

Notes on the the solutions of the exercises from the second set

1 The first problem entailed showing that $L^p \cap L^r$, $1 \leq p < r \leq \infty$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_p + \|f\|_r .$$

The main point here is the completeness. Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then since $\|f\| \geq \|f\|_p$ and $\|f\| = \|f\|_r$, it is also a Cauchy sequence for the L^p and L^r norms. Since L^p is complete, there is some $g \in L^p$ so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = g$ in the L^p norm, and likewise there is some $h \in L^r$ so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = h$ in the L^r norm. Every L^p convergent sequence has a subsequence converging almost everywhere, and likewise for L^r , so some subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ converges to g , and then a further subsequence of this converges to h . Hence $h = g$, and so the limit – call it f – is in $L^p \cap L^r$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\| = 0$. This shown the completeness.

Regarding the fact that for $p \leq q \leq r$, the inclusion map $L^p \cap L^r \rightarrow L^q$ is continuous, since this map is linear, we need only show it is bounded. But this follows directly from

$$\|f\|_q \leq \|f\|_p^\lambda \|f\|_r^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda \|f\|_p + (1 - \lambda) \|f\|_q \leq \|f\|$$

where $q^{-1} = \lambda p^{-1} + (1 - \lambda)r^{-1}$.

2 The first problem entailed showing that $L^p + L^r$, $1 \leq p < r \leq \infty$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| = \inf\{\|g\|_p + \|h\|_r : g + h = f\} .$$

Here there is a bit more to do to show that this is a norm. The main thing to show is that if $\|f\| = 0$, then $f(x) = 0$ almost everywhere. To see this, suppose one has two sequences $\{g_n\}$ and $\{h_n\}$ with $f = g_n + h_n$ for all n , but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|g_n\|_p + \|h_n\|_r) = 0 .$$

Then of course $g_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^p and $h_n \rightarrow 0$ in L^r . Since L^p (and L^r) sequences have subsequences that converge almost everywhere, passing to a subsequence, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x) = 0$$

for almost every x . But $f = h_n + g_n$ for all n , so $f(x) = 0$ for almost every x .

Most people proved the completeness in a nice way by adapting the proof of completeness in L^p spaces. Again for the continuity of the imbedding $L^q \rightarrow L^p + L^r$ for $p \leq q \leq r$, since this map is linear, it suffices to show it is bounded. So take any f in the unit ball in L^q . Then

$$f = 1_{\{|f| \leq 1\}}f + 1_{\{|f| > 1\}}f ,$$

and so, since $r > q$,

$$\|1_{\{|f| \leq 1\}}f\|_r^r \leq \|1_{\{|f| \leq 1\}}f\|_q^q \leq \|f\|_q^q = 1 .$$

Likewise, since $q > p$,

$$\|1_{\{|f| \geq 1\}}f\|_p^p \leq \|1_{\{|f| \geq 1\}}f\|_q^q \leq \|f\|_q^q = 1 .$$

Therefore,

$$\|f\| \leq \|1_{\{|f| \geq 1\}}f\|_p^p + \|1_{\{|f| \leq 1\}}f\|_r^r \leq 2 ,$$

and so the inclusion is bounded, and the bound is no greater than 2. (However, one can improve the argument. One way is to cut at height t , instead of the arbitrary height 1, and then to optimize over t . But this is not needed here.)

3 This problem was to show that if $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence in L^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, that converges to f almost everywhere, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_p = \|f\|_p$. The more involved part is the “if” part, and most people did this in a good way. However, there were many complicated proofs of the “only if” part. Any proof that uses dominated convergence is a bit too complicated since in fact for it any norm, one has

$$\|f\| = \|(f - f_n) + f_n\| \leq \|f - f_n\| + \|f_n\|$$

and so (swapping the roles of f and f_n)

$$|\|f\| - \|f_n\|| \leq \|f - f_n\| .$$

Hence if f_n converges to f in norm, the norm of f_n converges to the norm of f , and this is true in any normed vector space, whether the norm is defined in terms of integrals or not.

4 This exercise involved showing that for $1 < p < \infty$, if $\{f_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in L^p that converges almost everywhere to f , then $\{f_n\}$ converges weakly to f . (Note that necessarily $f \in L^p$ by Fatou’s Lemma.)

We have to show that for any $g \in L^q$, $1/q + 1/p = 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n g d\mu = \int_X f g d\mu .$$

The simplest way to proceed, ignoring the hints somewhat, is to use the fact that simple functions are dense in L^q . Pick any $\epsilon > 0$, and choose $h \in L^q$ with $\|g - h\|_q < \epsilon$.

Then

$$\left| \int_X (f_n - f)g d\mu \right| \leq \left| \int_X (f_n - f)h d\mu \right| + \left| \int_X (f_n - f)|g - h| d\mu \right| .$$

Then by Hölder's inequality,

$$\left| \int_X (f_n - f)|g - h| d\mu \right| \leq \|f_n - f\|_p \|g - h\|_q \leq 2 \left(\sup_{n \geq 0} \|f_n\|_p \right) \epsilon .$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n h d\mu = 0 . \quad (*)$$

But since h is simple, $h = 0$ off a set A of finite measure, and also h is bounded. Now it is easy to use Egorov's Theorem to prove (*).

6 Let $f_n(x) = \cos(2\pi nx)$ on $L^2([0, 1])$. To see that $\{f_n\}$ converges weakly to zero, one can use the fact that continuously differentiable functions that vanish at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ are dense in $L^2([0, 1])$. Let g be any such function. Then for $n > 0$, integrating by parts,

$$\int_0^1 f_n g(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dx} \sin(2\pi nx) g(x) dx = -\frac{1}{2\pi n} \int_0^1 \sin(2\pi nx) g'(x) dx .$$

Thus, by the Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \int_0^1 f_n g(x) dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi n} 2^{-1/2} \|g'\|_2 ,$$

and this clearly goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since such functions g are dense in $L^2([0, 1])$, $\{f_n\}$ converges weakly to zero.

7 Recall that for any measurable function and any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, with $1/q + 1/p = 1$,

$$\|f\|_p = \sup_{\|g\|_q \leq 1} \int_X f g d\mu .$$

This is the *duality formula*.

Hence once you have shown that

$$\int \left[\int K(xy) f(y) dy \right] g(x) dx \leq \phi(1/2) \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 ,$$

which is a special case of the result of part (a), you have that

$$\|Kf\|_2 \leq \phi(1/2) \|f\|_2 , \quad \text{and hence} \quad \|K\| \leq \phi(1/2) .$$

There is no need to redo the computation of part (a) again for the norm computation. A similar remark applies in the final problem.