## 640:192:01 Part III: playing with calculus on maple 9/1/2005

The basic calculus commands do differentiation and integration. Let's try them.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{diff}\left(3 x^{\wedge} 7-22.1 x^{\wedge} 2, x\right) \text { RET } \\
& \operatorname{int}(x * \operatorname{sqrt}(x+2), x) \mathbf{R E T}
\end{aligned}
$$

This integration is not easy: maple either substituted or it integrated by parts (it can do both). Writing the * in forces maple to recognize variables. I've done some "experiments" and the results suggest that writing *'s rather than having maple guess is most useful.
maple likely knows all the functions you do, and many others. The function $\sin \left(x^{2} \sqrt{x+1}\right)$ and the calculus rules are known. Let's assign this expression a name and then play.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q:=\sin \left(x^{\wedge} 2 * \operatorname{sqrt}(x+1)\right) \text { RET } \\
& \operatorname{diff(}(Q, x) \mathbf{R E T}
\end{aligned}
$$

This should get the first derivative. How many ways can you think of to get the second derivative? First, immediately after the command and response above, type diff(\% ,x) Ret
This will do it. For an independent computation, try the command line $\operatorname{diff}(Q, x, x)$ RET
How about the tenth derivative? First type x $\$ 10$ RET
to see what $\$$ means. Now you find the tenth derivative of the function $\sin \left(x^{2} \sqrt{x+1}\right)$. Realize (even if the tenth derivative of this function is needed) why people end commands with : (which turns off the output) rather than displaying the output. You may want the results of a computation, but you may not have the need or desire to actually look at it! What is the coefficient of $x^{3}$ in the seventh derivative of $\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)^{5}$ ? First compute the indicated derivative. You'll get a mess. Then have maple massage the result algebraically so you can read off the answer. I'm an amateur and first tried expand(\%) and I also tried simplify (\%) and the results were different. Another way is to first expand $\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right)^{5}$ and then differentiate the result seven times. The answer should be the same!
Let's look at integration a bit more closely. Define $V$ to be $e^{\sin x}$ :

$$
V:=\exp (\sin (x)) \text { RET }
$$

Now let's integrate it. First (try this carefully!) type

$$
\operatorname{int}(V, w) \mathbf{R E T}
$$

and explain the result to yourself. Remember, a program will do what you tell it to do! Now try

$$
\operatorname{int}(V, x) \text { RET }
$$

and you may wait a bit and then have something else to explain. maple has the usual integration algorithms and many, many other antidifferentiation tricks. An answer like this is a fairly good hint that it "can't be done": that is, the antiderivative can't be expressed in terms of familiar functions with familiar ways of combining them, including sum, product, composition, ... even using the rather large collection of functions known to maple. Such results can be proved!
We can also compute definite integrals. For example,
$\operatorname{int}\left(x^{\wedge} 3, x=1 / 7 . . b\right)$ RET
computes $\int_{\frac{1}{7}}^{b} x^{3} d x$ (if you want it!). maple indicates a range (for integration and for other purposes) by the notation variable=lower_limit..upper_limit.
Remember $V$ ? Be sure maple does (check by typing $V$ ) and compute $\int_{0}^{1} e^{\sin x} d x$ by typing $\operatorname{int}(V, x=0 . .1)$ Ret
and consider the result. Disappointment is decreased if we follow that answer with evalf(\%); RET
You can evaluate $V$ itself with a command like

$$
\operatorname{subs}(\{x=3\}, V) \text { RET }
$$

followed by evalf(\%) RET
If you're not scared, you could have done this all together by typing $\operatorname{evalf}(\operatorname{subs}(\{x=3\}, V))$ RET
but sometimes I get confused by the matching required (in count and type) of all the parentheses. We could similarly evaluate a derivative of $V$ by differentiating with diff, substituting, and then evalfuating. Or we could define our own functions. Initially the syntax may seem burdensome, but here is a simple example.

$$
N:=x->\arctan \left(x^{\wedge} 3\right) \mathbf{R E T}
$$

So: call $N$ the function which takes the input $x$ and assigns to it $\arctan \left(x^{3}\right)$. Try this $N(2) ; N(5 * z)$ RET
which shows that maple believes $N$ is a function. Now type $\operatorname{diff}(N(x), x) ;$ RET
That's an expression and not a function. There's no way to "plug in" $K+3$ easily in it (yes, we could use the subs command, but that's cumbersome). So maple has another way to differentiate functions (such as $N$ ) rather than expressions (such as $V$ ). Try

## $D(N)$; Ret

and view the result. Indeed: call it by a new name, say, $M$ :

$$
M:=D(N) ; \mathbf{R E T}
$$

Now evaluate $M(3)$ and $M(K+3)$.
Please check the difference between

$$
\operatorname{int}(N(x), x) ; \text { RET }
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{int}(N, x) ; \mathbf{R E T}
$$

One of these has an answer that's fun to me (because I didn't have to compute it and can appreciate the work involved!) and the other seems silly: the function $N$ hasn't been told what to evaluate, so it can't be antidifferentiated.
The difference between "expressions" like $V$ and "functions" like $N$ can be subtle. Expressions seem more static, while functions have a more dynamic aspect - substituting is built into their structure.
maple also knows about such calculus topics as limits, sums, and series. If you need to work with any of these, at that time please try help(limit) and help(sum) and help(series). I almost always look at the examples first. Don't be afraid to try experiments, and look at the help pages if the command you are investigating doesn't do exactly what you want. It's time to graph.

