

YTD conjecture for generalized Kähler solitons and applications

Chi Li

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University

Xiamen University, June 17, 2021

- 1 Generalized Kähler solitons on Fano varieties
- 2 Application to Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric
(revisit the work of Apostolov-Calderbank-Jubert-Lahdili)

Moment map of torus actions on projective manifolds

- X a projective manifold. $L \rightarrow X$ an ample holomorphic line bundle.

Kähler metric $\omega = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i,j} \omega_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j > 0$ in $2\pi \cdot c_1(L)$.

- $T \cong (S^1)^r$, with Lie algebra $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, acts holomorphically on (X, L)

Hamiltonian action: ω is T -invariant and there exists a moment map:

$$\mathbf{m} : X \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee} \cong \mathbb{R}^r, \quad \iota_{\xi} \omega = d\langle \mathbf{m}, \xi \rangle \quad \text{for any } \xi \in N_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

- Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg: the image $\mathbf{m}(X)$ is a convex polytope (which can be recovered by algebraic data using weight decompositions).

Duistermaat-Heckman measure: $\text{DH}_T := \mathbf{m}_*(\omega^n)$ does not depend on the Kähler form in the same Kähler class. \Rightarrow For any smooth function g on \mathbb{R}^r ,

$$V_g := \int_X g(\mathbf{m}) \omega^n = \int_P g(x) \text{DH}_T \quad \text{is independent of } \omega \in [\omega].$$

Fano manifolds

- X Fano: $-K_X := \wedge^n T_{hol} X$ is ample, $c_1(X) = c_1(-K_X) > 0$.
Local holomorphic frame: $\partial_z = \partial_{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial_{z_n}$, $dz = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$.
- Hermitian metric on $-K_X \longleftrightarrow$ volume form

$$h_\varphi = h_0 e^{-\varphi} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \Omega_\varphi = |\partial_z|_{h_\varphi}^2 (\sqrt{-1})^{n^2} dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

Chern curvature:

$$Ric(\Omega_\varphi) = Ric(\Omega_0) + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi = \omega_\varphi \in 2\pi c_1(X).$$

Space of Kähler potentials:

$$\mathcal{H}(\omega_0) = \left\{ \varphi \in C^\infty(X); \quad \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi > 0 \right\}.$$

- holomorphic vector field $v \longrightarrow$ a canonical Hamiltonian function:

$$\theta_v(\varphi) = -\frac{\mathcal{L}_v \Omega_\varphi}{\Omega_\varphi} \quad \implies \quad \iota_v \omega_\varphi = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\theta}_v(\varphi).$$

If $v = \frac{1}{2}(-J\xi - \sqrt{-1}\xi)$, $\theta_v(\varphi)$ is real $\iff \xi$ is Killing w.r.t. ω_φ .

- Moment map of $T = (S^1)^r$ action with respect to ω_φ :

$$\mathbf{m}_\varphi : X \longrightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}, \quad \langle \mathbf{m}_\varphi, \xi \rangle = \theta_{v_\xi}(\varphi).$$

$v_\kappa = \frac{1}{2}(-J\xi_\kappa - \sqrt{-1}\xi_\kappa)$: κ -th generator of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$

$$\mathbf{m}_\varphi = (\theta_{\kappa,0} + v_\kappa(\varphi))_{\kappa=1}^r : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r.$$

- Let $g : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R} > 0$ be a smooth function. g -soliton equation:

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{m}_\varphi)(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n &= e^{-\varphi}\Omega_0 \\ \iff Ric(\omega_\varphi) - \omega_\varphi &= \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\log g(\mathbf{m}_\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Examples: $g = \exp(\langle x, \xi \rangle)$: Kähler-Ricci soliton; $g = 1$: Kähler-Einstein.

$g(x) = 1 + \langle x - \bar{x}, \xi \rangle$: Mabuchi soliton

$g = (c + \langle x, \xi \rangle)^{-n-2}$: Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric (Apostolov et al.)

Futaki invariant and Matsushima type result

- Set $g_\varphi := g(\mathbf{m}_\varphi)$ and $f_\varphi = \log g_\varphi$. For any holomorphic vector field v

$$\text{Fut}_g(v) := \int_X v(-\log \frac{\omega_\varphi^n}{\Omega_\varphi} - f_\varphi) e^{g_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^n = - \int_X \theta_v(\varphi) g_\varphi \omega_\varphi^n.$$

Fact: Fut_g does not depend on the choice of $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(L)$.

\exists g -soliton in $\implies \text{Fut}_g \equiv 0$.

- There is a generalized Matsushima reductivity result:

Theorem

If (X, \mathbb{T}) admits a g -soliton, then the following group is reductive:

$$\text{Aut}(X, \mathbb{T}) = \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X); t \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ t \forall t \in \mathbb{T}\}. \quad (1)$$

This follows from the identity:

$$\text{aut}(X, \mathbb{T}) \cong \{\theta \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C})^T; (\Delta + \nabla f)\theta = -\theta\}. \quad (2)$$

- X : toric manifold: $\mathbb{T} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ has an open orbit \longleftrightarrow moment polytope P
 X : toric Fano manifold \longleftrightarrow reflexive polytope $P \ni 0$

$$P: \quad \ell_{n_i}(x) = \langle x, n_i \rangle \geq -1, \quad i = 1, \dots, K.$$

- Holomorphic vector field $\longleftrightarrow \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\text{Fut}_g(\zeta) = \int_P \langle x, \zeta \rangle g dx = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad g\text{-weighted barycenter} = 0.$$

If $g = f(\langle x, \xi \rangle)$ for a strictly convex f , this determines ξ uniquely.

Examples: $f = \exp$ (KR soliton); $f = \frac{1}{(c+t)^d}$ for any $c > 0$ and $d > 0$.

Theorem (Han-L., a consequence of YTD theorem)

For toric manifolds, there exists a g -soliton iff the g -weighted barycenter=0.

- For Kähler-Ricci soliton this was proved by Wang-Zhu (2000).

Energy functionals and coercivity

- g -weighted functionals generalizing the unweighted case:

$$\mathbf{E}_g(\varphi) = \frac{1}{V_g} \int_0^1 dt \int_X \varphi \omega_{t\varphi}^n, \quad \mathbf{\Lambda}_g(\varphi) = \frac{1}{V_g} \int_X \varphi g_{\varphi_0} \omega_0^n$$

$$\mathbf{I}_g(\varphi) = \int_X \varphi (g_{\varphi_0} \omega_{\varphi_0}^n - g_{\varphi} \omega_{\varphi}^n), \quad \mathbf{J}_g(\varphi) = \mathbf{\Lambda}_g(\varphi) - \mathbf{E}_g(\varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{L}(\varphi) = -\log \left(\int_X e^{-\varphi} \Omega_0 \right), \quad \mathbf{D}_g(\varphi) = -\mathbf{E}_g(\varphi) + \mathbf{L}(\varphi)$$

$$\mathbf{M}_g(\varphi) = \frac{1}{V_g} \int_X \log \frac{g_{\varphi} \omega_{\varphi}^n}{\Omega_0} g_{\varphi} \omega_{\varphi}^n - (\mathbf{I}_g - \mathbf{J}_g)(\varphi).$$

- Automorphisms: $\text{Aut}(X, \mathbb{T}) = \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}(X); \sigma \cdot t = t \cdot \sigma, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$
 $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$: maximal torus of $\text{Aut}(X, \mathbb{T})$; T : maximal compact torus of $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$.
- \mathbf{F}_g is **reduced coercive** if $\exists \gamma, C > 0$ s.t. $\forall \tilde{T}$ -invariant $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}(\omega_0)$

$$\mathbf{F}_g(\varphi) \geq \gamma \cdot \inf_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathbb{T}}} \mathbf{J}_g(\sigma^* \omega_{\varphi}) - C.$$

Analytic criterion

Theorem (Han-L., generalize Tian, Tian-Zhu, Phong-Song-Sturm-Weinkove, Darvas-Rubinstein)

The following are equivalent:

- 1 (X, \mathbb{T}) admits a g -soliton.
- 2 \mathbf{D}_g is reduced coercive.
- 3 \mathbf{M}_g is reduced coercive.

- 1 \rightarrow 2: generalize the argument of Darvas-Rubinstein based on the convexity and uniqueness:

Theorem (Berndtsson, BBEGZ)

\mathbf{L} is convex along geodesic segment in \mathcal{E}_g^1 . It is affine iff the geodesic is generated by a holomorphic vector field that commutes with \mathbb{T} .

2 \rightarrow 3: $\mathbf{D}_g \leq \mathbf{M}_g$.

3 \rightarrow 2: A duality argument.

2 \rightarrow 1: Variational argument

g -Monge-Ampère measure for singular potentials

- $\varphi \in L^1(\omega_0^n)$ is ω_0 -psh if it is u.s.c. and $\psi + \varphi$ is psh ($\omega_0 = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\psi$).

$$\text{PSH}(\omega_0) = \{\varphi; \varphi \text{ is } \omega_0\text{-psh}\}.$$

Non-pluripolar product:

$$(\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\max\{\varphi, -j\})^n.$$

- $g = \prod_{\kappa} (x_{\kappa} + c)^{d_{\kappa}}$ such that $P + c(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^r$, then

$$\int_X f g_{\varphi} (\omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = \int_{X^{[d]}} (f^T)^{[d]} \left(\sum_{\kappa} \theta_{\kappa}(\varphi) \omega_{\text{FS}, \kappa} + \omega_{\varphi} \right)^{n+d}.$$

- General g , find polynomials $g_j \rightarrow g$ uniformly and set:

$$\int_X f g_{\varphi} \omega_{\varphi}^n = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_X f(g_j)_{\varphi} \omega_{\varphi}^n.$$

Fibration construction

- $P \rightarrow B$: hol. line bundle with Hermitian metric h_P ; \bar{P} circle bundle.
 $L \rightarrow X$: hol. line bundle with \mathbb{C}^* -action, S^1 -invariant Hermitian metric h_L
 $(Y, F) = (P^* \times (X, L))/\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow B$: associated holomorphic fibre bundle.
- Induced horizontal distribution and splitting $TY = \pi^*TB \oplus TX$.
Induced Hermitian metric h_F on F whose Chern curvature at \bar{P} :

$$\sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\partial \log h_F = \pi^*(\theta_v \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\partial \log h_P) + \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\partial \log h_L.$$

The right-hand-side is considered as an equivariantly closed form on X .

- Example:

- $(P \rightarrow B) = (\mathbb{P}^{d+1} \setminus \mathbb{P}_\infty^d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k)$, $\bar{P} \cong \mathbb{S}^{2d+1}$, $P^* = \mathbb{C}^{d+1} \setminus \{0\}$.

Curvature: $\theta_v(\varphi)\omega_{\text{FS}} + \omega_\varphi$.

- $\mathbb{S}^{[\vec{d}]} = \mathbb{S}^{2d_1+1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{S}^{2d_r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{[\vec{d}]} = \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_r}$.

$$(X^{[\vec{d}]}, L^{[\vec{d}]}) = \mathbb{S}^{[\vec{d}]} \times_{(S^1)^r} (X, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{[\vec{d}]}.$$

Curvature: $\sum_{\kappa=1}^r \theta_{\kappa}(\varphi)\omega_{\text{FS}, \mathbb{P}^{d_\kappa}} + \omega_\varphi$.

- Define:

$$\mathcal{E}^1 = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}(\omega_0); \int_X |\varphi| \omega_\varphi^n < +\infty \right\}, \quad (\text{Guedj-Zeriahi})$$

$$\mathcal{E}_g^1 = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{PSH}(\omega_0)^T; \int_X |\varphi| g_\varphi \omega_\varphi^n < +\infty \right\} = (\mathcal{E}^1)^T.$$

Strong topology: $\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi$ in strong topology iff it converges in L^1 and $\mathbf{E}_g(\varphi_j) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}_g(\varphi)$ iff

$$\varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } L^1, \quad \sup \varphi_j \rightarrow \sup \varphi, \quad \mathbf{I}_g(\varphi, \varphi_j) \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem (generalized version of Berman-Boucksom-Eyssidieux-Guedj-Zeriahi)

For any constant $C > 0$, the following subset is compact in strong topology:

$$\left\{ \varphi \in \mathcal{E}_g^1; \int_X \log \frac{g_\varphi \omega_\varphi^n}{\Omega_0} g_\varphi \omega_\varphi^n < C, \sup \varphi = 0 \right\}.$$

Test configurations

- Let $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1}$ be the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Kodaira embedding via $|mL|$.
 $\sigma(s) = \exp(s\zeta)$, $s \in [0, +\infty)$: one parameter subgroup of $GL(N_m, \mathbb{C})$.
Limit scheme: $[\mathcal{X}_0] = \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma(s) \circ [X]$ and induced **test configuration**:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X} &= \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{P}^{N_m-1} \times \mathbb{C}; z \in \sigma(-\log |t|^2) \circ X\} \\ \mathcal{L} &= (p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1))^{1/m}.\end{aligned}$$

Path in $\mathcal{H}(\omega_0)$: $\Phi = \{\varphi(s)\}$ with $\varphi(s) = \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{|\sigma(s) \cdot Z|^2}{|Z|^2}$.

- For $\xi \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, twist $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\xi}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}) \longleftarrow \sigma \cdot \exp(s\xi)$.
- σ commutes with $\mathbb{T} \implies \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ and hence on $V_m = H^0(\mathcal{X}_0, m\mathcal{L}_0)$ with weight decomposition:

$$V_m = \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_{m,\alpha} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{m,\alpha}(\lambda_i^{(m,\alpha)}).$$

Non-Archimedean functionals

$$\mathbf{E}_g^{\text{NA}} = \frac{1}{V_g} \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n!}{m^n} \sum_{\alpha, i} \frac{\lambda_i^{(m, \alpha)}}{m} g\left(\frac{\alpha}{m}\right) = \frac{1}{V_g} \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} \theta_\zeta g_\varphi \omega_\varphi^n$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_g^{\text{NA}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \max_{\alpha, i} \frac{\lambda_i^{(m, \alpha)}}{m} = \sup_{\mathcal{X}_0} (\theta_\zeta), \quad \theta_\zeta = \frac{1}{m} \frac{\sum_{\alpha, i} \lambda_i^{(m, \alpha)} |s_i^{(m, \alpha)}|^2}{\sum_{\alpha, i} |s_i^{(m, \alpha)}|^2}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_g^{\text{NA}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_g^{\text{NA}} - \mathbf{E}_g^{\text{NA}}$$

$$\mathbf{L}^{\text{NA}} = \text{lct}(\mathcal{X}, -(K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{L}); \mathcal{X}_0) - 1$$

$$= \sup \{c; (\mathcal{X}, -K_{\mathcal{X}} - \mathcal{L} + (c+1)\mathcal{X}_0) \text{ is sub-log-canonical}\}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_g^{\text{NA}} = -\mathbf{E}_g^{\text{NA}} + \mathbf{L}^{\text{NA}}.$$

Theorem (Generalized slope formula, proof uses fibration construction)

For each $\mathbf{F} \in \{\mathbf{E}_g, \mathbf{J}_g, \mathbf{D}_g\}$, we have the identity:

$$\mathbf{F}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbf{F}(\varphi(s))}{s}.$$

Stability (generalization of Tian, Donaldson, ...)

- (X, \mathbb{T}) is reduced uniformly g -Ding stable if there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for any $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$ -equivariant test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$:

$$\mathbf{D}_g^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq \gamma \cdot \mathbf{J}_{g, \tilde{\mathbb{T}}}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$$

where

$$\mathbf{J}_{g, \tilde{\mathbb{T}}}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = \inf_{\xi \in \tilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}}} \mathbf{J}_g^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}_{\xi}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi}).$$

- It is g -(Ding)-polystable if $\mathbf{D}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ and equality holds iff it is induced by a holomorphic vector field.

Theorem (Liu-Xu-Zhuang, Blum-Liu-Xu-Zhuang)

(X, \mathbb{T}) is reduced uniformly g -Ding-stable if and only if it is polystable with respect to $\tilde{\mathbb{T}}$.

MMP and Valutive criterion

Theorem (Generalizing K. Fujita and L. , based on L. -Xu)

(X, \mathbb{T}) is reduced uniformly g -Ding stable if and only if it is so for special test configurations (the central fibre is a normal Fano variety).

The proof uses Minimal Model Program and the fibration construction.

- $X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{div}}$: set of divisorial valuations. $v = \text{ord}_E \in X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{div}}$.

$$A_X(v): \text{log discrepancy of valuation; } S_g(v) = \frac{1}{V_g} \int_0^{+\infty} \text{vol}_g(\mathcal{F}_v^{(t)}) dt.$$

Theorem (Han-L. , generalizing Fujita and L.)

(X, \mathbb{T}) is reduced uniformly stable if and only if there exists $\delta > 1$ such that for any \mathbb{T} -invariant valuation $v \in X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{div}}$, there exists a $\xi \in \tilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $A_X(v_{\xi}) - \delta \cdot S_g(v_{\xi}) \geq 0$.

Proved by studying filtration associated to the valuation $v = r(\text{ord}_{\mathcal{X}_0})$.

Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture

Theorem (Han-L. , generalization of Berman-Boucksom-Jonsson, L.)

(X, \mathbb{T}) admits a g -soliton metric if and only if (X, \mathbb{T}) is reduced uniformly g -Ding stable over special test configurations.

\implies Analytic criterion + slope formula

\longleftarrow Proof by contradiction. Suppose that \mathbf{M}_g is not reduced coercive.

- Take a destabilizing sequence and construct a destabilizing geodesic ray, based on convexity and compactness
- Blow up multiplier ideal sheaf to construct test configurations
- Approximate slopes of energy functional by non-Archimedean functionals of test configurations and get a contradiction.

Problem: Prove partial C^0 -estimates for the Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature tensor $\text{Ric}(\omega_\varphi) + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}f_\varphi$ under appropriate assumptions.

log Fano case

- X : projective normal variety; D : an effective Weil divisor satisfying: $-(K_X + D)$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and ample.

(X, D) has klt singularities if for any $v = \text{ord}_E \in X_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{div}}$, $A_{(X,D)}(v) = \text{ord}_D(K_{X'/X}) + 1$ where E is an ordinary divisor on $X' \rightarrow X$.

- Example 1: **Orbifold** (X, D) , for any $p \in X$, \exists a neighborhood U s.t.

$$U \cong D/\Gamma, \quad D = \sum_i (1 - d_i^{-1})D_i$$

where Γ is a finite group that acts linearly on U and D_i is the set of points with non-trivial stabilizers isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{d_i} .

- Example 2: (S, Δ) is log Fano variety, $-(K_S + \Delta) = \gamma L$ with $\gamma > 0$, then the cone singularity $(X = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_m H^0(S, mL)), D = \text{Spec}(\bigoplus_m H^0(\Delta, mL)))$ is klt.

Theorem (Han-L.)

(X, D, \mathbb{T}) admits a g -soliton iff it is reduced uniformly g -Ding stable.

Perturbation approach (developed by L. -Tian-Wang)

Choose resolution of singularities $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ with SNC exceptional divisors $\{E_i\}$.
There exist $\beta_i = A_{(X,D)}(E_i) > 0$ and a positive perturbation $P = \mu^*L - \sum_i \theta_i E_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} -K_{X'} - \sum_i \left(1 - \beta_i + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \theta_i\right) E_i &= \mu^*(-K_X - D) - \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \sum_i \theta_i E_i \\ -(K_{X'} + B_\epsilon) &= L_\epsilon = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} (\mu^*L + \epsilon P) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Idea to overcome the difficulty caused by singularities: carry out the construction on (X', B_ϵ) and let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

- Construct a destabilizing geodesic ray.
- Use multiplier ideals of perturbed sub-geodesic rays to construct destabilizing test configurations of (X', B_ϵ) .
- Use valuative criterion to prove the uniform stability of (X', B_ϵ) .
- Prove uniform convergence estimates as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to get contradiction.

- $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$: affine variety isolated singularity $o \in Y$.

$$\hat{\mathbb{T}} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{r+1}\text{-action} \Rightarrow \text{Weight decomposition: } R = \sum_{\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}} R_{\hat{\alpha}}.$$

- \hat{T} -invariant Radius function: $r : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $S = \{r = 1\}$.

$$\text{Kähler form: } \hat{\omega} = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} r^2, \hat{\omega}(\cdot, J\cdot) = dr^2 + r^2 g_S.$$

- Reeb vector field: $J(r\partial_r) \in \hat{N}_{\mathbb{R}}^+ = \left\{ \xi \in \hat{N}_{\mathbb{R}}; \langle \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\xi} \rangle > 0 \right\}$ (Reeb cone).

Quasi-regular: $\hat{\xi} \in N_{\mathbb{Q}}^+$. $\langle v_{\hat{\xi}} \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and $Y / \langle v_{\hat{\xi}} \rangle = (X, D)$ is an orbifold.

Regular: if $\langle v_{\hat{\xi}} \rangle$ acts freely on Y^* . $Y / \langle v_{\hat{\xi}} \rangle$ is a projective manifold.

Irregular: $\hat{\xi} \notin N_{\mathbb{R}}^+$. $\langle v_{\hat{\xi}} \rangle \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ for $d > 1$ (main interest).

Ricci-flat Kähler cone (Martelli-Sparks-Yau)

- s : no-where vanishing section of $|mK_Y|$, \mathbb{T} -equivariant: $t \circ s = t^\alpha s$.

Canonical volume form: $dV_Y = \left(\sqrt{-1} \right)^{m(n+1)^2} s \wedge \bar{s} \Big)^{1/m}$.

- Ricci-flat Kähler cone equation:

$$(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} r^2)^{n+1} = dV_Y \iff Ric(\hat{\omega}) = 0.$$

Normalization of Reeb vector fields:

$$\mathfrak{L}_{r\partial_r} dV_Y = 2(n+1)dV_Y \iff \mathfrak{L}_{v_\xi} s = (n+1)s$$

- **Regular example:**

X a Fano manifold. h_{KE} : a KE Hermitian metric on $-K_X$.

Then $r = h_{KE}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ is a radius function of Ricci-flat Kähler cone on $Y = \text{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} H^0(X, mL) \right)$ for any $L = \gamma^{-1}(-K_X)$ with $\gamma > 0$.

For example, $X = \mathbb{P}^n$, $L = \frac{1}{n+1}(-K_X)$, $Y = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

Sasaki-manifold and Contact form

- Sasaki manifold $S = \{r = 1\}$. CR structure $\mathcal{D} = JT_{\mathbb{R}}S \cap T_{\mathbb{R}}S$

Contact form: $\eta = -Jd \log r$ determined by:

$$\eta(\hat{\xi}) = 1, \quad \eta|_{\mathcal{D}} = 0.$$

Kähler form: $\hat{\omega} = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}r^2 = d(r^2\eta) = r^2d\eta + 2rdr \wedge \eta.$

$$\hat{\omega}^{n+1} = 2(n+1)r^{2n+1}dr \wedge (d\eta)^n \wedge \eta.$$

$$dV_S = dV_{\hat{\xi}} = \iota_{\partial_r} dV_Y \longrightarrow dV_Y = r^{2n+1}dr \wedge dV_S.$$

- Rewrite the Ricci-flat equation:

$$(\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}r^2)^{n+1} = dV_Y \iff (d\eta)^n \wedge \eta = dV_S.$$

Deformation of Reeb vector fields

- For $\hat{\xi} \in \hat{N}_{\mathbb{R}}^+$, set $\mathcal{R}^{\hat{\xi}} = \{r = r_0 e^{\varphi/2} \text{ is a radius function w.r.t. } \hat{\xi}\}$.
- Fix a reference $\hat{\chi} \in \hat{N}_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ and a radius function r_0 with respect to $\hat{\chi}$.

$$\hat{\xi} \in \hat{N}_{\mathbb{R}}^+ \longrightarrow r := r_0^{\hat{\xi}} \in \mathcal{R}^{\hat{\xi}}$$

$$J(r\partial_r) = \hat{\xi}, \quad S = \{r = 1\} = \{r_0 = 1\}.$$

Transformation of Reeb vector fields and contact forms:

$$\hat{\xi} = \eta(\hat{\xi})\hat{\chi} + \xi^h \implies \begin{cases} \eta = \eta_{r_0^{\hat{\xi}}} = \eta_0(\hat{\xi})^{-1}\eta_0 \\ r\partial_r = \eta(\hat{\xi})r_0\partial_{r_0} + J(\xi^h). \end{cases}$$

- Ricci-flat equation to g -soliton (Apostolov et al. via Tanaka-Webster):

$$\begin{aligned} (d\eta)^n \wedge \eta = dV_S & \iff \eta_0(\hat{\xi})^{-n-1} (d\eta_0)^n \wedge \eta_0 = \eta_0(\hat{\xi}) dV_S^{\hat{\chi}} \\ & \iff \eta_0(\hat{\xi})^{-n-2} (d\eta_0)^n \wedge \eta_0 = dV_S^{\hat{\chi}}. \end{aligned}$$

Reduce to g -soliton equation on Fano orbifolds

- $(X, D) = Y/\langle v_{\hat{\chi}} \rangle$: Fano orbifold and an orbifold l.b. $L \rightarrow X$ satisfying:

$$-(K_X + D) = L.$$

The no-vanishing section of $|K_Y|$ and dV_Y :

$$s = dz \wedge dw, \quad dV_Y = (\sqrt{-1})^{n^2+1} dz \wedge d\bar{z} \wedge dw \wedge d\bar{w}.$$

- $r = r_0 e^{\varphi/2}$: radius function w.r.t. $\hat{\chi} \leftrightarrow$ orbifold metric $h = h_0 e^{-\varphi}$ on $-K_X$.
 $\eta = (\partial - \bar{\partial}) \log h$: connection form, $\text{Ker}(\eta)$ is the horizontal distribution.

$$(d\eta)^n \wedge \eta = \omega^n \wedge d\psi, \quad dV_S^{\hat{\chi}} = \iota_{\partial_r} dV_Y|_S = 2d\psi \wedge \Omega_\varphi.$$

$$v_{\hat{\xi}} = (n+1)w\partial_w + v_{\hat{\xi}} = (n+1)w\partial_w + \theta_{v_{\hat{\xi}}} w\partial_w + v_{\hat{\xi}}^h \implies \eta(\hat{\xi}) = 1 + \frac{\theta_{v_{\hat{\xi}}}}{n+1}.$$

$$\eta(\hat{\xi})^{-n-2} (d\eta)^n \wedge \eta = dV_S^{\hat{\chi}} \iff (n+1 + \theta_{v_{\hat{\xi}}})^{-n-2} \omega_\varphi^n = e^{-\varphi} \Omega_0.$$

Stability of affine cones vs. weighted stability

- \mathcal{Y} : a special test configuration of Y .
 $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) := \mathcal{Y}_0 / \langle v_{\hat{\chi}} \rangle$ a special TC of (X, D)

Volume of $\hat{\xi} = \frac{1}{n+1} \hat{\chi} + \xi \in \hat{N}_{\mathbb{R}}^+(\mathcal{Y}_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(\hat{\xi}) &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} R / \{\text{wt}_{\hat{\xi}} \geq \rho\}}{\rho^{n+1} / (n+1)!} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-d\text{vol}(\mathcal{F}_{\xi}^{(t)})}{(1+t)^{n+1}} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} \frac{\omega^n}{(1 + \theta_{v_{\xi}})^{n+1}} = \int_{P_0} \frac{\text{DH}_{\hat{\tau}}(\mathcal{X}_0)}{(1 + \langle x, \xi \rangle)^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

- As a consequence, with $g = (1 + \langle x, \xi \rangle)^{-n-2}$ and $\text{Fut}_{\xi} \equiv 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{Y}) &= \frac{1}{V_g} D_{\zeta} \text{vol}(\hat{\xi}) = \frac{1}{V_g} \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} \frac{-\theta_{\zeta} \omega^n}{(1 + \theta_{v_{\xi}})^{n+2}} \\ &= \mathbf{D}_g^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{L}) \end{aligned}$$

Stability of Y (Collins-Székelyhidi) \longleftrightarrow g -Ding stability of (X, D)

- The YTD theorem for toric g -solitons (Theorem 2) implies

Theorem (Futaki-Ono-Wang)

A toric affine variety with isolated singularity admits a Ricci-flat Kähler cone.

- An irregular example: $X = \text{Bl}_p \mathbb{P}^2$. Y : cone $C(X, -K_X)$.

P : the trapezoid; moment cone of Y is the standard cone over P .

Reeb cone: spanned by $\langle -1, -1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 0, 1, 1 \rangle$.

(normalized) Reeb vector field $\hat{\xi} = (a, b, 1)$.

$$\text{vol}(\hat{\xi}) = \text{vol} \left(C^* \cap \{ \langle x, \hat{\xi} \rangle \leq 1 \} \right) = \int_P \frac{dx}{(ax_1 + bx_2 + 1)^3}$$

obtains the minimum at $a = b = \frac{4 - \sqrt{13}}{3} = a_*$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ g -soliton with $g = (a_*(x_1 + x_2) + 1)^{-3}$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ Ricci-flat Kähler cone metric with Reeb vector field given by $3 \cdot (a_*, a_*, 1)$.

Thanks for your attention!