

The Chern Coefficients of Local Rings

Wolmer Vasconcelos

Rutgers University

Yokohama 2008

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The Tracking Number of a Filtration
- 3 Cohen-Macaulayness and the Negativity of e_1
- 4 Search for Bounds
- 5 Upper Bounds
- 6 Lower Bounds
- 7 Bibliography

The Chern numbers of the title are the first coefficients (after the multiplicities) of the Hilbert functions of various filtrations of ideals of a local ring $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$. For a Noetherian (good) filtration \mathcal{A} of \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals, the positivity and bounds for $e_1(\mathcal{A})$ are well-studied if \mathbf{R} is Cohen-Macaulay, or more broadly, if \mathbf{R} is a Buchsbaum ring or mild generalizations thereof.

In this talk, for arbitrary geometric local domains, we introduce techniques based on the theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and of extended multiplicity functions to establish the meaning of the positivity of $e_1(\mathcal{A})$, and to derive lower and upper bounds for $e_1(\mathcal{A})$.

We thank Alberto Corso, Dan Katz, Claudia Polini, Maria E. Rossi, Rodney Sharp, Bernd Ulrich and Giuseppe Valla for discussions related to topics in this talk.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d > 0$, and let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. One of our goals is to study the set of I -good filtrations of \mathbf{R} . More concretely, we will consider the set of multiplicative, decreasing filtrations of R ideals,

$$\mathcal{A} = \{I_n, I_0 = \mathbf{R}, I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n, n \gg 0\},$$

integral over the I -adic filtration, conveniently coded in the corresponding Rees algebra and its associated graded ring

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n t^n, \quad \text{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n / I_{n+1}.$$

We will study certain strata of these algebras. For that we will focus on the role of the Hilbert polynomial of the Hilbert function $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/I_{n+1})$,

$$H_{\mathcal{A}}^1(n) = P_{\mathcal{A}}^1(n) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i e_i(\mathcal{A}) \binom{n+d-i}{d-i},$$

particularly of its coefficients $e_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $e_1(\mathcal{A})$. Two of our main issues are to establish relationships between the coefficients $e_i(\mathcal{A})$, for $i = 0, 1$, and marginally $e_2(\mathcal{A})$.

If \mathbf{R} is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, there are numerous related developments, noteworthy ones being given and discussed by Elias and Rossi-Valla for adic filtrations and Polini-Ulrich-V for the integral closure filtration.

The situation is very distinct in the non Cohen-Macaulay case. Just to illustrate the issue, suppose $d > 2$ and consider a comparison between $e_0(I)$ and $e_1(I)$. It is often possible to pass to a reduction $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow S$, with $\dim S = 2$, or even $\dim S = 1$, so that $e_0(I) = e_0(IS)$ and $e_1(I) = e_1(IS)$. If \mathbf{R} is Cohen-Macaulay, this is straightforward.

In general the relationship between $e_0(IS)$ and $e_1(IS)$ may involve other invariants of S , some of which may not be easily traceable all the way to \mathbf{R} .

Our perspective is partly influenced by the interpretation of the coefficient e_1 as a *tracking number*, that is, as a numerical positional tag of the algebra $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A})$ in the set of all such algebras with the same multiplicity. The coefficient e_1 under various circumstances is also called the *Chern number or coefficient* of the algebra.

This talk is organized around a list of questions and conjectural statements about the values of e_1 for very general filtrations associated to the \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals of a local Noetherian ring $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$. For a filtration \mathcal{A} integral over the adic filtration defined by an ideal J generated by a system of parameters, we consider:

Specific Goals

- 1 (Conjecture 1: the negativity conjecture) For every ideal J , generated by a system of parameters, $e_1(J) < 0$ if and only if \mathbf{R} is not Cohen-Macaulay.
- 2 (Conjecture 2: the positivity conjecture) For every \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I , for its integral closure filtration \mathcal{A}

$$e_1(\mathcal{A}) \geq 0.$$

- 3 (Conjecture 3: the uniformity conjecture) There exist two multiplicity based functions, $\mathbf{f}_I(\cdot)$, $\mathbf{f}_U(\cdot)$, such that for each \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I and any I -good filtration \mathcal{A} ,

$$\mathbf{f}_I(I) \leq e_1(\mathcal{A}) \leq \mathbf{f}_U(I).$$

- 4 (Question 4: baseline) $e_1(J)$ is independent of the minimal reduction J .

- For general local rings the conjectures may fail for reasons that will be illustrated by examples.
- We will settle Conjecture 1 for domains that are essentially of finite type over fields by making use of the existence of special maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules (Theorem 11).
- The lower bound in Conjecture 3 is also settled for general rings through the use of extended degree functions (Theorem 13). The upper bound uses the technique of the Briançon-Skoda theorem on perfect fields (Theorem 12).
- We bring no real understanding to the last question, and just preliminary observations on Conjecture 2.

Determinant of a graded module

Let $S = k[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ be a ring of polynomials with the standard grading, and let E be a f.g. graded module of rank (multiplicity) r .

The module $(\wedge^r E)^{**}$ is graded, reflexive of rank 1 and therefore it is isomorphic (as a graded module) to $S[-n]$, for some integer n .

Definition

Consider a graded $\mathbf{R} = k[z_1, \dots, z_d]$ -module E : Suppose E has torsionfree rank r . The *determinant* of E is the graded module

$$\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) = (\wedge^r E)^{**}.$$

$$\det_{\mathbf{R}}(E) \simeq \mathbf{R}[-c(E)], \quad \text{tn}(E) := c(E)$$

The integer $c(E)$ is the **tracking number** of E .

Theorem

If E has no associated primes of codimension 1, $\text{tn}(E)$ can be read off the Hilbert series $H_E(t)$ of E :

$$H_E(t) = \frac{\mathbf{h}(t)}{(1-t)^d},$$

$$\text{tn}(E) = \mathbf{h}'(1),$$

which shows that $\text{tn}(E)$ is independent of \mathbf{R} .

Theorem

Let

$$E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_n$$

be a sequence of distinct f.g. graded \mathbf{R} -modules of the same rank, with the property S_2 of Serre. Then

$$n \leq \text{tn}(E_0) - \text{tn}(E_n).$$

e_1 as a tracking number

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension $d > 0$, with a coefficient (infinite) field $k \subset \mathbf{R}$, $k \simeq \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m}$. For a filtration \mathcal{A} as above, the associated graded ring

$$G = \text{gr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} I_n / I_{n+1}$$

admits a Noether normalization $S = k[z_1, \dots, z_d] \rightarrow G$, $\deg z_i = 1$. The multiplicity $e_0(\mathcal{A})$ is the torsionfree rank of G as a S -module.

Definition

The *determinant* of G is

$$\det(G) := (\wedge^r(G))^{**} \simeq S[-\delta], \quad r = e_0(\mathcal{A}).$$

δ is the **tracking number** of G as a S -module, $\text{tn}_S(G) = \delta$.

Proposition

Let G_0 be the torsion S -submodule of G . If $\dim G_0 < d - 1$, then

$$e_1(\mathcal{A}) = \text{tn}_S(G).$$

Otherwise,

$$e_1(\mathcal{A}) = \text{tn}_S(G) + \text{deg}(G_0).$$

Both equalities suggest that relationships between $e_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $e_1(\mathcal{A})$ are to be expected, a fact that has been repeatedly borne out.

The coefficient $e_2(\mathcal{A})$ can often be understood as the degree of a *determinant*. Suppose R is a Cohen-Macaulay local of dimension $d > 0$ with a field of coefficients as above, and J is a minimal reduction for the filtration \mathcal{A} . Let $T = S_{\mathcal{A}/J}$ be the corresponding Sally module ([13, Theorem 2.11]). If $T \neq 0$, it is a module of dimension d with the condition S_1 of Serre, and therefore torsionfree as a subring as $S = k[z_1, \dots, z_d]$. Another calculation gives

$$\det(S_{\mathcal{A}/J}) := (\wedge^r(T))^{**} \simeq S[-\delta], \quad r = e_1(\mathcal{A}) - e_0(\mathcal{A}) + \lambda(R/A_1).$$

The degree δ is now $e_2(\mathcal{A})$, according to [13, Theorem 2.11].

Normalization and e_1

The second interpretation of $e_1(\mathcal{A})$ uses the setting of normalization of ideals. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local domain of dimension d , which is a quotient of a Gorenstein ring. For an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I , we are going to consider the set of all graded subalgebras \mathbf{A} of the integral closure of $\mathbf{R}[I]$,

$$\mathbf{R}[I] \subset \mathbf{A} \subset \overline{\mathbf{A}} = \overline{\mathbf{R}[I]}.$$

We will assume that $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ is a finite $\mathbf{R}[I]$ -algebra. We denote the set of these algebras by $\mathfrak{S}(I)$. If the algebra

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum I_n t^n$$

comes with a filtration that is decreasing $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \cdots$, it has an associated graded ring

$$\text{gr}(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n / I_{n+1}.$$

Proposition

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a normal, Noetherian local domain which is a quotient of a Gorenstein ring, and let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. For algebras \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} of $\mathfrak{S}(I)$:

- 1 If the algebras \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} satisfy $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$, then $e_1(\mathbf{A}) \leq e_1(\mathbf{B})$.
- 2 If \mathbf{B} is the S_2 -ification of \mathbf{A} , then $e_1(\mathbf{A}) = e_1(\mathbf{B})$.
- 3 If the algebras \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} satisfy the condition S_2 of Serre and $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$, then $e_1(\mathbf{A}) = e_1(\mathbf{B})$ if and only if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$.

Corollary

Given a sequence of distinct algebras in $\mathfrak{S}(I)$,

$$\mathbf{A}_0 \subset \mathbf{A}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{A}_n = \overline{\mathbf{R}[I]},$$

that satisfy the condition S_2 of Serre, then

$$n \leq e_1(\overline{\mathbf{R}[I]}) - e_1(\mathbf{A}_0) \leq e_1(\overline{\mathbf{R}[I]}) - e_1(I).$$

Cohen-Macaulayness and the Negativity of e_1

Given the role of the Hilbert coefficient e_1 as a tracking number in the normalization of blowup algebras, it is of interest to know its signature.

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d . If \mathbf{R} is Cohen-Macaulay, for an ideal J generated by a system of parameters, $J = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, $e_1(J) = 0$. As a consequence, for any \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I , $e_1(I) \geq 0$. If $d = 1$, the property $e_1(J) = 0$ is characteristic of Cohen-Macaulayness.

For $d \geq 2$, the situation is somewhat different. Consider the ring $\mathbf{R} = k[x, y, z]/(z(x, y, z))$. Then for $T = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(\mathbf{R})$ and $S = k[x, y] = \mathbf{R}/T$, $e_1(\mathbf{R}) = e_1(S) = 0$.

Conjecture 1

We are going to argue that the negativity of $e_1(J)$ is an expression of the lack of Cohen-Macaulayness of \mathbf{R} in numerous classes of rings. To provide a framework, we state:

Conjecture

Let \mathbf{R} be a Noetherian local ring that admits an embedding into a big Cohen-Macaulay module. Then for a parameter ideal J , $e_1(J) < 0$ if and only if \mathbf{R} is not Cohen-Macaulay.

We next establish the **small** version of the conjecture. Its proof will point to **larger** versions.

Theorem

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 2$. Suppose there is an embedding

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

where E is a finitely generated maximal Cohen-Macaulay \mathbf{R} -module. If \mathbf{R} is not Cohen-Macaulay, then $e_1(J) < 0$ for any parameter ideal J .

Proof. We may assume that the residue field of \mathbf{R} is infinite. We are going to argue by induction on d . For $d = 2$, let $J = (x, y)$. in

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

if \mathbf{R} is not Cohen-Macaulay, $\text{depth } C = 0$. We may assume that x is a superficial element for the purpose of computing $e_1(J)$, and also superficial relative to C , that is, x is not contained in any associated prime of C distinct from \mathfrak{m} . Tensoring the exact sequence above by $\mathbf{R}/(x)$, we get the exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow T = \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/(x), C) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}/(x) \longrightarrow E/xE \longrightarrow C/xC \rightarrow 0,$$

where T is a nonzero module of finite support. Denote by S the image of $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x)$ in E/xE . S is a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension 1.

By the Artin-Rees Theorem, for $n \gg 0$, $T \cap (y^n)\mathbf{R}' = 0$, and therefore from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & T \cap (y^n)\mathbf{R}' & \longrightarrow & (y^n)\mathbf{R}' & \longrightarrow & (y^n)\mathbf{S} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}' & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{S} \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

the Hilbert polynomial of the ideal $y\mathbf{R}'$ is

$$e_0 n - e_1 = e_0(y\mathbf{S})n + \lambda(T).$$

Thus

$$e_1(J) = -\lambda(T) < 0, \tag{1}$$

as claimed.

Assume now that $d \geq 3$, and let x be a superficial element for J , and the modules E and C . In the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T = \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/(x), C) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x) \longrightarrow E/xE \longrightarrow C/xC \rightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

T is either zero, and we would go on with the induction procedure, or T is a nonzero module of finite support.

Let us point out first an elementary observation for the calculation of Hilbert coefficients. Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, and let $\mathcal{A} = \{I_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a filtration as above. For a finitely generated \mathbf{R} -module M , denote by $e_i(M)$ the Hilbert coefficients of M for the filtration $\mathcal{A}M = \{I_n M, n \geq 0\}$. Note the elementary observation:

Proposition

Let

$$0 \rightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of finitely generated \mathbf{R} -modules. If $r = \dim A < s = \dim B$, then $e_i(B) = e_i(C)$ for $i < s - r$.

To continue with the proof, if in the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T = \operatorname{Tor}_1^{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{R}/(x), C) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}/(x) \longrightarrow E/xE \longrightarrow C/xC \rightarrow 0,$$

$T \neq 0$, by previous observation, we have that $e_1(J\mathbf{R}') = e_1(J(\mathbf{R}'/T))$, and the resulting embedding $\mathbf{R}'/T \hookrightarrow E/xE$. By the induction hypothesis, it suffices to prove that if \mathbf{R}'/T is Cohen-Macaulay then \mathbf{R}' , and therefore \mathbf{R} , will be Cohen-Macaulay. This is a result of Huneke-Ulrich ([8, Proposition 2.1]).

We may assume that \mathbf{R} is a complete local ring. Since \mathbf{R} is embedded in a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module, any associated prime of \mathbf{R} is an associated prime of E and therefore it is equidimensional.

Big Cohen-Macaulay modules questions

We now analyze what is required to extend the proof to big Cohen-Macaulay cases. We are going to assume that \mathbf{R} is an integral domain and that E is a big **balanced** Cohen-Macaulay module.

Embed \mathbf{R} into E ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

The argument above ($d \geq 3$) will work if in the induction argument we can pick $x \in J$ superficial for the Hilbert polynomial of J , avoiding the finite set of associated primes of E and all associated primes of C different from \mathfrak{m} . It is this last condition that is the most troublesome. There is one case when this can be overcome, to wit, when \mathbf{R} is a complete local ring and E is countably generated. Indeed, C will be countably generated and $\text{Ass}(C)$ will be a countable set. The prime avoidance result of Burch allows for the choice of x . Let us apply these ideas in an important case.

Theorem

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local integral domain essentially of finite type over a field. If \mathbf{R} is not Cohen-Macaulay, then $e_1(J) < 0$ for any parameter ideal J .

Proof. Let A be the integral closure of \mathbf{R} and $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ its completion. Tensor the embedding $\mathbf{R} \subset A$ to obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{R}} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} A = \widehat{A}.$$

From the properties of pseudo-geometric local rings, \widehat{A} is a reduced semi-local ring with a decomposition

$$\widehat{A} = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r,$$

where each A_i is a complete local domain, of dimension $\dim \mathbf{R}$ and finite over $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$.

For each A_i we make use of results of Griffith ([5, Theorem 3.1] and [6, Proposition 1.4]) and pick a countably generated big balanced Cohen-Macaulay A_i -module and therefore $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ -module. Collecting the E_i we have an embedding

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow A_1 \times \cdots \times A_r \longrightarrow E = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_r.$$

As E is a countably generated big balanced Cohen-Macaulay $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ -module, the argument above shows that if $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay then $e_1(J\widehat{\mathbf{R}}) < 0$. This suffices to prove the assertion about \mathbf{R} . \square

In the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

where E is a big balanced Cohen-Macaulay module, the argument made use of the fact that we could pick superficial elements relative to C . If the cardinality of \mathbf{R}/\mathfrak{m} is larger than the cardinality of a generating set for E , it would be fine.

A naive move is to pass $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{X})$, where \mathbb{X} is a large set of indeterminates, and pass to $E \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{X})$. The apparent difficulty is that we don't know whether $E \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{X})$ remains balanced. (It suffices to prove for 1 indeterminate.)

Examples

(i) Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring and let F be a nonzero (f.g.) free \mathbf{R} -module. For any non-free submodule N of F , the idealization (trivial extension) of \mathbf{R} by N , $S = \mathbf{R} \oplus N$ is a non Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Picking $E = \mathbf{R} \oplus F$, the theorem above implies that for any parameter ideal $J \subset S$, $e_1(J) < 0$. It is not difficult to give an explicit formula for $e_1(J)$ in this case.

(ii) Let $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{R} + (x, y)\mathbb{C}[x, y] \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$, for x, y distinct indeterminates. \mathbf{R} is not Cohen-Macaulay but its localization S at the maximal irrelevant ideal is a Buchsbaum ring. It is easy to verify that $e_1(x, y) = -1$ and that $e_1(S) = 0$ for the \mathfrak{m} -adic filtration of S .

Note that \mathbf{R} has an isolated singularity. For these rings, [9, Theorem 5] can be extended (does not require the Cohen-Macaulay condition), and therefore describes bounds for $e_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}})$ of integral closures. Thus, if \mathbf{A} is the Rees algebra of the parameter ideal J , one has

$$e_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) - e_1(J) \leq (d - 1 + \lambda(\mathbf{R}/L))e_0(J),$$

where L is the Jacobian of \mathbf{R} .

In the example above, one has $d = 2$, $\lambda(\mathbf{R}/L) = 1$,

$$e_1(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) - e_1(J) \leq 2e_0(J).$$

(iii) Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let $f = x^3 + y^3 + z^3$ be a polynomial of $k[x, y, z]$. Set $A = k[x, y, z]/(f)$ and let \mathbf{R} be the Rees algebra of the maximal irrelevant ideal \mathfrak{m} of A . Using the Jacobian criterion, \mathbf{R} is normal. Because the reduction number of \mathfrak{m} is 2, R is not Cohen-Macaulay. Furthermore, it is easy to verify that \mathbf{R} is not contained in any Cohen-Macaulay domain that is finite over \mathbf{R} . Let $S = \mathbf{R}_{\mathcal{M}}$, where \mathcal{M} is the irrelevant maximal ideal of \mathbf{R} . The first superficial element (in the reduction to dimension two) can be chosen to be prime. Now one takes the integral closure of S , which will be a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

Cohen-Macaulay Rings

If $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, there are two situations when bounds are well delineated:

- [Elias and Rossi-Valla]: The filtration \mathcal{A} is I -adic:

$$e_1(I) \leq \binom{e_0(I)}{2} - \binom{\nu(I) - d}{2} - \lambda(\mathbf{R}/I) + 1$$

- [Polini-Ulrich-V]: Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced local ring of dimension d , essentially of finite type over a perfect field, and let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. If δ is a nonzero element of the Jacobian ideal of \mathbf{R} , then for any I -good filtration \mathcal{A} ,

$$e_1(\mathcal{A}) < (d-1)e_0(I) + e_0((I, \delta)/(\delta))$$

$$e_1(\mathcal{A}) < \frac{d-1}{2}e_0(I), \quad \mathbf{R} \text{ is regular}$$

It is not clear what the bounds are if \mathbf{R} is not Cohen-Macaulay. At first glance, one might look for a modification of these bounds in the form:

$$e_1(\mathcal{A} \leq \text{Cohen-Macaulay Bound}) - T,$$

where $T \geq 0$ is a **non-Cohen-Macaulay tax or penalty**.

We next explore some examples:

Uniform lower bounds for e_1 are rare but still exist in special cases. For example, if \mathbf{R} is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring, then according to Goto-Nishida ([4, Theorem 5.4]),

$$e_1(J) \geq - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} \lambda(H_m^i(\mathbf{R})),$$

with equality if \mathbf{R} is Buchsbaum.

It should be observed that uniform lower bounds may not always exist. For instance, if $A = k[x, y, z]$, and \mathbf{R} the idealization of (x, y) , then for the ideal $J = (x, y, z^n)$, $e_1(J) = -n$.

The Koszul homology modules $H_i(J)$ of J is a first place where to look for bounds for $e_1(J)$. We recall [1, Theorem 4.6.10], that the multiplicity of J is given by the formula

$$e_0(J) = \lambda(\mathbf{R}/J) - \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^{i-1} h_i(J),$$

where $h_i(J)$ is the length of $H_i(J)$. The summation term is non-negative and only vanishes if \mathbf{R} is Cohen-Macaulay. Unfortunately it does not give a bound for $e_1(J)$. There is a formula involving these terms in the special case when J is generated by a d -sequence. Then the corresponding *approximation complex* is acyclic, and the Hilbert-Poincaré series of J ([7, Corollary 4.6]) is

$$\frac{\sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i h_i(J) t^i}{(1-t)^d},$$

and therefore

$$e_1(J) = \sum_{i=1}^d (-1)^i i h_i(J).$$

Theorem (Existence of Bounds)

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local integral domain of dimension d , essentially of finite type over a perfect field, and let I be an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. If \mathbf{R} is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring and δ is a nonzero element of the Jacobian ideal of \mathbf{R} then for any I -good filtration \mathcal{A} ,

$$e_1(\mathcal{A}) < (d - 1)e_0(I) + e_0((I, \delta)/(\delta)) - T,$$

where

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \binom{d-2}{i-1} \lambda(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\mathbf{R})).$$

There is a version of this theorem without the generalized Cohen-Macaulay hypothesis. T is replaced by an expression involving homological degrees.

The following establishes lower bounds for e_1 for arbitrary Noetherian rings.

Theorem (Lower Bound for $e_1(I)$)

Let $(\mathbf{R}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring of dimension $d \geq 1$. If I is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal, then

$$e_1(I) \geq -\text{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}) + e_0(I).$$

(Note that $\text{hdeg}_I(\mathbf{R}) - e_0(I)$ is the Cohen-Macaulay deficiency of \mathbf{R} relative to the degree function hdeg_I .)

Bibliography



W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.



K. Dalili and W. V. Vasconcelos, The tracking number of an algebra, *American J. Math.* **127** (2005), 697–708.



J. Elias, Upper bounds of Hilbert coefficients and Hilbert functions, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, to appear.



S. Goto and K. Nishida, Hilbert coefficients and Buchsbaumness of associated graded rings, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **181** (2003), 61–74.



P. Griffith, A representation theorem for complete local rings, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **7** (1976), 303–315.



P. Griffith, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and representation theory, *J. Pure & Applied Algebra* **13** (1978), 321–334.



J. Herzog, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Approximation complexes of blowing-up rings, *J. Algebra* **74** (1982), 466–493.



C. Huneke and B. Ulrich, General hyperplane sections of algebraic varieties, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **2** (1993), 487–505.



T. Pham and W. V. Vasconcelos, Complexity of the normalization of algebras, *Math. Zeit.* **258** (2008), 729–743.



C. Polini, B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, Normalization of ideals and Briançon-Skoda numbers, *Math. Research Letters* **12** (2005), 827–842.



M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, *Hilbert Function of Filtered Modules*, arXiv: 0710.2346.



B. Ulrich and W. V. Vasconcelos, On the complexity of the integral closure, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), 425–442.



W. V. Vasconcelos, *Integral Closure*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, New York, 2005.



W. V. Vasconcelos, The Chern coefficients of local rings, *Michigan Mathematical J.*, to appear, 18 pgs.