MATH 1210-006 Applied Calculus I - Fall 2015

CGAS (10886)

INSTRUCTORS: Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano (me3qr)

Respondents: 37 / Enrollment: 43

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MATRICES ~					
1. Which parts of the course did you	Results for MATH	l-1210-006, Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano					
find difficult?	Total	Individual Answers					
Question Type: Short Answer	31	See below for Individual Results					
contributed by Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano (me3qr)							
	It was hard gettii beginning was a	ng my footing at first because I just didn't know what to expect for the class, so the little difficult, but I quickly adjusted to the class					
	Exams. They we	ere much harder than the quizzes in class.					
	Everything after	about chapter 3					
	Integrals						
	Integrals						
	Integrals						
	The questions of	n the Written Assignments and some WebAssign questions					
	Not much of it, o	nly optimization was any difficult					
		hard about the course are applying different steps of we learned into one question. real life problems sometimes can be hard.					
	tests						
	Learning the bas	sics of derivatives.					
	The latter parts, concerning Riesman sums, and integrals.						
	Some of the more advanced applications of the material.						
	implicit differenti	ation, related rates					
	integration						
	Almost every pa	rt all have some difficulties for me.					
	It was hard to gr Written assignm	asp some concepts since so much time was focused on single problems in class. ents were also difficult.					
	I found related ra	ates and implicit differentiation to be the most difficult parts of the course.					
	Integration and (Graphing functions					
	The only thing I	found difficult was logarithmic differentiation and that's because I missed the class.					
	It was all equally	difficult to me.					
	Webassign						
	webassign						
	I found difficult th	ne webassigns and the exam.					
	certain parts of i	ntegration					
	Optimization and	d other word problems					
	optimization, inte	egrals, u-substitutions					
	Some topics diff	icult to understand however the instructor was very helpful					
	It is not a very di	fficult course, but maybe the theorems and logarithms.					
	Logarithms and	exponentials (I had never really covered these materials previously), curve sketching					
	Final units taugh	at after second exam before final.					

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~		~ ANSWER MATRICES ~					
. Which parts of the course did you	Poculto for MAT	H-1210-006, Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano					
find easy?	Total	Individual Answers					
Question Type: Short Answer	34	See below for Individual Results					
ontributed by Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano (me3qr)							
	Everything befo	ore chapter 3					
	Most all. I had a	already taken the course before					
	The web assign	ns were helpful ways to check my understanding of the problems.					
	in class teaching						
	Limits, pre-alge	bra topics, derivaties					
	Really can't thir	nk of any at this point.					
	Quizzes.						
	Integration						
	Most of it.						
	derivatives, cur	ve sketching					
	Derivatives, anti-derivatives						
	Most material, as I took AP Calc in High School						
	everything else						
	Most of them.						
	The beginning	stages.					
	Most of the cou	rse					
	It was all equall	y challenging.					
	I found easy the	e lectures.					
	Webassign						
	related rates ar	nd implicit differentiation					
	Tests and quizz	res					
	The homework	assignments					
	graph sketching	g, first and second derivative applications, compounding interest					
	basic derivative	rules and integration					
	Most of the mat	terial, I took this class in high school.					
	Derivatives	-					
	homework						
	derivatives, first	t and second derivative test					
		nt before first exam.					
	quizzes						
		the concepts and how to calculate them. The instructor is really really clear in his different formulas.					
	Related Rates	and Implicit Differentiation					
	Related rates a	nd optimization, basic derivatives					

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

I found limits, derivatives, absolute maxima and minima, antiderivatives, and definite integrals to be easy.

3. How did you prepare for the exams? (for example, you could mention if you had a tutor, read Tan's book or solved the exercises on the study guides)

Question Type: Short Answer

contributed by Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano (me3qr)

Results for MATH-1210-006, Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano									
Total Individual Answers									
35	See below for Individual Results								

Practice tests and doing the homework problems

solve Web Assigns

Practice exams

Practice exams

studied, read the book, did all of the study guides, looked over what confused me on the webassignments

Practice tests

I made sure I completed all the homework assignments and understood how to do all the problems on them, and I did the past exams and checked my answers $\,$

Used study guides to prepare then took practice exams.

review study guides

I prepared by doing old exams.

I solved exercises on the practice exams

Went to the math center, studied with friends, and attended office hours.

fairly well

practice exams

practice exams

I went over web assign problems and did enough of one type of problem until i was confident in my ability to solve it. I reviewed the study guides as well

I read over the textbook chapters, my notes, and did the practice that the professor posted on collab.

Doing lots of webassign problems, Khan Academy, some study guides. I found the book utterly useless.

I would do the practice exams mostly

Look at the study guides online as well as did the webassigns.

I did the practice exams and used the study guides on collab.

Went over the old exams and looked at the study guide

Doing problem on old tests and webassign.

Take old exams

I would do LOTS of practice exams.

Study guides, practice exams, webassign questions

I completed all of the homework assignments for each section before the test and I also practiced all of the previous exams

 $\mbox{\sc Had}$ a tutor. Did practice problems. Reviewed old exams

Study guide and other practice problems

Used study guides

Page 3 of 14

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	Doing the WebAssigns, practicing with old exams and reading the textbook.
	I completed the available practice tests.
	I did the web assign assignments
	I did the Webassign assignments, went to office hours and studied with friends.
	I usually complete all of the webassign homework and do every past exams posted on collab.
4. What changes would you like to see	Results for MATH-1210-006, Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano
on this course?	Total Individual Answers
Question Type: Short Answer	30 See below for Individual Results
contributed by Echeverria Echeverria, Mariano (me3qr)	
	I don't think there are any necessary changes.
	homework that reflects teaching in class
	Set deadlines would help prevent procrastination
	more review sessions before tests
	I would like to see practice quizzes and exams be administered. The written assignments were not effective because they were very very hard and I believe it is easier to learn when you are doing the difficulty of the problem that is going to be on the exam.
	Maybe making more clear the different parts of the course.
	Not much, I thought it was fine
	Being able to work on and practice problems in class instead of solving them as a group
	Teachers that are better able to teach the material rather than just write out notes on a chalkboard.
	more problems worked out. On the study guide provide answers with in depth solutions
	none
	none
	none
	None
	None
	Larger curves on the exam, exam more similar to we assign assignments
	Stricter deadlines for homework would have helped with trying to finish them all before the exam da
	Nothing
	I shouldn't have to redo calculus just because I took the IB and didn't have the opportunity to test of calc 1.
	More graded assignments
	Required attendance and making the homework be due every rather than the end of the semester.
	Nothing.
	N/A
	More worksheets to practice problems with online solutions.
	I don't have any specific issues with the course.
	have more quizzes
	I think the class has a good structure.
	•
	Give more problems with the similar level of the exam on usual time.

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~ Hated the textbook, but I've always had problems with textbooks. The webassign program was also severely lacking. I often couldn't practice another version of the same problem, so I felt like I didn't get enough practice. It also wasn't very helpful for solving problems. If I didn't know how to do it, I had to spend time scouring the internet for help, rather than just having tips available. It also was incredibly frustrating that I couldn't use my mouse's scroll wheel when trying to use the etextbook, just terrible to navigate. I know you guys don't have any control over the program, but I feel I should voice my frustrations with it because I feel like there are definitely better programs that could be used. There is nothing I would say needs to be changed 5. I learned a lot in this course. Results for MATH-1210-006 Total Std Dev No Opinion Agree (4) Mean Strongly Disagree Strongly Question Type: Likert Disagree (1) Agree (5) (2)(3) contributed by Department of Mathematics 37 3.51 0.90 (2.70%) (5.41%) (13.51%)(18.92%)(59.46%)Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015 Total Std Dev Strongly Disagree (2) No Opinion Agree (4) Mean Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (5) 1721 3.91 1.05 140 165 828 514 (4.30%)(8.13%)(9.59%)(48.11%)(29.87%)6. The content of the course was good. Results for MATH-1210-006 Strongly Agree (5) Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Disagree (2) No Opinion Question Type: Likert Disagree (1)contributed by Department of Mathematics 37 3.95 0.47 0 0 29 3 (0.00%)(0.00%)(13.51%)(78.38% (8.11%) Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015 Agree (4) Std Dev Strongly Disagree No Opinion Strongly Total Mean Disagree (1) (2) (3)Agree (5) 54 (3.15%) 1717 3.92 0.94 105 182 952 424 (6.12%)(24.69%) (10.60%)(55.45%)7. At this point, I feel that this course Results for MATH-1210-006 has been or will be of value to me. No Opinion (3) Disagree (2) Agree (4) Std Dev Strongly Total Mean Strongly Agree (5) Disagree Question Type: Likert (1) 37 3.59 0.86 contributed by Department of Mathematics (0.00%)(16.22% (16.22% (59.46% (8.11%)Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015 No Opinion (3) Disagree (2) Agree (4) Total Std Dev Strongly Strongly Mean Disagree Agree (5) (1) 1713 466 3.73 1.15 99 207 222 719 (12.96%) (5.78%)(12.08%)(27.20%)(41.97%)8. The course was interesting. Results for MATH-1210-006 Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev Strongly Disagree No Opinion Strongly Question Type: Likert Agree (5) Disagree (2)(3) (1) contributed by Department of Mathematics 37 3.51 0.69 (0.00%)(0.00%)(10.81%)(27.03%) (62.16%)Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015 Disagree (2) Strongly Agree (5) Strongly Disagree (1) Agree (4) Total Mean Std Dev No Opinion (3) 1709 3.43 1.15 111 280 381 639 298 (6.50%)(17.44%)(16.38%)(22.29%)(37.39%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~			
O. Compared to other courses, this one	Results for	MATH-1210-	006					
has been a good one. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)
contributed by Department of Mathematics	36	3.58	0.73	0 (0.00%)	3 (8.33%)	11 (30.56%)	20 (55.56%)	2 (5.56%)
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015		_		
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongl Agree (5)
	1713	3.38	1.20	143 (8.35%)	301 (17.57%)	335 (19.56%)	627 (36.60%)	307 (17.92%
10. Please rate the course. (A =	Results for	MATH-1210-	006					
Excellent to E = Very Poor) Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	A (5)	B (4)	C (3)	D (2)	F (1)
contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	4.16	0.60	10 (27.03%)	23 (62.16%)	4 (10.81%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	A (5)	B (4)	C (3)	D (2)	F (1)
	1716	3.86	1.01	506 (29.49%)	691 (40.27%)	342 (19.93%)	131 (7.63%)	46 (2.68%)
11. The instructor showed a scholarly	Results for	MATH-1210-	006					
grasp of the course material. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongl Agree (5)
contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	4.49	0.84	1 (2.70%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (5.41%)	11 (29.73%)	23 (62.16%
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongl Agree (5)
	1717	4.32	0.99	63 (3.67%)	61 (3.55%)	99 (5.77%)	536 (31.22%)	958 (55.79%
12. The instructor was well-prepared	Results for	MATH-1210-	006					
for class. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongl Agree (5)
contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	4.59	0.55	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.70%)	13 (35.14%)	23 (62.16%
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongl Agree (5)
	1712	4.23	1.05	69 (4.03%)	96 (5.61%)	96 (5.61%)	556 (32.48%)	895 (52.28%
13. The instructor was confident in	Results for	MATH-1210-	006					
front of the class. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongl Agree (5)
contributed by Department of Mathematics	36	3.89	1.04	1 (2.78%)	3 (8.33%)	6 (16.67%)	15 (41.67%)	11 (30.56%
	Regulto for	Mathematica	Courses - Fa	II 2015				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongl Agree
	1712	4.02	1.16	(1 <u>)</u> 80	163	168	533	(5) 768

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~									
14. The instructor made good use of	Results for	MATH-1210-	006							
examples and illustrations. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	4.32	0.82	0 (0.00%)	2 (5.41%)	2 (5.41%)	15 (40.54%)	18 (48.65%)		
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
	1713	3.96	1.15	89 (5.20%)	155 (9.05%)	175 (10.22%)	610 (35.61%)	684 (39.93%)		
15. The instructor showed a genuine	Results for	MATH-1210-	006							
interest in teaching the course. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
contributed by Department of Mathematics	36	4.75	0.50	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.78%)	7 (19.44%)	28 (77.78%		
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II. 2015						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
	1715	4.24	1.07	72 (4.20%)	85 (4.96%)	131 (7.64%)	506 (29.50%)	921 (53.70%		
16. A positive environment was	Results for	MATH-1210-	006							
provided for student questions. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	4.68	0.47	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	12 (32.43%)	25 (67.57%		
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
	1717	4.14	1.08	79 (4.60%)	81 (4.72%)	173 (10.08%)	576 (33.55%)	808 (47.06%		
17. Students' questions were handled	Results for	MATH-1210-	006							
well. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	4.49	0.77	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.70%)	3 (8.11%)	10 (27.03%)	23 (62.16%		
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
	1712	3.97	1.13	84 (4.91%)	140 (8.18%)	204 (11.92%)	607 (35.46%)	677 (39.54%		
18. Lectures were clear and well	Results for	MATH-1210-	006							
organized. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
contributed by Department of Mathematics	36	4.00	0.96	0 (0.00%)	3 (8.33%)	7 (19.44%)	13 (36.11%)	13 (36.11%		
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015						
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)		
	1708	3.85	1.20	107 (6.26%)	176 (10.30%)	201 (11.77%)	601 (35.19%)	623 (36.48%		

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~				~ ANSWER	MATRICES ~						
19. I could usually understand the	Results for I	MATH-121 <u>0</u> -	006								
lectures. ~ Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	4.00	0.88	0 (0.00%)	2 (5.41%)	8 (21.62%)	15 (40.54%)	12 (32.43%)			
	Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015										
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
	1713	3.73	1.19	104 (6.07%)	229 (13.37%)	200 (11.68%)	678 (39.58%)	502 (29.31%)			
20. The instructor seemed to be aware	Results for 1	MATH-1210-	006								
of whether the class was following the presentation.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
Question Type: Likert contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	3.68	0.91	0 (0.00%)	4 (10.81%)	11 (29.73%)	15 (40.54%)	7 (18.92%)			
	Results for I	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II. 2015							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
	1713	3.58	1.20	112 (6.54%)	259 (15.12%)	281 (16.40%)	640 (37.36%)	421 (24.58%)			
21. Please rate the instructor. (A =	Results for I	MATH-1210-	006								
Excellent to E = Very Poor) Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	A (5)	B (4)	C (3)	D (2)	F (1)			
contributed by Department of Mathematics	37	4.54	0.65	23 (62.16%)	11 (29.73%)	3 (8.11%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)			
	Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015										
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	A (5)	B (4)	C (3)	D (2)	F (1)			
	1717	4.14	1.06	849 (49.45%)	468 (27.26%)	254 (14.79%)	88 (5.13%)	58 (3.38%)			
22. Tests were graded and returned	Results for I	MATH-1210-	006								
promptly. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
contributed by Department of Mathematics	36	4.22	0.76	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.78%)	4 (11.11%)	17 (47.22%)	14 (38.89%)			
	Results for I	Mathematics	Courses - Fal	II, 2015							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
	1714	4.11	0.96	40 (2.33%)	112 (6.53%)	132 (7.70%)	761 (44.40%)	669 (39.03%)			
23. The grading was consistent and fair.	Results for I	MATH-1210-	006								
Question Type: Likert contributed by Department of Mathematics	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
communica of Department of Intunentatios	37	4.24	0.68	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.70%)	2 (5.41%)	21 (56.76%)	13 (35.14%)			
	Results for I	Mathematics	Courses - Fal	II, 2015							
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
	1716	3.88	1.12	89 (5.19%)	158 (9.21%)	188 (10.96%)	710 (41.38%)	571 (33.28%)			

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

24. The tests were a fair measure of the course material.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Department of Mathematics

Results for MATH-1210-006										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
36	3.92	0.84	0 (0.00%)	3 (8.33%)	5 (13.89%)	20 (55.56%)	8 (22.22%)			

Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	No Opinion (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)			
1713	3.73	1.12	92 (5.37%)	200 (11.68%)	207 (12.08%)	790 (46.12%)	424 (24.75%)			

25. My effort in this course compared to other courses was:

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Department of Mathematics

Results for MATH-1210-006											
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Much More Challenging (5)	More Challenging (4)	About the Same (3)	Less Challenging (2)	Much Less Challenging (1)				
37	3.08	1.06	4 (10.81%)	9 (24.32%)	11 (29.73%)	12 (32.43%)	1 (2.70%)				

Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Much More Challenging (5)	More Challenging (4)	About the Same (3)	Less Challenging (2)	Much Less Challenging (1)			
1716	3.63	1.00	364 (21.21%)	622 (36.25%)	495 (28.85%)	203 (11.83%)	32 (1.86%)			

26. The grade I expect to receive in this course.

Question Type: Likert ~ contributed by Department of Mathematics

Results for MATH-1210-006										
Total	Mean	Std Dev	A (4)	B (3)	C (2)	D (1)	F (0)			
37	3.27	0.90	18 (48.65%)	13 (35.14%)	5 (13.51%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.70%)			

Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	A (4)	B (3)	C (2)	D (1)	F (0)
1709	3.24	0.78	726 (42.48%)	719 (42.07%)	228 (13.34%)	26 (1.52%)	10 (0.59%)

27. Your comments on the course:

Question Type: Short Answer contributed by Department of Mathematics

F	Results for MATH-1210-006					
	Total	Individual Answers				
	22	See below for Individual Results				

Waste of my time since id already taken the course in high school

The course was very slow paced until deadlines approached then it went really fast but the course was not too difficult.

I felt the course was structured very well. The web assign was a great tool for homework and the occasional written assignment helped me prepare for what would be on the exams.

overall was a really positive learning experience

pretty good coverage

Solid course. I already had learned most of the material however so it wasn't too time consuming for me.

Difficult course, especially for someone who has never taken calculus before.

Already took Calculus so it was easy

N/A

Good course. you will struggle if you don't attend class. Weekly homework would help students learn the material more effectively.

I think the course was pretty manageable and easy.

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

The course is an average math class. It is not very different from what I did in 12th grade in high school so I have not learned that much.

I have taken Calculus inn high school so it was pretty easy

The course could be changed a little bit. I felt like we were spending too much time on differentiation and not enough time on anti-derivatives.

Nice review of calculus

Typical calculus class.

I didn't learn that much in class, mostly self taught.

Webassign and the textbook were frustrating and annoying to use and generally not very helpful. Material was definitely tough but I am not mathematically-minded, so I think most of my troubles came from that more than anything else.

I did very well on the quizzes but I felt the tests were slightly harder than the problems often seen on web assigns.

I wish we had more practice problems in class and more time to ask questions during class

Very challenging, but interesting.

very hard

28. Your comments on the instructor:

Question Type: Short Answer

contributed by Department of Mathematics

Results for MAT	H-1210-006
Total	Individual Answers
30	See below for Individual Results

Professor Echeverria was the best person to teach this course. He was incredibly knowledgeable about the subject matter and equally as enthusiastic about helping students in the classroom and his office hours.

Overall a really good instructor. I'm glad I took this course with him

The instructor seems very new to teaching but he is extremely nice and helpful.

Mariano is awesome, bends over backwards to ensure students know the material, and tries to make office hours as frequent as possible. Extremely nice

I really enjoyed Mariano as an instructor and person.

Great teacher

Understands the material really well, but isn't always the easiest to pay attention to in class

I thought the instructor was a great teacher and very enthusiastic as well as helpful and knowledgeable.

Mariano was great, best math teacher I've had in a while, he actually cared if we learned the material.

enthusiastic, kind, and interested in his students. great guy. a bit too easy. sometimes he wouldn't be at his office hours.

I thought my instructor was great. He is always willing to answer questions and make himself available to students. He is also very enthusiastic about Calculus.

good teacher

Mr. Echeverria is a very nice person, he tries really hard to makes us understand the concepts and he is always willing to help. But, in spite of his efforts, he tries to explain the concepts with very hard or abstract examples that confuse more than they help.

Mariano was awesome! He was super interested in the subject material. Almost to a point that he seemed giddy while talking about certain functions. That helped me stay engaged with the lectures. He was also frequently available for review.

very enthusiastic

Really good instructor, cared about the students and knew the material

The instructor was fantastic and always available to help! One of the best math teachers I've had.

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

great at helping students to visualize concepts through colored chalk and graphs; understanding calculus well and is able to teach it well so student can understand

The instructor did a great job of explaining all of the concepts with great detail. I found that i could literally copy down his black board writing and it would be the perfect study tool as he essentially took notes for us. I also found that his office hours were very beneficial.

Great guy, as far as teaching goes he's a little haphazard in the way he teaches and his nervousness shows when during his lectures he mumbles to himself as he paces across the front of the classroom. Nevertheless he made himself available pretty frequently.

Mariano explained things very thoroughly but sometimes we spent all of the period on one problem. Time could be used more efficiently.

he is very good about office hours and being helpful. He's a good teacher but could get the class more involved.

Mariano is the best

Friendly and engaging, very helpful and open to questions. Sometimes extra examples and analogies were more confusing, but maybe other people found them more helpful than I did. Sometimes has a tendency to talk to the board or write a lot of steps while in front of the board, making it difficult to see what is going on. I think stepping back a little more often to explain and let people see the steps would be helpful.

Mariano Echeverria is a great, engaging teacher who made me excited about math.

The instructor was awesome. He fully understands all the concepts of what he taught us and is able to give substantial proof to every theory and equations we covered. His office hours are really beneficial. He works with students individually and makes sure that the students understand the contents. He is also really passionate and energetic in class. I can confidently say that he made the course much more fun because of his energy. To sum up, he is knowledgeable, approachable, energetic, and thorough in his explanations. I would definitely try to study another course with him if he teaches other courses.

He is a good instructor.

the instructor was friendly and easy to talk to.

Very nice and enthusiastic. Took lots of time on individual problems in class, but was very helpful at office hours and made class much more enjoyable.

He was very unconfident. really cared about whether or not we understood and were following.

29. Your comments on the textbook, readings, and tests:

Question Type: Short Answer

contributed by Department of Mathematics

Results for MATH-1210-006						
Total	Individual Answers					
26	See below for Individual Results					

they were a good at determining if you knew the material or not

Textbook is awful. I tried to read sections time after time to help learn the material and never once did I feel it was helpful. It isn't clear and makes a lot of assumptions about previous knowledge. Tests were fine. Hard, but I didn't expect anything different.

tests were fair.

Tests were fair because all the students taking the course had the same tests

Tests were fair, textbook wasn't used

the web assigns are ver helpful.

did not like the textbook

Didnt use the textbook once

The tests were slightly difficult and I felt confident that I knew the material however this never reflected on my test scores.

Certain test questions were tricky.

textbook is bad. the explanations shouldn't be so difficult to follow.

I never once used the textbook. The tests were essentially the hardest examples of each of the concepts for that section. An actual curve would have been appreciated.

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

fairly simple if practice was done

I wish I didn't buy the textbook because I never opened it. The lectures and assignments were sufficient for me to learn the material.

Tests were fairly representative of material

Web assigns were very difficult at times, it would be nice if there were more walk-through problems on webassign.

did not use the textbook at all

N/A

N/A

Textbook and readings were unneeded

The textbook is clear and well-organized

tests are a little challenging. Some of the things are not really directly covered in the textbook/lectures. The textbook was only good for practicing examples.

Tests were pretty difficult but the material is learnable.

Textbook and readings are helpful for completing the homework problems, and the tests were relatively easy as long as you looked over the study guides and old tests

Tests were harder than some of the problems done in class but were mostly a fair assessment

The textbook was reasonably useful but I mainly studied my notes and the practice on Collab that Professor Echeverria provided. The exams were fine although I thought the second exam should have had a curve like the first.

30. The average number of hours per week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:

Question Type: Multiple Choice contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for MAT	H-1210-006				
Total	Less than 1	1 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 9	10 or more
	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)	(NA)
37	2	19	14	2	0
	(5.41%)	(51.35%)	(37.84%)	(5.41%)	(0.00%)

Re	sults for Math	nematics Courses -	Fall, 2015			
	Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)	4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)
	1711	49 (2.86%)	610 (35.65%)	739 (43.19%)	235 (13.73%)	78 (4.56%)

31. I learned a great deal in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for MATH-1210-006								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
37	3.57	0.65	2 (5.41%)	18 (48.65%)	16 (43.24%)	1 (2.70%)	0 (0.00%)	

Results for Mathematics Courses - Fall, 2015								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	
1703	3.83	0.99	442 (25.95%)	739 (43.39%)	350 (20.55%)	127 (7.46%)	45 (2.64%)	

32. Overall, this was a worthwhile	Results for	MATH-1210-	006		_			
course. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	37	3.78	0.63	3 (8.11%)	24 (64.86%)	9 (24.32%)	1 (2.70%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	1710	3.76	1.09	456 (26.67%)	696 (40.70%)	328 (19.18%)	144 (8.42%)	86 (5.03%)
3. The course's goals and requirements	Results for	MATH-1210-	006, Echever	ria Echeverria	, Mariano			
were defined and adhered to by the instructor.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	36	4.58	0.55	22 (61.11%)	13 (36.11%)	1 (2.78%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	1710	4.30	0.85	823 (48.13%)	678 (39.65%)	135 (7.89%)	48 (2.81%)	26 (1.52%)
34. The instructor was approachable	Results for	MATH-1210-	006, Echever	ria Echeverria	, Mariano			
and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Question Type: Likert contributed by Office of the Provost	37	4.70	0.62	28 (75.68%)	8 (21.62%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (2.70%)	0 (0.00%)
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	1711	4.34	0.91	938 (54.82%)	538 (31.44%)	145 (8.47%)	54 (3.16%)	36 (2.10%)
35. Overall, the instructor was an	Results for	MATH-1210-	006, Echever	ria Echeverria	, Mariano			
effective teacher. Question Type: Likert	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
contributed by Office of the Provost	37	4.35	0.79	19 (51.35%)	13 (35.14%)	4 (10.81%)	1 (2.70%)	(0.00%)
	Results for	Mathematics	Courses - Fa	II, 2015				
	Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	1712	3.97	1.16	716 (41.82%)	543 (31.72%)	225 (13.14%)	137 (8.00%)	91 (5.32%)
6. Please make any overall comments	Results for	MATH-1210-	006					
or observations about this course:	Total Individual Answers							
Question Type: Short Answer contributed by Office of the Provost	8			See be	elow for Indivi	dual Results		
		s was a help ally wanted ւ		alculus for me	e			
	I am not in painless.	terested in co	ontinuing math	n courses here	e at UVA but	Marriano mad	le this course	pretty

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~
	none
	N/A
	N/A
	Overall, a good course with a great instructor.