

# Homework 1 (Due 1/25/2017)

Math 622

February 1, 2017

1. Consider a market with 2 risky assets  $S^1, S^2$  and the interest rate is 0. We have  $S_0^1 = 2, S_0^2 = 3$ . There are 3 outcomes for  $S^1, S^2$  at time  $T$ , denoted by  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ . Suppose that  $S_T^1(\omega_1) = 3, S_T^1(\omega_2) = 1, S_T^1(\omega_3) = 2$  and  $S_T^2(\omega_1) = 4, S_T^2(\omega_2) = 2, S_T^3(\omega_3) = 3$ .

a. Is the market arbitrage free? If yes, explain why. If no, produce an arbitrage portfolio.

Ans: A risk neutral probability exist, i.e.  $P(\omega_1) = P(\omega_2) = P(\omega_3) = 1/3$ . So the market is arbitrage free.

b. Is the market complete? Explain.

Ans: The market is not complete as the risk neutral probability is not unique. Indeed, another possible risk neutral probability would be  $P(\omega_1) = P(\omega_2) = 1/6, P(\omega_3) = 2/3$ .

2. Recall that  $E(Y|\mathcal{F})$  is the unique  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variable such that for any other  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variable  $X$ :

$$E(YX) = E(E(Y|\mathcal{F})X). \quad (1)$$

Given a probability  $P$ , we define a new probability  $\tilde{P}$  through a change of measure kernel  $Z$  (assuming  $Z$  satisfies all necessary conditions) by

$$\tilde{E}(Y) = E(YZ)$$

Show that

$$\tilde{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}) = \frac{E(YZ|\mathcal{F})}{E(Z|\mathcal{F})}. \quad (2)$$

This is the conditional change of measure formula. (Hint: Show that the RHS of (2) satisfies (1).)

Ans:

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variable. Then

$$\tilde{E}\left(\frac{E(YZ|\mathcal{F})}{E(Z|\mathcal{F})}X\right) = E\left(\frac{E(YZ|\mathcal{F})}{E(Z|\mathcal{F})}XZ\right) = E\left(\frac{E(XYZ|\mathcal{F})}{E(Z|\mathcal{F})}Z\right).$$

Now

$$E\left(\frac{E(XYZ|\mathcal{F})}{E(Z|\mathcal{F})}Z\right) = E\left(E\left(\frac{E(XYZ|\mathcal{F})}{E(Z|\mathcal{F})}Z|\mathcal{F}\right)\right) = E(E(XYZ|\mathcal{F})) = E(XYZ) = \tilde{E}(YX).$$

Thus for any  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable random variable  $X$

$$\tilde{E}\left(\frac{E(YZ|\mathcal{F})}{E(Z|\mathcal{F})}X\right) = \tilde{E}(YX).$$

By uniqueness of conditional expectation we have

$$\tilde{E}(Y|\mathcal{F}) = \frac{E(YZ|\mathcal{F})}{E(Z|\mathcal{F})}.$$

**3.** Let  $X$  be a Poisson ( $\lambda$ ) variable. That is  $X$  takes values on the set of non-negative integers  $(0, 1, 2, \dots)$  and

$$P(X = k) = \frac{e^{-\lambda}\lambda^k}{k!}.$$

Define a random variable  $Z^u$  by

$$Z^u = e^{uX - \lambda(e^u - 1)},$$

where  $u$  is a free parameter.

a) Show that  $Z^u > 0$  and  $E(Z^u) = 1$ . That is  $Z^u$  is a proper change of measure kernel.

Ans:  $Z^u > 0$  because exponential function is positive.  $E(Z^u) = 1$  because of the moment generating function of a Poisson( $\lambda$ ) evaluated at  $u$  is  $e^{\lambda(e^u - 1)}$ .

b) Define the probability  $\tilde{P}$  by

$$\tilde{E}(Y) = E(YZ^u)$$

for any random variable  $Y$ . What is the distribution of  $X$  under  $\tilde{P}$ ? (Hint: Compute the moment generating function of  $X$  under  $\tilde{P}$ ).

Ans:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}(e^{tX}) &= E(e^{tX} e^{uX - \lambda(e^u - 1)}) = E(e^{(t+u)X}) e^{-\lambda(e^u - 1)} \\ &= e^{\lambda(e^{t+u} - 1) - \lambda(e^u - 1)} \\ &= e^{\lambda e^u (e^t - 1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus under  $\tilde{P}$   $X$  still has Poisson distribution with rate  $\lambda e^u$ .

c) Is it possible to choose  $u$  so that under  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $X$  has Poisson( $\theta$ ) distribution, where  $\theta$  is an arbitrary positive parameter? If yes, show how. If not, explain.

Ans : It is possible by solving for  $\lambda e^u = \theta$  and choose  $u$  accordingly. **4.** In this exercise we outline how to compute  $E(W_t \int_0^t W_s ds)$ .

a) Apply Ito's formula to find  $d(W_t \int_0^t W_s ds)$ .

Ans: Denoting  $X_t = \int_0^t W_s ds$  then  $d(W_t X_t) = X_t dW_t + W_t^2 dt$ .

b) Use part a) to compute  $E(W_t \int_0^t W_s ds)$ .

$$E(W_t \int_0^t W_s ds) = E(\int_0^t X_s dW_s + \int_0^t W_s^2 ds) = \int_0^t s ds = \frac{t^2}{2}.$$

**5.** Let  $Z_t = e^{-\theta W_t - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 t}$  where  $W_t$  is a Brownian motion. This is the change of measure kernel we proposed for the Girsanov's theorem in class. Show that  $Z_t$  is a martingale.

We check directly

$$\begin{aligned} dZ_t &= -Z_t \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 dt - \theta Z_t dW_t + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 Z_t dt \\ &= -\theta Z_t dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $Z_t$  is a martingale.