

The main result of this paper is

Theorem 1 (Theorem A). *Let $X^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth, linearly normal, complex algebraic variety of degree ≥ 2 . Let R_X denote the **X-resultant** (the Cayley-Chow form of X). Let $\Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ denote the **X-hyperdiscriminant** of f format $(n-1)$ (the defining polynomial for the dual of $X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in the Segre embedding). Then there are norms such that the Mabuchi-energy restricted to the Bergman metrics is given as follows:*

$$\nu_\omega(\phi_\sigma) = \deg(R_X) \log \frac{\|\sigma \cdot \Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\|^2}{\|\Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\|^2} - \deg(\Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}) \log \frac{\|\sigma \cdot R_X\|^2}{\|R_X\|^2}$$

The proof of this theorem consists of 3 steps.

1 Step 1

1.1 Jet bundle from Gauss map

$$\begin{aligned} F : X &\longrightarrow Gr(n, \mathbb{P}^N) \\ x &\longmapsto \mathbb{T}_x X \end{aligned}$$

Under any local complex coordinate $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is given by

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto [1, Z_1(z), \dots, Z_N(z)]$$

F is given by

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_0 = (1, Z_1(z), \dots, Z_N(z)) \\ v_1 = (0, \frac{\partial Z_1}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial Z_N}{\partial z_1}) \\ \dots \\ v_n = (0, \frac{\partial Z_1}{\partial z_n}, \dots, \frac{\partial Z_N}{\partial z_n}) \end{array} \right\}$$

Lemma 1.

$$F^* \omega_{Gr} = (n+1) \omega_{FS} - Ric(\omega_{FS})$$

Definition 1 (Jet bundle).

$$(J(\mathcal{O}(1))^\vee, h_{J(\mathcal{O}(1))}) = F^*(\mathcal{U}, h_{\mathbb{C}^{N+1}})$$

By the above Lemma, the first Chern class of jet bundle gives the first Chern class of TX . This gives some motivation for considering the jet bundle.

1.2 Incidence diagram

From the incidence diagram, one sees that the dual of X is closely related to the jet bundle.

$$I_X = \{(x, \mathbb{H}) \in X \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee; \mathbb{T}_x X \subset \mathbb{H}\} = \text{zero locus of a section of } \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi_1^* J(\mathcal{O}(1)) \subset X \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$$

$$I_\Delta = \{(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{H}) \in Gr(n, \mathbb{P}^N) \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee; \mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{H}\} = \text{zero locus of a section of } \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{U}^\vee \subset Gr(n, \mathbb{P}^N) \times (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xleftarrow{\pi_1} & I_X & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee \\ F \downarrow & & F \times id \downarrow & & \parallel \downarrow \\ Gr(n, \mathbb{P}^N) & \xleftarrow{\pi'_1} & I_\Delta & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee \end{array}$$

Definition 2.

$$X^\vee = \pi_2(I_X) = \{\mathbb{H} \in \mathbb{P}^{N^\vee}; \exists x \in X, \text{s.t. } \mathbb{T}_x X \subset \mathbb{H}\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N^\vee}$$

Assumption 1. $\pi_2|_{I_X} : I_X \rightarrow X^\vee$ is birational, $X^\vee = \{\Delta_X = 0\} \subset (\mathbb{P}^N)^\vee$ is a hypersurface.

Lemma 2.

$$\deg(X^\vee) = \int_X c_n(J(\mathcal{O}_X(1))) \quad (1)$$

Lemma 3. Via Poincaré Duality and G -invariance.

$$\pi'_{1*} \pi_2^* \omega_{FS}^N = c_{n+1}(\mathcal{U}^\vee, h_{\mathbb{C}^{N+1}})$$

1.3 Bott-Chern form and complex Hessian formula

Using incidence diagram and properties of Bott-Chern form, we can transform the integration of Bott-Chern form on X^\vee to integration of Bott-Chern form on X up to a $\partial\bar{\partial}$ closed function.

For any compactly supported smooth $(m-1, m-1)$ -form η , $m = \dim G$. In the following calculation, \int_{GI_X} is the bridge connecting \int_{GX^\vee} and \int_{GX} ,

$$\begin{aligned} & N \int_G \eta \wedge \partial\bar{\partial} \int_0^1 dt \int_{X^\vee} \dot{\Phi}_\sigma \omega_{FS(\mathbb{P}^\vee)}^{N-1} = \int_G \partial\bar{\partial} \eta \wedge \int_{X^\vee} BC(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\vee}(1), c_1^N; h, h(\sigma)) \\ &= \int_{GX^\vee} \eta \wedge \partial\bar{\partial} BC(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\vee}(1), c_1^N; h, h(\sigma)) = \int_{GX^\vee} \eta \wedge c_1^N(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\vee}(1), h(\sigma)) \\ &= \int_{GI_X} \eta \wedge \pi_2^* c_1^N(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\vee}(1), h(\sigma)) \\ &= \int_{GX} \eta \wedge \pi_{1*} \pi_2^* c_1^N(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\vee}(1), h(\sigma)) = \int_{GX} \eta \wedge (GF)^* \pi'_{1*} \pi_2^* c_1^N(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\vee}(1), h(\sigma)) \\ &= \int_{GX} \eta \wedge c_{n+1}((GF)^* \mathcal{U}^\vee, h(\sigma)) = \int_{GX} \eta \wedge c_{n+1}(J(\mathcal{O}(1)), h_{J(\mathcal{O}(1))}(\sigma)) \\ &= \int_{GX} \eta \wedge \partial\bar{\partial} BC(J(\mathcal{O}(1)), c_{n+1}; h, h(\sigma)) = \int_G \eta \wedge \partial\bar{\partial} \int_X BC(J(\mathcal{O}(1)), c_{n+1}; h, h(\sigma)) \end{aligned}$$

So on $G = SL(N+1, \mathbb{C})$,

$$N \partial\bar{\partial} \int_0^1 dt \int_{X^\vee} \dot{\Phi}_\sigma \omega_{FS(\mathbb{P}^\vee)}^{N-1} = \partial\bar{\partial} \int_0^1 dt \int_X BC(J(\mathcal{O}(1)), c_{n+1}; h, h(\sigma))$$

Remark 1 (Tian's argument). *If we have Log Polynomial growth for the integral on the write hand side, we will get*

$$N \int_0^1 dt \int_{X^\vee} \dot{\Phi}_\sigma \omega_{FS(\mathbb{P}^\vee)}^{N-1} = \int_0^1 dt \int_X BC(J(\mathcal{O}(1)), c_{n+1}; h, h(\sigma)) \quad (2)$$

This should be true in general. For the K -energy case considered in this paper, one can verify this directly in Step 3. See (11).

2 Step 2

The goal of this step is to express the Bott-Chern form on jet bundle in terms of Bott-Chern form on TX . For this, we need the exact sequence for jet bundle and Griffith's formula for the curvature of vector bundle in exact sequence. Then one also needs to prove a metric splitting theorem for the exact sequence.

2.1 Exact sequence for Jet bundle

$$0 \rightarrow T^*X \otimes \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow J_X(\mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}(-1) & \xrightarrow{f} & J_X(\mathcal{O}(1))^\vee & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes TX \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ & & \mathcal{S} & & \mathcal{E} & & \mathcal{Q} \end{array} \quad (3)$$

2.2 Griffith Formula and calculation of 2nd fundamental form

Split orthogonal frames of $J(\mathcal{O}(1))^\vee$

$$e_0 = v_0 = (1, Z_1, \dots, Z_n)$$

$$e_i = v_i - \frac{\langle v_i, e_0 \rangle}{|e_0|^2} e_0$$

$$g(e_i) = g(v_i) = e_0 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$

Under $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, there is a **differentiable** isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}^\perp \xrightarrow{id \oplus g} \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{Q}$$

Under the split orthogonal frames, one writes

$$D^{\mathcal{E}} = \begin{pmatrix} D^{\mathcal{S}} & \beta \\ \alpha & D^{\mathcal{Q}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The 2nd fundamental form $\alpha \in C^\infty(T^{*1,0}X \otimes Hom(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q}))$ is of (1,0) type.

$$\alpha(e_0) = g \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} e_0 \right)^\perp \right) = g(e_i) = e_0 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$

So

$$\alpha = \sum_i dz_i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \quad (4)$$

In particular, α is holomorphic.

$$\beta = -\alpha^* \in C^\infty(T^{*(0,1)} \otimes Hom(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S})).$$

$$\beta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right) \left(e_0 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} e_i, \frac{e_0}{1 + |Z|^2} \right\rangle e_0 = -(\omega_{FS})_{i\bar{j}} e_0$$

$$\beta \left(e_0 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) = -\omega_{i\bar{j}} d\bar{z}_j \otimes e_0$$

Proposition 1. *Griffith's Formula:*

$$F^{\mathcal{E}} = (D^{\mathcal{E}})^2 = \begin{pmatrix} F^{\mathcal{S}} + \beta \circ \alpha & D^{\mathcal{S}} \circ \beta + \beta \circ D^{\mathcal{Q}} \\ D^{\mathcal{Q}} \circ \alpha + \alpha \circ D^{\mathcal{S}} & F^{\mathcal{Q}} + \alpha \circ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

In the jet bundle case

$$\beta \circ \alpha(e_0) = \beta(dz_i \otimes e_0 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}) = -dz_i \otimes (-\omega_{i\bar{j}} d\bar{z}_j \otimes e_0) = \omega \otimes e_0$$

$$\alpha \circ \beta(e_0 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}) = -\alpha(\omega_{i\bar{j}} d\bar{z}_j \otimes e_0) = \omega_{i\bar{j}} d\bar{z}_j \wedge dz_k \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \otimes e_0 = -\omega_{i\bar{j}} dz_k \otimes d\bar{z}_j \otimes \left(e_0 \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \right)$$

$$S_i^k := (\alpha \circ \beta)_i^k = -\omega_{i\bar{j}} dz_k \otimes d\bar{z}_j = -dz_k \otimes d\bar{z}_i$$

Proposition 2.

$$F^{\mathcal{E}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega_{FS}|_X \otimes I_{T_X^{1,0}} + F_{\omega_{FS}}^{T_X^{1,0}} + S \end{pmatrix} =: \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{F} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

2.3 Bott-Chern form of Jet bundle

Theorem 2.

$$BC(J(\mathcal{O}(1))^\vee, c_{n+1}; h, h(\sigma)) = -\dot{\phi}_\sigma c_n(J(\mathcal{O}(1))^\vee, h(\sigma)) \quad (6)$$

Proof. By formula (5)

$$\begin{aligned} BC(J(\mathcal{O}(1))^\vee, c_{n+1}; h, h(\sigma)) &= \frac{d}{db} \det \left(F^{\mathcal{E}} + bH^{-1}\dot{H} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{db} \left(-b\dot{\phi}_\sigma \det(\tilde{F} + b\tilde{H}^{-1}\dot{\tilde{H}}) \right) \\ &= -\dot{\phi}_\sigma \det(\tilde{F}) = -\dot{\phi}_\sigma c_n(J(\mathcal{O}(1))^\vee, h(\sigma)) \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1.

$$BC(J(\mathcal{O}(1)), c_{n+1}; h, h(\sigma)) = \dot{\phi}_\sigma c_n(J(\mathcal{O}(1)), h(\sigma))$$

2.4 Metric splitting of exact sequence (3)

Theorem 3.

$$c(J(\mathcal{O}(1))^\vee, h_{\mathbb{C}^{N+1}}) = c(TX \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1), \omega_{FS} \otimes h_{FS}^*) \cdot c(\mathcal{O}(-1), h_{FS}^*)$$

Proof.

$$F^{\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{Q}} = \begin{pmatrix} -\omega_{FS}|_X & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega_{FS}|_X \otimes I_{T_X^{1,0}} + F_{\omega_{FS}}^{T_X^{1,0}} \end{pmatrix}$$

To show the Chern forms split, one only needs to show

$$Tr((F^{\mathcal{E}})^k) = Tr((F^{\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{Q}})^k) \quad (7)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. This is because $Tr(A^k)$ generates all invariant polynomials.

By Lemma 4, it's easy to show both sides of (7) equal to

$$2(-1)^k \omega^k + \sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} \omega^{k-i} Tr(F^i)$$

□

Lemma 4.

$$\text{Tr}((F + S)^k) = \text{Tr}(F^k) - \omega^k$$

Combining Theorem 3 and Corollary 1, one achieves the goal of expressing the Bott-Chern form on jet bundle in terms of Bott-Chern form on TX and $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

3 Step 3

The step is to replace X by $X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. This has two uses. One is to make sure the dual of $X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is of codimension one. The other use is to eliminate the extra curvature terms so that only Ricci curvature is preserved.

3.1 Pass to Hyper-discriminant: $X \rightsquigarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

Claim 1. For $X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, The Assumption 1 is always satisfied. This is called Cayley's trick.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow T\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1) \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}^{\oplus n} \leftarrow T^*\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1) \leftarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Lemma 5. Metric splitting for the exact sequence (8):

$$c(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1), h_{FS}) \cdot c(T\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1), g_{FS}^* \otimes h_{FS}) = 1$$

By the above Lemma and Theorem 3,

$$\begin{aligned} c(J(\mathcal{O}(1, 1)), h_1) &= c(TX \otimes \mathcal{O}(1, 1), h_2) \cdot c(T\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(1, 1), h_3) \cdot c(\mathcal{O}(1, 1), h_4) \\ &= c(TX \otimes \mathcal{O}(1, 1), h_2)(1 + \omega_{FS(\mathbb{P}^N)}|_X)^n \end{aligned}$$

Modulo unitary transformation, let

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^{T^*X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)} &= \text{diag}(x_1 + y, \dots, x_n + y) \\ \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)} &= \omega_{FS(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})} = z \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c(TX \otimes \mathcal{O}(1, 1), h_2) &= (1 + x_1 + y + z) \cdots (1 + x_n + y + z) = z^{n-1}(n + x_1 + \cdots + x_n + ny) \\ &= \omega_{FS(\mathbb{P}^n)}^{n-1}(n - \text{Ric}(\omega) + n\omega) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.

$$\begin{aligned} c_{2n-1}(J(\mathcal{O}(1, 1)), h_1) &= \{z^{n-1}(n - \text{Ric}(\omega) + n\omega)(1 + \omega)^n\}_{(2n-1)} \\ &= z^{n-1}(n - \text{Ric}(\omega) + n\omega)(n\omega^{n-1} + \omega^n) \\ &= z^{n-1}(n(n+1)\omega^n - n\text{Ric}(\omega) \wedge \omega^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Log Polynomial Growth of K-energy

This extra discussion is to make sure one can drop the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ in formula (2).

Lemma 6. *For any $\sigma \in SL(N+1, \mathbb{C})$, the holomorphic bisectional curvature $S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ of ω_σ satisfies:*

$$h^{i\bar{j}}h^{k\bar{l}}S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \leq 2 \quad (9)$$

$h = g_\sigma$ is the metric associated with Kähler form ω_σ .

Proof. For any point $P \in X$, choose coordinate such that $h_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij}$. By Gauss' formula:

$$\tilde{R}(\partial_i, \bar{\partial}_i, \partial_j, \bar{\partial}_j) = S_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} + |II(\partial_i, \partial_j)|^2$$

where \tilde{R} is the curvature of Fubini-Study metric of ambient \mathbb{P}^N . \tilde{R} satisfies:

$$\tilde{R}_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \tilde{g}(\partial_i, \bar{\partial}_j)\tilde{g}(\partial_k, \bar{\partial}_l) + \tilde{g}(\partial_i, \bar{\partial}_l)\tilde{g}(\partial_k, \bar{\partial}_j) = h_{i\bar{j}}h_{k\bar{l}} + h_{i\bar{l}}h_{k\bar{j}}$$

So under normal coordinate of ω_σ ,

$$S_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \leq \tilde{R}(\partial_i, \bar{\partial}_i, \partial_j, \bar{\partial}_j) = \delta_{ii}\delta_{jj} + \delta_{ij}^2 \leq 2$$

□

Let $f = tr_\omega \omega_\sigma$ and Δ be the complex Laplacian associated with Kähler metric ω , $R_{k\bar{j}}$ be the Ricci curvature of reference metric ω and $S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ be the curvature of Kähler metric ω_σ . Let ∇ be the gradient operator associated with g , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \log f &= \frac{\Delta f}{f} - \frac{|\nabla f|_\omega^2}{f^2} \\ &\geq \frac{g^{i\bar{l}}g^{k\bar{j}}R_{k\bar{l}}h_{i\bar{j}}}{f} - \frac{g^{i\bar{j}}g^{k\bar{l}}S_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}}{f} \\ &= \frac{\sum_i \mu_i^{-2}R_{i\bar{i}}}{\sum_i \mu_i^{-1}} - \frac{\sum_{i,j} \mu_i^{-1}\mu_j^{-1}S_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}}{\sum_i \mu_i^{-1}} \\ &\geq -C_1 - 2 \sum_i \mu_i^{-1} = -C_1 - C_2 f \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $-C_1$ is the lower bound of $Ric(\omega)$. In the 3rd equality in (10), for any fixed point $P \in X$, we chose a coordinate near P such that $h_{i\bar{j}} = \delta_{ij}$, $\partial_k h_{i\bar{j}} = 0$. We can assume g is also diagonalized so that

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = \mu_i \delta_{ij}$$

For the last inequality in (10), we used the inequality (9).

So

$$\Delta(\log f + \lambda \phi_\sigma) \geq -C_1 - C_2 f + \lambda tr_\omega(\omega_\sigma - \omega) = (\lambda - C_2)f - (C_1 + n\lambda) = C_3 f - C_4$$

for some constants $C_3 > 0$, $C_4 > 0$, if we choose λ to be sufficiently large. So at the maximum point P of the function $\log f + \lambda \phi_\sigma$, we have

$$0 \geq \Delta(\log f + \lambda \phi_\sigma)(P) \geq C_3 f(P) - C_4$$

So

$$f(P) = tr_\omega(\omega_\sigma)(P) \leq \frac{C_4}{C_3} = C_5$$

So for any point $x \in X$, we have

$$\text{tr}_\omega \omega_\sigma(x) \leq C_5 e^{-\lambda(\phi_\sigma(x) - \phi_\sigma(P))} \leq C_5 e^{\lambda \text{osc}(\phi_\sigma)}$$

So

$$\omega_\sigma \leq C_5 e^{\lambda \text{osc}(\phi_\sigma)} \omega$$

Since $\text{Osc}(\phi_\sigma)$ has log polynomial growth,

$$\log \frac{\omega_\sigma^n}{\omega^n} \leq n \log C_5 + n\lambda \text{osc}(\phi_\sigma)$$

has log polynomial upper growth. The lower bound of K-energy follows from convexity of Logarithmic function. So by Claim 1, one gets

Proposition 3. *The functional*

$$-\int_0^1 dt \int_X n \dot{\phi}_\sigma(\text{Ric}(\omega_\sigma) - \text{Ric}(\omega_0)) \wedge \omega_\sigma^{n-1} = \int_X \log \frac{\omega_\sigma^n}{\omega_0^n} \omega_\sigma^n$$

has log polynomial growth as a function on $SL(N+1, \mathbb{C})$.

Substitute this into (6) and (2), one gets

Theorem 5 (Hyper-discriminant part in the K-energy).

$$N \int_0^1 dt \int_{(X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^\vee} \dot{\Phi}_\sigma \omega_{FS(\mathbb{P}^\vee)}^{N-1} = \int_0^1 dt \int_X \dot{\phi}_\sigma(n(n+1)\omega_\sigma^n - n\text{Ric}(\omega_\sigma) \wedge \omega_\sigma^{n-1}) \quad (11)$$

3.3 Other Ingredient and Main Formula

Lemma 7 (Tian).

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{\|\sigma \cdot R_X\|^2}{\|R_X\|^2} &= (n+1) \int_0^1 \int_X \dot{\phi}_\sigma \omega_\sigma^n \\ \log \frac{\|\sigma \cdot \Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\|^2}{\|\Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\|^2} &= N \int_0^1 dt \int_{(X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^\vee} \dot{\Phi}_\sigma \omega_{FS(\mathbb{P}^\vee)}^{N-1} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.

$$\deg(\Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}) = \deg((X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1})^\vee) = \int_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}} c_{2n-1}(J(\mathcal{O}(1, 1))) = (n(n+1) - n\mu)V$$

while

$$\deg(R_X) = (n+1)d$$

Theorem 6 (Main Formula).

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_0^1 \int_X \dot{\phi}_\sigma(S(\omega) - \underline{S}) \omega^n = -\int_X \dot{\phi}_\sigma(n\text{Ric}(\omega) - n\mu) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &= -(n(n+1) - n\mu) \int_0^1 dt \int_X \dot{\phi}_\sigma \omega^n + \int_0^1 dt \int_X \dot{\phi}_\sigma(n(n+1)\omega - n\text{Ric}(\omega)) \wedge \omega^{n-1} \\ &= -\frac{(n(n+1) - n\mu)d}{(n+1)d} \int_0^1 dt \int_X (n+1)\dot{\phi}_\sigma \omega^n + \int_0^1 dt \int_0^1 \int_{X^\vee} N \dot{\Phi}_\sigma \omega_{FS^\vee}^{N-1} \\ &= -\frac{\deg(\Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}})}{\deg(R_X)} \log \frac{\|\sigma \cdot R_X\|^2}{\|R_X\|^2} + \log \frac{\|\sigma \cdot \Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\|^2}{\|\Delta_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

This is just Theorem 1.