

Lecture Notes #2

Linear Mappings, especially linear functionals
very useful & important

Recall first some basics

Linear map $\mathcal{L}: V \rightarrow W$, Vector-spaces over k
means $\mathcal{L}(av_1 + bv_2) = a\mathcal{L}(v_1) + b\mathcal{L}(v_2) \dots$

If $W =$ base field, \mathcal{L} is a linear functional.

Prop Image & Pre-image of a linear map preserve subspaces, convex sets, balanced sets.

Prop (i) \mathcal{L} is cts @ $0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is cts everywhere
(ii) $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is uniformly cts (given an open nhd U of 0 in W , $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(U)$ is open, hence \exists open nhd $U' \subseteq V$, so $v_1, v_2 \in U' \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(v_1) - \mathcal{L}(v_2) \in U$)

Prop Let \mathcal{L} be a nontrivial linear functional on V . TFAE
(i) \mathcal{L} cts (ii) Nullspace is closed (iii) Nullspace not dense
(iv) \mathcal{L} is bdd in some nhd of 0 .

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) since nullspace = inverse image of the closed set $\{0\}$. P2/8

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Nullspace is closed, so its closure cannot be dense if \mathcal{N} is nontrivial.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) We showed before that V has a ^{local} basis of balanced open nhd's. So \exists $x \notin$ balanced nhd U of 0 s.t.,
 $x + U \notin \text{Null}(\mathcal{N})$

are disjoint.

$\mathcal{N}U$ is balanced, too.

If bounded, we are done.

If not, $\mathcal{N}U =$ base field, since

$$\alpha \mathcal{N}U \in \mathcal{N}U \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq 1.$$

Then $\exists y \in U$ s.t. $\mathcal{N}y = -x$

$y + x \in \text{Null}(\mathcal{N})$, a contradiction.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) If $\|\mathcal{N}v\| < M$ for all $v \in U$ & some $M > 0$

then

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \text{ for all } v \in \frac{\epsilon}{M} U$$

(def'n of cty @ origin ...) \square .

Next topic Results on finite dimensional spaces and subspaces, leading to showing all locally cft TVS's are finite dimensional.

Lemma (to start with) Let $W \subseteq V$ be a subspace of a TVS, & assume it is locally compact in the subspace topology, (subset).

Then W is a closed subspace of V . P378

Proof Locally compact $\Rightarrow \exists K \subseteq W$ cpt
 $0 \in \text{interior of } K \text{ wrt } W$

That means \exists a nhd U of 0 in V s.t.,
 $U \cap W \subseteq K$.

\exists a nhd U' of 0 s.t., $U' + U' \subseteq U$ (c.t.g.)
replace U' by $U' \cap (-U')$ \rightarrow may assume $U' = -U'$
Therefore $\overline{U'} + \overline{U'} \subseteq \overline{U}$

Any member of a local base contains
the closure of some member.

So replacing U by this member,
we have shown \exists a symmetric nhd
 U' of 0 s.t.,

$$\overline{U'} + \overline{U'} \subseteq U.$$

Claim $W \cap (x + \overline{U'})$ is cpt $\forall x \in V$.

This follows from showing it is a subset
of $y_0 + K$, where y_0 is an arb. elt of it.
(closed subsets of cpt sets are cpt!).

Indeed, $\forall y \in W \cap (x + \overline{U'})$

$$y - y_0 = (y - x) + (x - y_0) \\ \in \overline{U'} + \overline{U'} \subseteq U$$

$$\underline{y - y_0} \in W \cap U \subseteq K$$

W is a subspace

PROVING the
claim.

P. 4/8

Now to finish, we must show $\overline{W} = W$,

Let $v \in \overline{W}$, & $\mathcal{B} =$ all open sets in V

containing 0
& contained in U' .

Property: closed under finite intersection

Look at $E_S = W \cap (x + \overline{S})$, $S \in \mathcal{B}$,

$\overline{S} \subseteq U' \Rightarrow E_S$ is cpt, subset of cpt set,
nonempty (contains x).

Therefore $\{E_S\}$ is a collection of ^{nonempty} cpt
sets w/ the finite intersection

equivalence
of cptness

property.

(finite \cap is nonempty)

(See next page for more) $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{B}} E_S$ is nonempty.

Let $z \in \bigcap_S E_S$.

Then $z \in W$

$\in x + \overline{S}$ for all $S \in \mathcal{B}$

Hausdorff $\Rightarrow z = x$

$\Rightarrow x \in W$

$\Rightarrow \overline{W} = W$, closed



p. 5/8

Refresher Remark on how the finite \cap prop. was used here!

The complement of each E_s in $W^n(x+U^i) = X$ is an open set.

If $\cap E_s = \emptyset$, complements form an open cover w/o a finite subcover, which contradicts compactness.

Theorem Every finite dim'l subspace of a TVS $W \subseteq V$ is closed.

Pf Follows from showing every finite dim'l subspace is locally cpt.

This follows from showing every isom of $k^n \rightarrow W$ is a homeomorphism, since k^n is locally cpt.

IA $n=1$, let $\mathcal{L}: k \rightarrow W$ be the isom
let $w = \mathcal{L}(1)$
so $\alpha w = \mathcal{L}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in k$
cty of TVS $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is cts
 \mathcal{L}^{-1} is linear fml of W w/ kernel $\{0\}$.

which is closed, & we saw before this is equivalent to continuity.

Now, we do an inductive argument & assume true for $\dim < n$.

Let $\mathcal{L}: k^n \rightarrow W$ be an isomorphism
 $w_1, \dots, w_n = \text{image of std basis } e_1, \dots, e_n$
 $\mathcal{L}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 w_1 + \dots + x_n w_n$
 cty of TVS $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is cts.
 w_1, \dots, w_n is a basis of W since
 \mathcal{L} is an isomorphism

Map $w \in W$ to $c_1(w)w_1 + \dots + c_n(w)w_n$
 each $c_j(w)$ is a linear fcn of w .
 Null space $\cong k^{n-1}$ is closed in k^n
 so each cts.
 So \mathcal{L}^{-1} is cts, Homeomorphism. \square

Main Thm of this discussion:

Every locally cpt TVS is finite dim.

Pf Let U be a nhd of 0 w/ cpt closure
 \Rightarrow bdd, since $\subseteq U$ & \bar{U} cpt is bdd
 (all cpt subsets of a TVS are bdd).

Also, we showed before

p. 7/8

$\{z^{-n}u\}$ form a local base for U .

$\bar{U} \subseteq \bigcup_{v \in V} (v + \frac{1}{2}u)$ open cover

$\Rightarrow \exists v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ s.t.

$\bar{U} \subseteq (v_1 + \frac{1}{2}u) \cup \dots \cup (v_n + \frac{1}{2}u)$,

Let $W = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, a finite dim closed subspace of V .

$U \subseteq W + \frac{1}{2}u$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}u \subseteq \frac{1}{2}W + \frac{1}{4}u = W + \frac{1}{4}u$
 $\rightarrow u \subseteq W + \frac{1}{4}u$

etc... $u \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (W + z^{-n}u)$

\uparrow local base.

We claim $\bar{W} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (W + z^{-n}u)$

This implies $U \subseteq \bar{W} = W$ (W is closed)

We know $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} kU = V$

so $V = W$, modulo the claim.

Anyhow, let $x \in \bar{W}$. Then

$$(x+S) \cap W \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for any } S = Z^{-n}U.$$

That proves the claim. \square

Corollary If V is a locally bounded TVS with the ~~Hemic-Borel Property~~, then V is finite dimensional.

closed + bdd \Rightarrow cpt

Pf

Let U be a bdd nhd of the origin. Let S be any open nhd \mathcal{S} contains the closure of another nhd U' .

$$\bar{U}' \subseteq S$$

$$U \text{ bdd} \Rightarrow U \subseteq tU' \text{ for all } t > 0$$

$$\bar{U} \subseteq \overline{tU'} = t\bar{U}'$$

$$\subseteq tS,$$

$$\text{Hence } U \text{ bdd} \Rightarrow \bar{U} \text{ bdd.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{U} \text{ cpt (Hemic-Borel)}$$

$$\Rightarrow V \text{ locally cpt}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{finite dim.} \quad \square$$