

MATH 300.1. INTRODUCTION TO
MATHEMATICAL REASONING.
FALL 2015.
FINAL EXAM

1. (30 points) Prove by the method of mathematical induction:

$$S_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n^2} \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Base: $n=1$. $S_1 = 1 \leq 2 - 1 = 1$;

Conditional (near inductive):

$$S_k \leq 2 - \frac{1}{k} \Rightarrow S_{k+1} \leq 2 - \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

$$S_k \Rightarrow S_{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} + 2 - \frac{1}{k} = 2 - \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} > \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k+1}$$

$$S_{k+1} \leq 2 - \frac{1}{k+1}$$

2. (30 points) Let us consider the arithmetic sequence with the recursive definition $a_{k+1} = a_k + d$.

1) Give the formula for a_n through a_1 and d and prove it by the method of mathematical induction.

2) By the same method to prove the formula for the sum of n terms:

$$S_n = a_1 + \cdots + a_n = \frac{(2a_1 + d(n-1))n}{2}.$$

$$1. a_k = a_1 + d(k-1)$$

$$a_1 = a_1$$

$$a_k = d(k-1) + a_1 \Rightarrow a_{k+1} = a_1 + dk$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k+1} &= S_k + a_{k+1} = (a_1 + d(k-1)) + a_{k+1} \\ &= (2a_1 + d(k-1)) + a_1 + dk \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} &= a_k + d = a_1 + dk \\ &= a_1 + dk + d = a_1 + d(k+1) \end{aligned}$$

$$2) S_n = \underbrace{\frac{(2a_1 + d(n-1))n}{2}}_{\text{for } k} + a_1 + dk \Rightarrow S_{k+1} =$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{(2a_1 + d(n-1))n}{2}}_{\text{for } k} + a_1 + dk$$

$$= a_1(n+1) + \frac{d(n+1)n}{2}$$

3. (30 points) Prove the tautology

$$((P \wedge Q) \vee (\sim P \wedge \sim Q)) \Leftrightarrow ((P \vee \sim Q) \wedge (\sim P \vee Q)).$$

by 2 methods:

- using True tables;
- using Propositional Laws without Tables.

$$A \Leftrightarrow B$$

P	Q	A	B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$
T	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	F

2. $B \Leftrightarrow [(P \wedge \sim P) \vee (\sim Q \wedge Q)] \vee$

$$[(P \wedge Q) \vee (\sim Q \wedge Q)]$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [F \vee (\sim P \wedge \sim Q)] \vee [F \vee (P \wedge Q)]$$

distr. laws +
commute.

$$P \wedge \sim P$$

$$Q \vee \sim Q$$

$$P \wedge Q$$

$$P \vee Q$$

4. (30 points) 1. Transform to the convenient form the formula.

$$\sim [(P \Rightarrow \sim (Q \wedge \sim R)) \Leftrightarrow (\sim S \Rightarrow T)].$$

Simplify the answer.

2. Transform it a formula with only conjunctions and negations.

$$1. (a \Leftrightarrow b) \Leftrightarrow (a \wedge b) \vee (\sim a \wedge \sim b)$$

$$\sim (a \Leftrightarrow b) \Leftrightarrow (\sim a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee \sim b)$$

$$(a \Rightarrow b) \Leftrightarrow \sim a \vee b; \sim (a \Rightarrow b) \Leftrightarrow a \wedge \sim b$$

$$\dots [\sim (P \Rightarrow \sim (Q \wedge \sim R)) \vee (\sim S \Rightarrow T)] \wedge$$

$$[(P \Rightarrow \sim (Q \wedge \sim R)) \vee \sim (\sim S \Rightarrow T)] \Leftrightarrow$$

$$[(P \wedge (Q \wedge \sim R)) \vee (S \vee T)] \wedge$$

$$[(\sim P \vee (\sim Q \vee R)) \vee (\sim S \wedge \sim T)] \Leftrightarrow$$

$$[(P \wedge Q \wedge \sim R) \vee S \vee T] \wedge [(\sim P \vee \sim Q \vee R) \wedge \sim S \wedge$$

$$2 \sim [\sim P [(P \wedge Q \wedge \sim R) \wedge \sim S \wedge \sim T] \wedge [\sim (P \wedge Q \wedge \sim R) \wedge \sim S \wedge$$

5. (30 points) Determine whether each of the following is Tautology, Contradiction, or neither. Don't use True Tables.

$$2) ((P \wedge \sim Q) \Rightarrow P) \Leftrightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q); \quad \text{neither}$$

$$1) ((P \wedge \sim Q) \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow (P \Rightarrow Q); \quad \text{tautology}$$

$$3) \sim(F \Rightarrow P).$$

$$1) ((P \wedge \sim Q) \Rightarrow Q) \Leftrightarrow \sim(P \wedge \sim Q) \vee Q \Rightarrow$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sim P \vee \sim \sim Q \vee Q \Leftrightarrow \sim P \vee Q \Leftrightarrow P \Rightarrow Q.$$

$$2) \sim(P \wedge \sim Q) \vee P \Rightarrow \sim P \vee \sim Q \vee P \Leftrightarrow \top$$

neither $\top \not\vdash P \Rightarrow Q$

$$3) \sim(\top) \wedge P = \top \quad \text{contradiction}$$

6. (30 points) 1. Prove the tautology

$$(\forall x)[P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)] \Rightarrow [(\forall x)P(x) \Rightarrow (\forall y)Q(y)] \quad (\rightarrow)$$

2. Is it

$$(\forall x)[P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)] \Leftarrow [(\forall x)P(x) \Rightarrow (\forall y)Q(y)]$$

tautology? Give detailed explanations.

1. $A \Rightarrow B$. We need show that if $A = T$ then

$$B = T. \quad \text{for some } P, Q,$$

Let $A = T$: If $P(x_0) = T$ then $Q(x_0) = T$, (1)

What is mean $B = T$, If $P(x) = T$ then $Q(x) = T$ (2).

Proof. Take any P, Q .

- If they don't satisfy (1) then $A = F$ and (2) is True.

- If they (1) isn't true is true, $A = T$ and (2) isn't true ($P = T$ but $Q \neq T$) then B and $P(x) = T$ then $Q(x) = T$.

- If $P(x) \neq T$ then $B = T$.

2. Let $P(x) \neq T$, F and $Q(x) = \sim P(x)$, Then $B = T$ but $A = F$ (if $P(x_0) = T$ then $Q(x_0) = F$, $P(x_0) \Rightarrow Q(x_0) = F$)

7. (30 points) State using predicates and quantifiers and prove on this language that for natural m, n if $3|(mn + 1)$ then $3|(m + n)$.
2. Is the inverse Theorem True?

$$3|m(n+1) \Rightarrow 3|m+n.$$

Lemma. $(\forall n) 3|n \vee (\exists k) n=3k+1 \vee (\exists l) n=3l+2$

1. $\overset{3|n}{3|n} \Rightarrow 3 \nmid mn+1.$

2. $n=3k+1 \vee m=3l+1 \Rightarrow mn=3p+1 \Rightarrow 3 \nmid (mn+1)$

The same $n=3k-1 \vee m=3l-1$.

3. $n=3k+1 \wedge m=3l-1 \Rightarrow mn=3p-1 \Rightarrow 3 \nmid mn.$

 $m+n=3r \Rightarrow 3|m+n$

4. The inverse is False; $3|m \wedge 3|n \Rightarrow 3|m+n \wedge 3 \nmid mn+1$

8. (30 points) Prove that $-x^2 - x + 6 < 0$ iff $x < -3 \vee x > 2$. Use predicates at Proof.

$$-x^2 - x + 6 < 0 \Leftrightarrow x < -3 \vee x > 2.$$

$$-(x+3)(x-2) < 0 \Leftrightarrow [(x+3) > 0 \wedge (x-2) < 0] \quad \text{or} \quad [(x+3) < 0 \wedge (x-2) > 0]$$

$$[(x+3) < 0 \wedge (x-2) < 0] \Leftrightarrow (x-2) > 0 \wedge (x+3) < 0$$

9. (30 points) 1. Give predicative definitions what does mean that a sequence is

-restricted; bounded

-convergent.

2. Prove the any convergent sequence is bounded.

$$\forall (\exists A)(\exists B)(\forall n) \quad a < x_n < b \quad | \quad \begin{matrix} \text{or} \\ (\exists M)(\forall n) |x_n| < M \\ n > N \Rightarrow \end{matrix}$$

$$\forall (\exists L) \quad \forall (\forall \varepsilon) \varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow [(\exists N)(\forall n) |x_n - L| < \varepsilon]$$

2. x_n - convergent. Then

~~$$(\exists L)(\forall n) \quad n > N \Rightarrow |x_n - L| < \varepsilon$$~~

$$(\exists L) \quad \dots$$

Take L and $\varepsilon = 1$. Then

$$L-1 < x_n < L+1 \quad \text{for } n > N(1).$$

Finite number of x_n , $n < N$, is bounded
 $(\exists C)(\exists D) \quad n < N \Rightarrow C < x_n < D$.

Take $A = \min(L-1, C)$, $B = \max(L+1, D)$.

10. (30 points) 1) Give the predicative definition that a sequence is
 -unbounded;
 -divergent.

2) Find limits if $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the following sequences, if they exist, and prove the existence or non existence, using directly the definitions:

$$\frac{n-1}{n(n+1)}; \frac{3^n - 2^n}{2^{2n} + 5^n}, \cos n.$$

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n-1}{n(n+1)} = 0$

$$|\alpha_n - 0| = \frac{n-1}{n(n+1)} < \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon; N(\varepsilon) = \lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rceil$$

2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} = 0$

$$|\alpha_n - 0| < \frac{3^n}{4^n} = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n < \varepsilon, \text{ when } \ln \frac{3}{4} < \ln \varepsilon$$

$$n(\ln 3 - \ln 4) < \ln \varepsilon$$

$$n \ln 4 > n(\ln 4 - \ln 3) > \ln \frac{4}{3} - \ln 4 > \varepsilon$$

$$N(\varepsilon) = \lceil -\frac{\ln \varepsilon}{\ln 4} \rceil.$$

3. $\{\cos n\}$, Subsequences $(-1)^n, 1^n$.

$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$. $(\forall \varepsilon)$ ~~for~~ $|L - \varepsilon| < \alpha < L + \varepsilon$

can't contain both ± 1 .

$(\exists a)(\forall b)(\forall c) (\exists n) \quad x_n > b \vee x_n < c.$

$(\forall n)(\exists \varepsilon) \varepsilon > 0 \wedge [(\forall N)(\exists n) \quad n > N \wedge |x_n - L| > \varepsilon]$