

RELATIVE CARTIER DIVISORS AND K-THEORY

VIVEK SADHU AND CHARLES WEIBEL

ABSTRACT. We study the relative Picard group $\text{Pic}(f)$ of a map $f : X \rightarrow S$ of schemes. If f is faithful affine, it is the relative Cartier divisor group $\mathcal{I}(f)$. The relative group $K_0(f)$ has a γ -filtration, and $\text{Pic}(f)$ is the top quotient for the γ -filtration. When f is induced by a ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$, we show that the relative “nil” groups $NPic(f)$ and $NK_n(f)$ are continuous $W(A)$ -modules.

INTRODUCTION

If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of schemes, the relative Picard group $\text{Pic}(f)$ was defined by Bass in [1], and fits into a natural exact sequence

$$(0.1) \quad \mathcal{O}^\times(S) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{O}^\times(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Pic}(f) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Pic}(X).$$

The goal of this paper is to study this group as well as $NPic(f)$, defined to be $\text{Pic}(f[t])/\text{Pic}(f)$, where $f[t] : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1$.

Our first observation is that when f is $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ for a commutative ring extension $A \hookrightarrow B$, $\text{Pic}(f)$ is isomorphic to the relative Cartier divisor group $\mathcal{I}(f)$, defined in [13] as the group of invertible A -submodules of B under multiplication and studied in [15, 14, 16]. This definition of $\mathcal{I}(f)$ also makes sense (and we still have $\mathcal{I}(f) \cong \text{Pic}(f)$) for scheme maps $f : X \rightarrow S$ for which $\mathcal{O}_S^\times \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times$ is an injection of sheaves. It then follows from [16] that $\text{Pic}(f)$ is a contracted functor in the sense of Bass.

We then relate $\text{Pic}(f)$ to the relative group $K_0(f)$, which fits into an exact sequence

$$K_1(S) \xrightarrow{f^*} K_1(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(f) \longrightarrow K_0(S) \longrightarrow K_0(X).$$

For example, if $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ is subintegral then $K_0(f) \cong \text{Pic}(f)$ (Proposition 2.5).

Let $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}$ denote the Zariski sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto NPic(U, f^{-1}U)$ on S . In Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.7, we prove the following:

Date: May 23, 2016.

Sadhu was supported by TIFR, Mumbai Postdoctoral Fellowship.

Theorem 0.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a faithful affine morphism of schemes.*

(1) *The Zariski sheaf $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}$ is an étale sheaf on S . Moreover,*

$$NPic(f) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^0(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}) = H_{\text{zar}}^0(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}).$$

(2) *If X and S are schemes then $H_{\text{ét}}^*(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}) \cong H_{\text{zar}}^*(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I})$.*

(3) *If X and S are both affine schemes then $H_{\text{ét}}^q(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}) = 0$ for $q \neq 0$.*

A secondary goal of this article is to study the relative K -theory groups $K_n(f)$ associated to a morphism of schemes $f : X \rightarrow S$. By definition, $K_n(f) = \pi_n K(f)$, where $K(f)$ is the homotopy fiber of $K(S) \rightarrow K(X)$. Comparing $X \rightarrow S$ to $X[t] \rightarrow S[t]$ yields groups $NK_*(f)$.

Theorem 0.3. *For each homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$:*

(1) *$NK_n(f)$ is a continuous $W(A)$ -module, for all n .*

(2) *$NPic(f)$ is a continuous $W(A)$ -module.*

(3) *$\det : NK_0(f) \rightarrow NPic(f)$ is a $W(A)$ -module homomorphism.*

(See Theorems 3.3 and 5.6, and Proposition 3.2). This implies that if $\text{char}(A) = p > 0$ then both $NK_n(f)$ and $NPic(f)$ are p -groups, while if $\text{char}(A) = 0$ the groups have the structure of A -modules.

We conclude with some remarks about $K_n(f)$ when n is negative. If X and S have dimension at most d , then $K_n(S) = K_n(X) = 0$ for $n < -d$ in many cases. In such cases, it follows that $K_n(f) = 0$ for $n < -d - 1$. The cohomological interpretation of the negative K -theory of a scheme in terms of the cdh-cohomology of the constant sheaf \mathbb{Z} is given in [4]. In the relative situation, we prove the following (Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.3):

Theorem 0.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a finite morphism of d -dimensional noetherian schemes.*

(1) *If X and S are essentially of finite type over a field k of characteristic 0, $K_{-d-1}(f) \cong H_{\text{cdh}}^d(S, f_*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$.*

(2) *If $\dim S = 1$, then $K_{-2}(f) \cong H_{\text{nis}}^1(S, f_*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$ and there is an extension*

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^1(S, f_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times/\mathcal{O}_S^\times) \rightarrow K_{-1}(f) \rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^0(S, f_*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

1. RELATIVE Pic AND INVERTIBLE SUBMODULES

In [1], Bass defined $\text{Pic}(f)$ to be the abelian group generated by $[L_1, \alpha, L_2]$, where the L_i are line bundles on S and $\alpha : f^*L_1 \rightarrow f^*L_2$ is an isomorphism. The relations are:

- (1) $[L_1, \alpha, L_2] + [L'_1, \alpha', L'_2] = [L_1 \otimes L'_1, \alpha \otimes \alpha', L_2 \otimes L'_2]$;
- (2) $[L_1, \alpha, L_2] + [L_2, \beta, L_3] = [L_1, \beta\alpha, L_3]$;
- (3) $[L_1, \alpha, L_2] = 0$ if $\alpha = f^*(\alpha_0)$ for some $\alpha_0 : L_1 \cong L_2$.

Remark 1.0.1. By (1), every element of $\text{Pic}(f)$ has the form $[L, \alpha, \mathcal{O}_S]$. Writing $[L, \alpha]$ for $[L, \alpha, \mathcal{O}_S]$, an alternative presentation for $\text{Pic}(f)$ is that it is generated by elements $[L, \alpha]$ satisfying: $[L, \alpha] + [L', \alpha'] = [L \otimes L', \alpha \otimes \alpha']$; $[L, \alpha] = 0$ if (and only if) there is an isomorphism $\alpha_0 : L \cong \mathcal{O}_S$ so that $\alpha = f^*(\alpha_0)$. It is easy to see, and observed by Bass, that the map $\text{Pic}(f) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$ sending $[L, \alpha]$ to $[L]$ fits into an exact sequence (0.1), where $\partial(b) = [\mathcal{O}_S, b]$.

Proposition 1.1. *Bass' $\text{Pic}(f)$ is the hypercohomology group $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S^\times \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times)$.*

Proof. Let C^* denote the mapping cone of $\mathcal{O}_S^\times \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times$. A 0-cocyle of C^* is given by a cover $\{U_i\}$ of S , a unit b_i of $f^{-1}(U_i)$ for each i , and units a_{ij} of $U_i \cap U_j$ for each i, j satisfying the cocyle condition (so that the $\{a_{ij}\}$ define a line bundle L on S) and such that $b_i/b_j = f^\#(a_{ij})$ on each $f^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$. Since the $\{b_i\}$ define an isomorphism $f^*L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, each 0-cocyle defines an element $\lambda = [L, \beta, \mathcal{O}_S]$ of $\text{Pic}(f)$. A 0-coboundary is given by $a_{ij} = a_i/a_j$ and $b_i = f^\#(a_i)$ for units a_i of U_i ; adding it to a cocyle does not change λ . Refining the cover does not change λ either. The result follows from the 5-lemma applied to the following diagram with exact rows (which is easily checked to be commutative):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 H^0(S, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H^0(S, C^*) & \longrightarrow & H^1(S, \mathcal{O}^\times) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^\times) \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{O}^\times(S) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^\times(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(f) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(X). \quad \square
 \end{array}$$

Now suppose that f is faithful and affine. As observed in [16], $\mathcal{I}(f)$ is isomorphic to $H^0(S, f_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times/\mathcal{O}_S^\times)$. Thus Proposition 1.1 implies that $\mathcal{I}(f) \cong \text{Pic}(f)$. Here is a more elementary proof.

Lemma 1.2. *If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a faithful affine map, there is an isomorphism $\rho : \mathcal{I}(f) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Pic}(f)$, sending L to $[L, i, \mathcal{O}_S]$, where $i : f^*L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$.*

The isomorphism $f^*L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ is well defined, because in any affine open $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ of S we have $f^{-1}U = \text{Spec}(B)$ with $A \subset B$; it was proven by Roberts and Singh [13] that $L \subset B$ induces $L \otimes_A B \cong B$.

Proof. Since $\rho(LL') = [L \otimes L', i \otimes i', \mathcal{O}_S] = [L, i, \mathcal{O}_S] + [L', i', \mathcal{O}_S]$, ρ is a homomorphism. To define the inverse map, we use the presentation of $\text{Pic}(f)$ and the observation that because $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is an injection, so is $L \rightarrow L \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ for every line bundle L . Given a triple $[L_1, \alpha, L_2]$, we set $L = L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1$, so that α induces an isomorphism $f^*L \cong f^*(L_2)^{-1} \otimes f^*(L_1) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, and define $\psi([L_1, \alpha, L_2])$ to be the submodule L of $L \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong f_*\mathcal{O}_X$. Since ψ is compatible with the relations of $\text{Pic}(f)$, it descends to a homomorphism $\psi : \text{Pic}(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(f)$. Since $[L_1, \alpha, L_2] = [L_2^{-1} \otimes L_1, \alpha, \mathcal{O}_S]$ in $\text{Pic}(f)$ and $f^*(L) = \mathcal{O}_X$ for all $L \in \mathcal{I}(f)$, ψ is an inverse to ρ . \square

2. RELATIVE K_0 AND Pic

Bass gave a presentation of a relative group $K_0(f)$ associated to $f : A \rightarrow B$ in [1] and [2, VII.5]; see [29, II.2.10]. It is generated by triples $[P_1, \alpha, P_2]$, where the P_i are finitely generated projective A -modules (or vector bundles on S) and α is an isomorphism $f^*(P_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} f^*(P_2)$, and agrees with the group $\pi_0 K(f)$ of [29, IV.1.11]. The relations are:

- (1) $[P_1, \alpha, P_2] + [P'_1, \alpha', P'_2] = [P_1 \oplus P'_1, \alpha \oplus \alpha', P_2 \oplus P'_2]$,
- (2) $[P_1, \alpha, P_2] + [P_2, \beta, P_3] = [P_1, \beta\alpha, P_3]$,
- (3) $[P_1, \alpha, P_2] = 0$ if $\alpha = f^*(\alpha_0)$ for some $\alpha_0 : P_1 \cong P_2$.

By (1), every element of $K_0(f)$ has the form $[P, \alpha, A^n]$.

Bass showed [2, VII.5.3] that there is an exact sequence for each $f : A \rightarrow B$:

$$(2.1) \quad K_1(A) \xrightarrow{f^*} K_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_0(f) \longrightarrow K_0(A) \longrightarrow K_0(B),$$

where for $g \in GL_n(B)$ we have $\partial([g]) = [A^n, g, A^n]$. Since we do not know if the corresponding sequence is exact for a quasi-projective map $f : X \rightarrow S$, we will restrict to the affine case in this section and the next.

Lemma 2.2 (Excision). *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism, and let I be an ideal of A mapping isomorphically onto an ideal of B ; write $\bar{f} : A/I \subset B/I$ for the induced map. Then excision holds for K_n for all $n \leq 0$: $K_n(f) \cong K_n(\bar{f})$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $n = 0$. Because $K_0(A, I) \cong K_0(B, I)$ [29, Ex. II.2.3] and $K_1(A, I) \rightarrow K_1(B, I)$ is onto [29, III.2.2.1], the double-relative group

vanishes: $K_0(A, B, I) = 0$. Applying contraction, we also have $K_{-1}(A, B, I) = 0$. The result now follows from the exact sequence

$$K_0(A, B, I) \rightarrow K_0(f) \rightarrow K_0(\tilde{f}) \rightarrow K_{-1}(A, B, I). \quad \square$$

Remark. The failure of Lemma 2.2 in the non-affine setting was investigated in [12, A.5–6]. For example, if X is the normalization of S and the support Y of the conductor \mathfrak{c} is 1-dimensional, the obstruction is $K_0(S, X, Y) \cong H^1(Y, \mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{c}^2 \otimes \Omega_{X/S})$.

As observed by Bass and Murthy long ago [3], the determinant $K_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad \det : K_0(f) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(f), \quad \det[P_1, \alpha, P_2] = [\det(P_1), \det(\alpha), \det(P_2)].$$

Since $SK_0(S)$ is the kernel of $\det : K_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S)$, we write $SK_0(f)$ for the kernel of $\det : K_0(f) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(f)$.

Recall [29, II.4.2] that a λ -ring $K = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tilde{K}$ has a *positive structure* if it contains a λ -semiring P (positive elements) including \mathbb{N} , such that every element of \tilde{K} can be written as a difference of positive elements, the augmentation $\epsilon : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ sends P to \mathbb{N} and, if $p \in P$ has $\epsilon(p) = n$, then $\lambda^i p = 0$ for $i > n$ and $\lambda^n p$ is a unit. The *line elements* are $\{p \in P : \epsilon(p) = 1\}$; they form a subgroup of the units of K .

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings. The operations $\lambda^i[P_1, \alpha, P_2] = [\Lambda^i P_1, \Lambda^i \alpha, \Lambda^i P_2]$ give $\mathbb{Z} \oplus K_0(f)$ the structure of a λ -ring with a positive structure. The top two ideals in the γ -filtration are $F_\gamma^1 = \tilde{K}_0$ and $F_\gamma^2 = SK_0(f)$, and the group of its line elements is $\text{Pic}(f) \cong F_\gamma^1/F_\gamma^2$.*

Proof. Given $f : A \rightarrow B$, choose a surjection $\pi : \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow B$ from a polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ in many variables to B ; let R be the pullback ring $R = \{(p, a) \in \mathbb{Z}[X] \times A : \pi(p) = f(a)\}$, with $\tilde{f} : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ the projection. Since $K_1(\mathbb{Z}[X]) = \pm 1$ and $K_0(\mathbb{Z}[X]) = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $K_0(\tilde{f}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}_0(R)$, and this map is compatible with the operations λ^i . Similarly, we have $\text{Pic}(\tilde{f}) \cong \text{Pic}(R)$. By Excision 2.2 for K_0 and Pic , $K_0(\tilde{f}) \cong K_0(f)$ and $\text{Pic}(\tilde{f}) \cong \text{Pic}(f)$. Hence $\mathbb{Z} \oplus K_0(f) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \tilde{K}_0(R)$ is a λ -ring. Thus the result follows from the fact that the operations λ^i make $K_0(R)$ into a λ -ring, with $F_\gamma^2 = SK_0(R)$, and $\tilde{K}_0(R)/SK_0(R) \cong \text{Pic}(R)$. \square

Recall (Swan [17]) that an extension $A \subset B$ is said to be *subintegral* if B is integral over A , and $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ is a bijection inducing isomorphisms on all residue fields.

Proposition 2.5. (*Ischebeck*) *If $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ is subintegral then $K_0(f) \cong \text{Pic}(f)$, $K_n(f) = 0$ for all $n < 0$, and there is an exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow B^\times/A^\times \rightarrow K_0(f) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. When $A \subset B$ is subintegral, Ischebeck proved in [9, Prop. 7] that the natural map $K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ is surjective and $SK_1(A) \rightarrow SK_1(B)$ is onto, so the cokernel of $K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(B)$ is B^\times/A^\times . The exact sequence follows from (2.1). Finally, Ischebeck proved in [9, p. 331] that the determinant (2.3) induces an isomorphism from the kernel of $K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(B)$ onto the kernel of $\text{Pic}(A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(B)$. The result now follows from (2.1).

Replacing A and B by Laurent polynomial extensions, the Fundamental Theorem of K -theory [29, III.4.1] implies that $LK_n(f) \cong K_{n-1}(f)$ and $K_{-1}(f) \cong LPic(f)$. Since $A[t, 1/t] \subset B[t, 1/t]$ is subintegral, we have $LPic(f) = 0$ by Proposition 5.6 of [16]. This shows that $K_n(f) = 0$ for all $n < 0$. \square

Given an extension $f : A \hookrightarrow B$, let $i : A \hookrightarrow {}^+A$ be the seminormalization of A in B and ${}^+f : {}^+A \hookrightarrow B$ the induced map. There is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_n(i) \rightarrow K_n(f) \rightarrow K_n({}^+f) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(i) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Corollary 2.6. $K_n(f) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n({}^+f)$ for $n < 0$, and the following sequence is exact.

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(i) \rightarrow K_0(f) \rightarrow K_0({}^+f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 and [16, Lemma 3.3], the map $K_0(i) \cong \text{Pic}(i) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(f)$ is an injection. Since it factors through $K_0(i) \rightarrow K_0(f)$, the latter map is an injection. Since $K_n(i) = 0$ for $n < 0$, again by Proposition 2.5, we are done. \square

3. THE $W(A)$ -MODULE STRUCTURE ON $NK_0(f)$ AND $NPic(f)$

In this section, we fix a ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ and show that $NK_0(f)$ and $NPic(f)$ are continuous modules over the ring $W(A)$ of big Witt vectors, so that

$$(3.1) \quad NK_1(A) \rightarrow NK_1(B) \xrightarrow{\partial} NK_0(f) \rightarrow NK_0(A) \rightarrow NK_0(B)$$

is a sequence of $W(A)$ -modules. Recall that $(1 + tA[[t]])^\times$ is the underlying abelian group of the ring $W(A)$; a $W(A)$ -module is continuous if every element is killed by one of these ideals $(1 + t^n A[[t]])^\times$.

We first recall the continuous $W(R)$ -module structure on $NK_*(A)$ when R is commutative and A is an R -algebra, due to Stienstra [18]. As $NK_*(A)$ is a continuous module, it suffices to describe multiplication by $(1 - rt^m)$, $r \in R$. Setting $S = R[s]/(s^m - r)$, the inclusion $i : R \subset S$ induces a base change functor $i^* : \mathbf{P}(A[t]) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A \otimes_R S[t])$ and a transfer map $i_* : \mathbf{P}(A \otimes_R S[t]) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A[t])$. If σ denotes the S -algebra map $S[t] \rightarrow S[t]$, $\sigma(t) = st$, then the composition $F = i_* \sigma^* i^*$ is an additive self-functor of $\mathbf{P}(A[t])$. As noted in [26, 1.5], the composition $\mathbf{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A[t]) \xrightarrow{F} \mathbf{P}(A[t]) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A)$ is $\otimes_R S$, so F induces multiplication by m on the summand $K_*(A)$ of $K_*(A[t])$; the restriction of F to $NK_*(A)$ is multiplication by $(1 - rt^m)_*$. If $A \rightarrow B$ is an R -algebra map, $NK_*(A) \rightarrow NK_*(B)$ is a homomorphism of continuous $W(R)$ -modules.

We can adapt these formulas to define a multiplication by $(1 - at^m)_*$ on $K_0(f)$ and $NK_0(f)$ when $a \in A$: send $[P_1, \alpha, P_2]$ to $[F(P_1), F(\alpha), F(P_2)]$. It is clear from (2.1) that $(1 - at^m)_*$ is compatible with the exact sequence (3.1). A priori, though, the maps $(1 - at^m)_*$ do not fit together to make $NK_0(f)$ into a $W(A)$ -module.

Proposition 3.2. *For any homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, $NK_0(f)$ is a continuous $W(A)$ -module, and (3.1) is an exact sequence of continuous $W(A)$ -modules.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, write $B = \mathbb{Z}[X]/I$, where $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a polynomial ring. Let R denote the pullback ring $A \times_B \mathbb{Z}[X]$, and write $\tilde{f} : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ for the quotient map. Since $NK_*(\mathbb{Z}[X]) = 0$, we have $NK_n(\tilde{f}) \cong NK_n(R)$ for all n . Since $A = R/I$, Lemma 2.2 and [25] imply that the groups $NK_0(f) \cong NK_0(\tilde{f}) \cong NK_0(R)$ are continuous $W(R)$ -modules.

Since $W(A) = W(R)/W(I)$, where $W(I) = 1 + tI[[t]]$, we are reduced to showing that $(1 - rt^m)$ acts as zero on $K_0(f)$ whenever $r \in I$. When r is in the kernel I of $R \rightarrow A$, the ring $A \otimes_R S$ is just $A[s]/(s^m)$, so $(1 - rt^m)$ and $(1 - 0t^m)$ act identically on $K_0(f[t])$. This shows that $(1 - rt^m)$ acts as zero on $K_0(f)$ and proves that the action of $W(A)$ on $K_0(f)$ is well defined and continuous. \square

Applying N to the determinant described in (2.3), we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow NSK_0(f) \rightarrow NK_0(f) \xrightarrow{\det} NPic(f) \rightarrow 0.$$

If $[P, \alpha, A[t]^n]$ is in $NK_0(f)$ then $\det[P, \alpha, A[t]^n] = [\det(P), \det(\alpha), A[t]]$.

Theorem 3.3. *For any homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, $NPic(f)$ is a continuous $W(A)$ -module, and $\det : NK_0(f) \rightarrow NPic(f)$ is a $W(A)$ -module homomorphism.*

Proof. Since the group $NK_0(f)$ is a continuous $W(A)$ -module by Proposition 3.2, it is enough to show that $NSK_0(f)$ is closed under multiplication by $W(A)$. Since every element of $W(A)$ can be written as $\prod_{m>0}(1 - a_m t^m)$, with $a_m \in A$, and for any element u of $NK_0(f)$ there is an n so that $\prod_{m \geq n}(1 - a_m t^m) * u = 0$, it is enough to show that $NSK_0(f)$ is closed under multiplication by $(1 - at^m)$ for any $a \in A$ and $m \geq 1$.

It is enough to show that $F = i_* \sigma^* i^*$ sends $SK_0(f[t])$ to itself. We now modify the argument of [5, 4.1]. Fix $u = [P, \alpha, A[t]^n]$ in $SK_0(f[t])$; By Remark 1.0.1, $\det(u) = 0$ implies that $\det(P) = A[t]$ and $\det(\alpha) \in A$. By naturality of \det , $\sigma^* i^*(u) = [P \otimes S, \alpha \otimes S, S[t]^n]$, $\det(P \otimes S) = S[t]$, $\det(\alpha \otimes S) \in S$ and $F(u) = [i_*(P \otimes S), i_*(\alpha \otimes S), A[t]^n]$. By Corollary 3.2 of [5] applied to $A[t] \subset S[t]$, $\det(i_*(P \otimes S)) = A[t]$ and $\det(\alpha \otimes S) = \det(\alpha)^m \in A$, so $\det(F(u)) = 0$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *If $\text{char}(A) = p$ then $NPic(f)$ is a p -group.*

If $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$ then $NPic(f)$ is an A -module.

Proof. Any continuous $W(A)$ -module has these properties; see [25, 3.3]. \square

4. SHEAF PROPERTIES OF $NPic(f)$

When $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a faithful affine morphism of schemes, let $\mathcal{I}(f)_{\text{zar}}$ denote the Zariski sheaf $f_* \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_S^\times$ on the category Sm/S of smooth schemes over S ; by [16, 4.4], $\mathcal{I}(f)_{\text{zar}}$ is also an étale sheaf, and $H_{\text{et}}^0(S, \mathcal{I}(f)_{\text{zar}}) = H_{\text{nis}}^0(S, \mathcal{I}(f)_{\text{zar}}) = \text{Pic}(f)$. Our choice of Sm/S is dictated by the need to not only include étale extensions but be closed under product with $\mathbb{A}_S^1 \xrightarrow{\pi} S$.

Let $\pi^* \mathcal{I}(f)$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{I}(f)_{\text{zar}}$ to Sm/\mathbb{A}_S^1 along π . Its direct image $\pi_*(\pi^* \mathcal{I}(f))$ is the Zariski sheaf $\mathcal{I}(f)_{\text{zar}} \oplus \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)$ on Sm/S , where $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)$ denotes the Zariski sheaf on Sm/S associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto NPic(f \times_S U)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a faithful affine morphism of schemes. Then $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)$ is an étale sheaf on S . Moreover,*

$$H_{\text{et}}^0(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)) = H_{\text{zar}}^0(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)) = NPic(f).$$

Proof. Since $\pi^* \mathcal{I}(f)$ is an étale sheaf on \mathbb{A}_S^1 , its direct image $\pi_* \pi^* \mathcal{I}(f)$ is an étale sheaf on S ; since $\pi_* \pi^* \mathcal{I}(f) \cong \mathcal{I}(f)_{\text{zar}} \oplus \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)$, $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)$ is also an étale sheaf. Since

$$H_{\text{et}}^0(S, \pi_* \pi^* \mathcal{I}(f)) = H_{\text{et}}^0(\mathbb{A}_S^1, \pi^* \mathcal{I}(f)) = \text{Pic}(f[t]) = \text{Pic}(f) \oplus NPic(f),$$

we see that $H_{\text{et}}^0(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)) = \text{NPic}(f)$. If S_s is a Zariski local scheme of S , this shows that the stalk $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)_s = H_{\text{zar}}^0(S_s, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f))$ equals $H_{\text{et}}^0(S_s, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f))$. \square

Example 4.2. If f is seminormal, the sheaf $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}(f)$ vanishes and $\text{NPic}(f) = 0$. This follows from Theorem 4.1 and [15, 1.5], which states that $\text{NPic}(A, B) = 0$ when A is seminormal in B .

We now modify an argument of Vorst [22] and van der Kallen [21]. Suppose that $\text{Spec}(A) = \bigcup_{i=0}^r U_i$, where $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_{s_i})$. Given a presheaf F of abelian groups on $\text{Spec}(A)$, we write $C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, F)$ for the augmented Čech complex:

$$0 \rightarrow F(A) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \prod_{i=0}^r F(A_{s_i}) \rightarrow \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq r} F(A_{s_i s_j}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F(A_{s_0 s_1 \cdots s_r}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Given $s \in A$, we have an A -algebra map $\sigma : A[x] \rightarrow A[x]$ determined by $\sigma(x) = sx$. We write $NF(A)_{[s]}$ for the direct limit of $F(A[x]) \xrightarrow{\sigma} F(A[x]) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \cdots$. Suppose that for all $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq r$ and $j \leq p$:

$$(4.3) \quad NF(A_{s_{i_0} \cdots s_{i_j} \cdots s_{i_p}}[x]) \cong NF(A_{s_{i_0} \cdots \hat{s}_{i_j} \cdots s_{i_p}}[x])_{[s_{i_j}]}.$$

In this situation, Vorst proved [22, 1.2] that the sequence $C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, NF)$ is always exact. He also proved that $F = NK_n$ satisfied (4.3), so that $C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, NK_n)$ is exact for all n . (See [22, 1.4] or [29, V.8.5]; the nonzerodivisor hypothesis is unnecessary by [20].)

Remark 4.4. It is easy to see (and follows from Vorst's result [22, 1.2]) that the functor $NU(A) = (A[t])^\times / A^\times$ satisfies (4.3). From the exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, NU) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, NU(- \otimes_A B)) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, NU(- \otimes_A B)/NU) \rightarrow 0$$

we see that $C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, F)$ is also exact for the functor $F(A_s) = NU(B_s)/NU(A_s)$.

Lemma 4.5. $C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, \text{NPic})$ is always an exact sequence.

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 of [27], given $s \in A$ we have $\text{NPic}(A_s) \cong \text{NPic}(A)_{[s]}$ and hence $\text{NPic}(A_s[x]) \cong \text{NPic}(A[x])_{[s]}$. This implies that NPic satisfies (4.3). Vorst's result shows that $C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, \text{NPic})$ is an exact sequence. \square

We apply these considerations to the presheaf $\text{NPic}(f) : U \mapsto \text{NPic}(f|_U)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $\mathrm{Spec}(A) = \cup_{i=0}^n U_i$, where $U_i = \mathrm{Spec}(A_{s_i})$. If $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ is a ring extension, the complex $C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, \mathrm{NPic}(f))$ is exact.*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{NPic}(A, B) \rightarrow \prod_{i=0}^n \mathrm{NPic}(A_{s_i}, B_{s_i}) \rightarrow \prod_{i_1 < i_2} \mathrm{NPic}(A_{s_{i_1 s_{i_2}}}, B_{s_{i_1 s_{i_2}}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Proof. Let ${}^+A$ denote the subintegral closure of A in B , so ${}^+A$ is seminormal in B and we have $A \subset {}^+A \subset B$. By [14, Prop. 4.1], we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{NPic}(A, {}^+A) \rightarrow \mathrm{NPic}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{NPic}({}^+A, B) \rightarrow 1.$$

By Example 4.2, the third term vanishes and we have $\mathrm{NPic}(A, {}^+A) \cong \mathrm{NPic}(A, B)$. Thus we may assume that B is subintegral over A . In this case, Ischebeck proved [9, Prop. 7] that $\mathrm{NPic}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{NPic}(B)$ is surjective. Now the result follows from Remark 4.4, Lemma 4.5 and the long exact cohomology sequences associated to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, F) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, \mathrm{NPic}(f)) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, \mathrm{NPic}(f)/F) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\rightarrow C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, \mathrm{NPic}(f)/F) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\{U_i\}, \mathrm{NPic}) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\{f^{-1}(U_i)\}, \mathrm{NPic}) \rightarrow 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.7. *Let $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ be an extension of rings. Then:*

$$H_{\mathrm{et}}^q(\mathrm{Spec}(A), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{NPic}(f) & \text{if } q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } q > 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. The case $q = 0$ is given by Theorem 4.1. By Lemma 4.6, the Čech cohomology groups $\check{H}^q(\mathrm{Spec}(A), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I})$ vanish for $q > 0$. Using the Cartan criterion [11, III.2.17], $H_{\mathrm{et}}^q(\mathrm{Spec}(A), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I})$ equals $\check{H}^q(\mathrm{Spec}(A), \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}) = 0$ for $q > 0$. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a faithful affine morphism of schemes. Then*

$$H_{\mathrm{et}}^*(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}) \cong H_{\mathrm{zar}}^*(S, \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}).$$

Proof. Consider the site change map $\tau : S_{\mathrm{et}} \rightarrow S_{\mathrm{zar}}$. Then by Theorem 4.7, the higher direct image sheaves $R^q \tau_* \mathcal{N}\mathcal{I}$ vanish for $q > 0$. Therefore the Leray spectral sequence degenerates, yielding the result. \square

Remark. More generally, if $f : X \rightarrow S$ is any morphism of schemes then \mathcal{O}_S^\times may not inject into $f_* \mathcal{O}_X^\times$. In this case, if we interpret $f_* \mathcal{O}_X^\times / \mathcal{O}_S^\times$ as the mapping cone of $\mathcal{O}_S^\times \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X^\times$ (a complex of Zariski sheaves) and use sheaf hypercohomology, then Theorem 4.1 remains valid. However, Theorem 4.7 may fail in this setting.

5. MODULE STRUCTURES ON $NK_n(f)$

Given an exact functor $F : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$, the relative K -theory groups $K_n(F)$ fit into an exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F} K_{n+1}\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\partial} K_n(F) \rightarrow K_n\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{F} K_n\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots$$

ending in $K_0\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\partial} K_{-1}(F)$. Waldhausen showed that the $K_n(F)$ are the homotopy groups $\pi_{n+2}|wS_\bullet(S_\bullet F)|$ ($n \geq 0$), where $S_n F$ denotes the category of pairs

$$(P_*, Q_*) = (P_1 \twoheadrightarrow P_2 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow P_n, Q_0 \twoheadrightarrow Q_1 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow Q_n)$$

($P_i \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q_j \in \mathcal{Q}$), together with choices of Q_i/Q_j for $i > j$, such that $F(P_*)$ is $Q_1/Q_0 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow Q_n/Q_0$. (See [23, 1.5.4–7] or [29, IV.8.5.3].)

Example 5.1. If A is a ring, we write $\mathbf{P}(A)$ for the category of finitely generated projective A -modules. Given a ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, we have an exact functor $\mathbf{P}(f) : \mathbf{P}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(B)$; by abuse, we write $K_*(f)$ for $K_*\mathbf{P}(f)$. Writing $f[t]$ for $A[t] \rightarrow B[t]$, we have $K_*(f[t]) = K_*(f) \oplus NK_*(f)$. The Fundamental Theorem of K -theory easily extends to the relative setting, yielding

$$K_*(f[t, 1/t]) \cong K_*(f) \oplus NK_*(f) \oplus NK_*(f) \oplus K_{*-1}(f).$$

Let A be a commutative ring. As in [29], we write $\mathbf{End}(A)$ for the category of pairs (P, α) , where P in $\mathbf{P}(A)$ and $P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P$ is an endomorphism, and write $\mathbf{Nil}(A)$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{End}(A)$ consisting of all (P, α) with α nilpotent. As pointed out in [29, II.7.4], $K_*\mathbf{End}(A) \cong K_*(A) \oplus \mathbf{End}_*(A)$ and $K_*\mathbf{Nil}(A) \cong K_*(A) \oplus \mathbf{Nil}_*(A)$, where $\mathbf{End}_*(A)$ is a graded-commutative ring and $\mathbf{Nil}_*(A)$ is a graded $\mathbf{End}_*(A)$ -module. By naturality, the exact functors $\mathbf{Nil}(f) : \mathbf{Nil}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}(B)$ yield relative groups $K_*\mathbf{Nil}(f) \cong K_*(f) \oplus \mathbf{Nil}_*(f)$.

The category $\mathbf{Nil}(A)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{H}_{1,t}(A[t])$ of t -primary torsion $A[t]$ -modules M with $pd_{A[t]}M = 1$. Specifically, if (P, ν) is in $\mathbf{Nil}(A)$, and we write P_ν for the $A[t]$ -module P on which t acts as ν , then P_ν has projective dimension 1 over $A[t]$. The Fundamental Theorem ([29, V.8.2]) implies that $\mathbf{Nil}_n(A) \cong NK_{n+1}(A)$. We also have $K\mathbf{P}(A[t]) \cong K\mathbf{H}(A[t])$ (see e.g., [29, V.3.2]).

Proposition 5.2. *There is a natural isomorphism $\mathbf{Nil}_n(f) \cong NK_{n+1}(f)$.*

Proof. From the diagram of exact categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \mathbf{Nil}(A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{H}_{1,t}(A[t]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}(A[t]) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{P}(A[t]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}(A[t, 1/t]) \\ \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Nil}(B) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{H}_{1,t}(B[t]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{H}(B[t]) & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \mathbf{P}(B[t]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}(B[t, 1/t]) \end{array}$$

we get a fibration sequence of K -theory spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K\mathbf{Nil}(A) & \longrightarrow & K(A[t]) & \longrightarrow & K(A[t, 1/t]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f[t]^* & & \downarrow f[t, 1/t]^* \\ K\mathbf{Nil}(B) & \longrightarrow & K(B[t]) & \longrightarrow & K(B[t, 1/t]). \end{array}$$

Taking vertical fibers, we see that there is a long exact sequence

$$K_{n+1}(f[t]) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(f[t, 1/t]) \rightarrow K_n\mathbf{Nil}(f) \rightarrow K_n(f[t]) \rightarrow K_n(f[t, 1/t]) \rightarrow$$

and (using Example 5.1) an isomorphism $\mathbf{Nil}_n(f) \cong NK_{n+1}(f)$. \square

Lemma 5.3. *For any ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, $\mathbf{Nil}_*(f)$ is a graded $\mathbf{End}_*(A)$ -module.*

Proof. A typical object in the Waldhausen category $S_n\mathbf{Nil}(f)$ is a pair

$$(\mu_*, \nu_*) = ((M_1, \mu_1) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots (M_n, \mu_n), (N_0, \nu_0) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots (N_n, \nu_n)).$$

There is a pairing $\mathbf{End}(A) \times S_n\mathbf{Nil}(f) \rightarrow S_n\mathbf{Nil}(f)$ of simplicial Waldhausen categories, sending $(P, \alpha) \times (\mu_*, \nu_*)$ to

$$((P \otimes M_1, \alpha \otimes \mu_1) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow (P \otimes M_n, \alpha \otimes \mu_n), (P \otimes N_0, \alpha \otimes \nu_0) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow (P \otimes N_n, \alpha \otimes \nu_n)).$$

It induces a pairing $K_*\mathbf{End}(A) \otimes K_*\mathbf{Nil}(f) \rightarrow K_*\mathbf{Nil}(f)$. Since the tensor product $(\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \mu \cong \alpha \otimes (\beta \otimes \mu)$ is associative up to natural isomorphism, the two pairings

$$\mathbf{End}(A) \times \mathbf{End}(A) \times S_n\mathbf{Nil}(f) \rightarrow S_n\mathbf{Nil}(f)$$

agree up to natural isomorphism, making $K_*\mathbf{Nil}(f)$ a graded $K_*\mathbf{End}(A)$ -module. In particular, $\mathbf{Nil}_*(f)$ is a graded module over $\mathbf{End}_*(A)$. \square

Recall that the ring $W(A)$ of big Witt vectors has underlying abelian group $(1+tA[[t]])^\times$. Almkvist's theorem [29, II.7.4.3] states that $[P, \alpha] \mapsto \det(1-t\alpha)$ maps $\mathbf{End}_0(A)$ isomorphically onto the subring of $W(A)$ whose underlying abelian group consists of all quotients $f(t)/g(t)$ of polynomials in $1+tA[[t]]$. The intersection of the ring $\mathbf{End}_0(A)$ with the ideal $(1+t^m A[[t]])$ of $W(A)$ is the ideal $I_m = \{1+t^m(f/g)\}$

of $\text{End}_0(A)$, and $\text{End}_0(A)/I_m \cong W(A)/(1 + t^m A[[t]])$. In particular, $W(A)$ is the completion of $\text{End}_0(A)$ with respect to the t -adic filtration.

We say that an $\text{End}_0(A)$ -module M is *continuous* if for every $x \in M$ there is an m so that $I_m \cdot x = 0$. Thus every continuous $\text{End}_0(A)$ -module M is also continuous as a $W(A)$ -module: for every $x \in M$ we have $(1 + t^m A[[t]]) \cdot x = 0$ for some m .

The exact functors $F_n, V_n : \mathbf{Nil}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}(A)$, defined by $F_n(P, \nu) = (P, \nu^n)$ and $V_n(Q, \nu) = (Q[t]/(t^n - \nu), t)$, commute with $\mathbf{Nil}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}(B)$. Hence they induce exact endofunctors F_n, V_n on $S.\mathbf{Nil}(f)$ by $F_n(\mu_*, \nu_*) = (F_n(\mu_*), F_n(\nu_*))$ and $V_n(\mu_*, \nu_*) = (V_n(\mu_*), V_n(\nu_*))$. For $a \in A$ and $n > 0$, and ν in $\text{Nil}_*(f)$, Almkvist's theorem associates $(1 - at^n)$ to $V_n([A, a] - [A, 0])$ and yields the product formula

$$(5.4) \quad (1 - at^n) * \nu = V_n([A, a] - [A, 0]) * \nu.$$

Stienstra proved in [18, 19] that the $\text{Nil}_n(A)$ are continuous $\text{End}_0(A)$ -modules, and hence $W(A)$ -modules. The key step [18, 2.12] was showing that the projection formula holds:

$$(V_n \alpha) * \nu = V_n(\alpha * F_n(\nu)) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \text{End}_0(A) \text{ and } \nu \in \text{Nil}_*(A).$$

Here is the corresponding projection formula in the relative setting; we will postpone its proof in order to get to the main result.

Lemma 5.5. *For all $\alpha \in \text{End}_0(A)$ and $\beta \in \text{Nil}_*(f)$,*

$$(V_n \alpha) * \beta = V_n(\alpha * F_n(\beta)).$$

Theorem 5.6. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring map. Then the product (5.4) makes $\text{Nil}_n(f) \cong NK_{n+1}(f)$ into a continuous $W(A)$ -module for every integer n .*

Proof. For each $m > 0$, let $\mathbf{Nil}^m(A)$ denote the exact subcategory of all (P, ν) in $\mathbf{Nil}(A)$ such that $\nu^m = 0$. Thus we have relative groups $K_*\mathbf{Nil}^m(f)$ associated to $K_*\mathbf{Nil}^m(A) \rightarrow K_*\mathbf{Nil}^m(B)$, and $K_*\mathbf{Nil}(f)$ is the direct limit of the $K_*\mathbf{Nil}^m(f)$.

Suppose that $n \geq m$. Clearly, F_n acts as zero on $\mathbf{Nil}^m(f)$. By the projection formula 5.5, $V_n(\alpha)$ acts as zero on the image $\text{Nil}_*^m(f)$ of $K_*\mathbf{Nil}^m(f) \rightarrow K_*\mathbf{Nil}(f) \rightarrow \text{Nil}_*(f)$. By (5.4), $(1 - at^n)$ acts as zero on $\text{Nil}_*^m(f)$. Since $\text{Nil}_*(f)$ is the union of the $\text{Nil}_*^m(f)$, for any $\beta \in \text{Nil}_*(f)$ there is an m such that $(1 - at^n) \cdot \beta = 0$ for all $n \geq m$ and $a \in A$. This shows that $\text{Nil}_*(f)$ is a continuous $\text{End}_0(A)$ -module, and hence a continuous $W(A)$ -module. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.5. Following Stienstra [18, §6], set $R = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2]$, and set $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{End}(R; S_6)$, where S_6 is the multiplicative subset of $R[x]$ generated by x and $x^n - y_1^n y_2$. As pointed out in *loc. cit.*, there is a multi-exact pairing

$$\Theta : \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{End}(A) \times \mathbf{Nil}(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}(B)$$

sending (E, ω) , (P, α) and (N, ν) to $(E \otimes_R (P \otimes_A N), \omega \otimes 1)$, where $P \otimes_A N$ is regarded as an R -module by letting y_1 and y_2 act as $\alpha \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \nu$. As this pairing is natural in B , we may replace $\mathbf{Nil}(B)$ by $S.\mathbf{Nil}(f)$. This yields (among other things) a product

$$\Theta_* : K_0 \mathbf{E} \otimes \mathbf{End}_0(A) \otimes \mathbf{Nil}_*(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}_*(f).$$

Stienstra proves in *loc. cit.* that the elements $[R^n, \omega]$ and $[R^n, \omega']$ agree in $K_0 \mathbf{E}$, where

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & y_1^n y_2 & \\ 1 & & 0 & \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & y_1 y_2 & \\ y_1 & & 0 & \\ & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & & y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the two maps

$$\Theta_*([R^n, \omega], -), \Theta_*([R^n, \omega'], -) : \mathbf{End}_0(A) \otimes \mathbf{Nil}_*(f) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nil}_*(f)$$

agree. Stienstra also observes that these maps send $[P, \alpha] \otimes \beta$ to $V_n(\alpha * F_n \beta)$ and $(V_n \alpha) * \beta$, respectively; see also [19, p.14]. The projection formula follows. \square

6. NEGATIVE RELATIVE K-THEORY

Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes. Then we have a long exact sequence of negative K-groups, part of which is:

$$(6.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow K_{-d}(f) \rightarrow K_{-d}(S) \rightarrow K_{-d}(X) \rightarrow K_{-d-1}(f) \rightarrow K_{-d-1}(S) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of d -dimensional schemes, essentially of finite type over a field k of characteristic 0. Then for all $r > 0$:*

- (1) $K_n(f) = K_n(f \times \mathbb{A}^r) = 0$ for $n \leq -d - 2$.
- (2) $K_{-d-1}(f) \cong K_{-d-1}(f \times \mathbb{A}^r)$ (" f is K_{-d-1} -regular.")
- (3) If f is a finite map then $K_{-d-1}(f) \cong H_{\text{cdh}}^d(S, f_* \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. By Corollary 5.9 and Theorem 6.2 of [4], $K_n(S) \cong K_n(S \times \mathbb{A}^r)$ for all $n \leq -d$, $K_n(S) = 0$ for $n < -d$ and $K_{-d}(S) \cong H_{\text{cdh}}^d(S, \mathbb{Z})$; the analogous assertions hold for X . The exact sequence (6.1) for S and $S \times \mathbb{A}^r$ implies the first two assertions. For (3), we have a distinguished triangle cdh sheaves on S ,

$$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow f_*\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow f_*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1].$$

Since the cdh-cohomological dimension of S is at most d , $H_{\text{cdh}}^{d+1}(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Thus the long exact sequence on cdh-cohomology ends in

$$\rightarrow H_{\text{cdh}}^d(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{cdh}}^d(S, f_*\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{cdh}}^d(S, f_*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since f is finite, we have $H_{\text{cdh}}^*(S, f_*\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{\text{cdh}}^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$; assertion (3) follows. \square

Remark 6.2.1. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p . Kerz and Strunk have shown in [10] that $K_n(S)$ is a p -primary torsion group for $n < -d$. Then Theorem 6.2 holds for k up to p -torsion.

If in addition k is a perfect field, over which weak resolution of singularities holds, then Theorem 6.2(1,2) holds for k . This also follows from [10]; if strong resolution of singularities holds, (1) also follows from the Geisser–Hesselholt theorem in [6] that $K_n(S) = 0$ for $n < -d$.

When S is a curve, not necessarily defined over \mathbb{Q} , we have a similar result.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a finite map of 1-dimensional noetherian schemes. Then $K_{-1}(f)$ fits into an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^1(S, f_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times/\mathcal{O}_S^\times) \rightarrow K_{-1}(f) \rightarrow H_{\text{nis}}^0(S, f_*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

In addition, $K_{-2}(f) \cong H_{\text{nis}}^1(S, f_\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $K_n(f) = 0$ for $n < -2$.*

Proof. By Thomason–Trobaugh [20, 10.8], we have a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{nis}}^p(S, \mathcal{K}_{-q}(f)) \Rightarrow K_{-p-q}(f),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_n(f)$ is the Nisnevich sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto K_n(U, f^{-1}U)$. Each stalk $\mathcal{K}_n(f)$ is $K_n(A, B)$, where A is a hensel local ring of dimension ≤ 1 . By Lemma 6.4 below, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_n(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq -2 \\ f_*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = -1 \\ f_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times/\mathcal{O}_S^\times & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $cd_{\text{nis}}(S) \leq 1$, $E_2^{p,q} \neq 0$ only for $p = 0, 1$ and $q \leq 1$. Thus the spectral sequence degenerates to yield $K_{-2}(f) \cong H_{\text{nis}}^1(S, f_*\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $K_n(f) = 0$ for $n < -2$. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let A be a 1-dimensional hensel local ring and $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ a finite extension. If B has r components, then*

$$K_0(f) \cong B^\times/A^\times, \quad K_{-1}(f) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r-1} \quad \text{and} \quad K_n(f) = 0 \text{ for } n < -1.$$

Proof. Since B is a finite A -algebra, B is a finite product of r hensel local rings. By [24, 2.8], $K_n(A) = K_n(B) = 0$ for $n < -1$. By a result of Drinfeld [29, III.4.4.3], we have $K_{-1}(A) = K_{-1}(B) = 0$. The result now follows from (6.1). \square

Remark 6.5. A necessary condition for $K_{-1}(f) = 0$ is that the ring extension $f : A \hookrightarrow B$ is *anodal*, i.e., if every $b \in B$ such that $(b^2 - b) \in A$ and $(b^3 - b^2) \in A$ belongs to A . (See [27, 3.1].) This is because (2.3) induces a surjection $L \det : K_{-1}(f) \rightarrow LPic(f)$, and we showed in [16] that $LPic(f) = 0$ implies that $A \subset B$ is anodal. The converse does not hold, even if f is a birational extension of domains, as Example 3.5 in [27] shows.

Example 6.6. Here is an example to show why we assume S affine in Proposition 2.5. For each n , the scheme $S = \mathbb{P}_k^1$ has a sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{O}_B = \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}(n)$ with $\mathcal{O}(n)$ a square-zero ideal; fix $n \leq -2$ and set $X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_B)$. Then $H = H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(n))$ is nonzero and $\text{Pic}(X) = \text{Pic}(S) \oplus H$, $K_0(X) \cong K_0(S) \oplus H$. In particular, $K_{-1}(f) = H \neq 0$.

Acknowledgements: This project was initiated while the first author was visiting Rutgers University in August 2015; he would like to thank the Math Dept. of Rutgers University for the invitation and financial support. The first author is also grateful to Jan Stienstra for sending him the manuscript [18]. The second author would like to thank TIFR for providing a great environment for doing this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass and A. Roy, Lectures on topics in algebraic K-theory, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, No. 41, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay 1967.
- [2] H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [3] H. Bass and M. P. Murthy, *Grothendieck Groups and Groups of Abelian Group Rings*, Annals of Mathematics **86** (July 1967) 16–73.

- [4] G. Cortiñas, C. Haesemeyer, M. Schlichting and C. Weibel, *Cyclic homology, cdh-cohomology and negative K-theory*, Annals of Mathematics, **167** (2008), 549–573.
- [5] B. Dayton and C. Weibel, *On the naturality of Pic, SK_0 and SK_1* , pp. 1–28 in “Algebraic K-theory: Connections with Geometry and Topology, NATO ASI Series C **279**, Kluwer Press, 1989.
- [6] T. Geisser and L. Hesselholt, *On the vanishing of negative K-groups*, Math. Ann. **348** (2010), 707–736.
- [7] C. Haesemeyer, *Descent properties of homotopy K-theory*, Duke Math. Journal, **125** (2004), 589–620.
- [8] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [9] F. Ischebeck, *Subintegral ring extensions and some K-theoretical functors*, J. Algebra **121**, 323–338 (1989).
- [10] M. Kerz and F. Strunk, *On the vanishing of negative homotopy K-theory*, preprint. arXiv.1601.08075 and https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=vp_VAFn6_EQ
- [11] J. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1980.
- [12] C. Pedrini and C. Weibel, *Divisibility in the Chow group of zero-cycles on a singular surface*, pp. 371–409 in *K-theory (Strasbourg, 1992)*, Astérisque 226 (1994).
- [13] L. G. Roberts and B. Singh, *Subintegrality, invertible modules and the Picard group*, Compositio Math. **85** (1993), 249–279.
- [14] V. Sadhu, *Subintegrality, Invertible Modules and Laurent polynomial Extensions*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **125** (2015), 149–160.
- [15] V. Sadhu and B. Singh, *Subintegrality, invertible modules and Polynomial Extensions*, J. Algebra **393** (2013), 16–23.
- [16] V. Sadhu and C. Weibel, *Relative Cartier divisors and Laurent polynomial Extensions*, preprint. arxiv.1507.06910v1.
- [17] R. G. Swan, *On Seminormality*, J. Algebra **67** (1980) 210–229.
- [18] J. Stienstra, *Operations in the higher K-theory of endomorphisms*, pp. 59–115 in CMS Conf. Series 2, AMS, 1982.
- [19] J. Stienstra, *Correction to Cartier-Dieudonné theory for chow groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **365** (1985), 218–220.
- [20] R. W. Thomason and T. Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, pp. 247–435 in *The Grothendieck Festschrift III*, Progress in Math. 88, Birkhäuser. 1990.
- [21] W. van der Kallen, *Descent for the K-theory of polynomial rings*, Math. Z. **191**, (1986), 405–415.
- [22] T. Vorst, *Localization of the K-theory of polynomial extensions*, Math. Ann. **244** (1979), 33–53.
- [23] F. Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1126, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [24] C. Weibel, *K-theory and analytic isomorphisms*, Invent. Math. **61** (1980), 177–197.

- [25] C. Weibel, *Mayer-Vietoris sequences and module structures on NK_** , pp. 494–517 in Lecture Notes in Math. 854, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [26] C. Weibel, *Module structures in the K-theory of graded rings*, J. Algebra, **105** (1987), 465–483.
- [27] C. Weibel, *Pic is a contracted functor*, Invent math. **103** (1991), 351–377.
- [28] C. Weibel, *The negative K-theory of normal surface*, Duke Mathematical Journal, **108** (2001), 1–35.
- [29] C. Weibel, *The K-book: An Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory*, Graduate Studies in Math. vol. 145, AMS, 2013.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, 1 HOMI BHABA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

E-mail address: `sadhu@math.tifr.res.in`, `viveksadhu@gmail.com`

MATH. DEPT., RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08901, USA

E-mail address: `weibel@math.rutgers.edu`