

LECTURE 21 EXERCISE SOLUTIONS

Problem. 1: Give the digraphs of the following relations on the set $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

a) $=$

b) $S = \{(1, 3), (2, 1)\}$

c) \leq

d) S^{-1} , where $S = \{(1, 3), (2, 1)\}$

e) \neq

f) $S \circ S$, where $S = \{(1, 3), (2, 1)\}$

1 Point each, 6 total.

Solution. For the digraph of a relation R , if $(a, b) \in R$, then the digraph will have an arrow pointing *from* a and going *to* b . I don't know how to embed images in these things, so I will simply list the edges you should've had.

a) $=$: The relation can be listed out fully as $' = ' = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)\}$. The only elements of the relation are of the form (a, a) , because any number is only equal to itself. The digraph has three arrows, one from 1 to 1, one from 2 to 2, one from 3 to 3.

b) $S = \{(1, 3), (2, 1)\}$: Just reading off from the relation, the digraph has two arrows, one going from 1 to 3, one going from 2 to 1.

c) \leq : The elements of the relation \leq will be pairs (a, b) such that $a \leq b$. The order here is the important thing. Since $1 \leq 3$, there will be an arrow *from* 1 *to* 3. The full relation is given by the elements $(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 3)$. Don't forget that any number is less than or equal to itself.

d) S^{-1} , where $S = \{(1, 3), (2, 1)\}$: Taking the inverse of a relation just reverses the ordering, so $S^{-1} = \{(3, 1), (1, 2)\}$. This will produce a digraph identical to **b**), but with the directions of the arrows reversed.

e) \neq : Elements will be of the form (a, b) , where $a \neq b$. A number is not equal to every number except itself, so the arrows are $(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 2)$. You could either draw 6 arrows, or three bidirectional arrows, one between 1 and 2, one between 2 and

3, and one between 3 and 1.

f) $S \circ S$, where $S = \{(1, 3), (2, 1)\}$: To compose S with itself, start with the domain of S , which is 1 and 2. Applying S , those become 3 and 1, respectively. Applying S again, 3 is not in the domain of S , so it vanishes, but 1 is in the domain of S , and becomes 2. Hence, the only element of the relation $S \circ S$ is $(2, 1)$. Therefore, the digraph will have one arrow, from 2 to 1.

Common Problems. Really just small errors here - arrows pointing the wrong direction, or arrows left out, etc.

Problem. 2: Let $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$. Give an example of relations R, S, T on A for each of the following.

a) $R \circ S \neq S \circ R$

b) $(S \circ R)^{-1} \neq S^{-1} \circ R^{-1}$

c) $S \circ R = T \circ R$, but $S \neq T$

d) R and S are nonempty, but $S \circ R$ and $R \circ S$ are empty

1 Point each, 4 total.

Solution. **a)** $R \circ S \neq S \circ R$: Consider $R = \{(a, b)\}, S = \{(b, c)\}$. In that case, $S \circ R = \{(a, c)\}$, and $R \circ S = \emptyset$, since the range of S is not in the domain of R . Hence, $R \circ S \neq S \circ R$

b) $(S \circ R)^{-1} \neq S^{-1} \circ R^{-1}$: Using the above example, $S \circ R = \{(a, c)\}$, so $(S \circ R)^{-1} = \{(c, a)\}$. However, $R^{-1} = \{(b, a)\}, S^{-1} = \{(c, b)\}$. Hence, $S^{-1} \circ R^{-1} = \emptyset$, again since the domain of S^{-1} has nothing in the range of R^{-1} . Hence, $(S \circ R)^{-1} \neq S^{-1} \circ R^{-1}$.

c) $S \circ R = T \circ R$, but $S \neq T$: Take $R = \{(a, b)\}$. Let $S = \{(b, c), (c, d)\}, T = \{(b, c)\}$. Then taking $S \circ R$, we get that a is sent to b under R , then b is sent to c by S . Hence, $S \circ R = \{(a, c)\}$. Similarly, computing $T \circ R$, a is sent to b under R , then b is sent to c by T . Hence, $T \circ R = \{(a, c)\}$. I don't think anyone will argue when I say, $S \circ R = T \circ R$. And clearly, $S \neq T$.

d) R and S are nonempty, but $S \circ R$ and $R \circ S$ are empty : Consider $R = \{(a, b)\}, S = \{(c, d)\}$. Neither are empty, but composing R with S or S with R will be empty, since the ranges and domains of R and S or S and R are disjoint.

Common Problems. Again, the main problems here were really small things. I'd say the most frequent error I saw was people composing backwards. When you compute $S \circ R$, you start with something in the domain of R , map it under R , and then map it under S . So if (a, b) is in R , and (b, c) is in S , you end up with (a, c) in $S \circ R$. The important thing to remember is that you **start** in R , and **end** in S .

Problem. 3: Let R be a relation from A to B , and S be a relation from B to C .

a) Prove that $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) \subset \text{Dom}(R)$.

b) Show by example that $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) = \text{Dom}(R)$ may be false.

c) Give an example to show which of the following statements may be false: $\text{Rng}(S) \subset \text{Rng}(S \circ R)$ or $\text{Rng}(S \circ R) \subset \text{Rng}(S)$

1 Point each, 3 total.

Solution. a) Suppose that $a \in \text{Dom}(S \circ R)$. Then by the definition of domain, for some c , $(a, c) \in S \circ R$. By the definition of composition, there exists some b such that $(a, b) \in R$, $(b, c) \in S$. Since $(a, b) \in R$ for some b , we have that $a \in \text{Dom}(R)$. Therefore, $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) \subset \text{Dom}(R)$.

b) Let $R = \{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$, $S = \{(2, 3)\}$. In that case, $S \circ R = \{(1, 3)\}$. Therefore, $\text{Dom}(R) = \{1, 2\}$, $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) = \{1\}$. Clearly, $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) \neq \text{Dom}(R)$.

c) Let $R = \{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$, $S = \{(2, 3), (1, 2)\}$. Then $S \circ R = \{(1, 3)\}$. Therefore, $\text{Rng}(S) = \{2, 3\}$, and $\text{Rng}(S \circ R) = \{3\}$. Clearly, $\text{Rng}(S \circ R) \subset \text{Rng}(S)$, but it is **not** true that $\text{Rng}(S) \subset \text{Rng}(S \circ R)$.

Common Problems. For parts b), c), people did mostly all right, with the usual problems with composing backwards or incorrectly.

There was, however, a lot of variation in people's solutions to part a). The proof I've given here is sort of the tried-and-true method for proving one set is a subset of another set. A lot of responses argued something to the effect of '*An element in the domain of $S \circ R$ must be in the domain of R , while an element in the domain of R need not be in the domain of $S \circ R$* ', and that is true and well and good, but I would appreciate more of a justification of those kinds of statements.

It would be incorrect to argue in the following way. *$\text{Dom}(S \circ R)$ is a subset of A , and $\text{Dom}(R)$ is a subset of A , therefore $\text{Dom}(S \circ R) \subset \text{Dom}(R)$.* This would be equivalent to arguing, since the odd numbers are a subset of the natural numbers, and the even numbers are a subset of the natural numbers, the odd numbers are a subset of the even numbers. The key thing in this proof is to consider the structure of $S \circ R$ with respect to R .