

HW 2 Sol:

1.3.18: Prove a subset W of a vector space V is a subspace of V iff $0 \in W$ and $\alpha x + y \in W$, whenever $\alpha \in F$ and $x, y \in W$.

Pf: W is a subspace of V if the following conditions are satisfied

- (1). $x, y \in W \Rightarrow x+y \in W$
- (2). $x \in W, \alpha \in F \Rightarrow \alpha \cdot x \in W$
- (3). $0 \in W$.

These conditions are equivalent to the two conditions:

- (i) $x, y \in W, \alpha \in F \Rightarrow \alpha x + y \in W$
- (ii) $0 \in W$.

More precisely: (1) + (2) \Leftrightarrow (i), (3) \Leftrightarrow (ii).

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} (1) \xleftarrow{\text{set } \alpha=1} (i) \\ (2) \xleftarrow{\text{set } y=0} \end{array} \right)$$

□

1.3.19: W_1, W_2 subspaces of V . Prove that $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace of V iff $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ or $W_2 \subseteq W_1$.

Pf: "if" | If $W_1 \subseteq W_2$, then $W_1 + W_2 = W_2$ is a subspace
 sufficient condition | If $W_2 \subseteq W_1$, then $W_2 + W_1 = W_1$ is a subspace.
 \Leftarrow

"only if" \Leftrightarrow necessary condition :

Assume that $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace of V .

Case 1: If $W_1 \subseteq W_2$, then we are done.

Case 2: If $W_1 \not\subseteq W_2$, then $\exists x \in W_1 \setminus W_2$,
 $(x \in W_1, \text{ but } x \notin W_2)$.

Pick any $y \in W_2$, then $x, y \in W_1 \cup W_2$

and $x+y \in W_1 \cup W_2$ (because $W_1 \cup W_2$ is assumed to
 be a subspace)

So $x+y \in W_1$, or $x+y \in W_2$.

(i) If $x+y = z \in W_1$, then $y = z-x \in W_1$ (because $z \in W_1$, and
 $x \in W_1$)

(ii) If $x+y = z \in W_2$, then $x = z-y \in W_2$ (because $z \in W_2$, and $y \in W_2$).

but this contradicts the condition that $x \notin W_2$.

so case (ii) can not happen.

So we must have condition (i) which shows that $y \in W_1$ for any $y \in W_2$.

So we must have $W_2 \subseteq W_1$ in case 2. □

1.3.23: W_1, W_2 are subspaces of V

- (a) Prove that $W_1 + W_2$ is a subspace of V that contains both W_1 and W_2 .
- (b) Prove that any subspace of V that contains both W_1 and W_2 must also contain $W_1 + W_2$.

Pf: (a) $W_1 + W_2$ is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication.

(b). If a subspace W_3 contains W_1 and W_2 ,
then $W_1 \subseteq W_3$ and $W_2 \subseteq W_3$
Thus implies $W_1 + W_2 \subseteq W_3 + W_3 = W_3$. \blacksquare

1.4.4(a): In $P_3(\mathbb{R})$, determine whether $x^3 - 3x + 5$ is a linear combination of $x^3 + 2x^2 - x + 1$ and $x^3 + 3x^2 - 1$.

Sol: Determine if there are $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy:

$$x^3 - 3x + 5 = a \cdot (x^3 + 2x^2 - x + 1) + b \cdot (x^3 + 3x^2 - 1).$$

This is equivalent to the linear system:

$$\begin{cases} 1 = a + b & \textcircled{1} \\ 0 = 2a + 3b & \textcircled{2} \\ -3 = -a & \textcircled{3} \\ 5 = a - b & \textcircled{4} \end{cases}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow a = 3 \stackrel{\textcircled{4}}{\Rightarrow} b = a - 5 = -2$$

$$\text{Verify } \textcircled{2}: 2a + 3b = 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot (-2) = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$\textcircled{1}: a + b = 3 + (-2) = 1. \quad \checkmark$$

So there is a solution $(a, b) = (3, -2)$.

So $x^3 - 3x + 5$ is a linear combination of the other two polynomials

1.4.5(g): Determine whether S

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ is in $\text{Span}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$

Sol: Try to find $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 1 = a+c & \textcircled{1} \\ 2 = b+c & \textcircled{2} \\ -3 = -a & \textcircled{3} \\ 4 = b & \textcircled{4} \end{cases} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a+c & b+c \\ -a & b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\textcircled{3}, \textcircled{4} \Rightarrow a=3, b=4 \xrightarrow{\textcircled{2}} c=2-b=-2$$
$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow c=1-a=-2 \quad \checkmark$$

So there is a solution: $(a, b, c) = (3, 4, -2)$.

and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ is in $\text{Span}(S)$.

1.4. 12: Prove that a subset W of a vector space V is a subspace iff $\text{Span}(W) = W$.

Pf: "if" $W = \text{Span}(W)$ is a subspace because
Span of any (non-empty) subset is a subspace.

"only if" If W is a subspace, then
any linear combination of vectors in W is
contained in W . So $\text{Span}(W) \subseteq W$.

On the other hand, we always have $W \subseteq \text{Span}(W)$,
so we conclude that $\text{Span}(W) = W$.

1.4.14 : show that $\text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2) = \text{Span}(S_1) + \text{Span}(S_2)$

Pf : 1. show : $\text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2) \subseteq \text{Span}(S_1) + \text{Span}(S_2)$.

Pick any $v \in \text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$, then there exist

$$u_1, \dots, u_n \in S_1 \cup S_2 \text{ s.t. } v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_n u_n$$
$$a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$$

By re-arranging the vectors, we can assume that

$$u_1, \dots, u_m \in S_1 \text{ and } u_{m+1}, \dots, u_n \in S_2$$

then $v = (a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m) + (a_{m+1} u_{m+1} + \dots + a_n u_n)$

$$\qquad\qquad\qquad \overset{\text{Span}(S_1)}{\uparrow} \qquad\qquad\qquad \overset{\text{Span}(S_2)}{\uparrow}$$

$$\text{So } v \in \text{Span}(S_1) + \text{Span}(S_2).$$

2. show that $\text{Span}(S_1) + \text{Span}(S_2) \subseteq \text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$.

This is because : for $i=1, 2$

$$S_i \subseteq S_1 \cup S_2 \Rightarrow \text{Span}(S_i) \subseteq \text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Span}(S_1) + \text{Span}(S_2) \subseteq \underbrace{\text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)}_{\text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)} + \underbrace{\text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)}_{\text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)}$$

$\text{Span}(S_1 \cup S_2)$ ■