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## University-wide Instructor Questions

Weight of responses: $1=\mathrm{SD}$ (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), $3=\mathrm{N}$ (Neutral), $4=\mathrm{A}$ (Agree), $5=\mathrm{SA}$ (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

|  | SD |  | N | A | SA | Resp | Section | Course | Level | Dept |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The instructor Joseph Guadagni was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 29 | 34 | 4.85 | 4.32 | 4.36 | 4.35 |
| The instructor Joseph Guadagni responded effectively to student comments and questions. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 21 | 34 | 4.59 | 4.21 | 4.25 | 4.23 |
| The instructor Joseph Guadagni generated interest in the course material. | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 19 | 34 | 4.38 | 4.07 | 4.10 | 4.07 |
| The instructor Joseph Guadagni had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material. | 0 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 34 | 4.38 | 4.35 | 4.37 | 4.35 |
| The instructor Joseph Guadagni assigned grades fairly. | 0 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 34 | 4.09 | 4.27 | 4.24 | 4.21 |
| The instructional methods of Joseph Guadagni encouraged student learning. | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 18 | 34 | 4.32 | 4.04 | 4.09 | 4.06 |

## Teaching Effectiveness

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

|  | P | F | A | G | E | Resp | Section | Course |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Level | Dept |
| :--- |
| I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor Joseph Guadagni <br> as: |

## University-wide Course Questions

Weight of responses: $1=S D$ (Strongly Disagree), $2=\mathrm{D}$ (Disagree), $3=\mathrm{N}$ (Neutral), $4=\mathrm{A}$ (Agree), $5=\mathrm{SA}$ (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

|  | SD | D | N | A | SA | Resp | Section | Course |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Level | Dept |
| :---: |
| I learned a great deal in this course. |
| I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to <br> take this course. |

## Course Quality

Weight of responses: $1=\mathrm{P}$ (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

|  | P | F | A | G | E | Resp | Section | Course | Level | Dept |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I rate the overall quality of the course as: | 0 | 1 | 5 | 17 | 12 | 35 | 4.14 | 3.73 | 3.84 | 3.87 |

## What do you like best about this course?

These comments are intended for all instructors.

| Comments |
| :--- |
| The material is taught at a good pace for students to keep up with. |
| The subject |
| N/A |
| layout of examples and explaination |
| The material was presented in a clear, organized manner. |
| The practice materials I was provided to help me study for the exams. |
| its math |
| The instructor's method of teaching. |
| dr g is really cool i liked his teaching style in teaching us WHY we are doing what we are doing no one ever taught me that before <br> and I have taken calculus in high school dr g if you are reading this im a little scared of u because u roast people sometimes but u <br> are a mad good teacher. im so glad I had you and not anyone else <br> I like how I always have materials if I want to practice more problems/if I feel the need to do so. <br> I love the lectures because they are really detailed and just very effective. <br> Dr.G made a lot of difference, I care little for math as a whole, but I looked forward to lectures every week. <br> The business questions. |

## If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

These comments are intended for all instructors.

| Comments |
| :--- |
| none |
| I would not downcurve test scores |
| The grading, having no partial credit is very harsh |
| N/A |
| Add more example in which student can attempt independently and get back to the lecturer |
| I would put more of an emphasis on student growth and understanding rather than merely getting through the lectures. |
| Discuss the grading scheme and syllabus in class, at least once. |
| I would probably plan out the Midterms at least week away from the last lecture that will be included in the test. |
| easier test |
| Give more time for the quizzes and provide extra credit opportunities. |
| The format of midterm and final exams seems poorly designed. Fill in the blank questions, which comprised almost half of the <br> exam, were graded as either correct or incorrect, with no partial credit offered. While this teaches students who often make careless <br> errors a lesson, it does not allow for accurate grading - a student who leaves a question blank will be awarded no points, as will a <br> student who understands the concept and simply switches a negative sign for a positive one, and is therefore not an accurate <br> measure of their ability. For a subject that prides itself on accuracy, and for a question that demands total accuracy from a student, it <br> seems antithetical that the point values assigned do not reflect similar accuracy, but are rather a poor and inaccurate estimate of <br> ability. |
| nothing dawg its awesome |
| Nothing |
| get a better recitation teacher hahahahaha |
| N/A | | I would get rid of recitation quizzes or make them easier to allow for more emphasis on practice and deep understanding of the |
| :--- |
| material. I would also switch off of the platform mathXL as it is very expensive and in the end of the day has very little impact on your |
| grade with respect for the large price that students must pay. |

## In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor Joseph Guadagni encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

These comments are unique to the instructor Joseph Guadagni.

## Comments

great explanations
His method of teaching is effective in my opinion and works for me.
Encouraged me to challenge myself and solve more difficult problems
N/A
Provided good example to encourage the use of theorems and method of solving said problems and would do a good job in helping the students understand it.
Dr. G was amazing! Provided with various studying materials and was very knowledgeable about the content. Zero complaints!
The instructor taught the material well however, I was not sure if the exams were graded fairly.
Providing the notes online helps me learn because I can look back at them if I get confused or for further clarification of what I didn't fully understand during lecture.

I liked the way that he teaches. I would say its like "tough love" and it just shows me that I actually need to work for the grades that I want and I am not going to get babied in this course like a lot of us did in high school.

His office hours were very useful and he provided many avenues to reach him outside of the classroom. The only thing I would change would be more student led questions in class where students do the questions first and then Dr. G would go over them.

## Other comments or suggestions:

These comments are intended for all instructors.

| Comments |
| :--- |
| none |
| N/A |
| gg |
| none |
| Overall a fairly good course. |
| Dr. G your notes are really nice |
| none |
| N/A |

