

# VOLUME GROWTH, DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY, AND THE HIGSON COMPACTIFICATION

STEVE FERRY

ABSTRACT. We construct a variant of (real) DeRham cohomology and apply it to prove that the integral cohomology of the Higson compactification of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has uncountably generated  $n^{\text{th}}$  integral cohomology.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Higson compactification of a non-compact complete Riemannian manifold was introduced by Higson in [Hig92] and modified by Roe in [Roe93]. Here is the construction from [Roe93].

We will say that a metric space is *proper* if closed balls of finite radius are compact.

**Definition 1.** Let  $M$  and  $Z$  be proper metric spaces and let  $\phi : M \rightarrow Z$  be a bounded continuous function. We define the variation function  $V_r\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$V_r\phi(x) = \sup\{d(\phi(y), \phi(x)) : y \in B(x; r)\}.$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $M$  and  $Z$  be proper metric spaces. We let  $C_h(M; Z)$  denote the space of all bounded continuous functions  $\phi : M \rightarrow Z$  such that  $V_r(\phi) \rightarrow 0$  at infinity. We can extend this definition to the case where  $Z$  is nonmetric by declaring that  $\phi \in C_h(M; Z)$  if  $f \circ \phi \in C_h(M; \mathbb{R})$  for every continuous function  $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We will refer to elements of  $C_h(M; Z)$  as slowly oscillating functions.

**Proposition 1.**  $C_h(M; \mathbb{C})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, which we will denote by  $C_h(M)$ .

*Proof.* This is Lemma 5.3 of [Roe93]. □

**Definition 3.** The Higson compactification of  $M$ , which we denote by  $\bar{M}$  is the maximal ideal space of  $C_h(M)$ . The Higson corona of  $M$  is  $\nu M = \bar{M} - M$ .

The Higson compactification has the universal property that if  $Z$  is compact Hausdorff, a continuous function  $f : M \rightarrow Z$  extends to a continuous function  $\bar{f} : \bar{M} \rightarrow Z$  if and only if  $f \in C_h(M; Z)$ .

*Remark.* For the topologically inclined, the Higson compactification of  $M$  may also be constructed as follows. Let

$$e : M \rightarrow \prod_{f \in C_h(M; \mathbb{R})} \mathbb{R}$$

be defined by letting the  $f^{\text{th}}$  coordinate of  $e(m)$  be  $f(m)$ . The closure of  $e(M)$  in  $\prod_{f \in C_h(M; \mathbb{R})} \mathbb{R}$  is the Higson compactification of  $M$ . One sees that  $e(M)$  is precompact by noting that since the functions  $f \in C_h(M; \mathbb{R})$  are bounded,  $e(M)$  is contained in a product of closed intervals.

The Higson corona is interesting in its own right as a coarse invariant of proper metric spaces, but the compactification comes up naturally in attacks on the Novikov conjecture and the Gromov-Lawson conjecture. Typically, the proper metric space is the universal cover of a finite  $K(\Gamma, 1)$ . The Higson compactification is then the universal compactification in which fundamental domains shrink to points at infinity. In [Roe93], it was conjectured that if a space  $M$  is uniformly contractible, then the Higson compactification  $\bar{M}$  of  $M$  has trivial cohomology. This would imply the rational Novikov conjecture for groups  $\Gamma$  such that  $B\Gamma$  is a finite complex, and the Gromov-Lawson conjecture, which says that a closed Riemannian manifold with contractible universal cover cannot admit a metric of positive scalar curvature.

Unfortunately, in [Kee94], it was shown that the first integral cohomology of  $\bar{M}$  is nontrivial for all noncompact  $M$  and in [DF97] it was shown that the Higson compactification of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has nonzero integral cohomology in all dimensions  $1 \dots n$ , dimension  $n$  being the critical dimension for the Novikov and Gromov-Lawson conjectures.

Recently, Dranishnikov, Ferry, and Weinberger [DFW] have shown that if  $\Gamma$  is a group such that  $B\Gamma$  is a finite complex and  $E\Gamma$  has finite asymptotic dimension, then the cohomology of  $\bar{M}$  is trivial with finite coefficients. In the same paper, it was shown that the rational Novikov conjecture for a group  $\Gamma$  with  $B\Gamma$  a finite complex follows from the vanishing of the mod 2 cohomology of  $\bar{E}\Gamma$ . This means that integral vanishing results do not tell the entire story and that there is hope for using Higson's compactification to prove the Gromov-Lawson conjecture and an interesting general Novikov results.

If  $M$  is a complete Riemannian  $n$ -manifold, an element of  $H^n(\bar{M})$  is represented by a map  $\phi : \bar{M} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ . The image of  $\bar{M}$  is compact, so it lies in a finite skeleton  $L$  of  $K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ , which we embed in a high-dimensional euclidean space and thicken up to a smooth compact codimension-zero manifold,  $N$  homotopy equivalent to  $L$ . The generator of  $H^n(N; \mathbb{Z})$  is represented in De Rham cohomology by a closed  $n$ -form  $\omega$ .

We will show that  $\phi$  can be taken to be a  $C^\infty$  slowly oscillating function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and that  $\phi^*\omega$  is a well-defined element of the "slowly oscillating cohomology" of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , depending only on the original cohomology class in  $H^n(\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ . We then show that an invariant involving volume growth of slowly oscillating classes can be used to detect uncountably many different classes in  $H^n(\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ . This all suggests the possibility that the integral cohomology groups  $H^n(\bar{M}; \mathbb{Z})$  may possess the structure of a real vector space, which would imply the Novikov and Gromov-Lawson conjectures. Block and Weinberger, [BW92], encountered a phenomenon of this sort in their construction of "uniformly finite" homology.

## 2. SMOOTHING SLOWLY OSCILLATING FUNCTIONS

We begin with a useful lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a continuous monotone increasing function with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x) = \infty$ , then there is a continuous monotone  $C^\infty$  function  $\mu : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  so that*

- i. There is a  $K > 0$  so that  $\mu(x) < \rho(x)$  for all  $x > K$ .*
- ii.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \mu(x) = \infty$  and so that*
- iii.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^k \mu}{dx^k}(x) = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a sequence  $a(n)$  so that  $a(1) \geq 8$ ,  $\rho(a(n)) > n+2$ , and so that  $a(n+1) > 2a(n)$  for all  $n$ . Let  $\sigma(x)$  be the piecewise linear function interpolating the points  $(a(n), n)$ . The function  $\sigma$  is monotone increasing and  $\sigma(x) < \rho(x) - 1$  for all  $x$ .

Let  $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^\infty$  function supported on  $[-1, 1]$  so that  $\Psi(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(x) dx = 1$ , and  $\Psi(x) = \Psi(-x)$  for all  $x$ .

Let

$$\mu(x) = \int_{-2^n}^{2^n} \frac{1}{2^n} \Psi\left(\frac{x-t}{2^n}\right) \sigma(t) dt \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{a(n) + a(n+1)}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{a(n+1) + a(n+2)}{2}.$$

This function is  $C^\infty$  because it is equal to  $\sigma(x)$  in a neighborhood of the points  $\frac{a(n)+a(n+1)}{2}$ , so the piecewise definition splices together to give a  $C^\infty$  function. Differentiation under the integral sign shows that all derivatives of  $\mu$  go to 0 at infinity. For instance, the value of  $\mu'(x)$  on  $[\frac{a(n)+a(n+1)}{2}, \frac{a(n+1)+a(n+2)}{2}]$  is less than  $\frac{n+2}{2^n}$  times the maximum value of  $\Psi'(x)$  on  $[-1, 1]$ . This defines  $\mu(x)$  for  $x \geq K = \frac{a(1)+a(2)}{2}$  and we extend to a monotone  $C^\infty$  function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a slowly oscillating function and  $\epsilon > 0$  is given,  $f$  can be  $\epsilon$ -approximated by a smooth function  $\bar{f}$  so that all derivatives of  $\bar{f}$  go to zero at infinity and so that  $f - \bar{f}$  is slowly oscillating.*

*Proof.* Choose a monotone increasing function  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  so that

- i.  $V_{\rho(|x|)} f(x) < \epsilon$  for all  $x$
- ii.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} V_{\rho(|x|)} f(x) = 0$
- iii.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x) = \infty$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a monotone increasing smooth function less than  $\rho$  with derivatives going to 0 as in Lemma 1. Define  $\bar{f}$  by

$$\bar{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \frac{1}{\mu(|\mathbf{x}|)} \right]^n \Psi\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}{\mu(|\mathbf{x}|)}\right) f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$$

Here,  $\Psi$  is a smooth nonnegative  $C^\infty$  function supported on  $[-1, 1]$  and constant in a neighborhood of 0 such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(|\mathbf{x}|) = 1$ . The function  $\bar{f}$  approximates  $f$  because it averages  $f$  over balls of radius  $\mu(\mathbf{x})$  where  $f$  is nearly constant. The derivatives of  $\bar{f}$  go to zero because the derivatives of  $\Psi$  are bounded,  $\mu(\mathbf{x})$ , which appears in denominators, goes to infinity, and the derivatives of  $\mu$ , which appear in numerators, go to zero.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $f$  and  $\bar{f}$  are as above, then  $\bar{f}$  extends to a map  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f|_{\nu\mathbb{R}^n} = \bar{f}|_{\nu\mathbb{R}^n}$ .*

$\square$

*Remark.* It seems like this averaging process should work on a manifold of bounded geometry by pulling  $f$  back to the tangent space at  $\mathbf{x}$  and averaging as above.

### 3. THE COHOMOLOGY OF $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n$

Let  $h : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^n \times I \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$  be a homotopy. Since  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n$  is compact, this homotopy lies in a skeleton  $L$  of  $K(\mathbb{Z}, n)$ . We embed  $L$  into  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,  $\ell$  large, and let  $N$  be a regular neighborhood of  $L$  in  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ . Choose  $\epsilon > 0$  so that balls of radius  $100\epsilon$  centered at points of  $L$  are contained in  $N$

and choose a sequence of points  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = 1$  so that  $\text{diam}(h(\mathbf{x} \times [t_i, t_{i+1}])) < \epsilon$  for  $i = 0 \dots k - 1$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Approximate  $h|_{\mathbb{R}^n \times t_i}$  by a smooth function with derivatives going to zero by approximating its coordinate functions as in Lemma 2. Extend to  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n$  and replace  $h$  by straight line homotopies between the approximations on the intervals  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n \times [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ .

**Definition 4.** We will say that a smooth  $k$ -form  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is slowly oscillating if

$$\alpha = \sum_I a_I(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

where the  $a_I$ 's are bounded  $C^\infty$  functions with all derivatives going to 0 at infinity. We will denote the vector space of slowly oscillating  $k$ -forms on  $M$  by  $\Omega_{SO}^k(M)$ .

**Theorem 1** (Slowly oscillating Poincaré lemma). *If  $f, g : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^n \rightarrow L$  are homotopic and  $\omega \in \Omega^k(N)$  is a smooth  $k$ -form, then  $f^*\omega - g^*\omega = d\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a smooth slowly oscillating  $(k - 1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* We begin by approximating  $f$  and  $g$  by smooth slowly oscillating maps as in Lemma 2. For  $\epsilon$  small, the approximations are homotopic to the original maps by straight line homotopies in  $N$ . By breaking the homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$  into pieces as above, we can assume that there is a straight-line homotopy  $h(t, \mathbf{x}) = t \cdot f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - t) \cdot g(\mathbf{x})$  connecting  $f$  and  $g$ .

We adapt the argument from p. 28 of [Fla63]. If  $U$  is an open domain in euclidean space, the author constructs a map

$$K : \Omega^{k+1}(I \times U) \rightarrow \Omega^k(U)$$

so that

$$Kd + dK = j_1^* - j_0^*.$$

where  $j_0$  and  $j_1$  are inclusions into the bottom and top of  $I \times U$ . The formula for  $K$  on monomials is  $K(a(t, \mathbf{x})) d\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{H}} = 0$  and  $K(a(t, \mathbf{x})) dt \wedge d\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{H}} = \left( \int_0^1 a(t, \mathbf{x}) dt \right) d\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{H}}$ .

Writing  $f(\mathbf{x}) = (f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_\ell(\mathbf{x}))$ ,  $g(\mathbf{x}) = (g_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, g_\ell(\mathbf{x}))$ , and  $\omega = \sum a_H(\mathbf{z}) dz^{\mathbf{H}}$ , we have

$$h^*(\omega) = \sum_{H=(i_1, \dots, i_k)} a_H(h(t, \mathbf{x})) dh_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dh_{i_k}$$

and

$$dh_{i_j} = (f_{i_j} - g_{i_j}) dt + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial h_{i_j}}{\partial x_k} dx_k$$

The functions  $a_H(\mathbf{z})$  are bounded so the functions  $\int_0^1 a_H(h(t, \mathbf{x})) dt$  are slowly oscillating. The functions  $(f_{i_j} - g_{i_j})$  and  $\frac{\partial h_{i_j}}{\partial x_k}$  are slowly oscillating, so  $\alpha = Kh^*(\omega)$  is a slowly oscillating form. Since  $d\alpha = g^*\omega - f^*\omega$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Definition 5.** We define the slowly oscillating cohomology of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to be the quotient

$$H_{so}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \frac{\ker d : \Omega_{so}^k \rightarrow \Omega_{so}^{k+1}}{\operatorname{im} d : \Omega_{so}^{k-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{so}^k}$$

It follows immediately from the Poincaré Lemma that there is a well-defined map

$$H^k(\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{so}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

obtained by taking a form  $\omega$  on a regular neighborhood of a skeleton of  $K(\mathbb{Z}; k)$  and pulling it back to a slowly oscillating form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $\omega$  is a slowly oscillating smooth  $n$ -form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we can define a map  $\gamma(\omega) : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\gamma(\omega)(r) = \int_{B(0;r)} \omega$ . If two such forms represent the same cohomology class, we have

$$\int_{B(0;r)} \omega_1 - \omega_2 = \int_{B(0;r)} d\alpha = \int_{S(0;r)} \alpha$$

where  $\alpha$  is slowly oscillating, so  $\gamma(\omega_1) - \gamma(\omega_2)$  is  $O(r^{n-1})$ . This construction allows us to distinguish uncountably many cohomology classes in  $H^n(\bar{\mathbb{R}}^n; \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Example 1.** Let  $c : T^2 \rightarrow S^2$  be a smooth map that crushes the wedge of two circles to a point. Let  $e : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T^2$  be the covering map and let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow S^2$  be the map  $c \circ e(x^\alpha, y^\alpha)$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Let  $\omega$  be the volume form on  $S^2$ , so the integral of  $f^*\omega$  over a square of side  $m^{1/\alpha}$  is  $4\pi m^2$  with a possible variation of  $O(m^{1/\alpha})$  depending on the choice of representative in the cohomology class.

Now consider  $f_t(x, y) = c \circ e(tx^\alpha, ty^\alpha)$ , with  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  a constant. The integral of  $f_t^*\omega$  over the same square of side  $m^{1/\alpha}$  is approximately  $t^2$  times the value of the earlier integral, so the variation obtained using different values of  $t$  is  $O(m^2)$ . This means that for  $1/2 < \alpha < 1$ , we obtain uncountably many different elements of  $H^2(\bar{\mathbb{R}}^2; \mathbb{Z})$  by varying  $t$ .

## REFERENCES

- [BW92] Jonathan Block and Shmuel Weinberger. Aperiodic tilings, positive scalar curvature and amenability of spaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(4):907–918, 1992.
- [DF97] A. N. Dranishnikov and S. Ferry. On the Higson-Roe corona. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 52(5(317)):133–146, 1997.
- [DFW] A. N. Dranishnikov, Steven C. Ferry, and Shmuel Weinberger. An étale approach to the Novikov Conjecture. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (to appear).
- [Fla63] Harley Flanders. *Differential forms with applications to the physical sciences*. Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- [Hig92] Nigel Higson. On the relative K-theory of Baum and Douglas. *preprint*, 1992.
- [Kee94] James Keesling. The one-dimensional Čech cohomology of the Higson compactification and its corona. *Topol. Proc.*, 19:129–148, 1994.
- [Roe93] John Roe. Coarse cohomology and index theory on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(497):x+90, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854  
*E-mail address:* sferry@math.rutgers.edu