# A new result on the Bellman equation for exit time control problems with critical growth dynamics

Michael Malisoff <sup>1</sup>
Rutgers University Mathematics Department
Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA
malisoff @ math.rutgers.edu

### §1. Introduction

This note proves that the value function of Sussmann's Reflected Brachystochrone Problem (RBP) for an arbitrary singleton target  $\{B\}$  is the unique viscosity solution of the corresponding Bellman equation on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{B\}$  among functions which vanish at B, are continuous in the plane, and are bounded below. The RBP is the time-optimal problem of bringing points in the plane to B using the dynamics  $\dot{x} = u_1 \sqrt{|y|}$ ,  $\dot{y} = u_2 \sqrt{|y|}$ , subject to  $(u_1, u_2) \in C := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : ||z||_2 \le 1\}$ . For an analogous uniqueness characterization for a general class of exit time problems whose dynamics do not admit unique trajectories for some choices of inputs and initial positions, see [2]. We denote the value function of the RBP for the target  $\{B\}$  by  $T_B$  (so  $T_B(x)$  is the infimum of the times t for which some RBP trajectory brings x to B in time t). The corresponding Bellman equation is

$$|Dv((x,y))|\sqrt{|y|} - 1 = 0. (1)$$

(If  $F: \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then a viscosity subsolution (resp., supersolution) of F(q, Dv(q)) = 0 on an open subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a continuous function  $w: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  so that  $F(p, D\gamma(p)) \leq (\text{resp.}, \geq) 0$  for all  $C^1$  functions  $\gamma: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  and all local maxima (resp., minima) p of  $w - \gamma$ . A viscosity solution of F(q, Dv(q)) = 0 on  $\Omega$  is then a function which is both a viscosity sub- and supersolution of that equation on  $\Omega$ .)

## §2. Proof that $T_B$ is a viscosity solution of (1) on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{B\}$

Consider the problems obtained from the RBP by replacing the RBP dynamics with  $\dot{x} = u_1 \sqrt[4]{y^2 + 1/n}$ ,  $\dot{y} = u_2 \sqrt[4]{y^2 + 1/n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (with the same constraint on  $(u_1, u_2)$ ). Call the *n*-th such problem  $P_n$ . By standard results from [1], we know that the value function  $T_{n,B}$  for  $P_n$  is a viscosity solution of the corresponding Bellman equation

$$|Dv((x,y))|\sqrt[4]{y^2 + 1/n} - 1 = 0 \tag{2}$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{B\}$ . Standard stability results would then let us conclude that  $T_B$  is a viscosity solution of (1) on  $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{B\}$  if we show  $T_{n,B}\to T_B$  uniformly on compacta on  $\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{B\}$ . We prove this convergence by the Ascoli-Arzelá Theorem. First note that  $T_{n,B}\leq T_B$  pointwise (since if  $(\phi_1,\phi_2)$  is a trajectory for the RBP for the input u, then it is also a trajectory for the  $P_n$  dynamics for  $u|\phi_2|^{1/2}/(\phi_2^2+1/n)^{1/4})$ . The definition of the infimum gives  $|T_{n,B}(x)-T_{n,B}(y)|\leq T_y(x)\vee T_x(y)$  for  $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^2$ , and an elementary consideration of vertical and horizontal movements along RBP trajectories which we omit establishes that  $(p,q)\mapsto T_p(q)$  is continuous. Fixing  $p\in\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{B\}$ , we can therefore let  $v_p$  denote a uniform limit of a subsequence of the  $T_{n,B}$ 's (which we do not relabel) on  $B_\delta(p)$  for some  $\delta>0$  (depending on p). Again using stability,  $v_p$  is a viscosity solution of (1) on  $B_\delta(p)$ , so the result follows if we show  $T_{n,B}\to T_B$  pointwise on  $B_\delta(p)$ . Fixing  $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$  and  $x\in B_\delta(p)$ , this amounts to showing that  $T_{n,B}(x)+\varepsilon>T_B(x)$  for n large enough. In what follows,  $f_n,f:\mathbb{R}^2\times C\to\mathbb{R}^2$  denote the dynamics for  $P_n$  and the RBP, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This research was supported in part by NSF Grant DMS95-00798. It was carried out during January 1999, while the author was a Research Assistant for Héctor Sussmann. The author thanks Dr. Sussmann for his helpful comments on early drafts of this paper.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an input  $\alpha_n$  so that the corresponding  $f_n$  trajectory  $\phi_n = (\phi_{n,1}, \phi_{n,2})$  drives x to B in time  $t_n \leq T_{n,B}(x) + \varepsilon/2$ . Note that  $\phi_n$  is also a trajectory for  $f_1$  (using the input  $\beta_n := \alpha_n(\phi_{n,2}^2 + 1/n)^{1/4}/(\phi_{n,2}^2 + 1)^{1/4})$ . Now apply the classical compactness theorem for relaxed controls (cf. [1] and [4]). Passing to a weak- $\star$ , Radon measure valued (subsequential) limit  $\bar{\beta}$  of the  $\beta_n$ 's, we obtain a relaxed trajectory  $\phi^r$  for  $f_1$  (meaning  $\phi^r'(t) = \int_C f_1(\phi^r(t), a) d(\bar{\beta}(t))(a)$  for all t) so that  $\phi_n \to \phi^r$  uniformly on compacts (along a subsequence). Assuming wlog that  $\alpha_n \to \bar{\alpha}$  weak- $\star$ , it follows that

$$\phi^{r}(t) \leftarrow \phi_{n}(t) = x + \int_{0}^{t} f_{n}(\phi_{n}(s), \alpha_{n}(s)) ds \rightarrow x + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{C} f(\phi^{r}(s), a) d(\bar{\alpha}(s))(a) ds$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . Since f is affine in the input, it follows that there is an input  $\alpha$  so that  $(\alpha, \phi^r)$  is an input-trajectory pair of f. Since  $t_n \leq T_B(x) + 1$  for all n, we assume  $t_n \to \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $\phi^r(\mu) = B$ , so  $T_{n,B}(x) + \varepsilon \geq T_B(x)$  for large n, as desired.

### §3. Proof of uniqueness characterization

Let  $w \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$  be a viscosity solution of (1) on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{B\}$  which is bounded below and vanishes at B. By standard comparison theorems (e.g., Theorem IV.4.3 of [1]), we get  $w \geq T_{n,B}$  pointwise for all n, since w is also a viscosity supersolution of (2) on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{B\}$ , so  $w \geq T_B$  pointwise, since  $T_{n,B} \to T_B$  pointwise. These theorems apply since the  $f_n$ 's are uniformly Lipschitz, i.e., Lipschitz in the state variable uniformly in the control value.

To prove the reverse inequality, first note that any RBP trajectory  $\psi$  starting at a  $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$  that first reaches B at time s > 0 admits  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (0, s)$  with  $\mu_2 \leq \mu_1$  and an RBP subtrajectory  $\tilde{\psi}$  on  $[0, \mu_2]$  with  $\tilde{\psi}(\mu_2) = \psi(\mu_1)$  such that  $\tilde{\psi}\lceil[1/n, \mu_2] \cap \{y = 0\} = \emptyset$  for large n. To see why, assume wlog that  $p = (p_1, 0)$ . Note that f does not allow movement along the x-axis. It follows that  $\psi(\tilde{t})$  lies in  $\{y > 0\}$  or  $\{y < 0\}$  at some  $\tilde{t} \in (0, s)$ . Assume  $\psi(\tilde{t}) \in \{y > 0\}$  wlog. Reflect the subtrajectories of  $\psi$  which lie in  $\{y < 0\}$  over the x-axis to get another RBP trajectory  $\hat{\psi}$  that first reaches  $\psi(\tilde{t})$  at some time  $\hat{t} \in (0, \tilde{t}]$  and lies in the closed upper half plane. From [3], one optimal RBP trajectory joining points P and Q in the closed upper half plane is a cycloid arc passing from P to Q without hitting the x-axis in between. Replace  $\hat{\psi}\lceil[0,\hat{t}]$  with such an arc  $\tilde{\psi}$  for P = p and  $Q = \hat{\psi}(\hat{t})$  which first reaches  $\psi(\tilde{t})$  at  $\mu_2$  and set  $\mu_1 = s$ .

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{B\}$ . Pick an RBP trajectory  $\phi$  starting at x and first reaching B at time  $\tau$ , and let  $\tau'$  be the supremum of those times  $t \leq \tau$  for which  $w(x) \leq t + w(\phi(t))$ . Supposing  $\tau' < \tau$ , use the continuity of w to conclude that  $w(x) \leq \tau' + w(\phi(\tau'))$ . Note that  $f \lceil (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{|y| \leq 1/n\}) \times C$  is uniformly Lipschitz for all n. Setting  $\psi(\cdot) = \phi(\cdot + \tau')$  and  $p = \phi(\tau')$  in the argument above and using the well-known local suboptimality principle for viscosity subsolutions for problems with uniformly Lipschitzian dynamics (e.g., Theorem III.2.32 of [1]), we get  $w(\tilde{\psi}(1/n)) \leq \mu_2 - 1/n + w(\phi(\tau' + \mu_1))$  for large enough n, so  $w(\phi(\tau')) \leq \mu_2 + w(\phi(\tau' + \mu_1))$ . Hence,  $w(x) \leq \tau' + \mu_1 + w(\phi(\tau' + \mu_1))$ , which is a contradiction. Since w(B) = 0, it follows from infimizing that  $w \leq T_B$  pointwise.

#### §4. References

- [1] Bardi, M., and I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, Optimal Control and Viscosity Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [2] Malisoff, M., "A remark on the Bellman equation for optimal control problems with exit times and noncoercing dynamics," to appear in *Proc. 38th IEEE CDC*.
- [3] Sussmann, H.J., "Geometry and optimal control," in *Mathematical Control Theory*, J. Baillieul and J. C. Willems, Eds., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998, pp. 140-198.
- [4] Warga, J., Optimal Control of Differential and Functional Equations, Academic Press, New York. 1972.