A few preliminary remarks.

1. Follow the general instructions for homework given in:

http://www.math.rutgers.edu/ saks/homework.html

2. Please be on the look out for errors. If something seems not to make sense, check with me before investing a lot of time on the problem.

## Problems to be handed in.

- A combinatorial box is the Cartesian product of finite nonempty sets B = B<sub>1</sub>×···×B<sub>n</sub>. For sets C<sub>1</sub>,..., C<sub>n</sub> with C<sub>i</sub> ⊆ B<sub>i</sub> we say that C = C<sub>1</sub>×···×C<sub>n</sub> is a subbox of B; C is a proper subbox of B if C<sub>i</sub> ≠ B<sub>i</sub> for all i. A subbox partition of B is a partition of B into subboxes; the partition is proper if each subbox in the partition is proper. The main purpose of this problem is prove the following Theorem: If B<sub>1</sub>,..., B<sub>n</sub> all have size at least 2, then any proper subbox partition of B = B<sub>1</sub>×···×B<sub>n</sub> uses at leasts 2<sup>n</sup> sets. Warm up problems (not to be handed in) (i) Prove that B has a proper subbox partition into 2<sup>n</sup> boxes. (ii) Prove the theorem in the case that all B<sub>i</sub> have size exactly 2.
  - (a) Let S be a finite set and let T be a proper nonempty subset of S. Suppose R is selected uniformly at random from among all subsets of S of odd size. Find, with proof, the probability that  $|T \cap R|$  is odd?
  - (b) Let  $B = B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n$  be a box with all  $|B_i| \ge 2$  and let  $C = C_1 \times \cdots \times C_n$  be a proper subbox. Suppose  $R_1, \ldots, R_n$  are selected independently where  $R_i$  is selected uniformly at random from among all odd sized subsets of  $B_i$ . Find, with proof, the probability that  $|(R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n) \cap C|$  is odd.
  - (c) Prove the theorem.
  - (d) Now we consider a generalization of the theorem: For a box B and subbox C (not necessarily proper), define s(C, B) to be the number of i such that  $C_i \neq B_i$ . Prove that for any subbox partition C of B,  $\sum_{C \in C} 2^{-s(C,B)} \geq 1$ .
  - (e) Now show that the result of the previous part is best possible: Let  $s_1, \ldots, s_t$  be any sequence of positive integers satisfying  $\sum 2^{-s_i} = 1$ . Prove that there are sets  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$  and a subbox decomposition  $C^1, \ldots, C^t$  of  $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n$  such that that  $s(C^i, B) = s_i$  for  $i \in [t]$ . (Hint provided on last page.)
- 2. (20 points) If w is a weight function on X (mapping X to the nonnegative reals), we extend w to subsets of X by defining  $w(Y) = \sum_{y \in Y} w(y)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hypergraph on X. let  $w_{\min}(\mathcal{H})$  be the minimum weight of any edge of  $\mathcal{H}$ . (This is defined to be infinite for the hypergraph having no edges). We say that w is uniquely minimized over  $\mathcal{H}$  if there is exactly one edge of weight  $w_{\min}(\mathcal{H})$ . Here is a very nice (and somewhat surprising) fact.

**Theorem** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hypergraph on X with |X| = n. Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all weight functions from X to [2n]. If w is chosen uniformly at random from  $\Omega$ , then with probability at least 1/2, w is uniquely minimized over  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The first two parts of this problem are devoted to the proof of this theorem. The remaining three parts (which can be done independently of the first two parts) apply it to develop a neat randomized algorithm for testing whether a bipartite graph has a perfect matching.

(a) For a vertex x of  $\mathcal{H}$  the star  $\mathcal{H}$  over  $x, \mathcal{H}[x]$  is the partial hypergraph with edges set  $\{E \in \mathcal{H} : x \in E\}$ . The link of  $\mathcal{H}$  over  $x, \mathcal{H}\langle x \rangle$ , is the hypergraph on X - xwith edge set  $\{E - x : E \in \mathcal{H}[x]\}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{X-x}$  is the hypergraph on X - x whose edge set is  $\{E \in \mathcal{H} : x \notin E\}$ .

Prove that for a hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  and a vertex weighting w the following are equivalent:

- i. w is uniquely minimized over  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- ii. For every vertex x,  $w_{\min}(\mathcal{H}_{X-x}) - w_{\min}(\mathcal{H}\langle x \rangle) \neq w(x).$
- (b) Prove the theorem.
- (c) Let  $G = \{X, Y; E\}$  be a bipartite graph with |X| = |Y| = k. Let  $\mathcal{M}(G)$  be the set of all real valued matrices M indexed by  $X \times Y$  that satisfy M(x, y) = 0 if  $(x, y) \notin E$ . Prove that G has a perfect matching if and only if there is a matrix  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $det(M) \neq 0$ .
- (d) Let G be as in the previous part. Construct a matrix  $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$  randomly as follows: for each  $(x, y) \in E$ , select  $j(x, y) \in [2k^2]$  at random and set  $M(x, y) = 2^{j(x,y)}$ . Prove that if G has a perfect matching then the probability that det(M) = 0 is less than 1/2.
- (e) Use the results of the previous problems to design a *probabilistic test* for whether a bipartite graph has a matching. Such a test should have the following property: given a bipartite graph G and a number ε > 0 answers "Yes" or "No" such that:
  (1) if G has a perfect matching then the test yields "Yes" with probability at least 1 ε and (2) if G has no perfect matching then the test always says "No".
- 3. Recall that for hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\kappa(\mathcal{H})$  is the minimum size of a set of edges covering all of the vertices, and  $\kappa^*(\mathcal{H})$  is the minimum weight of a fractional cover by edges (i.e. a nonnegative function defined on edges such that for each vertex, the sum of the weights of edges containing the vertex is at least 1). Prove that there is a constant c such that for every hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\kappa(\mathcal{H})/\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}) \leq c \log |V(\mathcal{H})|$

(Hint provided on last page.)

4. For p be a prime and let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the integers modulo p. An *interval* in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a set of the form  $\{a+1,\ldots,a+r\}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $r \leq p$  and addition is taken mod p. If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  we write aX + b for the set  $\{(ax + b) \mod p : x \in X\}$ . The purpose of this problem is to prove the Theorem: For any subset X of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  there is an  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that aX has nonempty intersection with every interval of size at least  $2p/\sqrt{|X|}$ .

- (a) Let s, t be distinct elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Suppose A, B are chosen independently and uniformly from  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Show that As + B and At + B are independent and uniformly distributed over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .
- (b) Let S, T be arbitrary subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , Suppose tha A, B are chosen uniformly at random from  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Prove that  $\Pr[(AS + B) \cap T = \emptyset] \leq p/|S||T|$ .
- (c) Prove the theorem.

(Hints are provided on the last page.)

5. (20 points) An bipartite graph is said to have order (s, t) if one side has s vertices and the other side has t vertices.  $K_{s,t}$  denotes the graph of order (s, t) such that every pair of vertices in different parts are joined by an edge. Here we consider: given integers  $n \ge b \ge 1$ , what is the maximum  $m_b(n)$  number of edges that a bipartite graph of order (n, n) can have and not contain  $K_{b,b}$  as a subgraph. (Note that  $m_1(n) = 0$ , but the value of  $m_2(n)$  is far from clear.)

Assume  $b \ge 2$ . In this problem you'll prove a lower bound on  $m_b(n)$  using the basic probabilistic method, and then improve the bound using the "alteration" method.

- (a) Consider a random (n, n) bipartite graph where each edge is selected independently with probability p. Determine the expected number of  $K_{b,b}$  subgraphs.
- (b) Show that for  $p = n^{-2/b}$  the probability that the graph contains a  $K_{b,b}$  subgraph is less than 1/2.
- (c) Prove that for each b, and for n sufficiently large,  $m_b(n) \ge n^{2-2/b}/2$ .
- (d) Show that adjusting the probability p in the above argument can not improve the lower bound by more than a constant factor (depending on b but independent of n).
- (e) Now let us improve the lower bound by the "alteration" method. Prove that for  $p = n^{-2/(b+1)}$  the probability that there are more than  $n^2p/2$   $K_{b,b}$ -subgraphs is less than 1/2.
- (f) Prove that for some constant C > 0 (independent of n and b), that  $m_b(n) \ge Cn^{2-2/(b+1)}$ .
- (g) Problem withdrawn
- (h) For infinitely values of n, provide an *explicit construction* of an (n, n) bipartite graph  $F_n$  with no  $K_{2,2}$  having  $\Omega(n^{3/2})$  edges  $m_2(n) = \Omega(n^{3/2})$ . (Hint: Make use of the finite projective plane from Assignment 3, problem 9.)
- 6. Recall Ramsey's theorem for graphs says that for every k there is an n such that every graph on at least n vertices has a clique or independent set of size k. One of the way's this theorem is generalized is to consider s-uniform hypergraphs (for some s) instead of graphs. For brevity these are sometimes called s-graphs. An independent set in an s-graph  $\mathcal{H}$  is a subset W of vertices that contains no edges and a clique is a subset of W that contains all of  $\binom{W}{s}$ . The graph Ramsey theorem generalizes: For every s, k there is an n such that every s-uniform hypergraph on n vertices contains an independent set or clique of size k.  $R_s(k)$  is defined to be the smallest such n. The purpose of this problem is to derive some lower bounds on  $R_s(s+1)$ .

- (a) Suppose one uses the basic probabilistic method to obtain a lower bound on  $R_s(s+1)$ . Show that for large s one obtains from this a lower bound of the form:  $R_s(s+1) \ge c(s)s$  where 1 < c(s) < 2. (The bound  $\binom{k}{r} \le (ek/r)^r$  is not good enough for this problem; it may be helpful to use the bound  $\binom{k}{r} \le 2^{h(r/k)k}$  where  $h(x) = x \log_2(1/x) + (1-x) \log_2(1/(1-x))$  is the binary entropy function.)
- (b) Now try the alteration method. Compare the bound obtained to the previous bound, as s gets large.
- (c) Now try the Lovasz local lemma. Again compare the bound obtained to the previous bounds.

## Some hints

- **Problem 1** For the last part, proceed by induction on t. It will be helpful to prove that given  $s_1, \ldots, s_t$  according to the hypothesis, with  $t \ge 2$ , there is a partition of [t] into sets I, J such that  $\sum_{i \in I} 2^{-s_i} = 1/2$  and  $\sum_{i \in J} 2^{-s_i} = 1/2$ .
- **Problem 3** Given a fractional cover, design an appropriate random process that selects a collection of edges of  $\mathcal{H}$  and show that with positive probability it is a cover and has size at most  $O(\log |V| \kappa^*(\mathcal{H}))$ .
- **Problem 4** For the second part, try using Chebysev's inequality. For the third part, first show that if  $\Pi$  is a fixed partition of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  into intervals of size at most j and Y is a set that has nonempty intersection with each part of  $\Pi$ , then Y meets every interval of size at least 2j.