

# Homework 3 Solutions

September 15, 2023

## Section 1.3

### 1.3.5

Let  $A$  be nonempty and bounded above, and let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $cA = \{ca : a \in A\}$ .

(a) We claim that if  $c \geq 0$ , then  $\sup cA = c \sup A$ . First, the statement is obviously true if  $c = 0$  since then  $cA = \{0\}$  and  $c \sup A = 0$ . Now let  $c > 0$ . Let  $s = \sup A$ . Then  $a \leq s$  for all  $a \in A$ , so  $ca \leq cs$  for all  $ca \in cA$ , so  $cs$  is an upper bound for  $cA$ . Suppose  $u$  is an arbitrary upper bound for  $cA$ . Then  $ca \leq u$  for all  $ca \in cA$ , implying that  $a \leq \frac{u}{c}$  for all  $a \in A$ . Ergo  $\frac{u}{c}$  is an upper bound for  $A$  and in particular  $s \leq \frac{u}{c}$ . So  $cs \leq u$ . Hence  $cs$  is an upper bound for  $cA$  which is less than or equal to any upper bound for  $cA$ , and therefore  $cs = \sup(cA)$ . So  $c \sup A = \sup cA$ .

(b) We conjecture that if  $A$  is nonempty and bounded below and  $c < 0$ ,  $c \sup A = \inf cA$ . See the final problem for the case that  $c = -1$ .

### 1.3.6

Given  $A$  and  $B$  subsets of the real line, we set  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  be bounded above with  $s = \sup A$  and  $t = \sup B$ .

(a) First we observe that since  $a \leq s$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $b \leq t$  for any  $b \in B$ , for any  $a + b$  in  $A + B$  we have  $a + b \leq s + t$ , so  $s + t$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$ .

(b) Now let  $u$  be any upper bound for  $A + B$ . Fix  $a \in A$ . We see that  $a + b \leq u$  for all  $b \in B$ , implying that  $b \leq u - a$  for all  $b \in B$ . Hence  $u - a$  is an upper bound for  $B$ , and in particular  $t \leq u - a$  since  $t$  is less than or equal to any upper bound for  $B$ .

(c) Rearranging  $t \leq u - a$  for all  $a \in A$ , we see that  $a \leq u - t$  for all  $a \in A$ . So  $u - t$  is an upper bound for  $A$  and in particular  $s \leq u - t$ . Ergo  $s + t \leq u$ . Since  $s + t$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$  which is less than or equal to any upper bound for  $A + B$ , it must be the supremum of  $A + B$ . So  $\sup(A + B) = \sup A + \sup B$ .

(d) Alternately, we can use the characterization of the supremum given in Lemma 1.3.8, starting from the fact that  $s + t$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$  which we showed in part (a). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $s = \sup A$ , there is some  $a \in A$  such that  $a > s - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Similarly since  $t = \sup B$ , there is some  $b \in B$  such that  $b > t - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then we observe that  $a + b > s - \frac{\epsilon}{2} + b > t - \frac{\epsilon}{2} = (s + t) - \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we conclude that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an element of  $A + B$  which is greater than  $(s + t) - \epsilon$ , and therefore that  $s + t = \sup(A + B)$ .

### 1.3.8

The suprema and infima of the sets are as follows.

(a)  $\{\frac{m}{n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m < n\}$ . The infimum is zero and the supremum is one, by noticing that  $0 < \frac{m}{n} < 1$  for all elements of the set and that  $\frac{1}{n}$  can be made arbitrarily close to 0 and  $\frac{n-1}{n}$  can be made arbitrarily close to 1.

(b)  $\{\frac{(-1)^m}{n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . The infimum, which is also a minimum, is  $-1$  and the supremum, which is also a maximum, is 1.

(c)  $\{\frac{n}{3n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . This is an increasing sequence; the infimum, which is also a minimum, is  $\frac{1}{4}$  and the supremum is  $\frac{1}{3}$ .

(d)  $\{\frac{m}{m+n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . This is the same set as part (a)!

## Section 1.4

### 1.4.1

(b) Say  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $t \in I$ , which is to say  $a$  is rational and  $i$  is irrational. Suppose that  $a + t \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then since the sum of two rationals is a rational and the additive inverse of a rational is a rational, we may add  $-a$  to conclude that  $a + t + -a = t$  is a rational as well, which is untrue. Similarly, if  $a \neq 0$ , then if  $at$  is rational, since the multiplicative inverse of a nonzero rational is a rational and the product of two rationals is a rational,  $a^{-1}at = t$  is as well. We conclude that  $a + t$  is irrational and  $at$  is irrational as long as  $a \neq 0$ .

(c) Given two irrational numbers  $s$  and  $t$ , their sum and product could be either rational or irrational. For example,  $\sqrt{2} + -\sqrt{2} = 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ , but  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2} = 2\sqrt{2}$  is certainly not in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , for if it were, then its quotient by the rational number 2 would be as well. Similarly,  $\sqrt{2}(\sqrt{2}) = 2$  is rational, but  $\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3} = \sqrt{6}$  is not, by Homework 1.

### 1.4.5

We claim that if  $a$  and  $b$  are real numbers with  $a < b$ , there is an irrational number  $t$  such that  $a < t < b$ . Consider the real numbers  $a - \sqrt{2} < b - \sqrt{2}$ . By the density of the rationals in the reals, there is a rational  $r$  such that  $a - \sqrt{2} < r < b - \sqrt{2}$ . Adding  $\sqrt{2}$  to all three terms we see that  $a < r + \sqrt{2} < b$ . Now by Exercise 1.4.1, the sum of a rational number and an irrational number is always irrational, so in particular  $r + \sqrt{2}$  is irrational. Therefore we are done.

## Other Problems

### Problem 5

(a) (iv) Let  $a, b \in F$ . We recall that part (iii) of this proposition showed us that  $(-a)(b) = -ab$ . We apply this to show that  $(-a)(-b) = -(a(-b)) = -((-b)(a)) = -(-ba) = -(-ab)$ , where we have used axiom (A2) twice to commute terms in our product. This tells us that  $(-a)(-b) + (-ab) = 0$ . But we may add  $ab$  to both sides of this equation to obtain  $((-a)(-b) + (-ab)) + ab = ab$ . Using (A1) we rearrange to  $(-a)(-b) + (-ab + ab) = ab$ . Using (A4) we may add the terms in parentheses to obtain  $(-a)(-b) + 0 = ab$ . Using (A3) this reduces to  $(-a)(-b) = ab$ , as desired.

(v) Let  $ac = bc$ , with  $c \neq 0$ . Then by (A4),  $c$  has a multiplicative inverse  $c^{-1}$ . We multiply by it on both sides to obtain  $(ac)c^{-1} = (bc)c^{-1}$ . Using (M1) we rearrange to obtain  $a(cc^{-1}) = b(cc^{-1})$ . Using (M4) this reduces to  $a(1) = b(1)$  and using (M3) this becomes  $a = b$ , as desired.

(b) (v) Recall that part (iv) tells us that all squares in an ordered field are nonnegative. But  $1 = (1)(1)$  is a square, hence nonnegative, and  $0 \neq 1$ , so we have that  $0 < 1$ .

(vi) We have  $0 < a$ . Suppose that  $a^{-1} < 0$ . Then by invariance under multiplication by a positive, we have  $a^{-1}a < a(0)$ , or  $1 < 0$ . This is false, by (v). Ergo,  $0 < a^{-1}$ .

(vii) Say that  $0 < a < b$ . We know by (vi) that both  $a^{-1}$  and  $b^{-1}$  are positive. Now, multiply both sides by  $a^{-1}b^{-1}$ . Then we have  $a(a^{-1}b^{-1}) < b(a^{-1}b^{-1})$ . Applying (M1) on the left and (M2) on the right we obtain  $(aa^{-1})b^{-1} < b(b^{-1}a^{-1})$ . Now applying (M4) on the left and (M1) on the right we obtain  $1(b^{-1}) < (bb^{-1})a^{-1}$ . Now applying (M3) on the left and (M4) on the right, we obtain  $b^{-1} < 1(a^{-1})$ . A final application of (M3) gives  $b^{-1} < a^{-1}$ . So  $0 < b^{-1} < a^{-1}$  as desired.

### Problem 6

(a) Suppose we can make  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  into an ordered field. In  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , the additive identity element is  $0 = [0]$  and the multiplicative identity element is  $1 = [1]$ . We recall that in any ordered field the additive identity is less than the multiplicative identity, that is,  $[0] < [1]$ . But then adding  $[1]$  to both sides we have  $[1] < [2]$ ,  $[2] < [3]$ , and so on. In particular using transitivity  $[1] < [2] < \dots < [p-1] < [p] = [0]$ . But then  $[1] < [0]$ , which violates trichotomy. So, there is no such order on  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .

(b) (i) It is easy to see that the multiplicative identity in  $\mathbb{C}$  is the element  $1 = 1 + 0i$ , since  $(1 + 0i)(a + bi) = (1(a) - b(0)) + (0(a) + 1(b))i = a + bi$ , and likewise for  $(a + bi)(1 + 0i)$ . Now, let  $a + bi$  be nonzero in  $\mathbb{C}$ ; since the additive identity is  $0 + 0i$ , this in particular means that at least one of  $a$  and  $b$  is nonzero. We claim that the multiplicative inverse of  $a + bi$  is  $\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} + \frac{-b}{a^2 + b^2}i$ . Note that if  $a + bi \neq 0$ , then  $a^2 + b^2 > 0$ , so this is a valid element of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We check it is actually the multiplicative inverse:

$$\begin{aligned}(a + bi) \left( \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} + \frac{-b}{a^2 + b^2}i \right) &= \left( a \left( \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} \right) - b \left( \frac{-b}{a^2 + b^2} \right) \right) + \left( a \left( \frac{-b}{a^2 + b^2} \right) + b \left( \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2} \right) \right) i \\ &= \frac{a^2 + b^2}{a^2 + b^2} + \frac{-ba + ab}{a^2 + b^2}i \\ &= 1 + 0i \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

The other product is similar, or we may use the fact that multiplication commutes.

(ii) We recall that in an ordered field all squares are positive. Ergo, if  $\mathbb{C}$  can be made into an ordered field, then  $0 < -1$ , since  $i^2 = -1$ . But  $0 < 1$  as well, and if an element in an ordered field is positive, then its additive inverse is negative. So no such ordering exists.

### **Problem 7**

Let  $S$  be nonempty and bounded below, say by  $\ell$ . Then for any  $s \in S$ , we have  $\ell \leq s$ , implying that  $-s \leq -\ell$ . So  $\ell$  is an upper bound for  $-S$ . Since  $-S$  is bounded above it has a supremum by the Axiom of Completeness, call it  $a$ . We claim that  $-a$  is the infimum of  $S$ . First, since  $a$  is an upper bound of  $-S$ , we have  $-s \leq a$  for all  $-s \in -S$ , so we see that  $-a \leq s$  for all  $s \in S$ , hence  $-a$  is a lower bound for  $S$ . Furthermore suppose that  $\ell$  is an arbitrary lower bound for  $S$ , then as we argued above  $-\ell$  is an upper bound for  $-S$ , so  $a \leq -\ell$ , implying that  $\ell \leq -a$ . Hence  $a$  is greater than or equal to any lower bound for  $S$ . Ergo  $-a$  is the infimum of  $S$ . We conclude that bounded below nonempty subsets of the real numbers have infima.