

# Math 170S

## Lecture Notes Section 8.5 <sup>\*†</sup>

### Tests about median

Instructor: Swee Hong Chan

---

**NOTE:** Materials that appear in the textbook but do not appear in the lecture notes might still be tested. Please send me an email if you find typos.

---

\*Version date: Sunday 22<sup>nd</sup> November, 2020, 14:53.

†This notes is based on Hanbaek Lyu's and Liza Rebrova's notes from the previous quarter, and I would like to thank them for their generosity. "*Nanos gigantum humeris insidentes* (I am but a dwarf standing on the shoulders of giants)".

# 1 Example: median, one variable

The friendly instructor's sister has been very worried that her dog is gaining weight from its couch-potato lifestyle. The following is  $n = 10$  samples values for the weight  $X$  of her dog (ordered from smallest to largest):

2.0 2.6 2.8 3.9 4.3 5.0 5.0 5.8 6.1 6.4.

Before this change of lifestyle, the **median** of the dog's weight is 3.7.

Assume that  $X$  is a **continuous symmetric** RV.

Can we conclude that the dog has been gaining weight with significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ?

## 2 Setting: median, one variable

**Object:**  $X$  is an **continuous symmetric** random variables with **unknown median**  $m$ .

**Hypotheses:**

- **Null Hypothesis**  $H_0$ : The median  $m$  is equal to  $m_0$ .
- **Alternative Hypothesis**  $H_1$ : One of these three forms:
  - (a)  $m$  is **strictly greater than**  $m_0$ ;
  - (b)  $m$  is **strictly smaller than**  $m_0$ ;
  - (c)  $m$  is **not equal to**  $m_0$ .

**Input:** Random samples  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  for  $X$  and significance level  $\alpha$ .

## Methodology:

- Compute the **Wilcoxon's signed rank statistic**  $W$ ;
- Compute the critical region that depends on  $\alpha$ ; and
- Compute the  $p$ -value that depends on  $W$ .

## Output:

- Reject the null hypothesis if  $W$  is contained in the critical region. Equivalently, reject the null hypothesis if the  $p$ -value is smaller than  $\alpha$ .
- Do not reject the null hypothesis (i.e., test is inconclusive) otherwise.

### 3 Answer: median, one variable

We have  $m_0 = 3.7$ . The Wilcoxon signed rank statistics is computed as follows:

1. Compute the difference  $x_i - m_0$ :

$x_i :$	2.0	2.6	2.8	3.9	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.8	6.1	6.4
$x_i - m_0 :$	-1.7	-1.1	-0.9	0.2	0.6	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.4	2.7.

2. Take the absolute value  $|x_i - m_0|$ :

$x_i :$	2.0	2.6	2.8	3.9	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.8	6.1	6.4
$x_i - m_0 :$	-1.7	-1.1	-0.9	0.2	0.6	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.4	2.7
$ x_i - m_0  :$	1.7	1.1	0.9	0.2	0.6	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.4	2.7.

3. Rank the samples from smallest to largest based on the value of  $|x_i - m_0|$ :

$x_i :$	2.0	2.6	2.8	3.9	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.8	6.1	6.4
$x_i - m_0 :$	-1.7	-1.1	-0.9	0.2	0.6	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.4	2.7
$ x_i - m_0  :$	1.7	1.1	0.9	0.2	0.6	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.4	2.7
Ranks:	7	4	3	1	2	5.5	5.5	8	9	10.

4. Put plus to the ranks if  $x_i - m_0$  is positive, and put minus to the ranks if  $x_i - m_0$  is negative:

$x_i :$	2.0	2.6	2.8	3.9	4.3	5.0	5.0	5.8	6.1	6.4
$x_i - m_0 :$	-1.7	-1.1	-0.9	0.2	0.6	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.4	2.7
$ x_i - m_0  :$	1.7	1.1	0.9	0.2	0.6	1.3	1.3	2.1	2.4	2.7
Signed ranks:	-7	-4	-3	1	2	5.5	5.5	8	9	10.

5. Take the sum of the signed ranks of the samples.

This is the **Wilcoxon signed rank statistic**:

$$W = (-7) + (-4) + (-3) + (1) + (2) + (5.5) + (5.5) + (8) + (9) + (10) = 27.$$

On the other hand,  $W$  is known to have expectation and variance

$$E[W] = 0; \quad \text{Var}[W] = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

In our case, this is

$$E[W] = 0; \quad \text{Var}[W] = \frac{(10)(11)(21)}{6} = 385.$$

We now approximate  $W$  by normal random variable,

$$W \sim N(0, 385).$$

We now compute the  $p$ -value of this test. The alternative hypothesis is that the dog is **gaining weight**. So

$p$ -value = probability that  $W$  is **greater than or equal to** 27.

Since  $W \sim N(0, 385)$  and the sample value for  $W$  is 27,

$$\begin{aligned} p\text{-value} &= P[W \geq 27] = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{27 - E[W]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[W]}}\right) \\ &= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{27 - 0}{\sqrt{385}}\right) = 1 - \Phi(1.38) \\ &= 1 - (0.9162) = 0.0838. \end{aligned}$$

This  $p$ -value is not smaller than  $\alpha = 0.05$ , so this test is inconclusive.



# 4 Wilcoxon's signed rank statistic

**Definition 1.** Wilcoxon's signed rank statistic is

$$W := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{sign}(x_i - m_0) \text{Rank}(|x_i - m_0|),$$

where

- $\text{sign}(x_i - m_0)$  is equal to  $+1$  if  $x_i - m_0$  is nonnegative, and is equal to  $-1$  if  $x_i - m_0$  is negative.
- $\text{Rank}(|x_i - m_0|)$  is the rank of  $|x_i - m_0|$  among the  $n$  samples, with  $1$  being the smallest rank and  $n$  being the largest rank. Ties receive a rank equal to the average of the ranks they span.

## 5 Theorem: median, one variable

**Theorem 2.** (a) For the case  $m > m_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{critical region} &= \left[ z_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}}, \infty \right), \\ p\text{-value} &= 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{W}{\sqrt{n(n+1)(2n+1)/6}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(b) For the case  $m < m_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{critical region} &= \left( -\infty, -z_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}} \right], \\ p\text{-value} &= \Phi \left( \frac{W}{\sqrt{n(n+1)(2n+1)/6}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(c) For the case  $m \neq m_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{critical region} &= \left( -\infty, -z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}} \right] \cup \\
 &\quad \left[ z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}}, \infty \right), \\
 \text{p-value} &= 2 \left[ 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{|W|}{\sqrt{n(n+1)(2n+1)/6}} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

## 6 Setting: median, two variables

**Object:** Here  $X$  and  $Y$  are **independent continuous symmetric** random variables for which the cdf  $F_X$  and  $F_Y$  satisfies  $F_X(x) = F_Y(x + c)$ .

**Hypotheses:**

- **Null Hypothesis  $H_0$ :** The median  $m_X$  for  $X$  is equal to the median  $m_Y$  for  $Y$ .
- **Alternative Hypothesis  $H_1$ :** The alternative hypothesis can take one of these three forms:
  - (a)  $m_X$  is **strictly greater than**  $m_Y$ ;
  - (b)  $m_X$  is **strictly smaller than**  $m_Y$ ;
  - (c)  $m_X$  is **not equal to**  $m_Y$ .

**Input:** Random samples  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  for  $X$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  for  $Y$  and significance level  $\alpha$ . Note that  $n$  can be different from  $m$ .

**Methodology:**

- Compute the **Wilcoxon's rank sum statistic**  $W'$ ;
- Compute the critical region that depends on  $\alpha$ ; or
- Compute the  $p$ -value that depends on  $W'$ .

**Output:**

- Reject the null hypothesis if  $W'$  is contained in the critical region. Equivalently, reject the null hypothesis if the  $p$ -value is smaller than  $\alpha$ .
- Do not reject the null hypothesis (i.e., test is inconclusive) otherwise.

# 7 Wilcoxon's rank sum statistic

**Definition 3.** Wilcoxon's rank sum statistic is

$$W' := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Rank}(x_i),$$

where

- $\text{Rank}(x_i) \in \{1, \dots, n + m\}$  is the rank of  $x_i$  among the  $n + m$  joint samples of  $X$  and  $Y$ , with 1 being the smallest rank and  $n + m$  being the largest rank. Ties receive a rank equal to the average of the ranks they span.

# 8 Theorem: median, two variables

**Theorem 4.** (a) For the case  $m_X > m_Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{critical region} &= \left[ \frac{n(n+m+1)}{2} + z_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}}, \infty \right), \\ p\text{-value} &= 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{W' - \frac{n(n+m+1)}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(b) For the case  $m_X < m_Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{critical region} &= \left( -\infty, \frac{n(n+m+1)}{2} - z_\alpha \sqrt{\frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}} \right], \\ p\text{-value} &= \Phi \left( \frac{W' - \frac{n(n+m+1)}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(c) For the case  $m_X \neq m_Y$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{critical region} &= \left( -\infty, \frac{n(n+m+1)}{2} - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}} \right] \cup \\
 &\quad \left[ \frac{n(n+m+1)}{2} + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}}, \infty \right), \\
 p\text{-value} &= 2 \left[ 1 - \Phi \left( \frac{\left| W' - \frac{n(n+m+1)}{2} \right|}{\sqrt{\frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}}} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$



## 9 Example: median, two variables

The weights of the contents of  $n = 8$  and  $m = 8$  tins of cinnamons packaged by Friendly Instructor Company and Evil Tyrant Company, respectively, is as follows:

$x_i :$	115.1	117.1	117.4	119.5	121.3	122.1	124.5	127.8
$y_i :$	117.2	122.1	123.5	125.3	125.6	126.5	127.9	129.8.

Can we reject the hypothesis that the weight of two (random) tins of cinnamons have the same median with significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ?

# 10 Answer: median, two variables

Let's compute the joint rank of the samples:

$x_i$ :	115.1	117.1	117.4	119.5	121.3	122.1	124.5	127.8
Ranks :	1	2	4	5	6	7.5	10	14
$y_i$ :	117.2	122.1	123.5	125.3	125.6	126.5	127.9	129.8
Ranks :	3	7.5	9	11	12	13	15	16.

Therefore  $W'$  is equal to

$$W' = 1 + 2 + 4 + 5 + 6 + 7.5 + 10 + 14 = 49.5.$$

This is case (c), so we have

$$z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{nm(n+m+1)}{12}} = (1.960) \sqrt{\frac{(8)(8)(8+8+1)}{12}} = 18.663.$$

So the critical region is

$$\left( -\infty, 49.337 \right] \cup \left[ 86.663, \infty \right).$$

Since 49.5 is not contained in the critical region, the test is inconclusive.