq C \left[\|F\|_{L^2(B_1^+)} + \|b_i D_i W\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \|W\|_{L^2(B_1^+)} + \|W\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right].$$

Returning to w and using the compactness of $\partial\Omega$ and estimate (4.18), we obtain that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |D\nabla_T w|^2 \leq C \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \sum_j b_j \nabla_T w_j \right|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} |g|^2 \right).$$

By the equation, $D_{\gamma\gamma} w$ is also under control. (4.12) is proved. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We assume for now the correctness of Theorem 2, whose proof will be carried out in the next section. Then the degree $\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0)$ in Definition 1 is well-defined. Properties (p1)–(p2) follow from the properties of the Leray-Schauder degree. For (p3), we prove the more general statement in Corollary 2.2. To this end we use the following lemma on the semi-finiteness of a linear operator.

Lemma 3. *Assume $a_{ij} \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, $b_i, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $\beta_i \in W^{1,\infty}(\partial\Omega)$ and $\ell \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. Assume furthermore that $(a_{ij}) > \lambda I$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\beta \cdot \gamma > \chi$ on $\partial\Omega$ for some positive constants λ and χ . Then there exists μ_* depending on $\|a_{ij}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|b_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, $\|c^+\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, $\|\beta_i\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\partial\Omega)}$, $\|\ell^-\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$, λ and χ such that for any $\mu > \mu_*$, the problem*

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} a_{ij}(x) u_{ij} + b_i(x) u_i + c(x) u = \mu u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \beta_i(x) u_i + \ell(x) u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has no non-trivial solution in $H^2(\Omega)$.

Remark 2. If $\ell \geq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and the coefficients are smooth, the result follows directly from the maximum principle. In fact, μ_* can then be taken to be $\|c^+\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega})}$.

Proof. We use energy method. Assume that $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ is a solution to (5.1). We will use C to denote some positive constant which may vary from lines to lines and depends only on $\|a_{ij}\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}$, $\|b_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, $\|c^+\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, $\|\beta_i\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\partial\Omega)}$, $\|\ell^-\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}$, λ and χ . In particular, C is always independent of μ .

Multiplying the first equation in (5.1) by u then integrating over Ω , we get

$$\mu \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \leq -C^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u a_{ij} u_i \gamma_j d\sigma(x).$$

To proceed, we write

$$a_{ij} \gamma_j = p \beta_i + X_i$$

where $p = \frac{a_{ij} \gamma_i \gamma_j}{\beta \cdot \gamma}$ and $X \cdot \gamma = 0$. Note that $p > 0$ is bounded thanks to ellipticity and obliqueness. It follows that

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} C^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx + (\mu - C) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} u [p \beta_i u_i + X_i u_i] d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u [-p \ell u + X(u)] d\sigma(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by Stoke's theorem, we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u X(u) d\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} X(u^2) d\sigma(x) \leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 d\sigma(x).$$

Returning to (5.2) we hence get

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx + (\mu - C) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx &\leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} u^2 d\sigma(x) \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx + C_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

for any small $\epsilon > 0$. Here we have used the compactness of the embedding $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$. The assertion follows by choosing $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2C}$. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 2.2. As before, set $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) = (S \circ F, T \circ G) : C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{3,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$, where S and T are given by (3.1) and (3.2).

In case (F, G) has the above special form, the operator $L = L_{u,N} : C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{3,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ defined in (3.8) takes the form

$$Lw = \left(a_{st} D_{iist} w - N a_{st} D_{st} w, (a_{st} D_{ist} w \gamma_i) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}, (b_i \Delta_T(D_i w) - N b_i D_i w - N w) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \right).$$

By Theorem 2, we can select N sufficiently large such that L is invertible, $L^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) : C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ is of the form $\text{Id} + \text{Compact}$ and

$$\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg_{L.S.}(L^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}), \mathcal{O}, 0).$$

Set $(\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1) = (S \circ F_1, T \circ G_1) : C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{3,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$. By our hypotheses, $(\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1)$ is invertible. Thus, by the product rule of the Leray-Schauder degree,

$$\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \sum_{\mathcal{U}} \deg_{L.S.}(L^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \mathcal{U}, 0) \deg_{L.S.}((\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1)^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}), \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{U}),$$

where the summation is made over the connected components of $C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \setminus (F_1, G_1)^{-1} \circ (F, G)(\partial\mathcal{O})$. It is evident that $\deg_{L.S.}(L^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \mathcal{U}, 0) = 0$ if $0 \notin \mathcal{U}$. Hence

$$\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg_{L.S.}(L^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, 0) \deg_{L.S.}((\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1)^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}), \mathcal{O}, 0),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is the connected component of $C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \setminus (F_1, G_1)^{-1} \circ (F, G)(\partial\mathcal{O})$ containing 0. As $(\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1)^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}, \tilde{G}) = (F_1, G_1)^{-1} \circ (F, G) = \text{Id} + (F_1, G_1)^{-1} \circ (F_2, G_2)$, it remains to show that

$$(5.3) \quad d := \deg_{L.S.}(L^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, 0) = (-1)^{\dim E^-(F_1, G_1)}.$$

Define $(F_3, G_3) : C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ by

$$(F_3[w], G_3[w]) = \left(a_{ij} w_{ij}, (\beta_i w_i + w) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \right).$$

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, define $L_t : C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} L_t w = \left((1-t) a_{st} w_{iist} + t \Delta F_3[w] - N F_3[w], \left((1-t) a_{st} w_{sti} \gamma_i + t \frac{\partial F_3[w]}{\partial \gamma} \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}, \right. \\ \left. \left((1-t) b_i \Delta_T(D_i w) + t \Delta_T G_3[w] - N G_3[w] \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can then apply the proof of Theorem 2 to see that, for sufficiently large N , L_t is an isomorphism for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Furthermore, as $L_t - (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1) : C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{2,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$, $L_t^{-1} \circ G$ is a legitimate homotopy for the Leray-Schauder degree. It follows that

$$(5.4) \quad d = \deg_{L.S.}(L^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, 0) = \deg_{L.S.}(L_1^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, 0).$$

Next, set

$$\tilde{L}_t w = \left(\Delta F_3[w] - (1-t) N F_3[w], \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{F}[w]}{\partial \gamma} + t \tilde{F}[w] \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega}, \left(\Delta_T G_3[w] - ((1-t)N + t)G_3[w] \right) \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \right).$$

Arguing as before, we have $\tilde{L}_t$ is invertible and

$$(5.5) \quad \deg_{L.S.}(L_1^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, 0) = \deg_{L.S.}(\tilde{L}_0^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, 0) = \deg_{L.S.}(\tilde{L}_1^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, 0).$$

Note that $\tilde{L}_1 = (S \circ F_3, T \circ G_3)$ and so $\tilde{L}_1^{-1} \circ (\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1) = (F_3, G_3)^{-1} \circ (F_1, G_1)$. Hence, by (5.4) and (5.5)

$$d = \deg_{L.S.}((F_3, G_3)^{-1} \circ (F_1, G_1), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg_{L.S.}((F_1, G_1)^{-1} \circ (F_3, G_3), \mathcal{O}, 0).$$

Set

$$A_t = (F_1, G_1)^{-1} \circ [(1-t)(F_3, G_3) - t(Id, 0)],$$

where $(Id, 0)$ is considered as an operator from $C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ into $C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$. By the maximum principle and obliqueness, A_t is a continuous family of invertible linear operators acting on $C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$. Moreover, for $t \in [0, 1)$, $(1-t)^{-1} A_t$ is of the form $\text{Id} + \text{Compact}$. Hence, by the homotopy invariance property of the Leray-Schauder degree,

$$d = \deg((1-t)^{-1} A_t, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, 0) \text{ for any } t \in [0, 1),$$

which implies

$$d = (-1)^{\dim E^-(A_t)} \text{ for any } t \in [0, 1),$$

where

$$E^-(A_t) = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i(t) < 0} \left\{ u \in C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) : A_t u = \lambda_i(t) u \right\}.$$

To proceed, we claim that there exists some $C > 0$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that, for any $t \in (1-\delta, 1]$

$$(5.6) \quad -C < \lambda < -\frac{1}{C} \text{ for any negative eigenvalue } \lambda \text{ of } A_t.$$

Indeed, let λ be an eigenvalue of some A_t and u be a corresponding eigenfunction. Since A_t is invertible,

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} u_{ij} + b_i u_i + c u = \frac{1}{\lambda} [(1-t)a_{ij} u_{ij} - t u] \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \beta_i u_i + \gamma u = \frac{1}{\lambda} (1-t)(\beta_i u_i + u) \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} u_{ij} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - (1-t)} b_i u_i + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - (1-t)} c u + \frac{t}{\lambda - (1-t)} u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \beta_i u_i + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - (1-t)} \gamma u - \frac{1-t}{\lambda - (1-t)} u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

It is readily seen that the first inequality in (5.6) follows from the invertability of (F_1, G_1) while the second follows from Lemma 3 for δ sufficiently small.

By (5.6) and the compactness of A_1 , we can pick a (simply connected) neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $[-C, -\frac{1}{C}]$ in the complex plane such that in the set of eigenvalues of A_1 lying in $\mathcal{N}$ consists of all negative real eigenvalues of A_1 . Furthermore, we can assume that $\mathcal{N}$ is symmetric about the real axis. Set

$$E(A_t, \mathcal{N}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i(t) \in \mathcal{N}} \left\{ u \in C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) : A_t u = \lambda_i(t)u \right\},$$

$$E^*(A_t, \mathcal{N}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda_i(t) \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathbb{R}} \left\{ u \in C^{4,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) : A_t u = \lambda_i(t)u \right\}$$

By the continuity of a finite system of eigenvalues (see e.g. [11, pp. 213-214]), for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$\dim E(A_t, \mathcal{N}) \text{ is independent of } t \in (1 - \delta, 1].$$

Also, since A_t has real coefficients,

$$\dim E^*(A_t, \mathcal{N}) \text{ is even.}$$

Therefore, by (5.6),

$$d = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (-1)^{\dim E^-(A_t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (-1)^{\dim E(A_t, \mathcal{N})} = (-1)^{\dim E(A_1, \mathcal{N})} = (-1)^{\dim E^-(A_1)}.$$

As $A_1 = -(F_1, G_1)^{-1} \circ (Id, 0)$, (5.3) follows. The proof of Corollary 2.2 is complete. $\square$

Finally, we prove the uniqueness of the degree under properties (p1)–(p3). We will only sketch the argument since it is standard. Let $d((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0)$ be a degree which satisfies (p1)–(p3). We will show that $d((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0)$. First, by Smale's infinite dimensional version of Sard's theorem, there exists $f_0 \in C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $g_0 \in C^{3,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ such that all zeroes of $(F - f_0, G - g_0)$ are non-degenerate and $\|F[u] - tf_0\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} + \|G[u] - tg_0\|_{C^{3,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)} \geq c_0 > 0$ for all $u \in \partial\mathcal{O}$, all $t \in (0, 1)$ and some $c_0 > 0$. By the homotopy invariance property (p2), $d((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = d((F - f_0, G - g_0), \mathcal{O}, 0)$ and $\deg((F, G), \mathcal{O}, 0) = \deg((F - f_0, G - g_0), \mathcal{O}, 0)$. Thus, we may assume from the beginning that all the zeroes of (F, G) in $\mathcal{O}$ are non-degenerate. The uniqueness then follows from the addition property (p1), Corollary 2.1(d), and the degree counting formula (p3) for linear operators.

We have finished the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We start with the injectivity of L^N :

Proposition 6.1. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2, there exists some constant N_0 , depending only on $\|a_{st}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}, \|b_i\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}, n, \lambda, \chi$ such that for all $N > N_0$, L^N is injective.*

Proof. Step 1. If $a_{st}w_{st} = 0$ in Ω and $L_{(3)}^N w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ simultaneously for some $N > 0$ sufficiently large, then $V := b_i D_i w + w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, hence $w \equiv 0$.

Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.1) \quad 0 &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(L_{(3)}^N w \right) V \\
 &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} (b_i \Delta_T w_i) \left(\sum_j b_j D_j w + w \right) + N \int_{\partial\Omega} |V|^2 \\
 &\geq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left| \sum_i b_i \nabla_T w_i \right|^2 + N \int_{\partial\Omega} |V|^2 \\
 &\quad - \varepsilon \|\nabla_T D w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 - C_\varepsilon \left(\|D w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for any positive constant ε , where the constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ depends on ε and $\|b_i\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$. Here ∇_T denotes the covariant gradient operator on $\partial\Omega$.

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\partial\Omega} |D w|^2 &\leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} |V|^2, \\
 \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_T w_i|^2 &\leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\sum_j b_j \nabla_T w_j \right)^2 + |V|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small in (6.11), we deduce that

$$(6.2) \quad 0 \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_\tau (b_i D_\tau w_i)^2 + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} (b_i D_i w + w)^2.$$

This implies that $b_i D_i w + w = 0$. Since $a_{st} D_{st} w = 0$ in Ω , we obtain $w \equiv 0$ from the maximum principle. Step 1 is proved.

Step 2. For any w satisfying $L_{(3)}^N w = 0$, there holds

$$(6.3) \quad \|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|a_{st} w_{st}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right),$$

$$(6.4) \quad \|w\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\|a_{st} w_{st}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right),$$

where C depends on $\|a_{st}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$, $\|b_i\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}$, n , λ and χ .

Indeed, $L_{(3)}^N w = 0$ implies that

$$(6.5) \quad L_T V := -\Delta_T V + N V = g \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $V = b_i w_i + w$ as in Step 1 and $g = (\Delta_T b_i) w_i + 2 \nabla_T b_i \cdot \nabla_T w_i + \Delta_T w$. The inverse operator $L_T^{-1} : H^{s-2}(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^s(\partial\Omega)$ is a bounded operator and $\|L_T^{-1}\| \leq C$ for some constant C independent of N (see Lemma 5 in the appendix). When $s = 1/2$, by the trace theorem and

interpolation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.6) \quad \|V\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} &\leq C\|g\|_{H^{-3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \\
&\leq C[\|w\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|Dw\|_{H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)}] \\
&\leq C[\|w\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|Dw\|_{H^{1/4}(\partial\Omega)}] \\
&\leq C\|w\|_{H^{7/4}(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon\|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}$$

for any small $\varepsilon > 0$. Similarly, when $s = 3/2$ we have

$$(6.7) \quad \|V\|_{H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon\|w\|_{H^3(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

From H^2, H^3 estimates for linear elliptic equation of second order with oblique derivative boundary condition (see e.g. [1, Theorem 15.2]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} &\leq C \left(\|a_{st}w_{st}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|V\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right), \\
\|w\|_{H^3(\Omega)} &\leq C \left(\|a_{st}w_{st}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|V\|_{H^{3/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling (6.6) and (6.7) we arrive at (6.3) and (6.4).

Step 3. For any w satisfying $L_{(3)}^N w = 0$, there holds

$$(6.8) \quad \|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|a_{st}w_{st}\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

$$(6.9) \quad \|w\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \leq C\|a_{st}w_{st}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

We will only derive (6.8). The proof of (6.9) is similar.

By (6.3), it suffices to show that

$$\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|a_{st}w_{st}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \text{ for all } w \in \text{Ker}(L_{(3)}^N).$$

Otherwise, there is a sequence $w^{(n)} \in \text{Ker}(L_{(3)}^N)$ such that

$$\|w^{(n)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1 \text{ but } \|a_{st}w_{st}^{(n)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

By (6.3), $\|w^{(n)}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C$, thus $w^{(n)}$ converges weakly in H^2 and strongly in L^2 to some w^* . It is straightforward to show that $a_{st}w_{st}^* = 0$ in Ω and $L_{(3)}^N w^* = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. By Step 1, $w^* \equiv 0$. This contradicts $\|w^{(n)}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ and the strong convergence of $w^{(n)}$ to w^* in L^2 . This concludes Step 3.

Step 4. $L^N w = 0$ implies $w \equiv 0$.

Using $L_{(1)}^N w = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int_{\Omega} \left(L_{(1)}^N w \right) (-a_{kl} w_{kl}) \, dx \\
 (6.10) \quad &\geq c_1 \int_{\Omega} |D(a_{st} w_{st})|^2 \, dx + N \int_{\Omega} |a_{st} w_{st}|^2 \, dx - c_2 \|w\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \|w\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \\
 &\geq \frac{c_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |D(a_{st} w_{st})|^2 \, dx + (N - c_3) \int_{\Omega} |a_{st} w_{st}|^2 \, dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constants c_1, c_2, c_3 depend only on $\|a_{st}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}, \|b_i\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}, n, \lambda$ and χ . In the above, the first inequality follows from integration by parts, $L_{(2)}^N w = 0$, and Hölder's inequality, while the second inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (6.8)-(6.9). It follows from (6.10) that for N sufficiently large, we must have $a_{st} w_{st} \equiv 0$. By Step 1, this implies $w \equiv 0$, which completes the proof. $\square$

We now turn to proving the surjectivity of L^N . Consider $L_0^N : C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ defined by

$$L_0^N w = (\Delta^2 w - N \Delta w, (\gamma_i D_i \Delta w))|_{\partial\Omega}, (\gamma_i \Delta_T (D_i w) - N \gamma_i D_i w - N w)|_{\partial\Omega}.$$

Note that $(1-t)L_0^N + L^N$ coincides with L^N when a_{st} and b_i are replaced with $(1-t)\delta_{st} + ta_{st}$ and $(1-t)\gamma_i + tb_i$, respectively. Therefore $(1-t)L_0^N + L^N$ is injective for all $t \in [0, 1]$. By the continuity method [1, Theorem 12.5], L^N is isomorphic if and only if L_0^N is isomorphic. In other words, it suffices to establish the surjectivity of L_0^N .

Define $\tilde{L}_0^N : C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ defined by

$$\tilde{L}_0^N w = (\Delta^2 w - N \Delta w, (\gamma_i D_i \Delta w))|_{\partial\Omega}, (\Delta_T (\gamma_i D_i w + w) - N(\gamma_i D_i w + w))|_{\partial\Omega},$$

and let $\tilde{L}_t^N = (1-t)\tilde{L}_0^N + tL_0^N$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{L}_0^N$ is bijective. By the continuity method [1, Theorem 12.5], in order to show that L_0^N is bijective, it suffices to show that $\tilde{L}_t^N$ is injective for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$. This is a consequence of the following lemma:

Lemma 4. *If $\Delta w = 0$ in Ω and if*

$$M_t w := (1-t)\Delta_T (\gamma_i D_i w + w) + t\gamma_i \Delta_T (D_i w) - N(\gamma_i D_i w + w) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

for some $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then $V := \gamma_i D_i w + w = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, hence $w \equiv 0$.

To see this, we adapt the argument in Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 6.1. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} M_t w V \\
 (6.11) \quad &= (1-t) \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_T V|^2 - t \int_{\partial\Omega} (\gamma_i \Delta_T D_i w) V + N \int_{\partial\Omega} |V|^2 \\
 &\geq \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_T V|^2 + N \int_{\partial\Omega} |V|^2 \\
 &\quad - \varepsilon \|\nabla_T D w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 - C_\varepsilon \left(\|D w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 + \|w\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2 \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for any positive constant ε , where the constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ depends on ε and $\|\gamma_i\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$. By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega} |Dw|^2 &\leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} |V|^2, \\ \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_T Dw|^2 &\leq C \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_T V|^2 + |V|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small in (6.11), we deduce that

$$(6.12) \quad 0 \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_T V|^2 + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |V|^2,$$

which implies that $V = 0$ as desired. This concludes the proof of the lemma and hence of Theorem 2. $\square$

7. SOME APPLICATIONS

7.1. Boundary Yamabe problem. Let (M, g) be a smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and with non-empty boundary ∂M . Define the Schouten tensor

$$A_g = \frac{1}{n-2} \left(\text{Ric}_g - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R_g g \right),$$

where Ric_g and R_g are respectively the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature of g . Let h_g denote the mean curvature of ∂M . In conformal geometry, one is interested in finding a positive function u such that the metric $g_u := u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$ such that

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{cases} f(\lambda(A_{g_u})) = 1, \lambda(A_{g_u}) \in \Gamma, \\ h_{g_u} = c, \end{cases}$$

where c is a given constant in $\mathbb{R}$, $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

Γ is an open, convex, symmetric cone with vertex at the origin,

$$\Gamma_1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum \lambda_i > 0\} \supset \Gamma \supset \Gamma_n := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_i > 0\},$$

and $f \in C^\infty(\Gamma) \cap C^0(\bar{\Gamma})$ satisfies

$$f > 0 \text{ in } \Gamma \text{ and } f = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Gamma,$$

$$f_{\lambda_i} > 0 \text{ in } \Gamma.$$

We refer readers to [14] for the literature on this problem.

In [14], an existence theorem for (7.1) was established using a degree theory which is less general than the one considered in the present paper. We outline some of the arguments here.

First, we restrict ourselves to the case where

$$g \text{ is locally conformally flat,}$$

and

$$\partial M \text{ is umbilic.}$$

Under the assumption that

$$\lambda(A_g) \in \Gamma,$$

it was shown in [14] that all (positive) solutions of (7.1), if exist, satisfy

$$|\ln u| \leq C(M, g, f, \Gamma, c).$$

Under an additinal assumption that

$$f \text{ is concave and } c \geq 0,$$

one then appeals to known local C^1 and C^2 estimates, and Evans-Krylov's theory to conclude that all solutions of (7.1) satisfy

$$\|\ln u\|_{C^{4,\alpha}(M)} \leq C(M, g, f, \Gamma, c, \alpha).$$

It should be noted that, when $c < 0$, C^2 estimates fail at both local and global levels. See [14, 15].

With the above a priori estimate, our degree is defined and independent along a homotopy connecting (f, Γ) to (σ_1, Γ_1) . Here σ_1 is the first elementary symmetric function. By property (e), the degree of (σ_1, Γ_1) is the same as the Leray-Schauder degree for (σ_1, Γ_1) , which was computed to be non-zero in [7]. The desired existence result is established.

7.2. Near-field reflector problem. Consider the Monge-Ampère type equation arising in a near-field reflector problem [10, 18]

$$(7.2) \quad \rho^*(T_u) \det DT_u = \rho(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

with the boundary condition

$$(7.3) \quad T_u(\Omega) = \Omega^*,$$

where ρ, ρ^* are, respectively, the intensities of incident and reflected rays satisfying

$$(7.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \rho = \int_{\Omega^*} \rho^*,$$

Ω, Ω^* are two bounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\overline{\Omega} \Subset B_1(0)$, and T_u is the reflection mapping

$$(7.5) \quad T_u(x) = \frac{2Du}{|Du|^2 - (u - Du \cdot x)^2}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

In [18] we proved the existence of solution for (7.2) and (7.3) under some convexity assumptions on domains Ω and Ω^* by using the degree theory established in Section 2. We outline the main steps here and refer the readers to [18] for more details.

First we show the a priori estimate that under suitable convexity and smoothness assumptions, the boundary condition (7.3) is strictly oblique, and moreover, for any classical solution u of (7.2)–(7.3), we have the a priori estimate $\|u\|_{C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq C$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and a positive constant C depending on the given data.

To construct the homotopy family, we use the domain foliation, namely there exist an increasing family of smooth domains $\{\Omega_t\}, \{\Omega_t^*\}$, such that $\Omega_0 = B_r(0)$, $\Omega_0^* = T_{u_0}(\Omega_0)$; $\Omega_1 = \Omega$, $\Omega_1^* = \Omega^*$; and Ω_t, Ω_t^* are uniformly convex and Y^* -convex, respectively, for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Consider the family of problems

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} F_t[u_t] &= \det[DT_{u_t}] - e^{\varepsilon(u_t - u_0)} \left\{ \frac{t\rho}{\rho^*(T_{u_t})} + (1-t) \det[DT_{u_0}] \right\} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_t, \\ G_t[u_t] &= \varphi_t^* \circ T(\cdot, u_t, Du_t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_t, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small, and φ_t^* is the defining function of Ω_t^* . Let $\Phi := \{\Phi_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n\}$ be a family of diffeomorphisms such that $\Phi_t(\Omega_t) = B_1(0)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\Phi_t \in C^5$ uniformly with respect to t . Define

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_t[u] &= F_t[u \circ \Phi_t] \quad \text{in } B_1(0), \\ \tilde{G}_t[u] &= G_t[u \circ \Phi_t] \quad \text{on } \partial B_1(0), \end{aligned}$$

for any $u \in C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{B_1})$.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a bounded open set in $\{u \in C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{B_1}) : \|u\|_{C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{B_1})} \leq C(C\varepsilon + 1)\}$ such that $\tilde{F}_t$ is elliptic and $\tilde{G}_t$ is oblique on $\mathcal{O}$, and $\partial\mathcal{O} \cap (\tilde{F}_t, \tilde{G}_t)^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. From the initial construction, u_0 is the unique solution of $(F_0, G_0)[u] = 0$. By the properties of the degree, $\deg((\tilde{F}_t, \tilde{G}_t), \mathcal{O}, 0)$ is defined for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and

$$(7.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg((\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{G}_1), \mathcal{O}, 0) &= \deg((\tilde{F}_0, \tilde{G}_0), \mathcal{O}, 0) \\ &= \deg((F_0, G_0), \mathcal{O}_0, 0) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_0 = \{u \in C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_0}) : \|u\|_{C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_0})} \leq C_\varepsilon + 1\}$. This implies that there exists a solution $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \in C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{B_1})$ of the boundary value problem (7.7) at $t = 1$. Hence there exists a solution $u_\varepsilon \in C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ of the boundary value problem

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \det[DT_u] &= e^{\varepsilon(u - u_0)} \frac{\rho}{\rho^*(T_u)}, \\ T_u(\Omega) &= \Omega^* \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$. To complete the existence proof we now need to let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Write equation (7.9) in the form of

$$(7.10) \quad \rho^*(T_u) \det DT_u = e^{\varepsilon(u - u_0)} \rho(\cdot) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Let $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ be the family of solutions of the problems (7.10). From (7.4)

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho = \int_{\Omega^*} \rho^* = \int_{\Omega} e^{\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon - u_0)} \rho,$$

we see that $u_\varepsilon - u_0$ must be zero somewhere in Ω . Hence, from the a priori estimates $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{C^{4,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$ is bounded independently of ε . Thus a subsequence of $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ converges in $C^{4,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for $0 < \beta < \alpha$ to a solution u solving (7.2)–(7.3), as required.

APPENDIX A. SOME WELL-KNOWN PROPERTIES OF THE LAPLACE OPERATOR ON A COMPACT MANIFOLD

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold and Δ denote the Laplace operator of g . Let $H^s = H^s(M)$ denote the Sobolev space of some real exponent s . It is well-known that $-\Delta$ maps H^s into H^{s-2} . In this appendix, we collect some well-known properties on the spectrum of $-\Delta : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$ for $s \in [0, 2]$ which are needed in the body of the paper.

For $s = 0$, $H^0 = L^2$. For $s = 2$, H^2 is defined as the set of space of L^2 functions whose first and second derivatives also belong to L^2 . H^{-2} is defined as the dual of H^2 . For $s \in (0, 2)$, H^s can be defined as an interpolation space of $H^0 = L^2$ and H^2 as follows (see e.g. [2]):

$$H^s = \left\{ u = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} u_i \in L^2 \mid u_i \in H^2, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{-is} \|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + 2^{i(1-s)} \|u_i\|_{H^2}^2) < \infty \right\}, \quad 0 < s < 2.$$

For $u \in H^s$, its H^s -norm is defined by

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 = \inf \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{-is} \|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + 2^{i(2-s)} \|u_i\|_{H^2}^2),$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible representation $u = \sum u_i$. For $s \in (-2, 0)$, H^s is defined as the dual of $H^{|s|}$, which is the same as the following interpolation space of H^{-2} and L^2 :

$$H^s = \left\{ u = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} u_i \in H^{-2} \mid u_i \in L^2, \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{-i(2-|s|)} \|u_i\|_{H^{-2}}^2 + 2^{i|s|} \|u_i\|_{L^2}^2) < \infty \right\}, \quad -2 < s < 0.$$

Its norm is defined similarly.

For $u \in H^2$, $\Delta u \in L^2$ is defined by standard differentiation. For $u \in L^2$, $\Delta u \in H^{-2}$ is defined by

$$\langle \Delta u, v \rangle_{H^{-2}, H^2} = \langle u, \Delta v \rangle_{L^2, L^2} \quad \text{for all } v \in H^2.$$

Clearly $\Delta : H^2 \rightarrow L^2$ and $\Delta : L^2 \rightarrow H^{-2}$ are bounded linear operator. Furthermore, it is easy to check that Δ maps H^s into H^{s-2} for $s \in (0, 2)$ and is a bounded linear operator between these spaces. Furthermore, for $\varphi \in C^\infty$,

$$\langle \Delta u, \varphi \rangle_{H^{s-2}, H^{2-s}} = \langle u, \Delta \varphi \rangle_{L^2, L^2} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C^\infty(M).$$

Next, fix some $N \geq 1$ and $s \in [0, 2]$. Consider the operator $-\Delta + N : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$. We claim that this operator is an isomorphism. This is clear for $s = 2$. For $s < 2$, note that $-\Delta + N : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$ is injective. Indeed, if $-\Delta u + Nu = 0$ for some $u \in H^s$, then, for any $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$, there exists $\varphi \in C^\infty$ such that $(-\Delta + N)\varphi = \psi$ by standard elliptic regularity, and so

$$\langle u, \psi \rangle_{L^2, L^2} = \langle u, (-\Delta + N)\varphi \rangle_{L^2, L^2} = \langle (-\Delta + N)u, \varphi \rangle_{H^{s-2}, H^{2-s}} = 0$$

which implies that $u \equiv 0$. But as the formal adjoint of $-\Delta + N : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$ is $-\Delta + N : H^{2-s} \rightarrow H^{-s}$, which is injective, the Fredholm alternative implies that $-\Delta + N : H^s \rightarrow H^{s-2}$ is surjective. The claim is proved.

Lemma 5. *There exists some constant C such that for any $N \geq 1$ and $s \in [0, 2]$, there holds*

$$\|(-\Delta + N)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{s-2}, H^s)} \leq C.$$

Proof. Consider first $s = 2$. If $(-\Delta + N)v = u \in L^2$, then by integrating by parts and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality

$$\|Dv\|_{L^2}^2 + N\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2, L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2N}\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{N}{2}\|v\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which implies

$$\|Dv\|_{L^2}^2 + N\|v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \langle u, v \rangle_{L^2, L^2} \leq \frac{C}{N}\|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

This together with standard elliptic theory leads to

$$\|D^2v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|\Delta v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2) = C(\|Nv - u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C\|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We have thus shown that

$$\|(-\Delta + N)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2, H^2)} \leq C.$$

By duality, this gives

$$\|(-\Delta + N)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{-2}, L^2)} \leq C.$$

Now for a general $s \in (0, 2)$ and $u \in H^{s-2}$, we write $u = \sum u_i \in H^{s-2}$ with $u_i \in L^2$. Then $(-\Delta + N)^{-1}u = \sum v_i \in H^s$ with $v_i = (-\Delta + N)^{-1}u_i \in H^2$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta + N)^{-1}u\|_{H^s} &\leq \sum (2^{-is}\|v_i\|_{L^2} + 2^{i(2-s)}\|v_i\|_{H^2}) \\ &\leq C \sum (2^{-is}\|u_i\|_{H^{-2}} + 2^{i(2-s)}\|u_i\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for all possible representations $u = \sum u_i$, we thus arrive at

$$\|(-\Delta + N)^{-1}u\|_{H^s} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{s-2}},$$

which is exactly the assertion. $\square$

Acknowledgment. YanYan Li's research is partially supported by NSF-DMS-1501004. Jiakun Liu's research is partially supported by the Australian Research Council DE140101366.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I.*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 623-727.
- [2] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [3] S.-y. S. Chen, *Boundary value problems for some fully nonlinear elliptic equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 30 (2007), no. 1, 1-15.

- [4] M. G. Crandall and P. H. Rabinowitz, *Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues*, J. Functional Analysis 8 (1971) 321–340.
- [5] M. Giaquinta and E. Giusti, *On the regularity of the minima of variational integrals*, Acta Math. 148 (1982), 31–46.
- [6] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [7] Z.C. Han and Y.Y. Li, *The Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary: Existence and compactness results*, Duke Math. J. 99 (1999), no. 3, 489–542.
- [8] F. Jiang, N. S. Trudinger and N. Xiang, *On the Neumann problem for Monge-Ampère type equations*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1502.02096>.
- [9] Q. Jin, A. Li and Y. Y. Li, *Estimates and existence results for a fully nonlinear Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2007), no. 4, 509–543.
- [10] A. Karakhanyan and X.-J. Wang, *On the reflector shape design*, J. Diff. Geom. 84 (2010), 561–610.
- [11] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [12] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*. Translated by A. H. Armstrong; translation edited by J. Burlak. A Pergamon Press Book The Macmillan Co., New York 1964 xi + 395 pp.
- [13] Y. Y. Li, *Degree theory for second order nonlinear elliptic operators and its applications*, Comm. PDEs 14 (1989), no 11, 1541–1578.
- [14] Y. Y. Li and L. Nguyen, *A fully nonlinear version of the Yamabe problem on locally conformally flat manifolds with umbilic boundary*, Adv. Math. 251 (2014), 87–110.
- [15] Y. Y. Li and L. Nguyen, *Counterexamples to C^2 boundary estimates for fully nonlinear Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 12 (2012), no. 4, 783–797.
- [16] G. M. Lieberman and N. S. Trudinger, *Nonlinear oblique boundary value problems for nonlinear elliptic equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 295 (1986), no. 2, 509–546.
- [17] P.-L. Lions, N. S. Trudinger and J. Urbas, *The Neumann problem for equations of Monge-Ampère type*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986), no. 4, 539–563.
- [18] J. Liu and N. S. Trudinger, *On the classical solvability of near field reflector problems*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., to appear.
- [19] X. Ma and G. Qiu, *The Neumann problem for Hessian equations*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.00196>.
- [20] L. Nirenberg, *Topics in nonlinear functional analysis*, With a chapter by E. Zehnder. Notes by R. A. Artino. Lecture Notes, 1973/1974. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, 1974. viii+259 pp. 58–02.
- [21] P. H. Rabinowitz, *A global theorem for nonlinear eigenvalue problems and applications*. Contributions to nonlinear functional analysis, pp. 11–36. Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [22] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Functional Analysis 7 (1971), 487–513.
- [23] J. Urbas, *Nonlinear oblique boundary value problems for two-dimensional curvature equations*, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), no. 3, 301–336.
- [24] J. Urbas, *Oblique boundary value problems for equations of Monge-Ampère type*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 7 (1998), no. 1, 19–39.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, CHINA

AND

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019, USA.

E-mail address: `yyli@math.rutgers.edu`

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG, WOLLONGONG, NSW 2522, AUSTRALIA.

E-mail address: `jiakunl@uow.edu.au`

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE AND ST EDMUND HALL, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, ANDREW WILES BUILDING, RADCLIFFE OBSERVATORY QUARTER, WOODSTOCK ROAD, OXFORD OX2 6GG, UK.

E-mail address: `luc.nguyen@maths.ox.ac.uk`