

Representations of Lie groups & algebras

Defn A representation of a Lie group G is a vector space V (real or \mathbb{C} px) & a Lie group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$.

A representation of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a vector space V w/ a Lie algebra homomorphism $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V) = GL(V)$ w/ inherited Lie algebra structure.

An intertwining operator (or morphism between representations) is in both cases a linear map $F: V \rightarrow W$ which commutes w/ the action. We write $Hom_G(V, W)$ or $Hom(\mathfrak{g}, V, W)$.

- Propn ① Every ^{finite-dimensional} representation $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ defines a representation $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, and intertwining operators induce intertwining operators.
- ② If G is connected and simply-ctd, $\rho \mapsto \rho_*$ gives an equivalence of categories of representations of G , and $Hom_G(V, W) = Hom(\mathfrak{g}, V, W)$

Also written ρ where no confusion exists. ↓

Defn For $\dim(V) < \infty$, the function $\chi_\rho(g) = \text{tr } \rho(g)$ is the character of ρ .

Defn As previously, V is ^(or simple) irreducible if it has no nonzero invt subspaces. A character is irreducible if it is the character of an irreducible representation.

Remark For $G' = G/Z$, representations of G' are exactly representations of G satisfying $\rho|_Z \equiv \text{id}$.

On the homework we saw that real representations induce complex representations. Let's make that precise.

Defn Let \mathfrak{g} be a real Lie algebra. Its complexification is $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus i\mathfrak{g}$ w/ obvious commutator. We say \mathfrak{g} is a real form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Example ① IF $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$

② IF $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(n)$, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$
" $\mathfrak{u}(n) + i\mathfrak{u}(n)$

Related defn Let G be a connected complex Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$, and $K \subset G$ be a closed real Lie subgroup in G st $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$ is a real form of \mathfrak{g} . Then K is a real form of G .

Example $SU(n)$ is a opt real form of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$

Note that real forms and complexifications can clearly be quite different! Why should we care?

Propn Let \mathfrak{g} be a real Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification. Then a complex representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ induces a representation of \mathfrak{g} , and $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}}(V, W)$.

Pf $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow GL(V)$ induces $\rho: \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow GL(V)$ w/ $\rho(x+iy) = \rho(x) + i\rho(y)$ exactly as on hw.

Consequence We can sometimes reduce noncompact groups to compact groups, and the representation theory of compact groups is especially nice, so this is very useful

Remark This is really not true for infinite dim'l representations.

Examples of representations we're aware of

- ① Trivial rep $V = \mathbb{C}$, $\rho_g = \text{id}$ for $g \in G$ ($\rho_x = 0$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$)
- ② Adjoint rep, $V = \mathfrak{g}$, $\rho_g = \text{Ad}_g$, $\rho_x = \text{ad}(x)$

Operations on representations

- ① W is a G -invariant subspace of V (\Leftrightarrow) W is a \mathfrak{g} -invt subspace of V .
- ② Given V and W representations of G , we have representations V^* , $V \otimes W$, $V \oplus W$.

What are the corresponding representations of \mathfrak{g} ?

Sum $\rho_x(V \oplus W) = \rho_x(V) \oplus \rho_x(W)$

Tensor Product Note that $\rho_x(V \otimes W) = \rho_x(V) \otimes \rho_x(W)$ is not even a representation of Lie algebras. Taking the derivative of $\rho_g(V \otimes W) = \rho_g(V) \otimes \rho_g(W)$ we see that $\rho_x(V \otimes W) = \rho_x(V) \otimes W + V \otimes \rho_x(W)$.

Dual For finite-dim'l V , the dual is defined by requiring that $V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an intertwiner. For groups we want

$\langle \rho_g^*(v_1^*), \rho_g(v_2) \rangle = \langle v_2^*, v_1 \rangle$, so $\rho_g^* = (\rho_g^{-1})^*$ the adjoint.

Likewise for \mathfrak{g} , we want $\langle \rho_x^*(v_2^*), v_1 \rangle + \langle v_2^*, \rho_x(v_1) \rangle = 0$, so $\rho_x^* = -(\rho_x)^*$

(15)

Example For \mathfrak{g} a Lie algebra, \mathfrak{g}^* is a representation of \mathfrak{g} given by $\langle \text{ad}^*_x(F), y \rangle = -\langle F, \text{ad}_x(y) \rangle \quad F \in \mathfrak{g}^*, x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$

Defn (as previously) A representation is completely reducible or semisimple if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducibles, $V \cong \bigoplus_i V_i$, V_i irreducibles.

It's of course still not the case that all representations are completely reducible - even complex representations.

Example (generalizing previous)

$$G = \mathbb{R}^3, \mathfrak{g} = (\mathbb{R}, [,] = 0)$$

A representation of \mathfrak{g} is a linear map $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$. The corresponding $t \mapsto tA$ ↙ cpx vector space

representation of G is $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$. Now, any operator in $\text{End}(V)$ $t \mapsto \exp(tA)$

has an eigenvector v so that $\langle v \rangle$ is fixed by $A \Rightarrow \langle v \rangle$ is a subrepresentation \Rightarrow all complex irreducible reps of \mathbb{R} have dim 1. So a representation of \mathbb{R} is completely reducible $\Leftrightarrow A$ is diagonalizable, hence not all representations are.

Lemma Let $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a representation of G (ρ, ρ) and $A: V \rightarrow V$ a diagonalizable intertwining operator. Let $V_\lambda \subseteq V$ be the eigenspace for A w/ eigenvalue λ . Then each V_λ is a subrepresentation, and $V = \bigoplus V_\lambda$.

Lemma Let V be a representation of G , $z \in Z(G)$ a central element s.t. ρ_z is diagonalizable. Then $V = \bigoplus V_\lambda$ where V_λ is the eigenspace for ρ_z w/ eigenvalue λ . Likewise for elements in \mathfrak{g} .

EIF $z \in Z(G)$, $\rho_z \rho_g = \rho_g \rho_z \forall g \in G$, so ρ_z is an intertwiner!

Example $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. The operator P : which exchanges the factors commutes w/ the action, so $S^2 \mathbb{C}^n, \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^n$ subspaces of symmetric- and skew-symmetric tensors, the eigenspaces of P are $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant. $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n = S^2 \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^n$. In fact both are irreducible.

As before, we study representations via studying intertwiners.

Schur's Lemma (proof entirely unchanged)

- ① Let V be an irreducible \mathbb{C} -px representation of G . Then $\text{Hom}_G(V, V) = \text{End}(V) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Id}$.
- ② IF V and W are non-isomorphic irreducible \mathbb{C} -px representations which are not isomorphic then $\text{Hom}_G(V, W) = 0$.

Likewise for reps of \mathfrak{g} .

Examples

① If G is commutative, every irreducible complex representation of G is one-dimensional.

Pf In that case, ρ_g is an intertwiner for every G , so in fact $\rho_g = c_g \cdot \text{Id}$ for some nonzero constant c_g . So $\rho_g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$.

1.5 As observed previously, irreps of \mathbb{R} are maps $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for some λ .
 $a \mapsto e^{i\lambda a}$

Call this representation V_λ .

Representations of $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ are representations which satisfy $\rho(a) = 1$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have $V_k = \mathbb{C}$ w/ $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$
 $a \mapsto e^{2\pi i k a}$

Identifying \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} w/ $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ this becomes z acts by z^k on V_k .

② Group Centers

\mathbb{C}^n is an irreducible representation of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$

\Rightarrow every operator commuting w/ the action of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is scalar

$\Rightarrow Z(GL(n, \mathbb{C})) = \{ \lambda \cdot \text{Id}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times \}$ by Schur's Lemma

Same argument for Lie subgroups \Rightarrow

$Z(SL(n, \mathbb{C})) = Z(SU(n)) = \{ \lambda \cdot \text{Id} : \lambda^n = 1 \}$, $Z(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})) = Z(\mathfrak{su}(n, \mathbb{C})) = 0$

$Z(V(n)) = \{ \lambda \cdot \text{Id} : |\lambda| = 1 \}$, $Z(\mathfrak{u}(n)) = \{ \lambda \cdot \text{Id} : \lambda \in i\mathbb{R} \}$

$Z(\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})) = Z(\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{R})) = \begin{cases} \{ \lambda \cdot \text{Id} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, n \text{ even} \} & n \text{ even} \\ \{ \lambda \cdot \text{Id} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \} & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$, $Z(\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})) = Z(\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{R})) = 0$

Corollary Let V be a completely reducible representation of a Lie group $G(o, g)$. Then

- ① IF $V = \oplus V_i$, V_i irreducible and pairwise nonisomorphic, any intertwiner is of the form $\Phi: V \rightarrow V$ is $\Phi = \oplus \lambda_i \text{Id}_{V_i}$.
- ② IF $V = \oplus n_i V_i = \oplus (\mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes V_i)$ for V_i irreducible and pairwise nonisomorphic, then an intertwiner $\Phi: V \rightarrow V$ is of the form $\Phi = \oplus (A_i \otimes \text{Id}_{V_i})$ for $A_i \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n_i})$.

PF Also same as before.

Defn A complex representation V of a real Lie group G is unitary if there is a G -invb inner product (\cdot, \cdot) s.t. $(\rho_g v, \rho_g w) = (v, w)$, or equivalently $\rho_g \in U(V)$ for all $g \in G$.

Likewise a representation V of a real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is unitary if there is an inner product which is \mathfrak{g} -invb, i.e. $(\rho_x v, w) + (v, \rho_x w) = 0$, or equivalently $\rho_x \in u(V) \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Example - as in finite groups one can always produce a unitary inner product by starting w/ any inner product $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ and averaging

$$\tilde{\beta}(v, w) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \beta(gv, gw)$$

Propn (Finite dimensional) unitary representations are always completely reducible.

PF Exactly the same as previously, given a subrepresentation $W \in V$ it has an orthogonal complement W^\perp which is also a subrepresentation.

and we may induct on dimension.

New Goal Mimic the averaging operation for groups that aren't finite.

Addition \rightsquigarrow integration

Finite \rightsquigarrow Finite volume.

Propn If G is a locally compact group, there is a unique up to scaling regular Borel measure μ_L which is invt under left translation.

iBorel: The measure is defined on all open sets

* Translation invt $\mu(k) = \mu(gk)$

* Regularity $\mu(X) = \inf \sum \mu(U) = \sup \sum \mu(K) : X \supseteq U, U \text{ open } \mathcal{U} = \sup \sum \mu(K) : K \subseteq X, K \text{ cpt } \mathcal{K}$

This means there is a notion of integration or

$$\int_G f(x_j) d\mu_L(g) = \int_G f(g) d\mu_L(g)$$

In general this is fairly hard. For a smooth Lie group it follows from producing a left-invt volume form.