Math 311: Advanced Calculus

Wolmer V. Vasconcelos

Set 6

Spring 2010

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 Properties of Infinite Series
- 3 Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 **Power Series**
- 7 Taylor Series
- 8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Main Goal

Understand

Study of Sequences and Series of Functions

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Motivation

Consider the function of last hourly

$$\mathbf{G}(x)=\int_0^x e^{t^2} dt.$$

Question: How to evaluate G(1) ?

We are going to make use of something we know already

$$e^{x} = 1 + x + \frac{x^{2}}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^{n}}{n!} + \dots$$

and do lots of reckless arithmetic:

~

~

$$\mathbf{G}(1) \stackrel{?}{=} \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(t^2)^n}{n!}\right) dt$$
$$\stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_0^1 \frac{(t^2)^n}{n!} dt$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \int_0^1 \frac{t^{2n}}{n!} dt$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!(2n+1)}$$
$$= ?$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 Properties of Infinite Series
- 3 Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 **Power Series**
- 7 Taylor Series
- 8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Convergence of Series

Given the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \cdots ?$$

there are two sequences associated to it

- **The sequence of terms**, (a_n) and
- The sequence of **partial sums**, (s_n) ,

$$s_n = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n$$

■ We say the **series converges** to *A* ∈ ℝ if lim *s_n* = *A*.We write this as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots = A$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

A cautionary tale

We pick the alternating harmonic series—which we know to be convergent—and carry out arithmetic operations: See what happens

$$S = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{6} + \cdots$$
$$\frac{1}{2}S = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{10} - \cdots$$
$$S + \frac{1}{2}S = 1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{4} + \cdots$$

Thus $S + \frac{1}{2}S = \frac{3}{2}S$ is just a rearrangement of *S*! The arithmetic is saying instead that

$$\frac{3}{2}S = S!$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Algebraic Limit Theorem for Series

Theorem

If
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = A$$
 and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k = B$, then:
1 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ca_k = cA$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and
2 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k) = A + B$.

Proof. (i) To show $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ca_k = cA$, we consider the sequence of partial sums

$$t_n=ca_1+ca_2+\cdots+ca_n.$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = A$, its sequence of partial sums

$$s_n = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$$

converges to *A*. By the Algebraic Limit Theorem for Sequences, $\lim t_n = c \lim s_n = cA$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

(ii) To show that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k + b_k) = A + B$, let $r_n = a_1 + \cdots + a_n$, $s_n = b_1 + \cdots + b_n$ be the partial sum terms of the series. The partial sum term of the addition of the two series is

$$t_n = (a_1+b_1)+\cdots+(a_n+b_n) = (a_1+\cdots+a_n)+(b_1+\cdots+b_n) = r_n+s_n.$$

By the Algebraic Limit Theorem for Sequences,

$$\lim t_n = \lim r_n + \lim s_n = A + B.$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Product of Series

Other operations are harder:

Question: Given two series, $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n + \cdots$ and $b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_n + \cdots$, what is

 $(a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + \dots)(b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n + \dots) = ?$

Part of the issue arises from the **distributive rule**. We will offer a partial fix later.

Cauchy Criterion for Series

Definition

A sequence (a_n) is called a **Cauchy sequence** if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $m, n \ge N$ it follows that $|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon$.

Recall:

Theorem

A sequence converges if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence.

We apply this criterion to the sequence (s_n) of partial sums of a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$. Note that

$$|s_m - s_n| = |a_{m+1} + \cdots + a_n|$$

Cauchy Test for Series

Theorem

The series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $n > m \ge N$ it follows that

$$|a_{m+1}+a_{m+2}+\cdots+a_n|<\epsilon.$$

Proof. Just observe

$$|\boldsymbol{s}_n - \boldsymbol{s}_m| = |\boldsymbol{a}_{m+1} + \boldsymbol{a}_{m+2} + \dots + \boldsymbol{a}_n| < \epsilon,$$

and apply the Cauchy's Criterion for sequences.

Corollary

If the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges, then $(a_k) \rightarrow 0$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Example

Consider the geometric series $(1 > q \ge 0)$

$$1+q+q^2+\cdots+q^n+\cdots$$

The difference of partial sums $s_n - s_m$ is

$$\begin{split} s_n - s_m &= q^{m+1} + \dots + q^n \\ &= q^{m+1}(1 + q + \dots + q^{n-m}) \\ &= q^{m+1} \frac{1 - q^{n-m+1}}{1 - q} \\ &\leq q^{m+1} \frac{1}{1 - q} \leq q^N \frac{1}{1 - q}, \quad n, m \geq N \end{split}$$

Converse?

Question: Is a series whose sequence of terms a_n converges to 0 convergent? This one is easy:

Answer: No. The (harmonic) series

$$1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \cdots + 1/n + \cdots$$

has $1/n \rightarrow 0$ but it is divergent.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Comparisons

Given two series $\sum_{k\geq 1} a_k$ and $\sum_{k\geq 1} b_k$ that loosely connected we seek to link their convergence/divergence:

Theorem (Comparison Test)

Assume $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ are series satisfying $0 \le a_k \le b_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Proof. Both follow from Cauchy's Criterion applied to the partial sums

$$|a_{m+1} + a_{m+2} + \dots + a_n| \le |b_{m+1} + a_{m+2} + \dots + b_n|$$

If, for instance, given $\epsilon > 0$ we can find *N* so that for n, m > N $|b_{m+1} + a_{m+2} + \cdots + b_n| < \epsilon$, then the same condition will apply to the a_n .

Example

- **1** We know that the **harmonic series**, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges. It is clear that the same happens if we form the series $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ where *N* is some fixed number $N \ge 1$.
- If a and b are positive numbers, consider the series [called generalized harmonic series] whose terms are given by the rule:

$$\frac{1}{a}, \frac{1}{a+b}, \frac{1}{a+2b}, \dots, \frac{1}{a+nb}, \dots$$

3 We claim that this series is also divergent: We compare the terms to a multiple of the harmonic series

$$\frac{1}{a+bn} \ge \frac{1}{n+bn} = \frac{1}{b+1}\frac{1}{n}, \quad n \ge a$$

Absolute Convergence Test

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ is a series of non-negative terms, its partial sums

$$s_n = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n$$
, $s_{n+1} = s_n + a_n$

is a monotone sequence. Therefore, by the criterion, the series converges exactly when the sequence (s_n) is bounded.

We make use of this:

Theorem (Absolute Convergence Test)

If the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$ converges, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges as well.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Proof of the Absolute Convergence Test

1 We make use of Cauchy criterion for series: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$ converges, there exists *N* so that

$$|a_{n+1}|+|a_{n+1}|+\cdots+|a_m|<\epsilon\quad m\ge n>N$$

2 By the **triangle inequality** (one that say $|a + b| \le |a| + |b|$), we get

 $|a_{n+1}+a_{n+1}+\cdots+a_m|<\epsilon\quad m\geq n>N$

3 Therefore the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ satisfies the Cauchy condition and therefore converges.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Converse?

The series
$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots (-1)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} + \dots$$

is convergent (alternating harmonic series) (the one that won a Grammy's Award), but the series of the absolute values is

$$1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}+\cdots,$$

is divergent.

Alternating Series

An alternating series is one with consecutive terms have opposite signs. One group of them is easy to study:

Theorem (Alternating Series Test)

Let (a_n) be a sequence satisfying

1
$$a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_n \ge a_{n+1} \ge \cdots$$
, and

2 $(a_n) \to 0.$

Wolmer Vasco

Advanced Calculus

Then the alternating series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$ converges.

In other words: If (a_n) is a decreasing sequence of positive terms then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n \quad \text{converges if and only if} \quad \lim a_n = 0$$

Proof. Observe the odd and even sequences of partial sums

$$s_1 = a_1 \ge s_3 = a_1 - (a_2 - a_3) \ge s_5 = s_3 - (a_4 - a_5), \dots$$

$$s_2 = a_1 - a_2 \le s_4 = s_2 + (a_3 - a_4) \le s_5 = s_3 + (a_5 - a_6), \dots$$

They are monotone and bounded: Since $(a_n) \rightarrow 0$, there exists $a_n \leq K$, $s_{2n} = s_{2n-1} + a_{2n} \leq s_{2n-1} + K \leq a_1 + K$, therefore the even sequence is increasing and bounded. Thus it has a limit ℓ_1 . Similarly, the other sequence is decreasing and with a lower bound, so it has a limit ℓ_2 . Since $\pm a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$ converges to 0, $\ell_1 = \ell_2$.

Rearrangements

Definition

Let $\sum_{k\geq 1} a_k$ be a series. A series $\sum_{k\geq 1} b_k$ is said to be a **rearrangement** of $\sum_{k\geq 1} a_k$ if there exists a 1–1, onto function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $b_{\mathbf{f}(k)} = a_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the geometric series of ratio q

$$1+q+q^2+q^3+\cdots+q^n+\cdots$$

Now we shuffle the terms

$$q + 1 + q^3 + q^2 + q^5 + q^4 + \cdots$$

This is not a geometric series, but we should expect its fate linked to the first series. The next result says this.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Series of Positive Terms

Theorem (Dirichlet)

The sum of a series of positive terms [convergence/divergence] is the same in whatever order [rearrangement] the terms are taken.

Proof. Let $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + \dots$ be a series of positive terms of sum *s*. Then any partial sum of rearrangement $b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n + \dots$ is bounded by *s*. Thus the second is convergent and its sum *t* is bound by *s*. We reverse the roles to obtain $s \le t$.

Product of Series

Question: Given two series, $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n + \cdots$ and $b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_n + \cdots$, what is

 $(a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + \dots)(b_0 + b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n + \dots) = ?$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

The issue is: we have all the poducts $a_m b_n$ that can be organized into many different series, and then grouped. For instance, if we list the $a_m b_n$ as the double array, we



Wolmer Vasconcelos

We could try the following: Define the product as the series

$$a_0b_0 + (a_0b_1 + a_1b_0) + (a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0) + \cdots$$

Makes sense? [Discuss] Will see another rearrangement soon.

a_0b_0	a_1b_0	a_2b_0	a_3b_0	
$a_0 b_1$	a_1b_1	a_2b_1	a_3b_1	
a_0b_2	a_1b_2	a_2b_2	a_3b_2	
a_0b_3	a_1b_3	a_2b_3	a_3b_3	

Wolmer Vasconcelos

The partial sums remind us how polynomials are multiplied

$$(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_nx^n)(b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_mx^m)$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{m+n}(\sum_{0\leq i\leq k}a_ib_{k-i})x^k$$

 a_0b_0 , $a_0b_1 + a_1b_0$, $a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_2$,... Another aspect of this definition is:

Theorem

If $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n$ and $\sum_{n\geq 0} b_n$ are two convergent series of positive terms, and s and t are their respective sums, then the third series is convergent and has the sum st.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Out of all products $a_m b_n$, the 'product' above is given in terms of the diagonals

$a_0 b_0$	a_1b_0	a_2b_0	a_3b_0	
a_0b_1	a_1b_1	a_2b_1	a_3b_1	
a_0b_2	a_1b_2	a_2b_2	a_3b_2	
a_0b_3	a_1b_3	a_2b_3	a_3b_3	

 a_0b_0 , $a_0b_1 + a_1b_0$, $a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_2$,... whose partial sums don't write conveniently:

$$p_n = (a_0b_0) + (a_1b_0 + a_1b_0) + (a_2b_0 + a_1b_1 + a_0b_2) + \cdots$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

We want to re-write the terms of the product series differently:

 $a_0b_0, (a_0 + a_1)(a_0 + a_1) - a_0b_0$, $(a_0 + a_1 + a_2)(b_0 + b_1 + b_2) - (a_0 + a_1)(b_0 + b_1), \dots$ whose *n*th partial sum is

$$(a_0+a_1+\cdots+a_n)(b_0+b_1+\cdots+b_n),$$

a sequence that converges to *st* by the Algebraic Limit Theorem.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Observe that

$$egin{aligned} p_n &= (a_0b_0) + (a_1b_0 + a_0b_1) + \dots + (a_0b_n + \dots + a_nb_0) \leq \ & (a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n)(b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_n) \end{aligned}$$

on one hand and

$$p_n \ge (a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_{n/2})(b_0 + b_1 + \cdots + b_{n/2})$$

Since the terms at the ends converge to st, $(p_n) \rightarrow st$ as well.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Theorem

If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges absolutely, then any rearrangement of this series converges to the same limit.

Proof. Assume $\sum_{k\geq 1} a_k$ converges absolutely to *A*, and let $\sum_{k\geq 1} b_k$ be an rearrangement of $\sum_{k\geq 1} a_k$. Let

$$s_n=\sum_{k=1}^n a_k=a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_n$$

and

$$t_n=\sum_{k=1}^n b_k=b_1+b_2+\cdots+b_n$$

be the corresponding partial sums.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $(s_n) \rightarrow A$, choose N_1 such that

$$|s_n - A| < \epsilon/2$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Because the convergence is absolute, we can choose N_2 so that

$$\sum_{k=m+1}^n |b_k| < \epsilon/2$$

for all $n > m \ge N_2$. Take $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. We know that the terms $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_N\}$ must all appear in the rearranged series, and we move far out enough in the series $\sum_{k\ge 1} b_k$ that these terms are all included. Thus, choose $M = \max\{f(k) \mid 1 \le k \le N\}$. It is clear that if $m \ge M$, then $(t_m - s_N)$ consists of a finite number of terms, the absolute values of which appear in the tail of $\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k|$. The earlier choice of N_2 guarantees $|t_m - s_N| < \epsilon/2$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} |t_m - A| &= |t_m - s_N + s_N - A| \\ &\leq |t_m - s_N| + |s_N - A| \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Integral Test

Theorem (Integral Test)

Let $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n$ be a series of positive terms. If there is a decreasing function $\mathbf{f}(x)$ such that $a_n \leq \mathbf{f}(n)$ for large n and

$$\int_{x=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{f}(x)dx<\infty,$$

then $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n$ converges.

Proof. If $a_n \leq \mathbf{f}(n)$ for $n \geq n_0$, since $\mathbf{f}(x)$ is decreasing, $a_n \leq \int_{n-1}^{n} \mathbf{f}(x) dx$, $n > n_0$. From this, and the assumption that $\int_{1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}(x) dx < \infty$, we get that the partial sums of the series $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n$ are bounded, and therefore converge by the theorem on bounded monotone sequences.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Zeta Function

The series

$$1+\frac{1}{2^{p}}+\frac{1}{3^{p}}+\frac{1}{4^{p}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n^{p}}+\cdots,$$

for p > 1 will always converge. Its sum is denoted by $\zeta(p)$.

For example, $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

This function is actually defined for all complex numbers p whose real part is > 1. It is known as **Riemann zeta function**. It is probably the most famous function of Mathematics.
Convergence

Let us show that

$$1 + \frac{1}{2^p} + \frac{1}{3^p} + \frac{1}{4^p} + \dots + \frac{1}{n^p} + \dots$$

for p > 1 will always converge.

We are going to bound each term $1/n^p$ by the terms of another series, and then argue the new series converges.

Consider the function $\mathbf{f}(x) = 1/x^p$, $x \ge 2$. This is a decreasing function (draw the graph). Observe

$$1/n^{p} \leq \int_{x=n-1}^{n} 1/x^{p} dx$$

Therefore its partial sums are bounded by

$$s_n \le 1 + \int_{x=1}^n \frac{dx}{x^p} = 1 + \frac{1}{p-1} \left[1 - \frac{1}{n^{p-1}} \right] < 1 + \frac{1}{p-1}$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Examples

The series in earlier Workshop satisfies

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n(n+1)}\leq \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n^2}$$

which is convergent. In the same manner, if

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{p(n)}{q(n)},$$

where p(n) and q(n) are positive polynomial expressions with deg $q \ge 2 + \deg p$, then the series converges by the same reason. Do it!

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Exam Type Exercises

1 Show that
$$\sum_{n \ge 0} (-1)^n \frac{2n+3}{(n+1)(n+2)} = 1.$$

2 Determine the values of *q* for which the series

$$q+2q^2+3q^3+\cdots+nq^n+\cdots$$

is convergent.

3 Show that $\sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^p}$ converges if p > 1, and diverges if $p \leq 1$.

Ratio Tests

There are very useful tests involving the ratio a_{n+1}/a_n of two successive terms of a series. Sometimes we compare the ratio a_{n+1}/a_n to another b_{n+1}/b_n . In these we suppose that a_n and b_n are strictly positive. Suppose $a_n, b_n > 0$ and that $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \le \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}$ for sufficiently large n,

that is for $n \ge n_0$.

Then

$$egin{array}{rcl} a_n &=& \displaystylerac{a_{n_0+1}}{a_{n_0}} \displaystylerac{a_{n_0+2}}{a_{n_0+1}} \cdots \displaystylerac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} a_{n_0} \ &\leq& \displaystylerac{b_{n_0+1}}{b_{n_0}} \displaystylerac{b_{n_0+2}}{b_{n_0+1}} \cdots \displaystylerac{b_n}{b_{n-1}} a_{n_0} = \displaystylerac{a_{n_0}}{b_{n_0}} b_n \ &=& \displaystyle C b_n, \quad C = \displaystyle a_{n_0} / b n_0. \end{array}$$

Here are some applications:

Theorem

Let $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ be series of positive terms. 1 If for $n \ge n_0$ $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \le \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}$, and the series $\sum b_n$ converges, then $\sum a_n$ converges also. 2 If for $n \ge n_0$ $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \ge \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n}$, and the series $\sum a_n$ diverges, then $\sum b_n$ diverges also.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Theorem (d'Alambert Test)

The series $\sum a_n$ is convergent if $a_{n+1}/a_n \le r$, where r < 1, for all sufficiently large n.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Theorem

Given a series $\sum_{n>1} a_n$ with $a_n \neq 0$, if (a_n) satisfies

$$\lim \left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right|=r<1,$$

then the series converges absolutely.

Proof.

1 Let r' satisfy r < r' < 1. For $\epsilon = r' - r$, there is N such that for $n \ge N |a_{n+1}/a_n| - r| < \epsilon$, and therefore

$$|a_{n+1}/a_n| - r \le ||a_{n+1}/a_n| - r| < \epsilon = r' - r,$$

giving $|a_{n+1}| \leq r' |a_n|$ for $n \geq N$.

2 The above shows that the series $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n|$ satisfies $|a_n| \le |a_N| (r')^{n-N}$, a geometric series of ratio r' < 1, which converges.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Exponential

A quick application of the ratio test: We claim that the series

$$1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

converges for all values of x.

For the ratio of consecutive terms

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{x^{n+1}/(n+1)!}{x^n/n+!} = \frac{x}{n+1}$$

so that for any *x*, $\lim a_{n+1}/a_n = 0$.

This is a well used technique for power series.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Examples

1 For the series $\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{n}{2^n}$ we invoke the ratio test:

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{n+1}{2^{n+1}} / \frac{n}{2^n} = \frac{n+1}{n} \frac{1}{2}$$

which has limit 1/2 < 1. So the series converges.

2 Decide [with justification] whether the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{n!}{n^n},$$

is convergent or divergent?

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Exercises

- 1 Show that if $a_n > 0$ and $\lim na_n = L$, with $L \neq 0$, then the series $\sum a_n$ diverges.
- 2 Show that if $a_n > 0$ and $\lim n^2 a_n = L$, with $L \neq 0$, then the series $\sum a_n$ converges.
- 3 Find examples of two series ∑ a_n and ∑ b_n both of which diverge but for which ∑ min{a_n, b_n} converges. To make it more difficult, choose examples where (a_n) and (b_n) are positive and decreasing.

Root Test

Let $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n$ be a series of positive terms. We are going to examine how the limit

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{a_n}$

is used to decide convergence. We recall one special calculation of these limits: If x > 0

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{x}=1$$

Recall another limit: $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{n} = 1$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Root Test

Theorem

If $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n$ is a series of positive terms and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} = r < 1$, then the series converges.

Proof. Let r < r' < 1 and pick $\epsilon = r' - r$. This is the same subtle point we used above.

1 There is N so that for n > N

$$|\sqrt[n]{a_n} - r| < \epsilon$$

2 This implies that $\sqrt[n]{a_n} < r + \epsilon = r' < 1$ for n > N. As a consequence

 $a_n < (r')^n$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Example

Consider the series (for q > 0)

$$1 + q + 2q^2 + \cdots + nq^n + \cdots$$

We invoke the **root test**

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{nq^n} = q\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt[n]{n} = q$$

Therefore it converges if q < 1

Let us calculate the sum of the series. For that we must have an inkling on how the series arose from the geometric series. At these times we replace q by x and recall:

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Nice calculation

1 Differentiate the 'equality'

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n + \dots$$

2 To get almost our series

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^2} = 1 + 2x + 3x^2 + \dots + nx^{n-1} + \dots$$

3 Now multiply by x and add 1

$$1 + \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} = 1 + x + 2x^2 + \dots + nx^n + \dots$$

4 Thus for 0 < q < 1 the series sums to $1 + \frac{q}{(1-q)^2}$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 **Properties of Infinite Series**
- 3 Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 **Power Series**
- 7 Taylor Series
- 8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Workshop #10: This page and next

1 If *a* is a positive integer, prove that the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n(a+n)}$$

converges. Find its sum.

2 If b > a > 0, do the same for the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n(a+n)(b+n)}$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

3: Argue by induction that for any sequence of integers $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_r$, the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n(a_1+n)(a_2+n)\cdots(a_r+n)}$$

converges and its sum can be effectively computed.

4: Given the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1}{n^2+1}$$

- Prove by comparison and by a direct application of the integral test that it converges.
- Try to find its sum somehow/somewhere.
- Google it.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 Properties of Infinite Series
- 3 Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 **Power Series**
- 7 Taylor Series
- 8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Sequences of Functions

Let $\mathbf{f}_n : A \to \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a set of functions. For each $x \in A$ they define a numerical sequence $(\mathbf{f}_n(x))$. If $\mathbf{f}_n(x) \to L$, we say that (\mathbf{f}_n) converges at x. We are greatly interested in case it converges to all $x \in A$, as the limit

$$\mathbf{f}_n(x) \to \mathbf{f}(x)$$

will define a function $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{A} \to \mathbb{R}$.

1 If the **f**_n are continuous, when is **f** continuous?

2 If the **f**_n are differentiable, when is **f** differentiable?

Example

Let $\mathbf{f}_n(x) = x^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the sequence of powers of x as functions on [0, 1]. For any x in this interval, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{f}_n(x) = 0, \quad 0 \le x < 1$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{f}_n(x) = 1, \quad x = 1$$

Thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{f}_n$ exists for all $x \in [0, 1]$, but it is not a continuous function on the interval.

We need a rule that guarantees that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{f}_n$ is continuous.

Pointwise and Uniform Convergence

Definition

The sequence of functions $(\mathbf{f}_n(x))$ converges **pointwise** to $\mathbf{f}(x)$ if for every $x \mathbf{f}_n(x)$ converges to $\mathbf{f}(x)$. For a given x, this means that given $\epsilon > 0$ there is $N = N(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge N$, $|\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}(x)| < \epsilon$.

Another definition of convergence is much more restrictive:

Definition

The sequence of functions $(\mathbf{f}_n(x))$ converges uniformly to $\mathbf{f}(x)$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge N$,

 $|\mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})| < \epsilon.$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Example: Let
$$f_n(x) = 1/n(1 + x^2)$$
. Then
 $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = 0$. Given $\epsilon > 0$
 $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 1/n$
Thus if $N \ge 1/\epsilon$,
 $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$
for $n > N$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Cauchy Criterion for Uniform Convergence

Theorem

A sequence of functions $(\mathbf{f}_n(x))$ defined on a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ converges uniformly on A if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_m(x)| < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \ge N$ and all $x \in A$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : For each $x \in A$, the numerical Cauchy sequence $(\mathbf{f})_n(x)$) converges: Call the limit $\mathbf{f}(x)$. Now we argue that \mathbf{f}_n converges to \mathbf{f} uniformly. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let N be such that $|\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_m(x)| < \epsilon$ for $n, m \ge N$. Now we use the argument used in the numerical case. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Because the sequence \mathbf{f}_n is Cauchy, there exists N such that for all $n, m \ge N$ and all $x \in A$,

$$|\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_m(x)| < \epsilon/2.$$

On the other hand, for each $x \in A$ the sequence $\mathbf{f}_n(x) \to \mathbf{f}(x)$, so there is N_K

$$|\mathbf{f}_{N_{\mathcal{K}}}(x) - \mathbf{f}(x)| < \epsilon/2.$$

Thus for all $x \in A$ and all $n \ge N_K$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}(x)| &= |\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_{N_k}(x) + \mathbf{f}_{N_k}(x) - \mathbf{f}(x)| \\ &\leq |\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_{N_k}(x)| + |\mathbf{f}_{N_k}(x) - \mathbf{f}(x)| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Uniform Convergence and Continuity

Theorem

If the sequence of continuous functions $(\mathbf{f}_n(x))$ converges uniformly to $\mathbf{f}(x)$, then $\mathbf{f}(x)$ is continuous (on the same domain).

Proof. Let x = c be a point in the domain. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we must show that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $0 < |x - c| < \delta$, then $|\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(c)| < \epsilon$. The idea is to write

$$f(x) - f(c) = (f(x) - f_n(x)) + (f_n(x) - f_n(c)) + (f_n(c) - f(c))$$

and use uniform convergence on the first and third terms and continuity on the second.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(c)| &\leq |\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}_n(x)| + |\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_n(c)| \\ &+ |\mathbf{f}_n(c) - \mathbf{f}(c)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}_n(x)| &< \epsilon/3, \quad n \ge N \\ |\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_n(c)| &< \epsilon/3, \quad 0 < |x - c| < \delta \\ |\mathbf{f}(c) - \mathbf{f}_n(c)| &< \epsilon/3, \quad n \ge N \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $0 < |x - c| < \delta$,

$$|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c})|<\epsilon.$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Uniform Convergence and Differentiability

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{f}_n \to \mathbf{f}$ pointwise on interval [a, b] and assume each \mathbf{f}_n is differentiable. If (\mathbf{f}'_n) converges uniformly on [a, b] to a function \mathbf{g} , then \mathbf{f} is differentiable and $\mathbf{f}' = \mathbf{g}$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and fix $c \in [a, b]$. We will argue that $\mathbf{f}'(c)$ exists and it is equal to $\mathbf{g}(c)$. We begin with

$$\mathbf{f}'(c) = \lim_{x \to c} \frac{\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(c)}{x - c}$$

and claim we can find $\delta > 0$ so that for $0 < |x - c| < \delta$

$$\left|\frac{\mathsf{f}(x)-\mathsf{f}(c)}{x-c}-\mathsf{g}(c)\right|<\epsilon.$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

$$egin{aligned} \left| rac{\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(c)}{x - c} - \mathbf{g}(c)
ight| &\leq & \left| rac{\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(c)}{x - c} - rac{\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_n(c)}{x - c}
ight| \ &+ & \left| rac{\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_n(c)}{x - c} - \mathbf{f}_n'(c)
ight| + \left| \mathbf{f}_n'(c) - \mathbf{g}(c)
ight| \end{aligned}$$

We will argue that we can find δ so that each of the three terms $<\epsilon/3.$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Apply the MVT to $\mathbf{f}_n - \mathbf{f}_m$ on [c, x]: there exists $\alpha \in (c, x)$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}'_n(\alpha) - \mathbf{f}'_m(\alpha) = \frac{(\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_m(x)) - (\mathbf{f}_n(c) - \mathbf{f}_m(c))}{x - c}$$

By Cauchy Criterion for Unif Conv, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n, m \ge N_1$,

$$|\mathbf{f}'_n(\alpha) - \mathbf{f}'_m(\alpha)| < \epsilon/3$$

Together we have

$$\left|\frac{\mathsf{f}_n(x)-\mathsf{f}_m(x)}{x-c}-\frac{\mathsf{f}_n(c)-\mathsf{f}_m(c)}{x-c}\right|<\epsilon/3$$

for all $m, n \ge N_1$, and all $x \in [a, b]$. If we take the limit $\mathbf{f}_m \to \mathbf{f}$ (making use of the Order Limit Theorem)

Wolmer Vasconcelos

$$\frac{\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{f}(c)}{x - c} - \frac{\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_n(c)}{x - c} \le \epsilon/3$$

Finally, choose N_2 large enough so that

$$|\mathbf{f}'_m(\mathbf{c}) - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{c})| < \epsilon/3$$

for all $m \ge N_2$, and let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ Use that \mathbf{f}_N is differentiable to produce $\delta > 0$ for which

$$\left|\frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)-\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{N}}(c)}{x-c}-\mathbf{f}_{\mathcal{N}}'(c)\right|<\epsilon/3$$

whenever $0 < |x - c| < \delta$. Substituting in the original expression,

$$\left|\frac{\mathbf{f}(x)-\mathbf{f}(c)}{x-c}-\mathbf{g}(c)\right|<\epsilon$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Theorem

Let (\mathbf{f}_n) be a sequence of differentiable functions defined on the interval [a, b] and assume that (\mathbf{f}'_n) converges uniformly on [a, b] to a function **g**. If there exists a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ where $(\mathbf{f}_n(x_0))$ is convergent, then (\mathbf{f}_n) converges uniformly on [a, b].

Proof. For any $x \in [a, b]$, we have

 $|\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_m(x)| \le |(\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_m(x)) - (\mathbf{f}_n(x_0) - \mathbf{f}_m(x_0))| + |\mathbf{f}_n(x_0) - \mathbf{f}_m(x_0)|$

One reduces to the previous proof by applying the MVT to $\mathbf{f}_n - \mathbf{f}_m$ on $[x_0, x]$: there exists $\alpha \in (x_0, x)$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}'_n(\alpha) - \mathbf{f}'_m(\alpha) = \frac{(\mathbf{f}_n(x) - \mathbf{f}_m(x)) - (\mathbf{f}_n(x_0) - \mathbf{f}_m(x_0))}{x - x_0}$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Combining the two theorems we get

Theorem

Let (\mathbf{f}_n) be a sequence of differentiable functions defined on the interval [a, b] and assume that (\mathbf{f}'_n) converges uniformly on [a, b] to a function \mathbf{g} . If there exists a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ where $(\mathbf{f}_n(x_0))$ is convergent, then (\mathbf{f}_n) converges uniformly on [a, b]. Moreover, the limit function $\mathbf{f} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{f}_n$ is differentiable and satisfies $\mathbf{f}' = \mathbf{g}$.

Basics on Limits and Derivatives

- Let f_n → f pointwise on interval [a, b] and assume each f_n is differentiable. If (f'_n) converges uniformly on [a, b] to a function g, then f is differentiable and f' = g.
- 2 Let (\mathbf{f}_n) be a sequence of differentiable functions defined on the interval [a, b] and assume that (\mathbf{f}'_n) converges uniformly on [a, b] to a function **g**. If there exists a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ where $(\mathbf{f}_n(x_0))$ is convergent, then (\mathbf{f}_n) converges uniformly on [a, b].
- 3 Let (\mathbf{f}_n) be a sequence of differentiable functions defined on the interval [a, b] and assume that (\mathbf{f}'_n) converges uniformly on [a, b] to a function \mathbf{g} . If there exists a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ where $(\mathbf{f}_n(x_0))$ is convergent, then (\mathbf{f}_n) converges uniformly on [a, b]. Moreover, the limit function $\mathbf{f} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{f}_n$ is differentiable and satisfies $\mathbf{f}' = \mathbf{g}$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 Properties of Infinite Series
- 3 Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 **Power Series**
- 7 Taylor Series
- 8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Series of Functions

Question: What do we see in the Infinite Series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots = ?$$

Answer: At least two things

- The sequence of terms, (a_n) and
- The sequence of partial sums, (s_n) ,

$$s_n = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n$$

We say the **series converges** to $S \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\lim s_n = S$. By abuse of notation, we then replace the ? by *S*.

Wolmer Vasconcelos
Question: What do we see in the Infinite Series of Functions $\mathbf{f}_n : A \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_n = \mathbf{f}_0 + \mathbf{f}_1 + \mathbf{f}_2 + \mathbf{f}_3 + \dots = ?$$

Answer: At least three things

- The sequence of terms, (\mathbf{f}_n)
- **The** sequence of partial sums, (s_n) ,

$$\mathbf{s}_n = \mathbf{f}_0 + \mathbf{f}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{f}_n$$

• We say the series converges to $\mathbf{f}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\lim \mathbf{f}_n(x) = \mathbf{f}(x)$.

Main question: Properties of f? continuous? differentiable

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Reasons Why

Two quick reasons why series of functions are widely (and wildly) used:

1 There are equations for which we do not have explicit (short) formulas of their solutions, e.g.

$$x^5 + 5x + 6 = 0$$
,

yet we are still able to write the solutions as the limits of numerical series

$$x=\sum_{n\geq 0}a_n.$$

2 Series gives the means to break down some functions into basic blocks:

$$\sin x = x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \cdots$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Noteworthy Examples

Geometric series

$$1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n + \dots$$

2 Exponential series

$$e^{x}=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\cdots+\frac{x^{n}}{n!}+\cdots$$

3 Arctangent series

$$x-\frac{x^3}{3}+\frac{x^5}{5}+\cdots$$

It is legitimate to evaluate the last series for x = 1 in order to get

$$\pi/4 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Continuity of Series of Functions

The guiding theorems:

Theorem

Let \mathbf{f}_n be continuous functions on a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, and assume $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_n$ converges uniformly to a function \mathbf{f} . Then, \mathbf{f} is continuous on A.

We need the means to test when the sequence of partial sums

$$s_n(x) = \mathbf{f}_0(x) + \mathbf{f}_1(x) + \cdots + \mathbf{f}_n(x)$$

converges uniformly.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Cauchy Criterion

Theorem

A series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_n$ converges uniformly on $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > m \ge M$,

$$|\mathbf{f}_{m+1}(x) + \mathbf{f}_{m+2}(x) + \cdots + \mathbf{f}_n(x)| < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in A$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Weierstrass M-Test

Theorem

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathbf{f}_n be a function defined on a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, and let M_n be a real number satisfying

 $|\mathbf{f}_n(x)| \leq M_n$

for all $x \in A$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_n(x)$ converges uniformly on A.

This reduces to Cauchy's Criterion since

 $|\mathbf{f}_{m+1}(x)+\mathbf{f}_{m+2}(x)+\cdots+\mathbf{f}_n(x)|\leq M_{m+1}+\cdots+M_n,$

for all $x \in A$. Now we use the Cauchy Criterion for numerical series.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Example

Let

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n^2},$$

whose terms are bounded by the terms of the convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$. It converges uniformly to a continuous function **f**(*x*).

The series of derivatives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos nx}{n},$$

diverges at x = 0 (becomes the harmonic series).

V	Vo	lmer '	Vasconce	los
	_			_

Derivative of a Series

The following gives us a criterion of when we can differentiate a series:

Theorem

Let \mathbf{f}_n be differentiable functions defined on the interval [a, b], and assume that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}'_n$ converges uniformly on [a, b] to a function \mathbf{g} on [a, b]. If there exists a point $x_0 \in [a, b]$ where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_n(x_0)$ is convergent, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_n$ converges uniformly to a differentiable function $\mathbf{f}(x)$ satisfying $\mathbf{f}' = \mathbf{g}$ on [a, b]. In other words,

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_n(x), \quad \mathbf{f}'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}'_n(x)$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 Properties of Infinite Series
- 3 Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 Power Series
- 7 Taylor Series
- 8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Power Series

A power series is a series of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_nx^n=a_0+a_1x+a_2x^2+\cdots$$

Sometimes instead of x^n on has $(x - a)^n$.

These series have, unlike more general series, amenable properties: It will be much simpler to study their convergence, continuity and differentiability.

Basic Theorem

Part of the simplicity is grounded on the following:

Theorem

If a power series $\sum_{n=0} a_n x^n$ converges at some point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then it converges absolutely for any x satisfying $|x| < |x_0|$.

Proof. If $\sum_{n=0} a_n x_0^n$ converges, then the sequence $a_n x_0^n$ is bounded (in fact, by Cauchy's, converges to 0). Let M > 0 satisfy $|a_n x_0^n| \le M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $|x| < |x_0|$,

$$|a_n x^n| = |a_n x_0^n| \left| \frac{x}{x_0} \right|^n \le M \left| \frac{x}{x_0} \right|^n$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

The geometric series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M \left| \frac{x}{x_0} \right|^n$$

converges since its ratio is < 1, so by the Comparison Test, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges absolutely.

Radius of Convergence

Here is a surprising property of power series: If we have a power series $~~\sim$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_nx^n,$$

what is like the set of all x (besides x = 0) where it converges? Here is part of the answer:

Corollary

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ be a power series. The possible sets of points where it converges are: 0 only; all of \mathbb{R} ; or an interval (-R, R), possibly with one or both of its boundary points.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

R: radius of convergence : the largest nonnegative number such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges for all |x| < R.

Theorem

The radius of convergence of the series $\sum a_n x^n$ is given by

$$\mathsf{R} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} \right|,$$

provided the limit exists or is $+\infty$.

Proof. We make use of the Ratio Test: The series converges if the limit

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\frac{a_{n+1}x^{n+1}}{a_nx^n}\right|=L|x|<1$$

and diverges if L|x| > 1.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

From this we conclude: R = 1/L if $L \neq 0$. Also, $R = \infty$ if L = 0, and R = 0 if $L = \infty$.

- **1** For the exponential series $\sum \frac{x^n}{n!}$, $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)!}{n!} = \infty$
- **2** For the geometric series $\sum x^n$, R = 1
- 3 For $\sum n! x^n$, R = 0

Radius of Convergence and Differentiation/Integration

Let
$$f(x) = \sum a_n x^n$$
, $\sum_{n>1} n a_n x^{n-1}$, and $\sum_{n>1} \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1}$

Theorem

The three series have the same radii of convergence.

Proof. Suppose *R* and *R'* are the radii of convergence of the first two series. Suppose |x| < R, and choose $|x| < |x_0| < R$. Then the first series is convergent with $x = x_0$, and consequently $|a_n x_0^n| \le A$ for all *n*.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Then

$$na_{n}x^{n-1} = \frac{n}{x_{0}}a_{n}x_{0}^{n}\left(\frac{x}{x_{0}}\right)^{n-1},$$
$$|na_{n}x^{n-1}| \le \frac{A}{|x_{0}|}nr^{n-1},$$

where

$$r=\frac{|x|}{|x_0|}<1.$$

 $\frac{A}{|x_0|}nr^{n-1}$

The series

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{n+1}{n}r<1.$$

This proves that the series $na_n x^{n-1}$ converges and therefore

_____R <___R'___

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Now we show that R' > R is impossible. Otherwise, pick *x* so that R < |x| < R'. Then the series $\sum na_n x^{n-1}$ is absolutely convergent for this *x* and the first series is divergent. Now

$$|a_n x^n| = |na_n x^{n-1}| \left| \frac{x}{n} \right| < |na_n x^{n-1}|$$

as soon as n > |x|. This comparison shows that the series $\sum |a_n x^n|$ is convergent, a contradiction.

Root Formula

Exercise: Prove that the radius of convergence of the series



is given by

$$\frac{1}{R} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n}.$$

Note: In some early Workshops we had several examples of $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{something}$: $\sqrt[n]{n}$, $\sqrt[n]{a^n + b^n + c^n}$ Note also the consequence: the series of indefinite integrals will have the same radius of convergence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$$

Uniform Convergence

Theorem

If a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ converges absolutely at a point $|x_0|$, then it converges uniformly on the closed interval [-c, c], where $c = |x_0|$.

Proof. We use Cauchy Criterion for Uniform Convergence of Series.

By assumption, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n x^n| < \infty$ so that in particular, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n > m \ge N$

$$|a_{m+1}c^{m+1}| + \cdots |a_nc^n| < \epsilon$$

which implies that for all $x \in [-c, c]$

$$|a_{m+1}x^{m+1}+\cdots a_nx^n| \le |a_{m+1}c^{m+1}|+\cdots |a_nc^n| < \epsilon$$

Abel's Lemma

Lemma

Let b_n satisfy $b_1 \ge b_2 \ge b_3 \ge \cdots \ge 0$, and let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ be a series for which the partial sums are bounded. In other words, assume there exists A > 0 such that

$$|a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n| < A$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \cdots + a_nb_n| \le 2A.$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

The proof uses a technique called **summation by parts**. Let (x_n) and (y_n) be sequences and let $s_n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$. Note that $x_j = s_j - s_{j-1}$. Now we verify that

$$\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} x_{j}y_{j} = s_{n}y_{n+1} - s_{m}y_{m+1} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} s_{j}(y_{j} - y_{j+1}).$$

Note that the two sides as sums $\sum a_{i,j}x_iy_j$, where $a_{i,j}$ are integers. To verify this is an identity, it is enough to check that for each *j* in the range $m + 1 \le i, j \le n + 1$, taking the partial derivative relative to x_i followed by that of y_j we get the same values:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial y_j} \sum a_{i,j} x_i y_j = a_{i,j}$$

Abel's Theorem

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{g}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ be a power series that converges at the point x = R > 0. Then the series converges uniformly on the interval [0, R]. A similar result holds if the series converges at x = -R.

Proof. We use Cauchy Criterion for Uniform Convergence of Series: Set

$$\mathbf{g}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n R^n \left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^n.$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

We must show that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n > m \ge N$

$$|a_{m+1}R^{m+1}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^{m+1}+\cdots+a_nR^n\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^n|<\epsilon$$

Because we are assuming that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n R^n$ converges, by Cauchy Criterion for convergent numerical series there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|a_{m+1}R^{m+1}+\cdots+a_nR^n|<\epsilon/2$$

for all $n > m \ge N$. By Abel's Lemma

$$|a_{m+1}R^{m+1}(x/R)^{m+1}+\cdots+a_nR^n(x/R)^n|<2\epsilon/2=\epsilon$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 Properties of Infinite Series
- **3** Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 **Power Series**

7 Taylor Series

8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Taylor Series

Let $\mathbf{f}(x)$ be a function defined on a neighborhood of x = a, let us assume its derivatives of all orders exist at x = a, $\mathbf{f}^{(n)}(a)$, $n \ge 0$. We can assemble these derivatives into several series, the most important being the **Taylor series** of \mathbf{f} at x = a:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(n)}(a)}{n!} (x-a)^n.$$

1 For what values of x, in addition to x = a, does the series converge?

2 When will it converge to f(x)?

The partial sums of this series are the polynomials

$$s_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(i)}(a)}{i!} (x-a)^i.$$

To see whether $s_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$, we must examine the difference

 $\mathbf{f}(x) - \mathbf{s}_n(x)$

This is called the **remainder** of the Taylor series.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Note that the series expresses a relationship between values of **f** at different points. We recall a basic result of this kind:

 If f : [a, b] → ℝ is continuous and f'(x) exists in (a, b), the MVT says that

$$\mathbf{f}(b) = \mathbf{f}(a) + (b-a)\mathbf{f}'(c),$$

for some $c \in (a, b)$.

If we assume more: Suppose f'(x) is continuous on [a, b] and f''(x) exists in (a, b):

$$\mathbf{f}(b) = \mathbf{f}(a) + (b-a)\mathbf{f}'(a) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{2}\mathbf{f}''(c),$$

for some $c \in (a, b)$.

To prove this, consider the function

$$\mathbf{g}(x) = \mathbf{f}(b) - \mathbf{f}(x) - (b - x)\mathbf{f}'(x) - \frac{(b - x)^2}{(b - a)^2}(\mathbf{f}(b) - \mathbf{f}(a) - (b - a)\mathbf{f}'(a)).$$

Note that it vanishes for x = a and x = b. Since it is differentiable, by Rolle's Theorem

$$\mathbf{g}'(c)=0$$

for some $c \in (a, b)$. Since

$$\mathbf{g}'(x) = -(b-x)\mathbf{f}''(x) - \frac{2(b-x)}{(b-a)^2}(\mathbf{f}(b) - \mathbf{f}(a) - (b-a)\mathbf{f}'(a)),$$

and we get: $f(b) - f(a) - (b - a)f'(a) = \frac{f''(c)}{2!}(b - a)^2$.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Taylor's Theorem

This can be proved in all degrees:

Theorem

Suppose that $\mathbf{f} : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is n-times differentiable on [a, b] and $\mathbf{f}^{(n)}$ is continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b). Assume $x_0 \in [a, b]$. Then for each $x \in [a, b]$ with $x \neq x_0$, there is c between x and x_0 such that

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \mathbf{f}(x_0) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x - x_0)^k + \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)^{n+1}.$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Proof of Taylor's

Define the function

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(k)}(t)}{k!} (x-t)^{k} + M(x-t)^{n+1},$$

where *M* is chosen so that $\mathbf{F}(x_0) = \mathbf{f}(x)$. This is possible because $x - x_0 \neq 0$.

F is continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b), and

$$\mathbf{F}(x) = \mathbf{f}(x) = \mathbf{F}(x_0).$$

By Rolle's Theorem,

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{0}$$
, for \mathbf{c} between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}_0

Wolmer Vasconcelos

$$0 = \mathbf{F}'(c) = \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(n+1)}(c)}{n!}(x-c)^n - (n+1)M(x-c)^n.$$

This gives

$$M=\frac{\mathbf{f}^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!}$$

and

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \mathbf{F}(x_0) = \mathbf{f}(x_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x - x_0)^k + \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)^{n+1}.$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(b) &= \mathbf{f}(a) + (b-a)\mathbf{f}'(a) + \frac{(b-a)^2}{2}\mathbf{f}''(a) \\ &+ \cdots + \frac{(b-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\mathbf{f}^{(n-1)}(a) + \frac{(b-a)^n}{n!}\mathbf{f}^{(n)}(c), \end{aligned}$$

for some $c \in (a, b)$. To prove this, consider the function

$$\mathbf{g}(x) = \mathbf{F}_n(x) - \left(\frac{b-x}{b-a}\right)^n \mathbf{F}_n(a)$$

where

$$\mathbf{F}_{n}(x) = \mathbf{f}(b) - \mathbf{f}(x) - (b - x)\mathbf{f}'(x) - \dots - \frac{(b - x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\mathbf{f}^{(n-1)}(x).$$

The function $\mathbf{g}(x)$ vanishes at x = a and x = b.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Its derivative is

$$\frac{n(b-x)^{n-1}}{(b-a)^n}\left(\mathbf{F}_n(a)-\frac{(b-a)^n}{n!}\mathbf{f}^{(n)}(x)\right),$$

which must vanish by Rolle's Theorem for some a < c < b. This gives the formula

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\mathbf{f}^{(i)}(a)}{i!} (x-a)^i + R_n(x)$$

We must control the term (remainder)

$${\mathcal R}_n(x) = rac{(b-a)^n}{n!} {\mathbf f}^{(n)}(c), \quad a < c < x$$

to study Taylor's.

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Example

Problem: Compute the first 5 decimals of *e*. The Taylor series of e^x around $x_0 = 0$ is

$$1 + x + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!} + \dots$$

The remainder term is

$$rac{\mathbf{f}^{(n+1)}(c)}{(n+1)!}(x-c)^{n+1}, \quad c\in [0,x].$$

We want to find *n* so that the remainder (for x = 1) is $< 10^{-6}$. We know that the derivatives of e^x are e^x , so $e^c \le e < 4$. As $(1 - c) \le 1$, the remainder is smaller than

$$\frac{4}{(n+1)!}$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

We pick n so that

$$\frac{4}{(n+1)!} < 10^{-6}$$

That is,

$$(n+1)! > 4 \times 10^6$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 7! &=& 5040 \\ 10! &=& 720 \times 5040 \\ 11! &>& 4 \times 10^6 \end{array}$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos
Example

Let
$$f(x) = \log(1 + x)$$
, $a = 0$: Then

$$f'(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$$

 $f''(x) = \frac{-1}{(1+x)^2}$
:

$$\mathbf{f}^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!}{(1+x)^n}$$

Thus

$$|R_n(x)| = \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{1}{|1+x|^n} \right| \le \frac{1}{n}, \quad 0 \le x$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Example

Let
$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \arctan x$$
, $a = 0$: Then
 $\mathbf{f}'(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$
 $\mathbf{f}''(x) = \frac{-2x}{(1 + x^2)^2}$
 \vdots
 $\mathbf{f}^{(n)}(x) = ?$

We will be tricky: Consider the geometric series

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n + \dots$$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Exercises

Decide whether the series converges or diverges

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\sqrt{n+1}-\sqrt{n}}{n}$$

- 2 Write the Taylor series of ln x using powers of x 1
- **3** Prove that $e^x \ge 1 + x$ for all x.
- 4 Use induction to show that $1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \ge \sqrt{n}$. Which other way?
- **5** Chapter 6: 9, 19, 22, 24(a,b), 37, 41b, 42

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 Properties of Infinite Series
- **3** Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 **Power Series**
- 7 Taylor Series
- 8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Workshop #11

1 Observe that the series

$$f(x) = x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \cdots$$

converges for on [0, 1) but not when x = 1. For fixed $x_0 \in (0, 1)$, use the M-test to prove that **f** is continuous at x_0 .

2 Let

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 + n^2}$$

1: Show that **f** is a continuous function defined on all of \mathbb{R} . 2: Is **f** differentiable? If so, is **f**' continuous?

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Outline

1 Main Goal

- 2 Properties of Infinite Series
- **3** Workshop #10
- 4 Uniform Convergence and Differentiability
- 5 Series of Functions
- 6 **Power Series**
- 7 Taylor Series
- 8 Workshop #11

9 Old Finals

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Old Finals

- 1. (10 pts) State carefully and prove the Mean Value Theorem.
- 2. (8 pts)
 - 1 What is a countable set? Show that the set of rational numbers is countable.
 - 2 Show that the set of irrational numbers is not countable.

3. (8 pts)

- 1 What is a monotone sequence of real numbers?
- 2 If (*a_n*) is a bounded monotone sequence, prove that it converges.
- 4. (8 pts) Let $x_1 = 1$ and $x_{n+1} := 1 + \frac{1}{x_n}$. Show that (x_n) is a convergent sequence and find its limit.

5. (8 pts) If $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nonzero function satisfying $\mathbf{f}(x + y) = \mathbf{f}(x) + \mathbf{f}(y)$ and $\mathbf{f}(xy) = \mathbf{f}(x)\mathbf{f}(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, prove:

1
$$\mathbf{f}(m/n) = m/n$$
 for every $m/n \in \mathbb{Q}$.

2 For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, if a > 0 then $\mathbf{f}(a) > 0$. (Note that every positive number is a square.)

- 3 Use (2) to prove that if x > y then $\mathbf{f}(x) > \mathbf{f}(y)$.
- 4 Use (1), (3), the Density of \mathbb{Q} and NIP, to prove that $\mathbf{f}(x) = x$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

6. (8 pts) Let $\mathbf{f} : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and differentiable on (a, b). If $\mathbf{f}(a) = \mathbf{f}(b) = 0$, show that for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$ there is $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{c}) = k\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{c}).$$

Hint: Consider $f(x)e^{-kx}$

Wolmer Vasconcelos

- 7. (8 pts)
 - 1 Describe the Cantor set C.
 - 2 Show that C is uncountable.
 - 3 Show that $1/4 \in C$.
- 8. (8 pts) [Topology]
 - **1** What is an open set of \mathbb{R} ?

2 If A and B are subsets of \mathbb{R} , $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$. If A = (1,3) and B = (2,5), what is A + B?

- 3 If A and B are open, prove that A + B is also open.
- 4 Prove (3) assuming only that *B* is open.

9. (8 pts) Find the Taylor series of $\arctan x$ and determine where it converges.

10. (8 pts) What is the **radius of convergence** of a power series $\sum_{n>1} a_n x^n$?

If $\mathbf{f}(x) = x^2 + x + 1$, and $a_n = \mathbf{f}(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, find the radius of convergence of the corresponding series.

11. (8 pts) Let

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n^3}.$$

- Show that $\mathbf{f}(x)$ is differentiable and that its derivative $\mathbf{f}'(x)$ is continuous.
- 2 Can we determine if f is twice differentiable? [Explain]
- 12. (10 pts) Explain [as in prove] why the Riemann integral, $\int_{a}^{b} \mathbf{f}$, of a continuous function \mathbf{f} on the closed interval [a, b] exists.