## Math 311H <br> Honors Introduction to Real Analysis <br> Midterm 1

Instructions: You have 80 minutes to complete the exam. There are six questions, worth a total of thirty points. Partial credit will be given for progress toward correct solutions where relevant. You may not use any books, notes, calculators, or other electronic devices.

Name:

| Question | Points | Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 |  |
| 2 | 4 |  |
| 3 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 5 |  |
| 5 | 5 |  |
| 6 | 5 |  |
| Total: | 30 |  |

1. For each of the following things, either give an example of the described object (no need to justify it) or write a sentence saying why this is impossible.
(a) [1pts.] A Cauchy sequence with no monotone subsequence.

Solution: This is impossible; every sequence has a monotone subsequence.
(b) [1pts.] A monotone sequence with no Cauchy subsequence.

Solution: Consider $(1,2,3,4, \ldots)$.
(c) [1pts.] A sequence with exactly three subsequential limits.

Solution: Consider ( $1,2,3,1,2,3,1,2,3, \ldots)$.
(d) [1pts.] A series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ for which $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right|$ converges but $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ does not.

Solution: This is impossible; absolutely convergent series converge.
(e) [1pts.] An alternating series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ of rational numbers converging to $\sqrt{2}$, and a partial sum of this series which is within .01 of $\sqrt{2}$.

Solution: Consider the series $2-.6+.02-.006+\ldots$ oscillating around the decimal expansion of the square root of 2 . Since the error on the partial sums of an alternating series is always less than the absolute value of the next term of the series, we see that based on the fourth partial sum of the series being 1.414 and having error of less than .001 , the sum of this series is between 1.414 and 1.415 and in particular within .01 of $\sqrt{2}$.
(f) [1pts.] A sequence of nonempty closed sets $F_{1} \supseteq F_{2} \supseteq F_{3} \supseteq \ldots$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}$ is empty.

Solution: Consider $F_{n}=[n, \infty)$, so that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}=\emptyset$.
2. (a) [3pts.] Let $\left(a_{n}\right)$ be a sequence with the property that $\left|a_{n}-a_{n+1}\right|<\frac{1}{2^{n}}$. Show that $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is Cauchy.

Solution: Suppose that $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is a sequence such that $\left|a_{n}-a_{n+1}\right|<\frac{1}{2^{n}}$. Given $\epsilon>0$, choose $N$ such that $\frac{1}{2^{N-1}}<\epsilon$. Then if we have $n>m \geq N$, we compute
that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{m}-a_{n}\right| & =\left|\left(a_{m}-a_{m+1}\right)+\left(a_{m+1}-a_{m+2}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{n-1}-a_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left(a_{m}-a_{m+1}\right)\right|+\left|\left(a_{m+1}-a_{m+2}\right)\right|+\cdots+\left|\left(a_{n-1}-a_{n}\right)\right| \\
& <\frac{1}{2^{m}}+\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \\
& <\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{k}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \\
& <\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\epsilon$ was arbitary we conclude the sequence is Cauchy.
(b) [1pts.] Is the conclusion of part (a) true if the condition is instead $\left|a_{n}-a_{n+1}\right|<\frac{1}{n}$ ?

Solution: No. For example the condition is true of the sequence $a_{n}=1+\frac{1}{2}+$ $\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}$, which does not converge and hence is not Cauchy.
3. Consider the sequence defined recursively by $a_{1}=1$ and $a_{n+1}=\frac{5 a_{n}}{3+a_{n}}$.
(a) [3pts.] Prove that $1 \leq a_{n} \leq 2$ for all $n$ and $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is increasing.

Solution: We start by showing the bound. Since $a_{1}=1$, the base case $1 \leq$ $a_{0} \leq 2$ is clearly true. Now suppose that $1 \leq a_{n} \leq 2$. We observe that

$$
a_{n+1} \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{5 a_{n}}{3+a_{n}} \geq 1 \Leftrightarrow 5 a_{n} \geq 3+a_{n} \Leftrightarrow 4 a_{n} \geq 3 \Leftrightarrow a_{n} \geq \frac{3}{4}
$$

where in the second step we use the fact that $3+a_{n}$ is positive, so since $a_{n} \geq$ $1>\frac{3}{4}$, we conclude that $a_{n+1} \geq 2$. Likewise

$$
a_{n+1} \leq 2 \Leftrightarrow \frac{5 a_{n}}{3+a_{n}} \leq 2 \Leftrightarrow 5 a_{n} \leq 6+2 a_{n} \Leftrightarrow 3 a_{n} \leq 6 \Leftrightarrow a_{n} \leq 2
$$

so $a_{n+1} \leq 2$ is also true.
Now we check that the sequence is increasing, that is that $a_{n+1}-a_{n} \geq 0$. Observe that

$$
a_{n+1}-a_{n}=\frac{5 a_{n}}{3+a_{n}}-a_{n}=\frac{5 a_{n}-3 a_{n}-a_{n}^{2}}{3+a_{n}}=\frac{\left(2-a_{n}\right)\left(a_{n}\right)}{3+a_{n}}
$$

Since $1 \leq a_{n} \leq 2$, this expression is clearly nonnegative. So $a_{n+1} \geq a_{n}$ for all $n$.
(b) [2pts.] Prove that $\left(a_{n}\right)$ converges and compute the limit, justifying your steps carefully.

Solution: From part (a), the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is bounded monotone, hence convergent. Applying the algebraic limit theorems to the relationship $a_{n}=\frac{5 a_{n}}{3+a_{n}}$ we obtain $a=\frac{5 a}{3+a}$, or $3 a+a^{2}=5 a$, which simplifies to $a(a-2)=0$. By the order limit theorem, the only solution to this which can be the limit of the sequence is 2 .
4. Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ be a series with the property that $\lim a_{n}=0$.
(a) [1pts.] Give an example to show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ need not necessarily converge.

Solution: Consider $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$.
(b) [4pts.] Prove that there exists a subsequence $\left(a_{n_{k}}\right)$ of $\left(a_{n}\right)$ with the property that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_{k}}$ converges. [Hint: Start by arguing that there is a subsequence $\left(a_{n_{k}}\right)$ with the property that $\left|a_{n_{k}}\right| \leq \frac{1}{k^{2}}$.]

Solution: Choose a subsequence $\left(a_{n_{k}}\right)$ as follows. Since $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$, there is some $a_{n_{1}}$ such that $\left|a_{n_{1}}\right|<1$. Having chosen this $n_{1}$, choose $n_{2}$ such that $n_{1}<n_{2}$ and $\left|a_{n_{2}}\right|<\frac{1}{4}$, which must exist since otherwise $a_{n}$ does not converge to zero. Inductively, continue picking a subsequence such that $n_{1}<n_{2}<n_{3}<\ldots$ and $\left|a_{n_{k}}\right|<\frac{1}{k^{2}}$. Then by the Comparison Test, the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_{k}}$ converges.
5. Let $A_{n}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ for every $n$.
(a) [3pts.] Prove that $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{\circ} \subseteq\left(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)^{\circ}$.

Solution: Suppose that $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{\circ}$. Then in particular $x \in A_{n}^{\circ}$ for some $n$, implying that there is some $V_{\epsilon}(x) \subset A_{n}$. But then $V_{\epsilon}(x) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$, so we see that $x \in\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)^{\circ}$. The inclusion follows.
(You can also do this by noting that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{\circ}$ is an open set contained in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$ and therefore is a subset of $\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)^{\circ}$.)
(b) [2pts.] Give an example to show the inclusion above may be proper.

Solution: Consider, eg, $A_{1}=\mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q}$, and letting the remaining sets $A_{n}=\left\{r_{n-1}\right\}$ each contain a single rational number in some enumeration of the rationals
$\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, \ldots\right)$, so that each set has empty interior but the interior of their union is all of $\mathbb{R}$.
6. A sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is said to diverge to infinity if, for every $M>0$, there exists $N$ such that $n \geq N$ implies that $a_{n}>M$.
(a) [1pts.] Give an example (no need to justify it) of a sequence that diverges to infinity.

Solution: THe sequence $(1,2,3,4, \cdots)$ diverges to infinity.
(b) [1pts.] Give an example (no need to justify it) of a sequence that diverges but does not diverge to infinity.

Solution: The sequence $(1,-1,1,-1, \ldots)$ is an example.
(c) [2pts.] Prove that if $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is a sequence that diverges to infinity and $\left(b_{n}\right)$ converges to a limit $b>0$, then $\left(a_{n} b_{n}\right)$ also diverges to infinity.

Solution: Let $M>0$. Choose $N_{1}$ such that $n \geq N_{1}$ implies that $a_{n}>\frac{2 M}{b}$. Furthermore, choose $N_{2}$ such that $n \geq N_{2}$ implies that $\left|b-b_{n}\right|<\frac{b}{2}$, so that in particular $n \geq N_{2}$ implies that $b_{n}>\frac{b}{2}$. Then $n \geq N=\max \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}\right\}$ implies that $a_{n} b_{n}>\left(\frac{2 M}{b}\right)\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)=M$. As $M$ was arbitrary, we are done.
(d) [1pts.] Give an example to show that the statement above is not true if the condition $b>0$ is removed.

Solution: Let $\left(a_{n}\right)$ such that $a_{n}=n$ be our sequence diverging to infinity. If $b_{n}=(-1)^{n+1}$, then $\left(a_{n} b_{n}\right)=(1,-2,3,-4, \ldots)$ diverges but not to infinity. For any real number $r$, if $b_{n}=\frac{r}{n}$, then $\left(a_{n} b_{n}\right)=(r)$ converges to $r$. If $b_{n}=-1$, then $\left(a_{n} b_{n}\right)$ diverges to $-\infty$. Any of these examples shows the claim above does not hold if we remove $b>0$.

