

## Intro to Mathematical Reasoning (Math 300)

Supplement 5. Some sample proofs. <sup>1</sup>

This supplement contains some examples of carefully written proofs. In the middle of some of the proofs I include some comments, written as “[COMMENT: ...]”. The comments are not part of the proof, but provide some explanation for the thinking in the proof.

**Example.** Prove: For any three sets  $A, B, C$  if  $B - C \subseteq A$  then  $B - A \subseteq C$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}$  be arbitrary sets. Assume  $\tilde{B} - \tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{A}$ . We must show  $\tilde{B} - \tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{C}$ .

Let  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{B} - \tilde{A}$  be arbitrary. We must show  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{C}$ . We do this by contradiction.

Assume for contradiction that  $\tilde{x} \notin \tilde{C}$ . We have  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{x} \notin \tilde{A}$ . Since  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{x} \notin \tilde{C}$  we have  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{B} - \tilde{C}$ . Since  $\tilde{B} - \tilde{C} \subseteq \tilde{A}$  we have  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{A}$ . But this contradicts  $\tilde{x} \notin \tilde{A}$ . Therefore we conclude that our assumption  $\tilde{x} \notin \tilde{C}$  must be wrong and so  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{C}$  as required.  $\square$

**Example.** In this problem, for each natural number  $n$ ,  $A_n$  denotes the set  $(2 - 1/n, 4 - 1/n)$  and  $B_n$  denotes the set  $[1 + 1/n, 4 - 2/n)$ . Prove  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ .

**Proof.** We must prove that for all  $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ , we have  $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ .

Let  $\tilde{x}$  be an arbitrary member of  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . We must show that  $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ . By definition of union, this means that we must show that there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\tilde{x} \in B_n$ . [COMMENT: This is our new goal.]

Since  $\tilde{x} \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ , there is a natural number we'll call  $\hat{k}$  such that  $\tilde{x} \in A_{\hat{k}}$ . By the definition of  $A_{\hat{k}}$ , this means  $2 - 1/\hat{k} < \tilde{x}$  and  $4 - 1/\hat{k} \geq \tilde{x}$ .

[COMMENT: Returning to our goal, we need to provide instructions for choosing a natural number  $\hat{n}$  so that  $\tilde{x} \in B_{\hat{n}}$ . Our instructions will depend on  $\hat{k}$ . The instructions given below may seem mysterious: why did I choose  $\hat{n}$  the way I did? The short answer is: to make things work out. To figure out the instructions for  $\hat{n}$  requires some careful thinking, including considering examples.] We divide into two cases, depending on whether  $\tilde{x} \leq 2$  or not.

**Case 1.** Assume  $\tilde{x} \leq 2$ . Since  $\tilde{x} > 2 - 1/\hat{k}$  and  $\hat{k} \geq 1$ , we have  $\tilde{x} > 1$  and so  $\tilde{x} - 1 > 0$ . Let  $\hat{n}$  be any integer larger than  $1/(\tilde{x} - 1)$ . We claim  $\tilde{x} \in B_{\hat{n}}$ . So we need (i)  $\tilde{x} \leq 4 - 2/\hat{n}$  and (ii)  $\tilde{x} \geq 1 + 1/\hat{n}$ . For (i), by the case assumption, we have  $\tilde{x} \leq 2$  and since  $\hat{n} \geq 1$  we have  $2 \leq 4 - 2/\hat{n}$  so  $\tilde{x} \leq 4 - 2/\hat{n}$ , as needed. For inequality (ii), we have  $1 + 1/\hat{n} \leq 1 + 1/(1/(\tilde{x} - 1)) = 1 + \tilde{x} - 1 = \tilde{x}$  as required to prove this case. So  $\tilde{x} \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  in this case.

**Case 2.** Assume  $\tilde{x} > 2$ . In this case we choose  $\hat{n} = 3\hat{k}$ . We claim  $\hat{n}$  is an integer and  $\tilde{x} \in B_{\hat{n}}$ . Since  $\hat{k}$  is an integer, so is  $\hat{n}$ . To show  $\tilde{x} \in B_{\hat{n}}$ , we need (i)  $\tilde{x} \leq 4 - 2/\hat{n}$  and (ii)  $\tilde{x} \geq 1 + 1/\hat{n}$ . For inequality (ii), from the case assumption we have  $\tilde{x} > 2$ , and  $2 \geq 1 + 1/\hat{n}$  since  $\hat{n} \geq 1$ , so  $\tilde{x} \geq 1 + 1/\hat{n}$ . For inequality (i), we have  $\tilde{x} \leq 4 - 1/\hat{k}$  since  $\tilde{x} \in A_{\hat{k}}$  and we have  $4 - 2/\hat{n} = 4 - \frac{2}{3\hat{k}} \geq 4 - 1/\hat{k}$  since  $\hat{k} > 0$ . Therefore  $\tilde{x} \leq 4 - 2/\hat{n}$ , as needed to finish the proof of this case. So  $\tilde{x} \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$  in this case.

Since  $\tilde{x}$  was an arbitrary member of  $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ , we conclude that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** If one considers the two unions that appear in the statement to be proved, one can see

<sup>1</sup>Version 2/15/07. Copyright ©2003,2004,2005,2007 by Michael E. Saks

that each of these unions is equal to the set  $(1, 4)$ . So an alternative (and clearer) proof would be to prove separately that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = (1, 4)$  and that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = (1, 4)$ . This is left as an exercise.

**Example.**

**Theorem.** Prove that for any two intervals  $I, J$ , if  $I - J$  is not an interval then  $J \subseteq I$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{I}$  and  $\tilde{J}$  be arbitrary intervals. Assume  $\tilde{I} - \tilde{J}$  is not an interval. We must show  $\tilde{J} \subseteq \tilde{I}$ . So let  $\tilde{x}$  be an arbitrary member of  $\tilde{J}$ . We must show  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{I}$ .

Since  $\tilde{I} - \tilde{J}$  is not an interval, by the definition of interval, there must exist real numbers that we'll call  $\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}$  that satisfy  $\hat{a} < \hat{b} < \hat{c}$  and  $\hat{a}, \hat{c} \in \tilde{I} - \tilde{J}$  but  $\hat{b} \notin \tilde{I} - \tilde{J}$ .

We claim that  $\hat{b}$  is a member of both  $\tilde{I}$  and  $\tilde{J}$ . We have  $\hat{b} \in \tilde{I}$  since  $\hat{a} < \hat{b} < \hat{c}$  and  $\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{c}$  both belong to the interval  $\tilde{I}$ . Since  $\hat{b} \notin \tilde{I} - \tilde{J}$  and  $\hat{b} \in \tilde{I}$ , it must be that  $\hat{b} \in \tilde{J}$ .

We will show that  $\hat{a} < \tilde{x} < \hat{c}$  which will allow us to conclude  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{I}$ .

We first use proof by contradiction to show that  $\tilde{x} > \hat{a}$ . Assume for contradiction that  $\tilde{x} \leq \hat{a}$ . We can't have  $\tilde{x} = \hat{a}$  since  $\hat{a} \notin \tilde{J}$  but  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{J}$  so  $\tilde{x} < \hat{a}$ . Since also  $\hat{a} < \hat{b}$  and  $\tilde{x}, \hat{b} \in \tilde{J}$  and  $\tilde{J}$  is an interval, we would have  $\hat{a} \in \tilde{J}$ , contradicting that  $\hat{b} \in \tilde{I} - \tilde{J}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{x} > \hat{a}$ .

Next we use proof by contradiction to show that  $\tilde{x} < \hat{c}$ . Assume for contradiction that  $\tilde{x} \geq \hat{c}$ . We can't have  $\tilde{x} = \hat{c}$  since  $\hat{c} \notin \tilde{J}$  but  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{J}$  so  $\tilde{x} > \hat{c}$ . Since also  $\hat{c} > \hat{b}$  and  $\tilde{x}, \hat{b} \in \tilde{J}$  and  $\tilde{J}$  is an interval, we would have  $\hat{c} \in \tilde{J}$ , contradicting that  $\hat{b} \in \tilde{I} - \tilde{J}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{x} < \hat{c}$ .

We therefore have  $\hat{a} < \tilde{x} < \hat{c}$  and  $\hat{a}, \hat{c}$  are in the interval  $\tilde{I}$ . So  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{I}$ . Since  $\tilde{x}$  was an arbitrary member of  $\tilde{J}$ , we conclude  $\tilde{J} \subseteq \tilde{I}$ .

We therefore conclude that for any two intervals  $I, J$  if  $I - J$  is not an interval then  $J \subseteq I$ .  $\square$ .

**Example.** Recall that an integer is square-free if it has no divisor larger than 1 that is a square.

**Theorem.** For all integers  $n$ ,  $n$  is not square-free if and only if there are integers  $a, b, c$  such that  $n = ab$  and  $c|a$  and  $c|b$  and  $c > 1$ .

(Before reading the proof, do some examples to see that you understand this.)

**Proof.** Let  $\tilde{n}$  be an arbitrary integer. We must show two things:

- (1) If  $\tilde{n}$  is not square-free then there are integers  $a, b, c$  such that  $\tilde{n} = ab$  and  $c|a$  and  $c|b$  and  $c > 1$ .
- (2) If there are integers  $a, b, c$  such that  $\tilde{n} = ab$  and  $c|a$  and  $c|b$  then  $\tilde{n}$  is not square-free.

**Proof of (1).** Assume  $\tilde{n}$  is not square-free. We must show there are integers  $a, b, c$  with  $\tilde{n} = ab$  and  $c|a$  and  $c|b$  and  $c > 1$ .

By definition, there is a square we'll call  $\hat{s}$  such that  $\hat{s} > 1$  and  $\hat{s}|n$ . By definition of square, there is a natural number we'll call  $\hat{c}$  such that  $\hat{c}^2 = \hat{s}$ . If  $\hat{c}$  is equal to 1 then  $\hat{s}$  is also equal to 1, which is impossible so  $\hat{c} > 1$ . Since  $\hat{s}|\tilde{n}$ , there is an integer we'll call  $\hat{t}$  such that  $\hat{s}\hat{t} = \tilde{n}$ . Let  $\hat{a} = \hat{c}$  and  $\hat{b} = \hat{c}\hat{t}$ . Then  $\tilde{n} = \hat{a}\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{c}|\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{c}|\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{c} > 1$ , as required to complete the proof of this part.

**Proof of (2).** Assume there are integers which we'll call  $\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}$  with  $\tilde{n} = \hat{a}\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{c}|\hat{a}$  and  $\hat{c}|\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{c} > 1$ . We must show that  $\tilde{n}$  is not square free. Since  $\hat{c}|\hat{a}$  there is an integer we'll call  $\hat{j}$  such that  $\hat{c}\hat{j} = \hat{a}$ . Similarly there is an integer we'll call  $\hat{k}$  such that  $\hat{c}\hat{k} = \hat{b}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{n} = \hat{a}\hat{b} = \hat{c}^2\hat{j}\hat{k}$  and so  $\hat{c}^2$  is a square that is greater than 1 and is a divisor of  $\tilde{n}$ . So  $\tilde{n}$  is not square-free, as required to prove (2).

□