MATH 311H: Homework 1

Due: Monday September 11 at 5 pm

- 1. Send me an email introducing yourself! Tell me your favorite thing you've learned in a math class previously, and anything about yourself you think it would be useful for me to know. (Alternately, come by office hours and tell me these things.)
- This week office hours will be directly after Tuesday September 5's class meeting in the Livingston Student Center. Next week normal office hour times will begin: Monday September 11 and Thursday September 14 10-11 in LSH B-106.
- 3. Read Sections 1.1-1.4 in Abbot.
- 4. Do Abbot Exercises 1.2.1*, 1.2.2, and 1.2.12*.
- 5. Prove that $(11)^n 4^n$ is divisible by 7 for all positive integers n.
- 6. *Prove Bernoulli's inequality: For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with x > 0, and every natural number n > 1, $(1+x)^n > 1 + nx$.
- 7. Incorrect Inductions
 - (a) Consider the following inductive "proof" that all horses are the same color. We will show that any set of n horses have the same color. The base case is trivial, since any set consisting of a single horse has only one color. Now suppose that all sets of n-1 horses have only one color. Then if $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a set of n horses, consider the subsets $A_1 = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ and $A_2 = \{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Since each of A_1 and A_2 contain n-1 horses, all horses in A_1 must be the same color and all horses in A_2 must be the same color. And these sets overlap, so in fact all horses in A must be the same color. Therefore there is no horse of a different color!

Explain why this is not a valid inductive proof.

(b) Consider the following inductive "proof" that all natural numbers are interesting. To begin with, the first case n = 1 is clearly satisfied, since 1 is a very interesting number. Next, suppose there are uninteresting natural numbers. Then there must be a smallest such number, call it n. But n is the smallest uninteresting natural number, which is clearly an interesting thing to be! Therefore there aren't any uninteresting natural numbers.

Explain why this isn't a valid mathematical proof.