642.582 Problem Set 3 (final)

1. Fix  $0 \le t \le r \le n$ . [Also, let M(i, j) be the incidence matrix used in class, and assume R, T and U range over subsets of [n] of sizes r, t and t - 1 respectively.] Finish Lovász's proof of EKR by showing that if M(t-1,t)x = 0, then for any R,

$$\sum_{T \cap R = \emptyset} x_T = (-1)^t \sum_{T \subseteq R} x_T.$$

[Definitions for the next two problems:

For a graph G = (V, E) and  $S = (S(v) : v \in V)$  with each  $S(v) \subseteq \Gamma$ (= {"colors"}), a coloring  $\sigma : V \to \Gamma$  is *S*-legal if it's proper in the usual sense and  $\sigma(v) \in S(v) \ \forall v \in V$ .

The list-chromatic number (or choosability),  $\chi_l(G)$ , of G is the least s such that every S as above with  $|S(v)| = s \forall v$  admits an S-legal coloring. Note  $\chi_l \geq \chi$  is trivial and the inequality can be strict (e.g.  $\chi_l(K_{33}) = 3$ ).]

2. Show that  $\chi_{l}(G) \leq \lfloor \log_{2} n \rfloor + 1$  (=: t, say) for any n-vertex bigraph G (say with bipartition  $X \cup Y$ ).

[A-S, 2.7.9]

3. Show that if  $\chi(G) = \chi$ , then for any S (as above) with  $|S(v)| = t \forall v$ , there's an S-legal coloring of at least

$$[1 - (1 - 1/\chi)^t]n$$

vertices (where n = |V(G)|).

Easy once found. In the background there's this lovely problem:

Conjecture. If  $\chi_l(G) = s \ge t$ , then for any S with  $|S(v)| = t \forall v$ , there is an S-legal coloring of at least (t/s)n vertices.

Note that the t/s can't be improved in general and—*exercise* (not to be handed in)—the conjecture is true when t|s. As far as I know it's open in all other cases, e.g. (s,t) = (3,2).]

4. For a graph G, let  $G_p$  be the random subgraph gotten by keeping edges independently, each with probability p (e.g. when  $G = K_n$ ,  $G_p = G_{n,p}$ ).

Show that there is a fixed c > 0 (c = 1/2 will do) for which: if G = (V, E), |V| = n and  $\chi(G) = \chi$ , then for  $H = G_{1/2}$  (and  $\log = \log_2$ ),

$$\mathbb{P}(\chi(H) < c\chi/\log n) = o(1).$$

[Again easy once found, I'm not sure how easy to find. Try to show that  $\chi(H) < c\chi/\log n$  implies some other unlikely event. You're allowed to use:

Proposition. For any graph H with chromatic number  $\chi$ , there is some  $W \subseteq V(H)$  with  $\delta(H[W]) \geq \chi - 1$  (where  $\delta$  is minimum degree).

(The proof is a nice exercise if unfamiliar, but not part of the problem.)]

5. Show: For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an *m* such that if *X* is a finite set,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^X$  is nontrivial (i.e.  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset, 2^X$ ) and increasing, and  $p = p_c(\mathcal{F})$ , then:

if 
$$q > mp$$
 then  $\mu_q(\mathcal{F}) > 1 - \varepsilon$ , and if  $q < p/m$  then  $\mu_q(\mathcal{F}) < \varepsilon$ .

[Recall  $p_c(\mathcal{F})$  is defined by  $\mu_{p_c(\mathcal{F})}(\mathcal{F}) = 1/2$ . This is a sequence-free version of the fact that for any sequence of finite sets  $\{X_n\}$  and nontrivial, increasing  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq 2^{X_n}, p_c(\mathcal{F}_n)$  is a threshold in the Erdős-Rényi sense.]

6. An important though easy fact: for any graph G on vertex set V, there's a partition  $X \cup Y$  of V such that

$$|\nabla_G(X,Y)| \ge |G|/2$$

(where  $\nabla_G(X, Y) = \{e \in G : e \cap X \neq \emptyset \neq e \cap Y\}$ ; note we regard G as a set of edges).

*Proof.* If  $X \cup Y$  is a uniform partition of V, then  $\mathbb{E}|\nabla_G(X,Y)| = |G|/2$ .  $\Box$ 

[Here's the proof in too much detail, to set possibly useful notation: Let  $X \cup Y$  be a uniform partition of V, and for  $e \in G$  let  $Z_e = \mathbf{1}_{\{e \in \nabla_G(Z,Y)\}}$ . Then  $Z := \sum_{e \in G} Z_e = |\nabla_G(X,Y)|, \mathbb{E}Z_e = 1/2$  and  $\mathbb{E}Z = |G|/2$ .]

And finally the problem: show that if G, H are *two* graphs on V and  $\min\{|G|, |H|\}$  is sufficiently large, then there is a partition  $V = X \cup Y$  with

$$|\nabla_G(X,Y)| \ge .49|G|$$
 and  $|\nabla_H(X,Y)| \ge .49|H|$ .

7. Let T be the r-branching tree of depth n; thus T has a root, say  $\rho$ , at level 0, and for  $i \in [n]$ , each vertex at level i - 1 has r children at level i. (We'd usually think of a fixed  $r \geq 2$  and large n, but the problem is general.)

Let  $T_p$  be the random subtree of T in which each edge of T is present with probability p independent of other choices. (This is *percolation* on T.) Let Q be the event that  $T_p$  contains a path from  $\rho$  to some leaf of T. Show that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta = \delta_{\varepsilon} > 0$  (*not* depending on r, n) such that if  $p = (1 + \varepsilon)/r$  then

$$\mathbb{P}(Q) > \delta.$$

[Please use the following variant of Chebyshev's Inequality (a special case of the *Paley-Zygmund Inequality*).

Proposition. For any nonnegative r.v. X,  $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) \ge \mu^2 / \mathbb{E}X^2$ 

(where  $\mu = \mu_X$ ). More generally: for any X,  $\mathbb{P}(X = 0) \leq \sigma_X^2 / \mathbb{E}X^2$ . The proof is a good small exercise using Cauchy-Schwarz (not to be handed in).

Suggested notation: v, w, x, y for vertices of T; L for the set of leaves of T;  $P_v$  for the (unique)  $(\rho, v)$ -path in T; |v| for the depth of v in T;  $v \wedge w$  for the last vertex in  $P_v \cap P_w$  (the most recent common ancestor of v and w); and  $v \leq w$  for "v is an *ancestor* of w" (so " $\wedge$ " and " $\leq$ " apparently think of T growing *upward*).

Solution: don't waste time justifying steps that are clearly okay.]