

# Lecture Notes 12

11/11

## Subspaces & Quotients.

Let  $V$  be a vector space, for now finite dimensional,

Let  $V' = \text{dual of } V$

$W = \text{subspace of } V$

$W^\perp = \text{annihilator of } W \text{ in } V'$

Then  $W'$  is canonically isomorphic to  $V'/W^\perp$ .

This is because linear functionals on  $W$  must extend to linear functionals on  $V$ .

2 such fns on  $V$  agree on  $W \Leftrightarrow$

their difference is zero on  $W$

$\Leftrightarrow$  their difference lies in  $W^\perp$

Likewise:  $V/W$  is a vector space & its dual is  $\cong$  canonically to  $W'$ .

So: we see the notion of subspace is  
Dual to the notion of quotient.

We will extend this result to some TVS,  
but first we need to develop some  
background, including:

- quotient TVS's

- extending linear functionals.

Now we will go back to some material  
earlier in Rudin that we skipped over.  
(§1, 40) P.2/11

Consider projection map  $\pi: V \rightarrow V/W$

$\pi$  quotient space

$$\pi(x) = x + W \text{ equiv class,}$$

$\pi$  is a linear map between the vector spaces  $V$  &  $V/W$ , w/ kernel  $W$ .

We now assume  $V$  is a TVS. If  $\pi$  is cts,  $W$  is closed. Thus we also assume  $W$  is a closed subspace. We next define a topology on  $V/W$  & prove  $\pi$  is closed.

Quotient topology open sets  $U$  in  $V/W$  are those for which  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $V$ .

(weakest topology which makes  $\pi$  cts)

Proposition 1)  $V/W$  is a TVS

2)  $\pi$  is cts, open, linear

3) If  $B$  is a local base for  $V$ 's topology,

$\pi(B)$  is a local base for  $V/W$ 's topology

4) If  $V$  locally convex, so is  $V/W$

locally pcc

metrizable

normable

Frechet

Banach

Proof 1)

First we show this is a topology  
 If  $U_1$  &  $U_2$  are open ( $\Leftrightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_1), \pi^{-1}(U_2)$  open)  
 then  $\pi^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2) = \pi^{-1}(U_1) \cap \pi^{-1}(U_2)$  is open  
 $\Leftrightarrow U_1 \cap U_2$  is open.

If  $U_\alpha$  are open, similarly  
 $\pi^{-1}(\bigcup U_\alpha) = \bigcup \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  is open.

So {open sets} are closed under finite intersections & arbitrary unions.

Obviously  $\emptyset, V/W$  are open, as  
 $\pi^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$   
 $\pi^{-1}(V/W) = V$ .

So this is a topology.

As a defour before showing  $V/W$  is a TVS,  
 we note that if  $S \subset V$  is open  
 $\pi^{-1}(\pi(S)) = S + W = \bigcup_{w \in W} (w + S)$  is open in  $V$ ,

thus  $\pi(S)$  is open:  $\pi$  is an open mapping

Now, back to TVS axioms.

- If  $U$  is a nhd of  $0$  in  $V/W$   
 $\pi^{-1}(U)$  —  $V$

$\exists$  open nhd  $S$  of  $0$  in  $V$  such that  $S + S \subset \pi^{-1}(U)$

$$\text{So } \pi(s) + \pi(t) \subseteq \pi(\pi^{-1}(U)) = U$$

p 4/11

$\pi(s)$  open since  $\pi$  is an open map  
 $\Rightarrow$  addition is continuous.

[recall: scalar mult acts it  $\forall x \in V, \alpha \in k$ ,  $U$  nhd of  $x$ ,  
 $\exists r > 0$  & open nhd  $S$  of  $x$  s.t.,  
 $\beta \in S$  for all  $(\beta - \alpha) < r$

- If  $U$  is an open nhd of  $0$   
 in  $V/W$

$$x \in V/W \\ \alpha \in k,$$

then...

$$S := \pi^{-1}(U) \text{ open in } V$$

$$\text{pick } v \in \pi^{-1}(x) \in S$$

scalar mult in  $V$  is cts, so  $\exists r > 0$  & open nhd  $T$  of  $v$  s.t.  
 for all  $|\beta - \alpha| < r$

$$\beta T \subseteq \alpha T + S$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta \pi(T) \subseteq \alpha \pi(T) + \pi(S)$$

$$\beta \pi(T) \subseteq \alpha x + U$$

$\pi(T)$  open since

$\pi$  is an open map

so  $\exists r > 0$  & open nhd  $\pi(T)$  of  $x$  s.t.,

for all  $|\beta - \alpha| < r$

$$\beta \pi(T) \subseteq \alpha x + U.$$

Thus scalar mult is cts.

Finally, points are closed since they are images of points, &  $\pi$  is an open map.

This proves 1) & 2).

3): Let  $B$  be a local base, &  $U$  an open subset of  $V/W$ .

Then some  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is contained in  $\pi^{-1}(U)$

$$\pi(B) \in \pi(\pi^{-1}(U)) = U$$

P.S. 1

remember this equality

is automatic for  
onto maps

Thus  $\pi(B)$  is

a local base

4) Laundry list:

linear maps preserve convexity

so "local convexity" part is OK. ✓

$\pi$  cts  $\Rightarrow$  bdd  $\Rightarrow$  bdd sets are mapped to  
bdd sets

so "locally bdd" part is OK. ✓

Metrizability  $\Rightarrow$  countable local base.

w/ invariant

metric

so "<sup>in</sup>" metrizable part is OK. ✓

Normal  $\Leftrightarrow$   $0 \in a$  convex bdd whl

so inherited by linear map ✓

Now: Frechet (locally convex complete w/ invariant metric)

How's how to make an invariant metric

on  $V/W$ :

$$p(\pi(x), \pi(y)) := \inf \{ d(x-y, z) \mid z \in W \}$$

Check: obviously well defined & invariant.

& a metric.

Open Ball in  $p$  is image of open ball in  $V$ ,  
so  $p$  is a compatible metric.

In a normed space, this is the quotient norm

$$\| \pi(x) \| = \inf \{ \| x - z \| \mid z \in W \}.$$

P.S.

So, to wrap up, we need to show

$\mathcal{F}$  is complete if and only if result for norm is a special case.

Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $V/W$  under  $\|\cdot\|$ . Then, passing to a subsequence,

$$g(U_{n_1}, U_{n_2}) < 2^{-n}$$

By def'n of  $f$  as mf,  $\exists x_n \in V$  s.t,

$$J(x_n, x_{n+1}) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{-n}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n \rightarrow x \in V.$$

$$\pi \text{cts} \Rightarrow \pi(x_n) = c_n \rightarrow \pi(x) =: u,$$

But this means original sequence  $\rightarrow u!$

*[Handwritten signature]*

$\therefore$  ~~SP~~ V/W is complete under  $\lvert$ .



# Applications

Prop Let  $V = \text{TVS}$   $\mathcal{N}_{\text{closed}}$

$N, F$  Subspaces.  $\dim F < \infty$ ,  
This  $1/F$  is

$\lim F < \infty$ ,

7. 1/15

The N+F is closed.

Stuck proof  $\pi: V \rightarrow V/N$  is cts  
in quotient topology

p. 77

$\pi(F) \subseteq V/N$  is finite dimensional  
Hence closed.

Inverse image  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(F)) = N+F$   
is closed since  
 $\pi$  is a cts map.  $\square$ .

Example Let  $U$  = vector space  
 $p$  = seminorm

$N = \{v \mid p(v)=0\} \subseteq U$ , subspace

$\pi: V \rightarrow V/N$  quotient map.

Quotient seminorm  $\tilde{p}(\pi(x)) = p(x)$   
is actually a norm.

Ex.  $L^r =$  all m.s.b. fns  
on  $[0,1]$  s.t.  $\|f\|_r < \infty$

$p = \| \cdot \|_r$   
not a norm.

But  $\tilde{p} = \| \cdot \|$  on  $\tilde{L}^r = L^r / \{\text{zero fns}\}$   
is  
a Banach space.

Now back to Chapter 4,

P.8/1

Thm Let  $V$  = Banach Space

$M \subseteq V$  closed subspace.

Then Hahn-Banach extends any  $\lambda \in M^*$   
to a fhl.  $\tilde{\lambda}$  on  $V^*$ .

The map

$$\sigma : \lambda \mapsto \tilde{\lambda} + M^\perp$$

is an isometric isomorphism of  $M$  onto  $V^*/M^\perp$

PF

$\sigma$  well defined, since the difference  
of 2 exths is trivial on  $M$ , hence  
on  $M^\perp$

Obviously  $\sigma$  is linear.  
Let  $\lambda \in V^*$ , &  $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda|_M$ . Then  $\sigma(\lambda) = \tilde{\lambda} + M^\perp$   
so  $\sigma$  is onto.

Now we prove an estimate.

Given  $\lambda \in M^*$  &  $\tilde{\lambda}$  extending it

$$\|\lambda\| \leq \|\tilde{\lambda}\|$$

since each is a

sup of things

but the RHS over more  $V$ .

Quotient norm  $\|\tilde{\lambda} + M^\perp\| = \inf_{\|\tilde{\lambda}'\|} \|\tilde{\lambda}'\|$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}'$  an exth of  $\lambda$ ,  
 $\|\tilde{\lambda}'\|$ .

Feb/11

Thus

$$\|\gamma\| \leq \|\sigma\gamma\| \leq \|\gamma\|$$

for any extn  $\sigma$ .Old Hahn-Banach:  $\exists$  an extn  $\sigma$  of  $\gamma$  w/ same norm.

$$\text{so } \|\sigma\gamma\| = \|\gamma\|,$$

so therefore is 1-1 &amp; isometric.

Another Similar Thm let  $V$  = Banach Space  
 $M$  = closed Subspace,

 $\pi: V \rightarrow V/M$  quotient map.

$$W = V/M.$$

Given  $\gamma \in W^*$ , define  $\tau: \gamma \mapsto \frac{1}{\gamma} \pi$   
 $: W^* \rightarrow V^*$

then  $\tau$  is an isometric embedding  
of  $W^*$  onto  $M^\perp$

Pf If  $v \in V$

$$\gamma \in W^*$$

$$\pi v \in W$$

$\therefore v \mapsto (\frac{1}{\gamma} \pi)(v)$  is a linear map

vanishing on  $M$ .

$$\Rightarrow \tau v \in M^\perp.$$

$\tau$  obviously linear

Now we prove it is onto,

Let  $m \in M^\perp$ ,  $N = \ker m \supseteq M$ ,

$\exists$  linear functional  $\lambda$  on  $W$  such that  $\lambda \circ \pi = u$  (factors through kernel), we don't immediately know  $\lambda|_W$  (Bots) though.

Here  $\lambda = \pi(u)$  (by def'n of quotient) closed in  $W$ ,

since  $U = \ker u + \mu$  is cts, Old thm: for nontrivial linear  $\lambda$ , closed  $\lambda$  is cts, so  $\lambda$  is cts,  $\in W^*$ .  
 $\pi \lambda = u$ , so  $\pi$  is onto ✓

Now we prove  $\|\pi\| = \|u\|$ , which is the isometry statement (Ragam implies  $\pi$  is one-to-one).

Let  $w \in W$ ,

let  $w \in W$  w/  $\|w\| = 1$ , &  $r > 1$ ,

By def'n of  $W = V/M$ 's quotient norm as sum  
 $\exists x \in V$  s.t.

$$\pi x = w$$

$$\|x\| < r$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle w, \lambda \rangle| &= |\lambda w| = |\lambda \pi x| \\ &= |\pi(\lambda(x))| \\ &\leq \|\pi\| \cdot \|\lambda\| \cdot \|x\| \\ &\leq r \|\pi\| \end{aligned}$$

True for all

$$\|w\| = 1 \Rightarrow \|\lambda\| \leq r \|\pi\|, \text{ for all } r > 1$$

$$\therefore \|\lambda\| \leq \|\pi\|.$$

Also,  $\|\pi(v)\| \leq \|v\|$  for all  $v \in V$   
 (def'n of inf.)

8/11/11

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \|(\pi)_1(v)\| &= \|\pi(v)\| \leq \|M\| \cdot \|v\| \\ &\leq \|M\| \|v\| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v \in V$ .

Thus

$$\|z_M\| \leq \|M\|$$

$$\& \|M\| = \|z_M\|. \quad \square.$$

Remarks We have shown what we  
 had set out to, namely that

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{if } V = \text{Banach space} \\ W \subseteq V \text{ closed subspace} \\ W^+ \text{ canonically isometric to } V^*/W^+ \end{array} \right)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \left( (V/W)^* \text{ canonically isometric to } W^\perp \right)$$

So quotient & <sup>subspace</sup> are dual notions,