

THE COMPLEXITY OF THE QUASI-ISOMETRY RELATION

SIMON THOMAS

ABSTRACT. We prove that the quasi-isometry relation on the Polish space $\mathcal{G}$ of finitely generated groups does not Borel reduce to an essentially free countable Borel equivalence relation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the Polish space of (marked) finitely generated groups, introduced by Grigorchuk in [5]. Suppose that $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$ have finite generating sets $S \subseteq G \setminus 1$, $T \subseteq H \setminus 1$ respectively. Let $\text{Cay}(G, S)$, $\text{Cay}(H, T)$ be the corresponding Cayley graphs and let d_S , d_T be the corresponding path metrics. Then G, H are *quasi-isometric*, written $G \approx_{QI} H$, if there exists a map $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$, together with constants $\lambda \geq 1$ and $C \geq 0$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- For all $x, y \in G$, $\frac{1}{\lambda}d_S(x, y) - C \leq d_T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_S(x, y) + C$.
- For all $z \in H$, $d_T(z, \varphi[G]) \leq C$.

(This definition does not depend upon the choices of the finite generating sets $S \subseteq G \setminus 1$, $T \subseteq H \setminus 1$.) By Whyte [26], if $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$ are nonamenable, then $G \approx_{QI} H$ if and only if G, H are *Lipschitz equivalent*; i.e. there exists a bijection $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$, together with a constant $\lambda \geq 1$, such that for all $x, y \in G$,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}d_S(x, y) \leq d_T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq \lambda d_S(x, y).$$

It is well known that if $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$ are virtually isomorphic, then $G \approx_{QI} H$. (For example, see de la Harpe [7, Section IV.B].) Here, two groups $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ are said to be *virtually isomorphic*, written $G_1 \approx_{VI} G_2$, if there exist subgroups $N_i \leq H_i \leq G_i$ such that:

- $[G_1 : H_1], [G_2 : H_2] < \infty$;
- N_1, N_2 are finite normal subgroups of H_1, H_2 respectively; and
- $H_1/N_1 \cong H_2/N_2$.

Thus, letting $\cong_{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the isomorphism relation on $\mathcal{G}$, we have that

$$\cong_{\mathcal{G}} \subseteq \approx_{VI} \subseteq \approx_{QI}.$$

It is natural to conjecture that these equivalence relations form a strictly increasing chain with respect to Borel reducibility. In Thomas [17], it was shown that $\approx_{VI}$ is strictly more complex than $\cong_{\mathcal{G}}$ with respect to Borel reducibility; but, until recently, it was only known that $\approx_{QI}$ was nonsmooth. (See Thomas [18].) In this paper, utilizing an approach developed by Tucker-Drob [25], we will prove the following significantly stronger result. However, it should be emphasized that it remains an open question whether $\cong_{\mathcal{G}}$ Borel reduces to $\approx_{QI}$.

Theorem 1.1. *The quasi-isometry relation $\approx_{QI}$ on the Polish space $\mathcal{G}$ of finitely generated groups does not Borel reduce to an essentially free countable Borel equivalence relation.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will recall some basic notions from the theory of countable Borel equivalence relations and ergodic theory; and we will discuss some of the remaining open questions. In Section 3, we will discuss a recursion/group theoretic embedding theorem of Harvey Friedman, which will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, following Tucker-Drob [25], we will discuss some obstructions to quasi-isometries between finitely generated groups. Finally, in Section 5, we will present the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. BOREL EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

Suppose that E, F are Borel equivalence relations on the Polish spaces X, Y respectively. Then a Borel map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *homomorphism* from E to F if for all $x, y \in X$,

$$x E y \implies f(x) F f(y).$$

If f satisfies the stronger property that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$x E y \iff f(x) F f(y),$$

then f is said to be a *Borel reduction* and we write $E \leq_B F$. The Borel equivalence relations E, F are said to be *Borel bireducible*, written $E \sim_B F$, if $E \leq_B F$ and $F \leq_B E$. Finally we write $E <_B F$ if both $E \leq_B F$ and $F \not\leq_B E$. Clearly a Borel

homomorphism is a reduction if and only if the induced map $\bar{f} : X/E \rightarrow Y/F$ is an injection. A Borel homomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *weak Borel reduction* if the induced map $\bar{f} : X/E \rightarrow Y/F$ is countable-to-one, in which case we write $E \leq_B^w F$.

A Borel equivalence relation is *countable* if every E -class is countable. A countable Borel equivalence relation E is *universal* if $F \leq_B E$ for every countable Borel equivalence relation F and is *weakly universal* if $F \leq_B^w E$ for every countable Borel equivalence relation F . For example, by Thomas-Velickovic [24], the isomorphism relation $\cong_G$ on the Polish space $\mathcal{G}$ of finitely generated groups is countable universal; and, by Thomas [20, Corollary 4.9], the Turing equivalence relation $\equiv_T$ is countable weakly universal. It is currently not known whether every countable weakly universal Borel equivalence relation is countable universal. However, Dougherty-Kechris [2] have shown that if Martin's Conjecture [9] on $\equiv_T$ -invariant Borel maps holds, then $\equiv_T$ is not universal.

Let E be a countable Borel equivalence relation on the Polish space X . Then, by Feldman-Moore [4], there exists a countable group G and a Borel action $G \curvearrowright X$ such that $E = E_G^X$ is the corresponding orbit equivalence. We say that E is *free* if there exists a countable group G with a free Borel action $G \curvearrowright X$ such that $E_G^X = E$; and we say E is *essentially free* if there exists a free countable Borel equivalence relation F such that $E \leq_B F$. By Thomas [20, Corollary 4.8], if E is essentially free, then E is not weakly universal.

Suppose that E is a countable Borel equivalence relation on a Polish space and that μ is a probability measure on X . Then μ is said to be *E -invariant* if μ is G -invariant for some (equivalently every) Borel action $G \curvearrowright X$ of a countable group such that $E_G^X = E$. For example, let G be a countably infinite group, let $G \curvearrowright 2^G$ be the shift action, and let E_G be the corresponding orbit equivalence relation. Then the uniform product probability measure μ is E_G -invariant.

Definition 2.1. Suppose that E is a countable Borel equivalence relation on the Polish space X and that μ is an E -invariant probability. If F is a Borel equivalence relation on the Polish space Y , then E is said to be *F -ergodic* if for every Borel homomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from E to F , there exists a Borel subset $M \subseteq X$ with $\mu(M) = 1$ such that f maps M into a single F -class.

The following result, which is a straightforward consequence of the Popa Super-rigidity Theorem [15], will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.2 (Thomas [20]). *Suppose that H is a countable group and that $H \curvearrowright Y$ is a free Borel action of H on a Polish space Y . Then there exists a finitely generated group G such that E_G is E_H^Y -ergodic.*

By Thomas [17], we have that $\cong_{\mathcal{G}} <_B \approx_{VI}$, and it follows that $\approx_{VI}$ is not *essentially countable*; i.e. there does not exist a countable Borel equivalence relation E such that $\approx_{VI} \leq_B E$. Thus if we wish to understand the precise Borel complexity of the virtual isomorphism relation $\approx_{VI}$ and the quasi-isometry relation $\approx_{QI}$, then we must work within a strictly larger class of Borel equivalence relations than the relatively well-understood class of countable Borel equivalence relations.

Definition 2.3. An equivalence relation E on a Polish space X is $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$ if E is the union of countably many compact subsets of $X \times X$.

It is easily checked that $\cong_{\mathcal{G}}$, $\approx_{VI}$ and $\approx_{QI}$ are $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$ equivalence relations on the Polish space $\mathcal{G}$ of finitely generated groups. By Kechris [8] and Louveau-Rosendal [11], there exists a universal $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$ equivalence relation. In fact, Rosendal [16] has shown that the relation of Lipschitz equivalence between compact metric spaces is a universal $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$ equivalence relation. This suggests the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.4. The quasi-isometry relation $\approx_{QI}$ on $\mathcal{G}$ is a universal $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$ equivalence relation.

By Thomas [19], $\approx_{VI}$ is not universal $\mathbf{K}_{\sigma}$; and thus Conjecture 2.4 implies that

$$\cong_{\mathcal{G}} <_B \approx_{VI} <_B \approx_{QI}.$$

We will close this section by mentioning two Borel non-selection conjectures. Note that since $\cong_{\mathcal{G}} <_B \approx_{VI}$, it follows that there does not exist a Borel map which selects an isomorphism class within each virtual isomorphism class. In other words, there does not exist a Borel map $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that for all $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$,

- (i) $\sigma(G) \approx_{VI} G$; and
- (ii) if $G \approx_{VI} H$, then $\sigma(G) \cong_{\mathcal{G}} \sigma(H)$.

For clearly such a map σ would be a Borel reduction from $\approx_{VI}$ to $\cong_{\mathcal{G}}$. Similarly, the following would be a consequence of Conjecture 2.4.

Conjecture 2.5. There does not exist a Borel selection of a virtual isomorphism class within each quasi-isometry class of finitely generated groups.

Conjecture 2.5 seems to be very difficult; but perhaps the following consequence of Conjecture 2.5 might be more approachable.

Conjecture 2.6. There does not exist a Borel selection of an isomorphism class within each quasi-isometry class of finitely generated groups.

It should be pointed out that it is not necessary to show that $\cong_{\mathcal{G}} <_B \approx_{QI}$ in order to prove Conjecture 2.6. For example, let $\approx_C$ be the (abstract) commensurability relation on $\mathcal{G}$; i.e. if $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, then $G_1 \approx_C G_2$ if there exist subgroups $H_i \leq G_i$ of finite index such that $H_1 \cong H_2$. Then, by Thomas [22, Theorem 1.1], $\approx_C$ and $\cong_{\mathcal{G}}$ are Borel bireducible. However, by Thomas [22, Theorem 1.3], there does not exist a Borel selection of an isomorphism class within each commensurability class of finitely generated groups.

3. THE FRIEDMAN EMBEDDING THEOREM

In this section, we will discuss a recursion/group theoretic embedding theorem of Harvey Friedman, which will play a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. For each $k \geq 1$, fix an effective enumeration $\{w_n(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of the free group $\mathbb{F}_k$ on k generators.

Definition 3.1. If G is a group with finite generating set $s_1, \dots, s_k$, then

$$\text{Rel}_G = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid w_n(s_1, \dots, s_k) = 1\}.$$

Thus Rel_G codes the word problem for the finitely generated group G . Of course, there is a slight abuse of notation here, since the set Rel_G depends on the choice of the generating set $s_1, \dots, s_k$. However, this is harmless, since the Turing degree of Rel_G is independent of the choice of finite generating set.

The following recursion/group theoretic embedding result is due to Harvey Friedman. (A proof can be found in Thomas [23].)

Theorem 3.2 (The Friedman Embedding Theorem). *There exists a Borel map $A \mapsto F_A$ from $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ to $\mathcal{G}$ with the following properties:*

- (i) F_A is a 2-generator group.
- (ii) If $A \equiv_T B$, then $F_A \cong F_B$.
- (iii) If $H \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfies $\text{Rel}_H \leq_T A$, then $H \hookrightarrow F_A$.

Remark 3.3. In particular, for all $A \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, the free group on two generators embeds into F_A and so F_A is nonamenable.

It is well known that for every $A \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists a finitely generated group G such that $\text{Rel}_G \equiv_T A$; and it follows that the map $A \mapsto F_A$ is a weak Borel reduction from $\equiv_T$ to $\cong_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Conjecture 3.4. The map $A \mapsto F_A$ is a weak Borel reduction from $\equiv_T$ to $\approx_{QI}$.

Suppose that Conjecture 3.4 holds. Then, since $\equiv_T$ is a weakly universal countable Borel equivalence relation, it follows that $\approx_{QI}$ does not Borel reduce to any countable Borel equivalence relation which is *not* weakly universal. Since there exist examples of countable Borel equivalence relations which are not weakly universal and also not essentially free, this would be a strict strengthening of Theorem 1.1. (Examples of such countable Borel equivalence relations can be found in Thomas [20, Section 3].)

4. HIGH GIRTH GRAPHS AND THE GRAPHICAL SMALL CANCELLATION CONDITION

In this section, following Tucker-Drob [25], we will discuss some obstructions to quasi-isometries between finitely generated groups. Since the groups involved in the proof of Theorem 1.1 are nonamenable, it will be enough to find obstructions to Lipschitz equivalences between the Cayley graphs of finitely generated groups.

Definition 4.1. Let Δ, Γ be connected graphs with path metrics d_{Δ}, d_{Γ} and let $j \geq 1$. Then an injective map $\varphi : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a *j-Lipschitz embedding* if for all $x, y \in \Delta$,

$$d_{\Delta}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq j d_{\Delta}(x, y).$$

Example 4.2. Suppose that $G, H \in \mathcal{G}$ have finite generating sets $S \subseteq G \setminus 1$, $T \subseteq H \setminus 1$ and that $\varphi : H \rightarrow G$ is an embedding. Let $j = \max\{d_S(1, \varphi(t)) \mid t \in T\}$. Then φ is a *j-Lipschitz embedding* of $\text{Cay}(H, T)$ into $\text{Cay}(G, S)$.

The following result is a special case of Tucker-Drob [25, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 4.3. *Let Γ be a 4-regular graph with the property that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ acts transitively on Γ . Then for each $j \geq 1$, there exists a constant $a(j)$ such that for all $s \geq 1$, there are at most $a(j)^s$ isomorphism classes of connected graphs on s vertices which admit an j -Lipschitz embedding into Γ .*

The following result is an easy consequence of Linial-Simkin [10, Theorem 1.2], as formulated by Tucker-Drob [25, Section 3].

Lemma 4.4. *Fix some real number $c \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist constants $b > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every even $s \geq d$, there are at least $(bs^{1/2})^s$ isomorphism classes of connected 3-regular graphs on s vertices with girth at least $c \log_2 s$.*

Applying Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, we see that whenever Γ is a Cayley graph of a 2-generator group and $j \geq 1$ is fixed, then if s is sufficiently large, most connected 3-regular graphs on s vertices with girth at least $c \log_2 s$ do not j -Lipschitz embed into Γ . We will next discuss when a sequence of disjoint copies of such graphs, with $s \rightarrow \infty$, embeds into a Cayley graph of a finitely generated group.

Let $(\Theta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of 3-regular connected finite graphs. For each $n \geq 1$, let $E(\Theta_n)$ be the set of edges of Θ_n , let $g_n = \text{girth}(\Theta_n)$ and let $\gamma_n = \lfloor \frac{1}{6}g_n \rfloor$. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

(4.5)(i) $1 < \gamma_n < \gamma_{n+1}$ for every $n \geq 1$; and hence $g_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(4.5)(ii) There exists a constant $k \geq 2$ such that $|E(\Theta_n)| \leq k^{g_n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Let $S = S_0 \sqcup S_0^{-1}$ be a finite alphabet with a fixed-point-free involution $s \mapsto s^{-1}$. A *labelling* ℓ of the disjoint union $\Theta = \sqcup_{n=1}^\infty \Theta_n$ by S is an assignment of a letter $\ell(e) \in S$ to each directed edge e of Θ so that the reverse of each directed edge e is assigned the corresponding inverse label $\ell(e)^{-1}$. If $P = e_1 \cdots e_k$ is a directed path in Θ , then the corresponding *word read along P* is $\ell(P) = \ell(e_1) \cdots \ell(e_k)$. The labelling ℓ is *reduced* if no two distinct directed edges with the same initial vertex are assigned the same letter. A reduced labelling satisfies the $C'(1/6)$ *graphical small cancellation condition* if for every n , no word of length at least $\frac{1}{6} \text{girth}(\Theta_n)$ read along a directed non-backtracking path in Θ_n occurs as the word read along any other directed non-backtracking path in Θ .

The following result was extracted by Tucker-Drob [25, Section 4] from the results of Osajda [14] and Esperet-Giocanti [3]. (I have added the observation that the labelling can be computed from Θ .)

Theorem 4.6. *With the above hypotheses, the graph $\Theta = \sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n$ admits a Θ -recursive reduced finite alphabet labelling ℓ satisfying the $C'(1/6)$ graphical small cancellation condition.*

Let ℓ be a reduced labelling by the finite alphabet $S = S_0 \sqcup S_0^{-1}$ of the graph $\Theta = \sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Theta_n$ which satisfies the $C'(1/6)$ graphical small cancellation condition. A *rooted directed simple cycle* in Θ is a simple directed cycle with a choice of the initial vertex. Define the associated presentation by

$$G(\Theta, \ell) = \langle S_0 \mid \ell(C), C \text{ is a rooted directed simple cycle in } \Theta \rangle.$$

The following result was first proved by Ollivier [13]. We will use a formulation that was given by Gruber [6, Theorem 5.10].

Theorem 4.7. *With the above hypotheses, for each $n \geq 1$, the graph Θ_n embeds isometrically into $\text{Cay}(G(\Theta, \ell), S)$.*

Finally, by Brown-Leary [1, Theorem 2.7], the presentation

$$G(\Theta, \ell) = \langle S_0 \mid \ell(C), C \text{ is a rooted directed simple cycle in } \Theta \rangle$$

has the *Dehn property*; i.e. if w is a reduced word in the alphabet S which represents the identity element in $G(\Theta, \ell)$, then there exists a rooted directed simple cycle C in Θ such that strictly more than half of the word $\ell(C)$ occurs as a subsequence of w . Of course, this implies that the word problem for $G(\Theta, \ell)$ is computable from the sequence $(\Theta_n, \ell \upharpoonright \Theta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of finite labelled graphs.

5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Suppose H is a countable group and that $H \curvearrowright Y$ is a free Borel action of H on a Polish space Y . Suppose that $\psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow Y$ is a Borel reduction from $\approx_{QI}$ to E_H^Y . By Theorem 2.2, there exists a finitely generated group G such that the orbit equivalence relation E_G of the shift action $G \curvearrowright (2^G, \mu)$ is E_H^Y -ergodic. Then we can suppose that $G = \langle \mathbb{N}, *, 0 \rangle$; so that the shift action of G on 2^G is given by a

corresponding measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (2^{\mathbb{N}}, \mu)$. Let $R \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that every left multiplication map $m \mapsto n * m$ is R -computable. From now on, if $g \in G$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we will usually write $g(n)$ instead of $g * n$. Let $\varphi : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be the Borel map defined by

$$B \mapsto F_{B \oplus R},$$

where $B \oplus R$ is the recursive join of B and R ; and $F_{B \oplus R}$ is the corresponding 2-generator group, given by the Friedman Embedding Theorem.

Lemma 5.1. *If $B \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $g \in G$, then $F_{B \oplus R} \cong F_{g(B) \oplus R}$.*

Proof. Since $g \leq_T R$, it follows that $g(B) \leq_T B \oplus R$ and hence

$$g(B) \oplus R \leq_T B \oplus R.$$

Similarly, $B \oplus R \leq_T g(B) \oplus R$ and so $B \oplus R \equiv_T g(B) \oplus R$. Thus $F_{B \oplus R} \cong F_{g(B) \oplus R}$. $\square$

It follows that $\theta = \psi \circ \varphi$ is a Borel homomorphism from E_G to E_H^Y . Hence there exists a Borel subset $M \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $\mu(M) = 1$ such that φ maps M into a single quasi-isometry class. Furthermore, since all of the groups $F_{B \oplus R}$ are nonamenable, it follows that if $B, C \in M$, then $F_{B \oplus R}$ and $F_{C \oplus R}$ are Lipschitz equivalent.

Fix some real number $c \in (0, 1)$; and let $b > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the constants given by Lemma 4.4. For each even $s \geq d$, let $\mathcal{H}_s$ be the set of isomorphism classes of connected 3-regular graphs on s vertices with girth at least $c \log_2 s$. Thus $|\mathcal{H}_s| \geq (bs^{1/2})^s$. Define

$$\gamma_{s,0} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{6} \text{girth}(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{H}_s\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{s,1} = \max\left\{\frac{1}{6} \text{girth}(\Gamma) \mid \Gamma \in \mathcal{H}_s\right\}.$$

Also, for each $j \geq 1$, let $a(j)$ be the constant given by Lemma 4.3. If we fix j , then for all sufficiently large s ,

$$\frac{a(j)^s}{|\mathcal{H}_s|} \leq \frac{a(j)^s}{(bs^{1/2})^s} < \frac{1}{2^{j+1}};$$

and so, letting m be the integer such that $2^m \leq |\mathcal{H}_s| < 2^{m+1}$, we have that

$$\frac{a(j)^s}{2^m} = \frac{2a(j)^s}{2^{m+1}} < \frac{2a(j)^s}{|\mathcal{H}_s|} < \frac{1}{2^j}.$$

Hence we can inductively define a strictly increasing sequence of even s_j for $j \geq 1$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(5.2)(i) $1 < \gamma_{s_j,0} \leq \gamma_{s_j,1} < \gamma_{s_{j+1},0}$ for all $j \geq 1$.

(5.2)(ii) If m_j is the integer such that $2^{m_j} \leq |\mathcal{H}_{s_j}| < 2^{m_j+1}$, then $a(j)^{s_j}/2^{m_j} < 1/2^j$.

Note that $\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{s_j}$, then $g = \text{girth}(\Gamma) \geq c \log_2 s_j$ and so $s_j \leq 2^{g/c}$. Since $g \geq 1$ and Γ is 3-regular, it follows that

$$|E(\Gamma)| = \frac{3}{2} s_j \leq 2^g 2^{g/c} = (2^{1+1/c})^g.$$

Thus letting $k = 2^{1+1/c}$, we also have that:

(5.2)(iii) $|E(\Gamma)| \leq k^{\text{girth}(\Gamma)}$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{s_j}$.

Next we can effectively form a sequence of lists

$$\Gamma_{0,j}, \dots, \Gamma_{2^{m_j}-1,j}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

such that each $\Gamma_{i,j} \in \mathcal{H}_{s_j}$; and express $\mathbb{N}$ as a disjoint union of increasing finite intervals

$$\mathbb{N} = I_1 \sqcup I_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_j \sqcup \dots,$$

where $|I_j| = m_j$. If $B \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, then then we can identify $B \upharpoonright I_j$ with the binary representation of an integer $0 \leq b_j \leq 2^{m_j} - 1$; and thus $b_j = B \upharpoonright I_j$ determines the graph $\Gamma_{b_j,j} \in \mathcal{H}_{s_j}$. It follows that the sequence of graphs $(\Gamma_{b_j,j})_{j \geq 1}$ is B -recursive. By Theorem 4.6, there exists an B -recursive reduced labelling ℓ_B by a finite alphabet S_B of the graph $\Theta_B = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{b_j,j}$ satisfying the $C'(1/6)$ graphical small cancellation condition; and it follows that the corresponding presentation of the group $G(\Theta_B, \ell_B)$ is also B -recursive. Since this presentation satisfies the Dehn property, it follows that the word problem for $G(\Theta_B, \ell_B)$ is B -recursive and hence $G(\Theta_B, \ell_B)$ embeds into $F_{B \oplus R}$.

Fix some $C \in M$. Let $F = F_{C \oplus R}$ and let X be a fixed 2-generator set. Then clearly $\text{Cay}(F, X)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.3. For each $j \geq 1$, let A_j be the set of $0 \leq i \leq 2^{m_j} - 1$ such that there exists a j -Lipschitz embedding of $\Gamma_{i,j}$ into $\text{Cay}(F, X)$. Then

$$\mu(\{B \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid B \upharpoonright I_j \in A_j\}) \leq \frac{a(j)^{s_j}}{2^{m_j}} < \frac{1}{2^j}.$$

Hence, by Borel–Cantelli Lemma, for μ -a.e $B \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have that $B \upharpoonright I_j \notin A_j$ for all but finitely many $j \geq 1$; and so this is true for μ -a.e $B \in M$. Fix such a $B \in M$. Then $G(\Theta_B, \ell_B)$ embeds into $F_{B \oplus R}$ and $F_{B \oplus R}$ is Lipschitz equivalent to F . It follows that there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $\text{Cay}(G(\Theta_B, \ell_B), S_B)$ admits a k -Lipschitz

embedding into $\text{Cay}(F, X)$. Let $j \geq k$ be such that $b_j = B \upharpoonright I_j \notin A_j$. Since $\Gamma_{b_j, j}$ embeds isometrically into $\text{Cay}(G(\Theta_B, \ell_B), S_B)$, it follows that there is a k -Lipschitz embedding $\psi : \Gamma_{b_j, j} \rightarrow \text{Cay}(F, X)$. But then ψ is a j -Lipschitz embedding of $\Gamma_{b_j, j}$ into $\text{Cay}(F, X)$ and so $b_j = B \upharpoonright I_j \in A_j$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Brown and I.J. Leary, *Groups of type FP via graphical small cancellation*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **19** (2025), 1–35.
- [2] R. Dougherty and A. S. Kechris, *How many Turing degrees are there?*, in: *Computability Theory and its Applications* (Boulder, CO, 1999), Contemp. Math. **257**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2000, 83–94.
- [3] L. Esperet and U. Giocanti, *Optimization in graphical small cancellation theory*, Discrete Math. **347** (2024), Paper No. 113842, 7 pp.
- [4] J. Feldman and C. C. Moore, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology and von Neumann algebras*, I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 234 (1977) 289–324.
- [5] R. I. Grigorchuk, *Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means*, Math. SSSR. Izv. **25** (1985), 259–300.
- [6] D. Gruber, *Groups with graphical $C(6)$ and $C(7)$ small cancellation presentations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), 2051–2078.
- [7] P. de la Harpe, *Topics in Geometric Group Theory*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics Series, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 2000.
- [8] A. S. Kechris, *Lectures on definable group actions and equivalence relations*, circulated notes, 1994.
- [9] A. S. Kechris and Y. N. Moschovakis (Eds.), *Cabal Seminar 76–77*, Lecture Notes in Math. **689**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [10] N. Linial and M. Simkin, *A randomized construction of high girth regular graphs*, Random Structures Algorithms **58** (2021), 345–369.
- [11] A. Louveau and C. Rosendal, *Complete analytic equivalence relations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 4839–4866.
- [12] A. Morozov and P. Schupp, *Computable permutations and word problems*, Enseign. Math. **64** (2018), 143–160.
- [13] Y. Ollivier, *On a small cancellation theorem of Gromov*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **13** (2006), 75–89.
- [14] D. Osajda, *Small cancellation labellings of some infinite graphs and applications*, Acta Math. **225** (2020), 159–191.
- [15] S. Popa, *Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for malleable actions of w -rigid groups*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), 243–295.

- [16] C. Rosendal, *Cofinal families of Borel equivalence relations and quasiorders*, *J. Symbolic Logic* **70** (2005), 1325–1340.
- [17] S. Thomas, *The virtual isomorphism problem for finitely generated groups* Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), 777–784.
- [18] S. Thomas, *Cayley graphs of finitely generated groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 289–294.
- [19] S. Thomas, *On the complexity of the quasi-isometry and virtual isomorphism problems for finitely generated groups*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **2** (2008), 281–307.
- [20] S. Thomas, *Popa superrigidity and countable Borel equivalence relations*, Annals Pure Appl. Logic **158** (2009), 175–189.
- [21] S. Thomas, *Martin’s conjecture and strong ergodicity*, Arch. Math. Logic **48** (2009), 749–759.
- [22] S. Thomas, *The commensurability relation for finitely generated groups*, J. Group Theory **12** (2009), 901–909.
- [23] S. Thomas, *The Friedman embedding theorem*, J. Algebra **332** (2011), 322–333.
- [24] S. Thomas and B. Velickovic, *On the complexity of the isomorphism relation for finitely generated groups*, *J. Alg.* **217** (1999), 352–373.
- [25] R. Tucker-Drob, *Obstructions to coarse universality for finitely generated groups*, preprint (2026).
- [26] K. Whyte, *Amenability, bi-Lipschitz equivalence, and the von Neumann conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **99** (1999), 93–112.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY,
NEW JERSEY 08854-8019, USA

Email address: `sthomas@math.rutgers.edu`