

Free Vibrations for a Nonlinear Wave Equation and a Theorem of P. Rabinowitz*

Dedicated to Philip Hartman on his 65th birthday

HAÏM BRÉZIS,
Université Paris 6 and Courant Institute

JEAN-MICHEL CORON,
IRIA

LOUIS NIRENBERG
Courant Institute

Abstract

A new and simpler proof is given of the result of P. Rabinowitz for nontrivial time periodic solutions of a vibrating string equation $u_{tt} - u_{xx} + g(u) = 0$ and Dirichlet boundary conditions on a finite interval. We assume essentially that g is nondecreasing, and $g(u)/u \rightarrow \infty$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$. The proof uses a modified form $(PS)_c$ of the Palais-Smale condition (PS) .

0. Introduction

Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nondecreasing function such that $g(0) = 0$. Set $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$. We seek a nontrivial solution of the equation

$$(1) \quad Au + g(u) \equiv u_{tt} - u_{xx} + g(u) = 0, \quad 0 < x < \pi, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

under the boundary conditions

$$(2) \quad u(0, t) = u(\pi, t) = 0$$

and periodicity condition

$$(3) \quad u(x, t + 2\pi) = u(x, t).$$

* The first and third authors were partially supported by the Army Research Grant No. DAH 29-78-6-0127. The third author was also partially supported by the National Science Foundation Grant No. NSF-MCS-79-00813. Reproductions in whole or in part is permitted for any purposes of the United States Government.

We assume

$$(4) \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} = \infty,$$

$$(5) \quad \text{there exist constants } \alpha > 0 \text{ and } C \text{ such that} \\ \frac{1}{2} t g(t) - G(t) \geq \alpha |g(t)| - C \quad \text{for all } t.$$

Our purpose is to provide a new proof of the following theorem which is essentially due to P. Rabinowitz [9].

THEOREM 1. *There exists a nontrivial (weak) solution $u \in L^\infty$ of (1), (2), (3).*

Remarks. 1. We show in fact that there exists a nontrivial solution with any given time period T which is a rational multiple of π . By a nontrivial solution we mean that $g(u(x, t)) \neq 0$ on a set (x, t) of positive measure; in particular, $u(x, t) \neq 0$ on that set. $N(A)$ and $R(A)$ will denote the kernel and range of A .

2. Our proof relies on an elementary but useful variational principle: the “mountain pass” theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [1]. Our approach was stimulated by the paper of I. Ekeland [6] and especially by his use of a “duality” argument in conjunction with the mountain pass theorem. The main observation is that problem (1), (2), (3) can be formulated as a variational problem in $R(A)$ “ignoring” the component in $N(A)$. The component of u in $N(A)$ —which is usually the most difficult to control—appears here as a “Lagrange multiplier”.

3. We have slightly weakened the assumptions of P. Rabinowitz [9]. He also assumes that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (g(t)/t) = 0$; or, more generally, he solves $Au + au + g(u) = 0$ with $a \geq 0$ and g nondecreasing with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (g(t)/t) = 0$ (see Theorem 5.13 in [9]). In place of (4), (5) he assumes that there exist $\theta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and C such that

$$G(t) \leq \theta t g(t) \quad \text{for } |t| \geq c,$$

which is a slightly stronger assumption than (4), (5).

4. In case g is C^∞ and strictly increasing, it was shown in [4] and [9] that any solution is in C^∞ .

In order to make the proof more transparent we start with the case where $g(u) = |u|^{p-2} u$, $p > 2$, which is especially easy, and prove the existence of a nontrivial 2π -periodic solution.

1. The Case $g(u) = |u|^{p-2} u, p > 2$

Set $\Omega = (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$.

The kernel N of the operator $Au = u_{tt} - u_{xx}$ acting on functions in L^1 satisfying (2), (3) consists of functions of the form

$$N = \left\{ p(t+x) - p(t-x); p \text{ is } 2\pi\text{-periodic, } p \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \int_0^{2\pi} p = 0 \right\}$$

(N is closed in L^1).

Recall that given $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} f\phi = 0$ for all $\phi \in N \cap L^\infty$, there exists a unique function $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $Au = f$ and $\int_{\Omega} u\phi = 0$ for all $\phi \in N$.

In fact an explicit formula for u is given by Lovicarová [7]:

$$(6) \quad u(x, t) = \psi(x, t) + p(t+x) - p(t-x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_x^\pi d\xi \int_{t+x-\xi}^{t-x+\xi} f(\xi, \tau) d\tau + c \frac{(\pi-x)}{\pi}, \\ c &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi d\xi \int_{t-\xi}^{t+\xi} f(\xi, \tau) d\tau \quad (c \text{ is a constant}), \\ p(y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi [\psi(s, y-s) - \psi(s, y+s)] ds. \end{aligned}$$

We set $u = Kf (= A^{-1}f)$. We list some properties of K which are well known or easily verified:

$$(7) \quad \|Kf\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{L^1},$$

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Omega} (Kf)g = \int_{\Omega} f(Kg) \text{ for all } f, g,$$

$$(9) \quad \|Kf\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\alpha} \text{ with } \alpha = 1 - 1/q.$$

In particular K is a compact selfadjoint operator in $\{f \in L^2; \int f\phi = 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in N \cap L^\infty\}$; its eigenvalues are $1/(j^2 - k^2), j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j \neq k$.

Consider the space (here $1/p + 1/p' = 1$)

$$E = \left\{ v \in L^{p'}(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} v\phi = 0 \text{ for all } \phi \in N \cap L^p \right\}$$

provided with the $L^{p'}$ norm. It follows from (9) that

$$(9') \quad K : E \rightarrow L^p \text{ is compact.}$$

On E we define

$$F(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (Kv)v + \frac{1}{p'} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p'}.$$

It is clear that F is C^1 on E ; in fact,

$$\langle F'(v), \zeta \rangle_{E^*, E} = \int_{\Omega} (Kv)\zeta + \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p'-2} v\zeta \text{ for all } v \text{ and } \zeta \in E.$$

Using the Hahn–Banach theorem we may write

$$(10) \quad Kv + |v|^{p'-2} v = w + \chi$$

with $w \in L^p$, $\|w\|_{L^p} = \|F'(v)\|_{E^*}$, $\chi \in N \cap L^p$.

MOUNTAIN PASS THEOREM (cf. [1]). *Assume F satisfies the Palais–Smale condition:*

$$(PS) \quad \text{Whenever a sequence } \{v_i\} \text{ in } E \text{ satisfies} \\ |F(v_i)| \leq M \text{ and } F'(v_i) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } E^*,$$

there exists a subsequence of v_i which converges in E .

Assume also:

$$(11) \quad \text{there are constants } r > 0 \text{ and } \rho > 0 \text{ such that } F(v) \geq \rho \\ \text{for every } v \in E \text{ with } \|v\| = r;$$

$$(12) \quad F(0) < \rho \text{ and } F(v_0) < \rho \text{ for some } v_0 \in E \text{ with } \|v_0\| > r.$$

Then $c = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{v \in \gamma} F(v) \geq \rho$ is a critical value. Here \mathcal{P} denotes the class of all paths γ joining 0 to v_0 .

VERIFICATION OF (PS). We write

$$Kv_j + |v_j|^{p'-2} v_j = w_j + \chi_j$$

with

$$w_j \in L^p, \quad \|w_j\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0, \quad \chi_j \in N \cap L^p.$$

We have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (Kv_j)v_j + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |v_j|^{p'} \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\Omega} w_j v_j \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_j\|_{L^p} \|v_j\|_{L^{p'}},$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (Kv_j)v_j + \frac{1}{p'} \int_{\Omega} |v_j|^{p'} \right| \leq M.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} |v_j|^{p'} \leq M + \frac{1}{2} \|w_j\|_{L^p} \|v_j\|_{L^{p'}}$$

and so v_j remains bounded in $L^{p'}$. We extract a subsequence—still denoted by v_j —such that v_j converges weakly to v in E . By the convexity of the function $|t|^{p'}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p'} |v|^{p'} - \frac{1}{p'} |v_j|^{p'} &\geq |v_j|^{p'-2} v_j (v - v_j) \\ &= (w_j + \chi_j - Kv_j)(v - v_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{p'} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p'} - \frac{1}{p'} \int_{\Omega} |v_j|^{p'} \geq \int_{\Omega} (w_j - Kv_j)(v - v_j).$$

It follows from (9') that the right-hand side of (13) goes to zero, and thus

$$\overline{\lim} \|v_j\|_{L^{p'}} \leq \|v\|_{L^{p'}}.$$

Hence $v_j \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^{p'}$.

VERIFICATION OF (11). Note that, by (7),

$$F(v) \geq -C\|v\|_{L^1}^2 + \frac{1}{p'}\|v\|_{L^{p'}}^{p'} \geq -C'\|v\|_{L^{p'}}^2 + \frac{1}{p'}\|v\|_{L^{p'}}^{p'}$$

and the conclusion follows immediately since $p' < 2$.

VERIFICATION OF (12). Simply choose v_0 of the form $v_0 = av_1$, where v_1 is any element in E such that $\int_{\Omega} (Kv_1)v_1 < 0$ and a is large enough.

We now deduce from the mountain pass theorem that there exists $v \in E$, $v \neq 0$, such that $F'(v) = 0$. Thus $Kv + |v|^{p'-2}v = \chi$ for some $\chi \in N \cap L^p$. Letting $u = \chi - Kv$ we find

$$(14) \quad Au + v = 0,$$

$$(15) \quad v = |u|^{p-2}u \equiv g(u).$$

Finally, we show that $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Indeed we have

$$\chi(x, t) = p(t+x) - p(t-x),$$

where

$$p(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi [\chi(x, t-x) - \chi(x, t+x)] dx$$

and $p \in L^p(0, 2\pi)$ since $\chi \in L^p(\Omega)$. Since $v \in E$, we have

$$(16) \quad \int_0^\pi [v(x, t-x) - v(x, t+x)] dx = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t.$$

Set $M = \|Kv\|_{L^\infty}$; then

$$-M + p(t+x) - p(t-x) \leq u(x, t) \leq M + p(t+x) - p(t-x)$$

and so

$$g(-M + p(t+x) - p(t-x)) \leq v(x, t) \leq g(M + p(t+x) - p(t-x)).$$

It follows easily from (16) that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} g(-M + p(t) - p(s)) ds \leq 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t$$

and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} g(M + p(t) - p(s)) ds \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t$$

and consequently $p \in L^\infty(0, 2\pi)$.

Remark. Instead of using the “mountain pass” theorem one can give a still more elementary argument. One simply minimizes $\int_\Omega (Kv)v$ on the set $\{v \in E; \|v\|_{L^{p'}} \leq 1\}$. The minimum is achieved at some $v_0 \in E$ with $\|v_0\|_{L^{p'}} = 1$ and $\int_\Omega (Kv_0)v_0 < 0$. Clearly, we have $Kv_0 + \lambda|v_0|^{p'-2}v_0 = \chi$ for some constant $\lambda > 0$ and some $\chi \in N \cap L^p$. Then $v = \alpha v_0$ satisfies $Kv + |v|^{p'-2}v \in N$ provided α is an appropriate constant. Note that *this proof works as well for $1 < p < 2$* . Unfortunately it relies heavily on the fact that $g(u)$ is homogenous.

2. The Case of a General Function g

Assume first that g is strictly increasing. Set $h = g^{-1}$ and

$$H(t) = \int_0^t h(s) ds = G^*(t).$$

(G^* is the conjugate convex function of G .) Given $k > 0$ (k will be fixed, large, later) we set

$$h_k(t) = \begin{cases} h(k) & \text{for } t \geq k, \\ h(t) & \text{for } |t| \leq k, \\ h(-k) & \text{for } t \leq -k. \end{cases}$$

Set $H_k(t) = \int_0^t h_k(s) ds$. By (4) and (5) we have

$$(17) \quad \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(s)}{s} = 0, \quad \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(s)}{s^2} = 0,$$

$$(18) \quad H(s) - \frac{1}{2}sh(s) \geq \alpha|s| - c \quad \text{for all } s.$$

(Recall Young's equality $tg(t) = G(t) + H(g(t))$ for all t .) It follows easily that

$$(19) \quad H_k(s) - \frac{1}{2}sh_k(s) \geq \alpha|s| - C \quad \text{for all } s,$$

provided $k \geq k_0$, where k_0 is large enough so that $h(k_0) \geq 2\alpha$, $|h(-k_0)| \geq 2\alpha$. Let $T = 2\pi/n$, where n is a large integer to be chosen later. We shall seek a solution of (1), (2), and

$$(20) \quad u(x, t + T) = u(x, t).$$

The kernel N of the operator $Au = u_t - u_{xx}$ acting on functions satisfying (2) and (20) consists of functions of the form

$$N = \left\{ p(t+x) - p(t-x); p \text{ is } T\text{-periodic, } p \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \int_0^T p = 0 \right\}.$$

Set $\Omega = (0, \pi) \times (0, T)$. Given a function $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} f\phi = 0$ for all $\phi \in N \cap L^\infty$, there exists a unique function $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $Au = f$ and $\int u\phi = 0$, $\phi \in N$. In fact, u is given by the same expression as in Section 1 (formula (6)). Set $u = Kf$. We shall work in the Banach space

$$E = \left\{ v \in L^1(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} v\phi = 0 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in N \cap L^\infty \right\},$$

provided with the L^1 norm.

We list some properties of K which are easy to check:

$$(21) \quad \|Kf\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C}{T} \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } f \in E$$

(C independent of T),

$$(22) \quad \int_{\Omega} (Kf)g = \int_{\Omega} f(Kg) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in E,$$

$$(23) \quad \|Kf\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C_T \|f\|_{L^q} \quad \text{for all } f \in E, \quad \alpha = 1 - 1/q.$$

In particular, K is a compact selfadjoint operator in $E \cap L^2$, its eigenvalues are $1/(j^2 - n^2k^2)$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, j \neq nk$.

In addition we shall use the following

LEMMA 1. *We have*

$$(24) \quad \int_{\Omega} (Kf)f \geq -2\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in E.$$

Proof: Recall that $\int_{\Omega} (Kf)f = \int_{\Omega} \psi f$, where ψ is defined in (6). Set

$$\eta(\xi) = \int_0^T f(\xi, \tau) d\tau. \quad \text{Noting that}$$

$$\int_{t+x-\xi}^{t-x+\xi} f(\xi, \tau) d\tau = \left[\frac{2(\xi-x)}{T} \right] \eta(\xi) + \text{remainder}$$

($[2(\xi-x)/T]$ denotes the integer part of $2(\xi-x)/T$), we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, t) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_x^{\pi} \frac{2(\xi-x)}{T} \eta(\xi) d\xi + c' \frac{(\pi-x)}{\pi} + R(x, t) \\ &\equiv \zeta(x) + R(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c' = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{2\xi}{T} \eta(\xi) d\xi$$

and

$$\|R\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \psi f &= \int_0^{\pi} \zeta(x) \eta(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} Rf dx dt \\ &\geq \int_0^{\pi} \zeta(x) \eta(x) dx - 2\|f\|_{L^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$-\zeta_{xx}(x) = \frac{1}{T} \eta(x), \quad \zeta(0) = \zeta(\pi) = 0$$

and so

$$\int_0^\pi \zeta \eta = T \int_0^\pi (\zeta_x)^2 \geq 0.$$

On the space E we define the function

$$F_k(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega (Kv)v + \int_\Omega H_k(v)$$

and we shall now apply to F_k the following theorem—which is a variant of the mountain pass theorem. We first introduce a modified form of (PS). Assume F is a Gateaux differentiable function on a Banach space E and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that F satisfies condition $(PS)_c$ provided:

(PS)_c Whenever a sequence $\{v_i\}$ in E is such that $F(v_i) \rightarrow c$ and $F'(v_i) \rightarrow 0$ in E^* , then c is a critical value.

Note the difference from (PS) on page 670.

THEOREM 2. Assume F is Gateaux differentiable and $F' : E \rightarrow E^*$ is continuous from the strong topology of E into the weak* topology of E^* . Assume

(25) there exist a neighborhood U of 0 and a constant $\rho > 0$ such that $F(v) \geq \rho$ for every v in the boundary of U ,

(26) $F(0) < \rho$ and $F(v_0) < \rho$ for some $v_0 \notin U$.

Assume $(PS)_c$ where $c = \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{v \in P} F(v) \geq \rho$ and \mathcal{P} denotes the class of paths joining 0 to v_0 .

Conclusion: c is a critical value.

The proof of Theorem 2—which is an easy modification of a well-known argument—is sketched in the Appendix.

Since $H_k \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, it is clear that F_k is Gateaux differentiable and that

$$\langle F'_k(v), \zeta \rangle_{E^*, E} = \int_\Omega (Kv)\zeta + \int_\Omega h_k(v)\zeta \quad \text{for all } v \in E \text{ and } \zeta \in E.$$

For fixed $\zeta \in E$, the mapping $v \rightarrow \langle F'_k(v), \zeta \rangle$ is obviously continuous; note that

in general F_k is not C^1 on E . Using the Hahn–Banach theorem we may write

$$(27) \quad Kv + h_k(v) = w + \chi$$

with $w \in L^\infty$, $\|w\|_{L^\infty} = \|F'_k(v)\|_{E^*}$ and $\chi \in N \cap L^\infty$.

We shall now verify the assumptions of Theorem 2 for F_k provided k is sufficiently large.

VERIFICATION OF (25). We may take $\rho = \frac{1}{8}$ and $U = \{v \in E; \|v\|_{L^1} < \frac{1}{2}\}$. Indeed, by Young’s inequality we have $\pm v \leqq H_k(v) + G_k(\pm 1)$, where G_k denotes the conjugate convex function of H_k so that $G_k(t) = G(t)$ for $t \in [g(-k), g(k)]$. Thus

$$|v| \leqq H_k(v) + G(1) + G(-1),$$

provided k is chosen large enough ($|g(\pm k)| \geqq 1$). Hence, if v lies on the boundary of U we have $\|v\|_{L^1} = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\|v\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leqq \int H_k(v) + (G(1) + G(-1)) |\Omega|.$$

On the other hand, by (24),

$$F_k(v) \geqq -\|v\|_{L^1}^2 + \|v\|_{L^1} - (G(1) + G(-1)) |\Omega| \geqq \frac{1}{8}$$

when $\|v\|_{L^1} = \frac{1}{2}$ and the period T is so small that $(G(1) + G(-1))\pi T \leqq \frac{1}{8}$.

VERIFICATION OF (26). In fact we shall find a v_0 independent of k such that $F_k(v_0) \leqq 0$ and $\int_\Omega H_k(v_0) \geqq \frac{1}{8}$ for all k large enough. Indeed fix $v_1 \in E \cap L^\infty$ such that $\int_\Omega (Kv_1)v_1 < 0$ (choose for example for v_1 an eigenfunction of K corresponding to a negative eigenvalue of K). Assume $\|v_1\|_{L^2} = 1$. Next, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_\Omega (Kv_1)v_1 \right|$. By (17) we have, $H(s) \leqq \varepsilon s^2 + C$, for all s , for some constant C . Set $v_0 = av_1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(v_0) &= \frac{1}{2}a^2 \int_\Omega (Kv_1)v_1 + \int_\Omega H_k(av_1) \\ &\leqq \frac{1}{2}a^2 \int_\Omega (Kv_1)v_1 + \int_\Omega H(av_1) \\ &\leqq a^2 \left[\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega (Kv_1)v_1 \right] + C|\Omega| \leqq 0, \end{aligned}$$

provided a is large enough. By further increasing a we may assume that $\|av\|_{L^1} > \frac{1}{2}$. Finally we assume that $k \geq k_0 = \|v_0\|_{L^\infty}$ and then $H_k(v_0) = H(v_0)$.

In order to check $(PS)_c$ we shall use the following

LEMMA 2. *Given $M > 0$, there exist constants k_M and C_M such that, for all $k \geq k_M$, the set $S_k = \{v \in E; F_k(v) \leq M \text{ and } \|F'_k(v)\|_{E^*} \leq \alpha\}$ is a bounded set in L^∞ and its norm is less than C_M (α occurs in (5)).*

Proof: Let $v \in S_k$; we have

$$(28) \quad Kv + h_k(v) = w + \chi$$

with $\|w\|_{L^\infty} \leq \alpha$ and $\chi \in N \cap L^\infty$. Thus

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (Kv)v + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} h_k(v)v \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_{\Omega} wv \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \|v\|_{L^1}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (Kv)v + \int_{\Omega} H_k(v) \leq M.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\Omega} [H_k(v) - \frac{1}{2}h_k(v)v] \leq M + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \|v\|_{L^1}$$

and by (19)

$$\frac{1}{2}\alpha \|v\|_{L^1} \leq M + C|\Omega|.$$

Hence

$$(29) \quad \|v\|_{L^1} \leq C_M,$$

where, in what follows, C_M denote various constants depending only on M . Set

$$(30) \quad u = \chi - Kv$$

so that by (28) we have

$$(31) \quad h_k(v) = w + u.$$

Note that

$$g(h_k(s)) = \tau_k(s) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } s \geq k, \\ s & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ -k & \text{if } s \leq -k. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by (31), we have

$$(32) \quad \tau_k(v) = g(w + u).$$

On the other hand, since $v \in E$, we have

$$(33) \quad \int_0^\pi [v(x, t-x) - v(x, t+x)] dx = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t$$

and since $\chi \in N \cap L^\infty$ we may write

$$\chi(x, t) = p(t+x) - p(t-x)$$

for some $p \in L^\infty$ which is T -periodic and such that $\int_0^T p = 0$. It follows from (28), (29), and (17) that

$$(34) \quad \|\chi\|_{L^1} \leq C_M$$

and therefore

$$(35) \quad \|p\|_{L^1(0,T)} \leq C_M.$$

Next we estimate $\|p\|_{L^\infty}$ using the same device as in [2] (see also [4]).

Set

$$\mu = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(0,T)} p.$$

We have

$$w + u = w - Kv + \chi$$

and since $\|w - Kv\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_M$ it follows from (32) that

$$g(-C_M + p(t+x) - p(t-x)) \leq \tau_k(v(x, t)) \leq g(C_M + p(t+x) - p(t-x)).$$

In particular,

$$(36) \quad g(-C_M + p(t) - p(t - 2x)) \leq \tau_k(v(x, t - x)).$$

Choosing t_0 such that $p(t_0) \geq \mu - 1$ we see that

$$g(-C_M - 1) \leq \tau_k(v(x, t_0 - x)) \quad \text{for a.e. } x.$$

If we take $k \geq |g(-C_M - 1)|$ we find that

$$v(x, t_0 - x) \geq -k \quad \text{for a.e. } x,$$

and in particular

$$\tau_k(v(x, t_0 - x)) \leq v(x, t_0 - x).$$

Therefore, by (36),

$$g(-C_M + p(t_0) - p(t_0 - 2x)) \leq v(x, t_0 - x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x.$$

Similarly if we choose $k \geq g(C_M + 1)$ we obtain

$$g(C_M + p(t_0 + 2x) - p(t_0)) \geq v(x, t_0 + x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x.$$

We deduce now from (33) that

$$\int_0^\pi [g(-C_M + p(t_0) - p(t_0 - 2x)) - g(C_M + p(t_0 + 2x) - p(t_0))] dx \leq 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(37) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{g}(-C_M + p(t_0) - p(s)) ds \leq 0,$$

where $\tilde{g}(u) = g(u) - g(-u)$. Let

$$\Sigma = \{s \in (0, 2\pi); p(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^c \text{ its complement.}$$

It follows from (35) that

$$\text{meas } \Sigma \leq \frac{2C_M}{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{meas } \Sigma^c \geq \left(2\pi - \frac{2C_M}{\mu}\right).$$

Splitting the integral in (37) on Σ and Σ^c we obtain

$$(2\pi - (2C_M/\mu))\tilde{g}(-C_M - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu) \leq 2\pi\tilde{g}(C_M + 1)$$

which provides a bound for μ in terms of C_M (assuming $k \geq \max\{|g(-C_M - 1)|, g(C_M + 1)\}$). We estimate in the same way $\text{ess inf}_{(0,T)} p$. Thus we have proved that $\|\chi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_M$ and also (by (30)) $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_M$. Finally, we derive from (32) the bound $\|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_M$ provided $k \geq k_M$ (k_M sufficiently large).

VERIFICATION OF (PS)_c. Let

$$c_k = \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{v \in P} F_k(v)$$

(\mathcal{P} denotes the class of paths joining 0 to v_0 —which we recall, is independent of k). In particular,

$$c_k \leq \max_{s \in [0,1]} F_k(sv_0) \leq \int_{\Omega} H(v_0).$$

Set $M = \int_{\Omega} H(v_0) + 1$. Let v_j be a sequence in E such that $F_k(v_j) \rightarrow c_k$ and $F'_k(v_j) \rightarrow 0$ in E^* . We wish to prove that c_k is a critical value of F_k . We may always assume that

$$F_k(v_j) \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad \|F'_k(v_j)\| \leq \alpha$$

and so, by Lemma 2, the v_j are uniformly bounded in L^∞ provided $k \geq k_0$. Extracting a subsequence we may assume that $v_j \rightarrow v$ weakly in w^*L^∞ and also $Kv_j \rightarrow Kv$ in $C(\bar{\Omega})$ (by (23)). We use now the same monotonicity device as in [3], [4]. Let $\zeta \in E$; we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (h_k(v_j) - h_k(\zeta))(v_j - \zeta) \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, we know that

$$Kv_j + h_k(v_j) = w_j + \chi_j$$

with $\|w_j\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$ and $\chi_j \in N \cap L^\infty$. It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} (w_j - Kv_j - h_k(\zeta))(v_j - \zeta) \geq 0$$

and in the limit

$$\int_{\Omega} (-Kv - h_k(\zeta))(v - \zeta) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in E.$$

Choosing $\zeta = v + t\eta$, $\eta \in E$, $t > 0$, we conclude easily that

$$\int_{\Omega} (Kv + h_k(v))\eta = 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in E,$$

i.e., $F'_k(v) = 0$. Finally we have, by the convexity of H_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} H_k(v) - H_k(v_j) &\geq \int_{\Omega} h_k(v_j)(v - v_j) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (w_j - Kv_j)(v - v_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand side tends to 0 we conclude that

$$\overline{\lim} \int_{\Omega} H_k(v_j) \leq \int_{\Omega} H_k(v),$$

and by lower semicontinuity, we have

$$\underline{\lim} \int_{\Omega} H_k(v_j) \geq \int_{\Omega} H_k(v).$$

Thus $\int_{\Omega} H_k(v_j) \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} H_k(v)$ and so $F_k(v_j) \rightarrow F_k(v)$. It follows that c_k is a critical value.

CONCLUSION OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1: By Theorem 2 we know that for each $k \geq k_0$ there exists $v_k \in E \cap L^\infty$ such that

$$F'_k(v_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_k(v_k) = c_k \geq \frac{1}{16}.$$

On the other hand, $c_k \leq \int H(v_0)$ and therefore, by Lemma 2, v_k remains bounded in L^∞ . Choosing k large enough we have

$$Kv_k + h(v_k) = Kv_k + h_k(v_k) = \chi_k \in N \cap L^\infty.$$

Letting $u = \chi_k - K v_k$ we obtain a nontrivial solution of $Au + g(u) = 0$. Finally, in the case where g is not strictly monotone we replace $g(u)$ by $g_\epsilon(u) = g(u) + \epsilon u$ and then pass to the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ using the same technique as above. We omit the details.

Appendix

Proof of Theorem 2

The proof follows a well-known argument, as in P. Rabinowitz [8]. (K. C. Chang [5] has recently proved a very general result of this kind for functions F which are merely locally Lipschitz in a reflexive Banach space.) Before describing the proof of the theorem we observe first that F is locally Lipschitz, since F' is locally bounded by the uniform boundedness principle.

Suppose c is not a critical value. By condition $(PS)_c$, there exist $\bar{\epsilon}, b > 0$ such that $\|F'(x)\| \geq b$ in $\tilde{E} = \{x \in E; c - \bar{\epsilon} \leq F(x) \leq c + \bar{\epsilon}\}$. We may take $\bar{\epsilon} < \min(\rho - F(0), \rho - F(v_0))$; then 0 and v_0 are not in \tilde{E} .

By Lemma 1.6 of [8], on \tilde{E} there is a pseudogradient vector field v for F , i.e., a locally Lipschitz continuous vector field satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(x)\| &\leq 2\|F'(x)\|, \\ \langle F'(x), v(x) \rangle &\geq \|F'(x)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|v(x)\| \geq \|F'(x)\| \geq b.$$

Lemma 1.6 of [8] assumes F to be in C^1 but the proof works exactly the same under our condition on F . Set $\tilde{E} = \{x \in E; c - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon} < F(x) < c + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\}$ and let $0 \leq g(x) \leq 1$ be a locally Lipschitz continuous function on E satisfying

$$g \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } \tilde{E}, \\ 0 & \text{outside } \tilde{E}, \end{cases}$$

and define the vector field in E :

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} -g(x) \frac{v(x)}{\|v(x)\|^2} & \text{in } \tilde{E}, \\ 0 & \text{outside } \tilde{E}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $V(x)$ is locally Lipschitz and $\|V(x)\| \leq b^{-1}$.

Consider the flow $y(t) = y(t, x)$ defined by

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = V(y), \quad y|_{t=0} = x \quad \text{for } x \in E.$$

There is a unique solution $y(t) = y(t, x)$ for $0 \leq t < \infty$ satisfying

$$(A1) \quad \text{for all } t, \quad y(t, x) \equiv x \quad \text{if } x \text{ is not in } \tilde{E}.$$

Furthermore we have

$$(A2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F(y(t)) = \langle F'(y(t)), V(y(t)) \rangle \leq -\frac{1}{4}g(y(t)).$$

Indeed, $y(t + \delta t) = y(t) + \delta t V(y(t)) + o(\delta t)$ and since F is locally Lipschitz

$$\begin{aligned} F(y(t + \delta t)) &= F(y(t)) + \delta t V(y(t)) + o(\delta t) \\ &= F(y(t)) + \delta t \langle F'(y(t)), V(y(t)) \rangle + o(\delta t) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.

Now, by the definition of c , there is a path P joining 0 to v_0 such that $F(x) \leq c + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\varepsilon}$ on P . From (A1) and (A2) it follows easily that the path $P(4\bar{\varepsilon})$, i.e., the points $y(4\bar{\varepsilon}, x)$ for $x \in P$, is also a path joining 0 to v_0 , and on it we have $F(y) \leq c - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\varepsilon}$ —a contradiction.

Bibliography

- [1] Ambrosetti, A., and Rabinowitz, P., *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 14, 1973, pp. 349–381.
- [2] Bahri, A., and Brézis, H., *Periodic solutions of a nonlinear wave equation*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh (to appear).
- [3] Brézis, H., and Nirenberg, L., *Characterizations of the ranges of some nonlinear operators and applications to boundary value problems*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Pisa 5, 1978, pp. 225–326.
- [4] Brézis, H., and Nirenberg, L., *Forced vibrations for a nonlinear wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31, 1978, pp. 1–30.
- [5] Chang, K. C., *Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and its applications to partial differential equations*, to appear.
- [6] Ekeland, L., *Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian equations and a theorem of P. Rabinowitz*, to appear.
- [7] Lovicarová, H., *Periodic solutions of a weakly nonlinear wave equation in one dimension*, Czech Math. J. 19, 1969 pp. 324–342.
- [8] Rabinowitz, P., *Variational method for nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, in *Eigenvalues of Nonlinear Problems*, CIME, ed. Cremonese Rome, 1974, pp. 141–195.
- [9] Rabinowitz, P., *Free vibrations for a semilinear wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31, 1978, pp. 31–68.

Received April, 1980.