# Quantum K-theory of Incidence Varieties arXiv:2112.13036 Weihong Xu Rutgers University wx61@math.rutgers.edu

### SUMMARY

We prove a conjecture of Buch and Mihalcea in the case of the incidence variety X = Fl(1, n-1; n) and determine the structure of its (T-equivariant) quantum K-theory ring. Our results are an interplay between geometry and combinatorics. The geometric side concerns Gromov-Witten varieties of 3-pointed genus 0 stable maps to X with markings sent to Schubert varieties, while on the combinatorial side are formulas for the (equivariant) quantum K-theory ring of X. We prove that the Gromov-Witten variety is rationally connected when one of the defining Schubert varieties is a divisor and another is a point. This implies that the (equivariant) *K*-theoretic Gromov-Witten invariants defined by two Schubert classes and a Schubert divisor class can be computed in the ordinary (equivariant) Ktheory ring of X. We derive a positive Chevalley formula for the equivariant quantum K-theory ring of X and a positive Little-Richardson rule for the non-equivariant quantum K-theory ring of X. The Little-Richardson rule in turn implies that non-empty Gromov-Witten varieties given by Schubert varieties in general position have arithmetic genus 0.

### THE INCIDENCE VARIETY

 $X=\mathrm{Fl}(1,n-1;n)=\mathrm{SL}(\mathbb{C}^n)/P$  $= \{ U \subset V \subset \mathbb{C}^n : \dim U = 1, \dim V \}$  $= \{x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_ny_n = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^n) imes$ Schubert varieties in X are indexed by  $W^P\coloneqq \{[i,j]:\ 1\leq i
eq j\leq n\}.$  $X_{[i,j]} = \{x_{i+1} = \cdots = x_n = y_1 = \cdots = y_{j-1} = 0\} \subseteq X, \ X^{[i,j]} = \{x_1 = \cdots = x_{i-1} = y_{j+1} = \cdots = y_n = 0\} \subseteq X,$ 

 $D^{[1]}\coloneqq X^{[2,n]}=\{x_1=0\},\ D^{[2]}\coloneqq X^{[1,n-1]}=\{y_n=0\}.$ 

## $\overline{M}_{0.3}(X,d)$ and Related Constructions

Fix  $d\in H_2(X)^+=\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}.$  $M_d\coloneqq \overline{M}_{0,3}(X,d)\coloneqq \overline{\{f:\mathbb{P}^1 o X\mid f_*[\mathbb{P}^1]=d\}}.$  $ev_1, ev_2, ev_3: M_d \to X$  (evaluate at markings 0, 1,  $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ )

For  $u, v, w \in W^P$ ,  $M_d(X_u, X^v) \coloneqq \operatorname{ev}_1^{-1}(X_u) \cap \operatorname{ev}_2^{-1}(X^v) \subset M_d,$  $\Gamma_d(X_u, X^v) \coloneqq \operatorname{ev}_3(M_d(X_u, X^v)) \subset X,$  $\Gamma_d(X_u) \coloneqq \operatorname{ev}_2(\operatorname{ev}_1^{-1}(X_u))$  is again a Schubert variety,

AGNES (Algebraic Geometry Northeastern Series). May 2022, Rutgers University.

$$=n-1\} imes \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$$

 $M_d(X_u, X^v, g.X^w) \coloneqq \operatorname{ev}_1^{-1}(X_u) \cap$ 

Assume  $g \in SL(\mathbb{C}^n)$  general, then the cohomological Gromov-Witten Invariant

 $I_d([X_u], [X^v], [X^w]) = \#M_d(X_u, X^v, g. X^w);$ the *K*-theoretic Gromov-Witten Invariant

 $I_d([\mathcal{O}_{X_u}], [\mathcal{O}_{X^v}], [\mathcal{O}_{X^w}]) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{M_d(X_u, X^v, q, X^w)})$ 

the *T*-equivariant *K*-theoretic Gromov-Witten Invariant

 $I_d^T([\mathcal{O}_{X_u}], [\mathcal{O}_{X^v}], [\mathcal{O}_{X^w}])$  $=\chi^T_{M_d}(\mathrm{ev}_1^*[\mathcal{O}_{X_u}]\cdot\mathrm{ev}_2^*[\mathcal{O}_{X^v}]\cdot\mathrm{ev}_3^*[\mathcal{O}_{X^w}])\in K^T(\mathrm{pt}),$ 

where  $T \subset SL(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is the maximal torus of diagonal matrices.  $K^{T}(\text{pt})$  can be identified with the representation ring of T.  $[\mathcal{O}_{X_u}]$  and  $[\mathcal{O}_{X^v}]$  form  $K^T(\mathrm{pt})$ -bases for  $K_T(X)$ .

X can be replaced by any flag variety G/P.

# **RESULTS PART 1**

**Theorem 1** (X '21). The general fibre of

 $\mathrm{ev}_3: M_d(X_{[i,j]}, D^{[k]}) 
ightarrow \Gamma_d(X_{[i,j]}, D^{[k]})$ 

is rationally connected.

Using a result of Kollár, this implies that

 $\mathrm{ev}_{3*}[\mathcal{O}_{M_d(X_{[i,j]},D^{[k]})}] = [\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_d(X_{[i,j]},D^{[k]})}]$ 

in (equivariant) K-theory, proving a conjecture of Buch and Mihalcea for X.

In the following,  $\mathcal{O}_{[i,j]} \coloneqq [\mathcal{O}_{X_{[i,j]}}], \ \mathcal{O}^{[k]} \coloneqq [\mathcal{O}_{D^{[k]}}] \in K_T(X).$ 

# **Corollary: "quantum equals classical" formula** (X 21).

 $I_d^T(\mathcal{O}_{[i,j]},\mathcal{O}^{[k]},\sigma) = \chi_X^T([\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_d(X_{[i,j]},D^{[k]})}]\cdot\sigma)$ 

The right hand side is easily computable using Lenart and Postnikov's Chevalley formula for  $K_T(X)$ .

QUANTUM K-THEORY

$$\operatorname{ev}_2^{-1}(X^v) \cap \operatorname{ev}_3^{-1}(g.X^w).$$

 $=\chi_{M_d}(\mathrm{ev}_1^*[\mathcal{O}_{X_u}]\cdot\mathrm{ev}_2^*[\mathcal{O}_{X^v}]\cdot\mathrm{ev}_3^*[\mathcal{O}_{X^w}])\in K(\mathrm{pt});$ 

 $=egin{cases} \chi^T_X([\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_d(X_{[i,j]})}]cdots\sigma) ext{ if } d_k>0\ \chi^T_X([\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_d(X_{[i,j]})}]cdots\mathcal{O}^{[k]}cdots\sigma) ext{ if } d_k=0 \end{cases}$ 

Quantum K-theory was introduced by Givental and Lee as a K-theoretic analogue of quantum cohomology. The (small) T-equivariant quantum K-theory ring of X is an algebra  $QK_T(X)$  over  $K^T(\mathrm{pt})\llbracket q_1, q_2 \rrbracket$  with a  $K^T(\mathrm{pt})\llbracket q_1, q_2 \rrbracket$ basis consisting of  $\mathcal{O}^w$  for  $w \in W^P$ . Multiplication in  $QK_T(X)$ is defined using  $I_d^T(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3).$  $\widetilde{W^P}\coloneqq \{[i,j]\in \mathbb{Z} imes \mathbb{Z}: i
ot\equiv j ext{ mod } n\}. ext{ For } w\in \widetilde{W^P},$ 

 $\overline{w} \coloneqq [\overline{i}, \overline{j}] \in W^P$  is defined by  $\overline{i} \equiv i, \ \overline{j} \equiv j,$ 

d(w)

 $\mathcal{O}^w\coloneqq q^{d(w)}[\mathcal{O}_{X^{\overline{w}}}]\in QK_T(X)_{q}\coloneqq QK_T(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q]}\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}].$ We write  $\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_i}$  for the 1-dimensional representation of T given by the character  $\varepsilon_i$  which records the *i*-th diagonal entry. We let  $\varepsilon_i \coloneqq \varepsilon_{\overline{i}}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Equivariant Chevalley Formula (X '21). In  $QK_T(X)_a$ , for  $[i,j] \in W^P$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^{[i,j]} \star \mathcal{O}^{[1]}$  equals  $(1 - [\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1}])\mathcal{O}^{[i,j]} + [\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_1}]\mathcal{O}^{[i+1,j]}$  when  $i + 1 \not\equiv j \mod n$ ,  $(1-[\mathbb{C}_{arepsilon_i-arepsilon_1}])\mathcal{O}^{[i,j]}+[\mathbb{C}_{arepsilon_i-arepsilon_1}](\mathcal{O}^{[i+1,j-1]}+\mathcal{O}^{[i+2,j]}-\mathcal{O}^{[i+2,j-1]})$ when  $i + 1 \equiv j \mod n$ . The formula for  $\mathcal{O}^{[i,j]} \star \mathcal{O}^{[2]}$  is analogous.

The non-equivariant case ( $[\mathbb{C}_{\varepsilon}] = 1$ ) is in Rosset's thesis ('20). A formula for two-step varieties is in Kouno-Lenart-Naito-Sagaki ('21).

where x = i + k - 1, y = j + l - n.

**Corollary 2** (X '21). For  $d \in H_2(X)^+$ , a general  $g \in SL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , and  $u, v, w \in W^P$ ,  $M_d(g.X^u, X^v, X_w)$  has arithmetic genus 0 whenever it is non-empty.





$$\coloneqq (rac{i-\overline{i}}{n},rac{\overline{j}-j}{n}),$$

# **RESULTS PART 2**

**Non-equivariant Little-Richardson Rule** (X 21). In QK(X), for  $[i,j], \ [k,l] \in W^P$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^{[i,j]} \star \mathcal{O}^{[k,l]}$  equals  $\mathcal{O}^{[x,y]}$  when  $x-y < n[\chi(i>j)+\chi(k>l)],$  $O^{[x,y-1]} + O^{[x+1,y]} - O^{[x+1,y-1]}$  otherwise.