

MATH 300.2. INTRODUCTION TO
MATHEMATICAL REASONING.
FALL 2015.
FINAL EXAM

1. (30 points) Prove by the method of mathematical induction:

$$1 \cdot 2^2 + 2 \cdot 3^2 + \cdots + n(n+1)^2 = \frac{1}{12}n(n+1)(n+2)(3n+5).$$

$$n=1 \quad y = \frac{36}{12}$$

Inductive conditional:

$$S_n = \frac{1}{12} n(n+1)(n+2)(3n+5) \Rightarrow$$

$$S_{n+1} = \frac{1}{12} (n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(3n+8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_n = \dots \Rightarrow S_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{12} (n+1)(n+2) [n(3n+5) + 12(n+2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{12} (n+1)(n+2) [3n^2 + 17n + 24] \end{aligned}$$

$$(n+3)(3n+8) = 3n^2 + 17n + 24. \quad \text{True}$$

2. (30 points) Let us consider the sequence with the recursive definition $a_1 = 1$, $a_{k+1} = a_k + 2$.

1) Give the formula for a_n and prove it by the method of mathematical induction.

2) By the same method to prove the formula for the sum of n terms:

$$S_n = a_1 + \cdots + a_n = n^2.$$

$$1. \quad a_n = 2n - 1$$

$$a_1 = 1$$

$$a_k = 2k - 1 \Rightarrow a_{k+1} = a_k + 2 = 2k + 1 = 2(k+1) - 1$$

$$2. \quad S_k = k^2 \Rightarrow S_{k+1} = (k+1)^2$$

$$S_k = k^2 \Rightarrow S_{k+1} = k^2 + (2k+1) = (k+1)^2$$

3. (30 points) Prove the tautology

$$((P \vee Q) \wedge (\sim P \vee \sim Q)) \Leftrightarrow ((P \wedge \sim Q) \vee (\sim P \wedge Q)).$$

by 2 methods:

- using True tables;

- using Propositional Laws without Tables.

$$A \Leftrightarrow B$$

P	Q	A	B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
T	T	T F	F	T
F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	T

2) $A \Leftrightarrow (P \wedge (\sim P \vee \sim Q)) \vee (Q \wedge (\sim P \vee \sim Q)) \Leftrightarrow$

$$(\textcircled{F} \vee (P \vee \sim Q)) \vee ((Q \wedge \sim P) \vee \sim Q) \Leftrightarrow B$$

$$P \wedge \sim P = \textcircled{F}$$

distr.
distr.
comm.

4. (30 points) 1. Transform to the convenient form the formula.

$$\sim [(P \Leftrightarrow \sim (Q \wedge \sim R)) \Leftrightarrow (\sim S \Rightarrow T)].$$

Simplify the answer.

2. Transform it in a formula with only disjunctions and negations.

$$\sim (A \Leftrightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow (\sim A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee \sim B)$$

$$\sim (A \Rightarrow B) \Leftrightarrow A \wedge \sim B.$$

$$(\sim S \Rightarrow T) \wedge \sim (P \Leftrightarrow \sim (Q \wedge \sim R)) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(\sim S \Rightarrow T) \wedge [(\sim P \wedge \sim (Q \wedge \sim R)) \wedge (P \vee (Q \wedge \sim R))]$$

$$(\sim S \vee T) \wedge [(\sim P \vee Q \vee \sim Q \vee R) \wedge (P \vee (Q \wedge \sim R))]$$

$$\sim [\sim (S \vee \sim T) \vee \sim [(\sim P \vee Q \vee R) \wedge (P \vee (Q \wedge \sim R))]]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sim [\sim (S \vee \sim T) \vee (\sim P \vee \sim Q \vee \sim R) \vee \sim (P \vee (Q \wedge \sim R))]$$

5. (30 points) Determine whether each of the following is Tautology, Contradiction, or neither. Don't use True Tables.

$$((P \vee \sim Q) \Rightarrow P) \Leftrightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q);$$

$$(P \Rightarrow (Q \vee R)) \Leftrightarrow ((P \wedge \sim R) \Rightarrow Q);$$

$$F \wedge P.$$

1) $Q \Leftrightarrow$

$$Q \Leftrightarrow \sim(P \vee \sim Q) \vee P \Leftrightarrow (\sim P \wedge Q) \vee P \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(\sim P \vee P) \wedge (Q \vee P) \Leftrightarrow (T) \wedge (Q \vee P) \Leftrightarrow Q \vee P$$

$$Q \vee P \not\Leftrightarrow P \Rightarrow Q$$

neither

$$P = F, Q = T$$

$$P \Rightarrow Q = T$$

$$Q \vee P = F.$$

$$P = T, Q = F$$

$$= F$$

$$= F$$

2) $Q \Leftrightarrow \sim P \vee (Q \vee R) = \sim P \vee Q \vee R$

$$B \Leftrightarrow \sim(P \wedge \sim R) \vee Q \Leftrightarrow \sim P \vee R \vee Q$$

Tautology

3) $(F) \wedge P \Leftrightarrow (F)$

neither

6. (30 points) 1. Prove the tautology

$$(\exists x)(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \Leftrightarrow (\exists y)P(y) \vee (\exists z)Q(z);$$

2. Express the unique existential quantifier through other quantifiers and construct its convenient negation.

1. $A \Leftrightarrow B$

A is True iff $\exists$ for some x_0

$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$ is True. So $P(x_0) = T$ or

$$Q(x_0) = T.$$

If $P(x_0) = T$ then $(\exists y)P(y)$;

if $Q(x_0) = T$ then $(\exists z)Q(z)$.

So in both cases B is True.

$$2. (\exists! x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x)A(x) \wedge (\forall y)(\forall z)[A(y) \wedge A(z) \Rightarrow y=z]$$

$$\sim (\exists! x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x)\sim A(x) \vee (\exists y)(\exists z)[A(y) \wedge A(z) \wedge y \neq z]$$

7. (30 points) State using predicates and quantifiers and prove on this language that $n^2 + 1$ for natural n is never multiple 3.

$$(\forall n) 3 \nmid (n^2 + 1) \quad (IN).$$

Lemma. $(\forall n) 3 \mid n^2 \vee (\exists k) n = 3k + 1 \vee (\exists l) n = 3l + 2$

Corollary. $(\forall n) 3 \mid n^2 \vee (\exists m) n^2 = 3m + 1$.

$$3 \mid n \Rightarrow 3 \mid n^2$$

$$(3k + 1)^2 = 9k^2 + 6k + 1 \Rightarrow (\exists m) n^2 = 3m + 1$$

$$m = 3k^2 + 2k.$$

Proof. $3 \mid n^2 \vee \nexists n^2 = 3m + 1 \Rightarrow n^2 + 1 = 3l + 1 \vee n^2 + 1 = 3l + 2$

8. (30 points) For which x we have $x^2 - 3x - 10 > 0$; give the complete description. Use predicates at Proof.

$$x^2 - 3x - 10 = (x-5)(x+2)$$

$$x^2 - 3x - 10 > 0 \Leftrightarrow \cancel{x < 5 \wedge x < -2} \quad x < -2 \vee x > 5.$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2 - 3x - 10) > 0 &\Leftrightarrow (x-5)(x+2) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x-5 > 0 \wedge x+2 > 0) \vee (x-5 < 0 \wedge x+2 < 0) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (x > 5 \wedge x > -2) \vee (x < 5 \wedge x < -2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x > 5 \vee x < -2. \end{aligned}$$

Result: $(x^2 - 3x - 10) > 0 \Leftrightarrow x > 5 \vee x < -2.$

9. (30 points) 1. Give predicative definitions what does mean that a sequence is

-bounded;

-convergent.

2. Prove that any convergent sequence is bounded.

$$1. (\exists M)(\forall n) |x_n| < M$$

$$(\exists L)(\forall \varepsilon) \varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow [(\exists N)(\forall n)(n > N \Rightarrow |x_n - L| < \varepsilon)]$$

2.

$$(\exists L) \dots$$

Take L and $\varepsilon = 1$. Then

$$L-1 < x_n < L+1 \text{ for } n > N = N(1).$$

Finite number $x_n, n \leq N$, bounded:

$$(\exists P) |x_n| < P.$$

Take $M = \max(L, P)$.

10. (30 points) 1) Give the predicative definition that a sequence is
 -unbounded;
 -divergent.
 2) Find limits if $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the following sequences, if they exist, and
 prove the existence or non existence, using directly the definitions:

$$\frac{n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 1}, \frac{3^n + 2^n}{2^{2n} + \sin^2 x}, 2^n.$$

Unbounded:

$$(\forall M) (\exists n) |x_n| \geq M$$

Divergent:

$$(\forall L) (\exists \varepsilon) \varepsilon > 0 \wedge [(\forall N) (\exists n) n > N \wedge |x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon]$$

2. 1) $L = 1$

$$|x_n - L| = \left| \frac{n^2 - 1}{n^2 + 1} - 1 \right| = \frac{2}{n^2 + 1} < \frac{2}{n} < \varepsilon, \quad N(\varepsilon) = \left\lfloor \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \right\rfloor$$

2) $L = 0$

$$\left| \frac{3^n + 2^n}{2^{2n} + \sin^2 x} \right| < \frac{2 \cdot 3^n}{4^n} < \varepsilon \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Leftrightarrow n(\ln 3 - \ln 4) < \ln \varepsilon$$

$$n \ln 4 > n(\ln 4 - \ln 3) > -\ln \varepsilon \quad N(\varepsilon) = \left\lceil -\frac{\ln \varepsilon}{\ln 4} \right\rceil$$

2^n - divergent.

2^n - unbounded: $\forall M > 0, n > \log_2 M \Rightarrow 2^n > M$.

$\lim 2^n = L \Rightarrow n > N(1) \Rightarrow 2^n < L - 1$.