# HOW TO RECOGNIZE CONSTANT FUNCTIONS. CONNECTIONS WITH SOBOLEV SPACES

#### Haïm Brezis

Dedicated to Mark Visik with esteem and friendship

#### 1. Introduction

Most of the ideas in this paper are coming from a series of recent collaborations with J. Bourgain, Y. Li, P. Mironescu and L. Nirenberg (see J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu [1], [2], [3], [4], H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg [1], H. Brezis, Y. Li, P. Mironescu and L. Nirenberg [1]). However we will adopt here on slightly different presentation and provide some simplified proofs.

The starting point is the following

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and let  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  be a measurable function such that

(1) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{N+1}} dx \, dy < \infty,$$

then f is a constant.

The original motivation for such a proposition was twofold:

(i) Uniqueness of lifting. Given a (measurable) function  $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$  such that |u| = 1 a.e., there are many liftings  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $u = e^{i\varphi}$ . If  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are 2 liftings then

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)) : \Omega \to \mathbb{Z}.$$

Under further assumptions one may hope to prove that k is a constant function. For example, if  $\varphi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2$  are continuous and  $\Omega$  is connected, then k is constant. The message I wish to convey is that the continuity assumption can be replaced by a different type of condition, such as (1), which is much more natural in the framework of Sobolev spaces (see Remark 3).

(ii) A degree theory for classes of discontinuous maps. The possibility of defining a degree for maps in Sobolev spaces (see H. Brezis and J.M. Coron [1], H. Brezis, Y. Li, P. Mironescu and L. Nirenberg [1]), is based on the fact deg  $h_t(\cdot)$  remains constant along a homotopy  $h_t(\cdot)$ , as t varies in [0,1] (or more generally in a connected parameter space  $\Lambda$ ). Such a conclusion holds possibly in situations where the dependence in t need not be continuous.

Remark 1. The conclusion of Proposition 1 is easy to state, but I do not know a direct, elementary, proof. Our proof is not very complicated but requires an "excursion" via the Sobolev spaces.

Remark 2. The connectedness assumption is of course needed. The conclusion of Proposition 1 still holds if in (1) N+1 is replaced by  $q \ge N+1$ . Indeed, it suffices to prove Proposition 1 when  $\Omega$  is a ball B (and complete the general case via connectedness); then

$$\frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+1}} \le \frac{C}{|x-y|^q} \qquad \forall x, y \in B.$$

(However the conclusion still holds in some non connected domains, for example  $\Omega = G \setminus \Sigma$  where G is connected and  $\Sigma$  is closed with meas  $\Sigma = 0$ . It would be interesting to study non connected domains where the conclusion of Proposition 1 holds).

On the other hand, if in (1) N+1 is replaced by q < N+1, then the conclusion fails. Indeed, for any Lipschitz function on B one has

$$\int_{B} \int_{B} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{q}} dx dy \le C \int_{B} \int_{B} \frac{dx dy}{|x - y|^{q - 1}} < \infty$$

since q < N + 1.

There are many consequences and variants of Proposition 1. Here are a few.

**Corollary 1.** Assume  $\Omega$  is a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and let  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{Z}$  be a measurable function such that

(2) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+1}} dx \, dy < \infty,$$

for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then f is a constant.

Proof. Observe that

$$|f(x) - f(y)|^p \ge |f(x) - f(y)|$$

since  $f(x) - f(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Remark 3. When p > 1, condition (2) says that f belongs to the fractional Sobolev space  $W^{s,p}$  (see e.g. Adams [1]) with s = 1/p. Therefore, we may assert that any function in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega;\mathbb{Z})$  with  $sp \geq 1$  is a constant. Note that the condition  $sp \geq 1$  is considerably weaker than the condition sp > N which implies (via the Sobolev embedding theorem) that f is continuous. Corollary 1 is originally due to R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer and F.H. Lin [1] (Lemma 1.1) when p = 2 and s = 1/2 (they attribute it to Wiener when N = 2). Bethuel and Demengel [1] had obtained a similar conclusion under the stronger assumption sp > 1.

Corollary 2. Assume  $\Omega$  is a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and A is any measurable subset such that

$$\int_{A} \int_{c_{A}} \frac{dx \, dy}{|x - y|^{N+1}} < \infty$$

then either meas(A) = 0 or  $meas(\Omega \backslash A) = 0$ .

It suffices to apply Proposition 1 to  $f = \chi_A$ , the characteristic function of A. Note that in (3), (N+1) is again optimal. If A is any subset of  $\Omega$  with smooth boundary, then (3) holds if (N+1) is replaced by any q < N+1 (it suffices to consider the case where  $\partial A$  is flat and to make an explicit computation).

Now some variants of Proposition 1.

**Proposition 2.** Assume  $\Omega$  is a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function such that

(4) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+p}} dx \, dy < \infty,$$

for some  $1 \le p < \infty$ , then f is constant.

[Proposition 1 corresponds to the case p = 1].

Still a further generalization

**Proposition 3.** Assume  $\Omega$  is a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function such that

(5) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \psi(|x - y|) dx \, dy < \infty,$$

where  $p \geq 1$  and  $\psi \in L^1_{loc}(0, \infty)$ ,  $\psi \geq 0$  satisfies

(6) 
$$\int_0^1 \psi(r)r^{N-1}dr = \infty,$$

then f is a constant.

[Proposition 2 corresponds to the case  $\psi(r) = r^{-N}$ ].

Here is one important generalization of Proposition 2.

**Proposition 4.** Assume  $\Omega$  is a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function such that

(7) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+p-\varepsilon}} dx \, dy = o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \, as \, \varepsilon \to 0,$$

i.e.,

(7') 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N + p - \varepsilon}} dx \, dy = 0$$

for some  $p \geq 1$ , then f is a constant.

Remark 4. Assumption (7) is clearly much weaker than (4) (when  $\Omega$  is bounded) which says that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+p-\varepsilon}} dx \, dy = 0$$
(1) as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ,

On the other hand (7) is optimal since for any Lipschitz function f on  $\Omega$ 

(8) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+p-\varepsilon}} dx \, dy = 0 \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

because

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{r^{N-\varepsilon}} r^{N-1} dr = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

Here is a final generalization, which brings us closer to the connection with Sobolev spaces.

**Theorem 1.** Assume  $\Omega$  is a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function. Let  $(\rho_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon>0}$  be a sequence of radial mollifiers, i.e.

(9) 
$$\rho_{\varepsilon} \in L^1_{loc}(0, \infty), \quad \rho_{\varepsilon} \ge 0,$$

(10) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \rho_{\varepsilon}(r) r^{N-1} dr = 1 \qquad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

(11) for every 
$$\delta > 0$$
,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \rho_{\varepsilon}(r) r^{N-1} dr = 0$ .

Assume that, for some  $p \geq 1$ ,

(12) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = 0.$$

Then f is a constant.

Note that Proposition 4 is a consequence of Theorem 1 when choosing

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(r) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon r^{-N+\varepsilon}, & r < 1\\ 0, & r > 1. \end{cases}$$

And Proposition 3 is also a consequence of Theorem 1 when choosing

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < \varepsilon \\ a_{\varepsilon}\psi(r) & \text{if } \varepsilon < r < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } r > 1, \end{cases}$$

where

(13) 
$$a_{\varepsilon} = \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \psi(r) r^{N-1} dr \right)^{-1} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Note that, in view of (5),

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy \le C a_{\varepsilon} \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0, \text{ by (13)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 involves an excursion into Sobolev spaces which we will now describe.

## 2. A new characterization of Sobolev spaces

For simplicity, we start with the case of all of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $1 . It is well-know (see e.g. H. Brezis [1], Proposition IX.3) that if <math>f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  then

(14) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \le |h|^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f|^p dx \quad \text{for every } h \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

And conversely, if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and if there exists a constant C such that

(15) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \le C|h|^p \text{ as } h \to 0,$$

then  $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

When  $p=1, W^{1,1}$  should be replaced by BV, the space of functions in  $L^1$  who's derivatives (in the sense of distributions) are bounded Radon measures; thus  $f \in BV$  if and only if

(16) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx \le C|h| \text{ as } |h| \to 0,$$

and then (16) holds for all  $h \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $C = \int |\nabla f| dx$ . In particular, if  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies (9), (10) and  $f \in W^{1,p}$ , we have

(17) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|^p}{|h|^p} dx \le C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh = \sigma_N \int_0^\infty \rho_{\varepsilon}(r) r^{N-1} dr = \sigma_N$$

where  $\sigma_N = |S^{N-1}|$ .

Changing variables in (17) yields

(18) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx \, dy \le C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Similarly, if  $f \in BV$ , we have

(19) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy \leq C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

The heart of the matter is that (18) (resp. (19)) gives a characterization of  $W^{1,p}$  when p > 1 (resp. BV).

**Theorem 2.** Assume  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies (18) with p > 1. Let  $(\rho_{\varepsilon})$  be as in (9)-(10)-(11). Then  $f \in W^{1,p}$  and

(20) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = K_{p,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f|^p dx$$

where  $K_{p,N}$  depends only on p and N.

Similarly for p = 1 we have

**Theorem 3.** Assume  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies (19). Let  $(\rho_{\varepsilon})$  be as in (9)-(10)-(11). Then  $f \in BV$  and

(21) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = K_{1,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f| dx$$

where the right-hand side denote the total mass of the measure  $\nabla f$ .

An interesting consequence of Theorem 3 is the following

Corollary 3. Let A be a bounded measurable set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then A has finite perimeter (in the sense of De Giorgi) if and only if

$$\int_{A} \int_{c_{A}} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x-y|) dx dy \leq C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0$$

and then

(22) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{A} \int_{c_{A}} \frac{1}{|x - y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = K_{1,N} \operatorname{Per}(A).$$

Proof of Theorem 2. The original proof of Theorem 2 is to be found in Bourgain, Brezis and Mironescu [3]. We present here a simpler argument suggested by E. Stein [1]. Assume  $f \in L^p$  satisfies (18) an let  $(\gamma_{\delta})$  be any sequence of smooth mollifiers. Set

$$f_{\delta} = \gamma_{\delta} \star f$$
.

Note that (18) still holds when f is replaced by its translates  $(\tau_h f)(x) = f(x+h)$ . Also, (18) is stable under convex combinations and thus  $f_{\delta}$  satisfies (18) with the same constant C, i.e., we have

(23) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f_{\delta}(x) - f_{\delta}(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy \le C$$

where C is independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ .

Next, let  $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be such that

(24) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx \, dy \le C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

where  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  satisfies (9), (10), (11). We claim that

(25) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla g(x)|^p dx \le C/K_{p,N},$$

with C taken from (24) and

(26) 
$$K_{p,N} = \int_{S^{N-1}} |(\sigma \cdot e)|^p d\sigma, \quad e \in S^{N-1}.$$

Proof of (25). Let K be any compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . For  $x \in K$  and  $|h| \leq 1$  we have

$$|g(x+h) - g(x) - h \cdot \nabla g(x)| \le C_K |h|^2.$$

From (24) we have

(28) 
$$\int_{K} dx \int_{|h|<1} \frac{|g(x+h) - g(x)|^{p}}{|h|^{p}} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh \le C.$$

By (27) we have

$$|h \cdot \nabla g(x)| \le |g(x+h) - g(x)| + C_K |h|^2$$

and therefore, for every  $\theta > 0$ 

$$|h \cdot \nabla g(x)|^p \le (1+\theta)|g(x+h) - g(x)|^p + C_{\theta,K}|h|^{2p}$$

Combining this with (28) yields

(29) 
$$\int_{K} dx \int_{|h| \le 1} \frac{|(h \cdot \nabla g(x))|^{p}}{|h|^{p}} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh \le (1+\theta)C + C_{\theta,K}|K| \int_{|h| \le 1} |h|^{p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh.$$

But, for any vector  $V \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$\int_{|h|<1}\frac{|(h\cdot V)|^p}{|h|^p}\rho_\varepsilon(|h|)dh=K_{p,N}|V|^p\int_0^1\rho_\varepsilon(r)r^{N-1}dr.$$

On the other hand, it is clear from (10) and (11) that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|h| < 1} |h|^p \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh = 0.$$

Passing to the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  in (29) we find

(30) 
$$K_{p,N} \int_{K} |\nabla g(x)|^p dx \le (1+\theta)C.$$

Since (30) holds for every  $\theta > 0$  and every compact set K (with C independent of  $\theta$  and K) we obtain (25), that is,

(31) 
$$K_{p,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla g(x)|^p dx \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy.$$

On the other hand, if  $g \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  we have, as above,

$$|g(x+h) - g(x)| \le |h \cdot \nabla g(x)| + C'|h|^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence

$$|g(x+h) - g(x)|^p \le (1+\theta)|h \cdot \nabla g(x)|^p + C'_{\theta}|h|^{2p}.$$

We multiply this by  $\rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|)/|h|^p$  and integrate over the set  $\{(x,h)\in\mathbb{R}^{2N}:x\text{ or }x+h\in\sup g\}$  to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|g(x+h) - g(x)|^p}{|h|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh \le$$

$$(1+\theta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K_{p,N} |\nabla g(x)|^p dx + 2C'_{\theta} |\operatorname{supp} g| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |h|^p \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh.$$

We first let  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and then  $\theta \to 0$ . This yields

(32) 
$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|g(x+h) - g(x)|^p}{|h|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|h|) dh \le K_{p,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla g(x)|^p dx.$$

Combining (31) and (32) yields, for every  $g \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{D}^N} \int_{\mathbb{D}^N} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = K_{p,N} \int_{\mathbb{D}^N} |\nabla g(x)|^p dx.$$

Since  $C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is dense in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , it is easy to conclude (using (14)) that (20) holds for every  $f \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

We may now complete the proof of Theorem 2. Assuming  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfies (18) and applying Claim (25) to  $g = f_{\delta}$  we see that

(33) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f_{\delta}|^p dx \le C/K_{p,N},$$

where C comes from (18). Finally, we pass to the limit in (33) as  $\delta \to 0$  and obtain  $f \in W^{1,p}$ .

Proof of Theorem 3. If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and satisfies (19) and we proceed as above we are led to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f_{\delta}| dx \le C/K_{1,N}.$$

Therefore  $f \in BV$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f| dx \le C/K_{1,N}.$$

In other words we have proved that

(34) 
$$K_{1,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f| dx \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy.$$

On the other hand it is easy to see, using (16), that for  $f \in BV$ 

(35) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy \leq \tilde{K}_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f| dx.$$

Unfortunately the constant  $\tilde{K}_N$  in (35) is not the same as  $K_{1,N}$ . It is also clear that (21) holds when  $f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . However we cannot conclude easily that (21) holds for every  $f \in BV$  since  $C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is not dense in BV.

It remains to be shown that, for every  $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^N)$ 

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy \le K_{1,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f| dx.$$

This has been established by J. Davila [1] using new ideas which are not presented here.

Remark 5. There are statements similar to Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 when  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is replaced by a smooth bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . However the same conclusion fails for a general bounded domain  $\Omega$  if  $\partial\Omega$  is not smooth. It is still true (for a general  $\Omega$ ) that

(36) 
$$K_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy.$$

However, it may happen for p > 1 that  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (so that the left hand side in (36) is *finite*) while the right-hand side in (36) is *infinite*. Here is such an example. Let  $\Omega = D \setminus \Sigma$  where D is a disc (in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and  $\Sigma$  is a slit. Let f be a smooth function in  $\Omega$  which is discontinuous across the slit (for example two different constants on each side of the slit). Clearly  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , but the RHS in (36) is infinite. This is so because

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \dots = \int_{D} \int_{D} \dots$$

and if the RHS in (36) were finite we would conclude that  $f \in W^{1,p}(D)$  (by Theorem 2), which is obviously wrong. This example suggests the following

Open problem 1. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded connected set (not necessarily smooth). Let  $\delta(x,y)$  denote the geodesic distance in  $\Omega$ . Let  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  be such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{\delta(x, y)^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(\delta(x, y)) dx dy \le C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Does it follow that  $f \in W^{1,p}$  and if so, does one have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{\delta(x, y)^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(\delta(x, y)) dx dy = K_{p, N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p dx?$$

Remark 6. The characterization of  $W^{1,p}$  (resp. BV) given by Theorem 2 (resp. 3) suggests a definition of Sobolev spaces for maps  $f: M \to \tilde{M}$  between metric spaces, where M is equipped with a measure  $\mu$ , namely

$$\int \int \frac{\tilde{d}(f(x),f(y))^p}{d(x,y)^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(d(x,y)) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Note that assumptions (10) and (11) involve the notion of a dimension N but this can be done easily by considering  $\lim_{r\to 0} |\log \mu(B_r(x))|/|\log r|$ . It would be interesting to study the properties of such maps (Sobolev imbeddings, etc...) and to compare this notion with other definitions (see Korevaar and Schoen [1], P. Hajlasz and P.Koskela [1], L. Ambrosio and P.Tilli [1] and the numerous references in these works).

Remark 7. There are variants of Theorems 2 and 3 when  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . For example, we have

**Theorem 2'.** Assume  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  satisfies

(37) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy \leq C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

with  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  as in (9), (10), (11). Then  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and

(38) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = K_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p.$$

Sketch of proof. First assume that (37) holds. By a standard technique of reflection across the boundary and multiplication by a cut-off one constructs a function  $\tilde{f}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with compact support, such that  $\tilde{f} = f$  on  $\Omega$  and satisfying

(39) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx \, dy \le C' \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

By Theorem 2 we conclude that  $\tilde{f} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and thus  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

Next one shows that if  $f \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ , then

(40) 
$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx \, dy \le C(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p dx.$$

Finally one proves that if  $f \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ 

(41) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = K_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p dx.$$

The conclusion of Theorem 2' follows from an easy density argument.

Remark 8. There are several choices for  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  which are of interest. Here are a few

A) Choice 1

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon}{r^{N-\varepsilon}} & 0 < r < 1\\ 0 & r > 1. \end{cases}$$

This choice yields

Corollary 4. Assume  $\Omega$  is a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  be such that

$$\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+p-\varepsilon}} dx \, dy \le C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

then  $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and

(42) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N + p - \varepsilon}} dx \, dy = K_{p, N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p.$$

Recall that the standard fractional Sobolev space  $W^{s,p}$ ,  $0 < s < 1, 1 < p < \infty$ , is equipped with Gagliardo (semi) norm

(43) 
$$||f||_{W^{s,p}}^p = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx \, dy.$$

It is well-known that  $||f||_{W^{s,p}}$  does not converge to  $||f||_{W^{1,p}}$  as  $s \uparrow 1$ ; in fact it converges to  $\infty$  (unless f is constant) by Proposition 2. However in view of Corollary 4 we may now assert that

(44) 
$$\lim_{s \uparrow 1} (1-s) \|f\|_{W^{s,p}}^p = \frac{K_{p,N}}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p.$$

This "reinstates"  $W^{1,p}$  as a continuous limit of  $W^{s,p}$  as  $s \uparrow 1$  provided one uses the norm  $(1-s)^{1/p} ||f||_{W^{s,p}}$  on  $W^{s,p}$ .

B) Choice 2

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{N}{\varepsilon^{N}} & \text{if } r < \varepsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } r > \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

This choice yields

(45) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p} dx \, dy = \frac{K_{p,N}}{N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p.$$

A variant is

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{(N+p)r^p}{\varepsilon^{N+p}} & r < \varepsilon \\ 0 & r > \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

and then we have

(46) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+p}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |f(x) - f(y)|^p dx dy = \frac{K_{p,N}}{(N+p)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p.$$

Still another choice yields

(47) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+p}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |f(x) - f(y)|^p dx dy = \tilde{K}_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p.$$

C) Choice 3

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & r < \varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon| r^N} & \varepsilon < r < 1 \\ 0 & r > 1. \end{cases}$$

This choice yields

(48) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+p}} dx \, dy = K_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p.$$

D) Choice 4

Let  $\gamma \in L^1_{loc}(0,+\infty)$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ , be such that

$$\int_0^\infty \gamma(r)r^{N+p-1}dr = 1.$$

Choosing

$$\rho_{\varepsilon}(r) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+p}} \, \gamma\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right) r^p$$

yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+p}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |f(x) - f(y)|^p \, \gamma\left(\frac{|x - y|}{\varepsilon}\right) dx \, dy = K_{p,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^p,$$

for every  $f \in W^{1,p}$  (with p > 1) and for every  $f \in BV$  (with p = 1). Applying this in the BV case with  $f = \chi_A$  we obtain a new *characterization* of sets of *finite perimeter*. Namely a measurable set  $A \subset \Omega$  has finite perimeter if and only if

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+1}} \int_A \int_{c_A} \gamma\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\varepsilon}\right) dx \, dy \le C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

and then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+1}} \int_A \int_{c_A} \gamma\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\varepsilon}\right) dx \, dy = K_{1,N} \mathrm{Per}(A).$$

#### 3. Back to constant functions

All the results of Section 1 are immediate consequences of the statements of Section 2 applied in a ball  $B \subset \Omega$ . One concludes that f is constant on B and then that f is constant on  $\Omega$  since  $\Omega$  is connected.

Note that the assumption

(49) 
$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B} \int_{B} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = 0$$

implies first that  $f \in BV$  and then that  $\nabla f = 0$ , so that f is a constant.

By contrast, when p > 1, and f takes its values into  $\mathbb{Z}$  it suffices to assumes that

(50) 
$$\int_{B} \int_{B} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^{p}}{|x - y|^{p}} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy \le C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Indeed, (50) implies that  $f \in W^{1,p}$  (attention when p = 1, (50) only implies that  $f \in BV$ ). Then, one may use the fact that f takes its values into  $\mathbb{Z}$  to conclude that f is constant. The argument is the following: write

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_k$$

where  $A_k = \{x \in \Omega; f(x) = k\}$  and use a well-known result of Stampacchia (see e.g. Lemma 7.7 in Gilbarg–Trudinger [1]) asserting that  $\nabla f = 0$  a.e. on  $A_k$ . Hence  $\nabla f = 0$  a.e. on  $\Omega$ .

Alternatively, one may deduce from (50) and assumption  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{Z}$ , that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \, \frac{\rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|)}{|x - y|^{p - 1}} dx \, dy \le C.$$

This yields easily

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = 0$$

and thus f is a constant.

There are interesting extensions of some of the above results where the ratio

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^p}$$

is replaced by a more general expression

$$\omega\left(\frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|}\right).$$

Here are two results due to R. Ignat, V. Lie and A. Ponce [1].

**Theorem 4.** Assume  $\omega:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  is a continuous function such that  $\omega(0)=0$ ,  $\omega(t)>0\ \forall t>0$  and

(51) 
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega(t)}{t^2} dt = \infty.$$

Assume  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \omega \left( \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \right) \frac{dx \, dy}{|x - y|^N} < \infty,$$

then f is a constant.

**Theorem 5.** Assume  $\omega:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  is a continuous function such that  $\omega(0)=0$  and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\omega(t)}{t}=\alpha>0.$$

Assume  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \omega \left( \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \right) \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy \le C \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Then  $f \in BV$  and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \omega \left( \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \right) \rho_{\varepsilon}(|x - y|) dx dy = \int_{\Omega} \overline{\omega}(|\nabla f_{ac}|) dx + \alpha K_{1,N} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f_{s}| dx,$$

where  $\overline{\omega}(t) = \int_{S^{N-1}} \omega(t|\sigma \cdot e|) d\sigma$  and  $\nabla f = \nabla f_{ac} + \nabla f_s$  is the Radon–Nikodym decomposition of  $\nabla f$ .

Here is still another open problem:

Open problem 2. Let  $\Omega$  be a (smooth) connected, bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $f:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$  be a continuous (or even Hölder continuous) function. Let  $\omega:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  be a continuous function such that  $\omega(0)=0$  and  $\omega(t)>0$  for t>0.(Here (51) might fail). Assume that

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \omega \left( \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \right) \frac{1}{|x - y|^N} \, dx \, dy < \infty.$$

Can one conclude that f is a constant?

### 4. Another approach. Connection with VMO

We first recall the definition of VMO( $\Omega; \mathbb{R}$ ) (= vanishing mean oscillation). We say that a function  $f \in VMO(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  if  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  satisfies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{|B_{\varepsilon}(x)|^2} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} |f(y) - f(z)| dy \, dz = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } x \in \Omega.$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a connected (smooth) open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and let  $f \in VMO(\Omega; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then f is a constant. This was already observed in Brezis-Nirenberg [1] (Section I.5, part 2). Indeed if we set

$$\overline{f}_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{|B_{\varepsilon}(x)|} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} f(y) dy$$

then  $\operatorname{dist}(\overline{f}_{\varepsilon}(x),\mathbb{Z}) \to 0$  uniformly in  $\Omega$  (see Brezis–Nirenberg [1], Section I.1) and thus there is some constant  $k_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|\overline{f}_{\varepsilon}(x) - k_{\varepsilon}| \to 0$  uniformly in  $\Omega$ . Hence f is a constant.

Functions in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  belong to  $VMO(\Omega)$  provided  $sp \geq N$  (see Brezis-Nirenberg [1], Section I.2). Therefore one cannot apply directly this argument in our setting which corresponds roughly speaking to  $sp \geq 1$ . However one may use an argument of reduction to dimension one already used in Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu [2].

Assume for simplicity that  $\Omega$  is a square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ . Then, the restrictions  $f(x_1,\cdot)$  and  $f(\cdot,x_2)$  still belong to  $W^{s,p}(I)$  for a.e.  $x_1$  and a.e.  $x_2$  (where I is an interval) (see e.g. Brezis, Li, Mironescu and Nirenberg [1], Section 2).

This observation is very useful when combined with the following measure theoretical tool:

**Lemma** (see e.g. Brezis, Li, Mironescu and Nirenberg [1], Lemma 2). Assume that  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable. Suppose that for a.e.  $x_1, f(x_1, \cdot)$  and for a.e.  $x_2, f(\cdot, x_2)$  are constant functions. Then f is a constant.

The considerations above yield an alternative proof of Corollary 1 when p > 1. Indeed, if p > 1, (2) says that  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  where s = 1/p. The restrictions of f to almost every line still belong to  $W^{s,p}$  with s = 1/p. Hence these restrictions are VMO.

Therefore, if  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{Z}$  one may conclude that the restrictions of f to almost every line are constant. The above lemma allows to conclude that f is constant.

The preceding argument also gives

**Theorem 6.** Assume  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is connected and let  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{Z}$  be a measurable function such that  $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + ... + f_k$  where  $f_0 \in W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  and  $f_i \in W^{s_i,p_i}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  with  $s_i p_i \geq 1$  for i = 1, 2, ..., k. Then f is a constant.

Open problem 3. Is there a simple intrinsic assumption on f which can replace the decomposition assumption  $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + ... + f_k$ ? Is there an elegant way to unify Theorem 6 with the results of Section 1?

Another interesting direction of research is

Open problem 4. Find estimates for

$$||f-\int f||$$

in terms of the quantities appearing throughout the paper and which would imply that f is constant in various situations. The reader may find some results in that direction in Bourgain, Brezis and Mironescu [4] (see also Maz'ya and Shaposhnikova [1]).

## References

- R.A. Adams [1], Sobolev spaces, Acad. Press (1975).
- L. Ambrosio and P. Tilli [1], Selected topics on "Analysis in metric spaces", Lecture Notes, Scuola Normale Superiore Pisa (2000).
- F.Bethuel and F. Demengel [1], Extensions for Sobolev mappings between manifolds, Cal. Var. PDE 3 (1995), 475–491.
- J. Bourgain, H. Brezis and P. Mironescu [1], Lifting in Sobolev spaces, J. Analyse Math. 80 (2000), p. 37-86.
- [2], On the structure of the Sobolev space  $H^{1/2}$  with values into the circle, C. R. Acad. Sc. **331** (2000), p. 119–124.
- [3], Another look at Sobolev spaces, in *Optimal Control and Partial Differential Equations* (J.L. Menaldi, E. Rofman et A. Sulem, eds) a volume in honour of A. Bensoussan's 60th birthday, IOS Press, 2001, p. 439–455.
- [4], Limiting embedding theorems for  $W^{s,p}$  when  $s \uparrow 1$  and applications, J. Analyse Math. (to appear).
- H. Brezis [1], Analyse fonctionnelle ; théorie et applications, Masson (1983) et Dunod (1999).
- H. Brezis and J.M. Coron [1], Large solutions for harmonic maps in two dimensions, Comm. Math. Phys. **92** (1983), p. 203–215.
- H. Brezis, Y.Li, P. Mironescu and L. Nirenberg [1], Degree and Sobolev spaces, Topological methods in Nonlinear Analysis **13** (1999), p. 181–190.
- H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg [1], Degree theory and BMO, Part I: compact manifolds without boundaries, Selecta Math. 1 (1995), p. 197–263.

- J. Davila [1], On an open question about functions of bounded variation, Cal. Var. PDE (to appear).
- D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger [1], Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer, Second edition, 1983.
- P. Hajlasz and P. Koskela [1], Sobolev met Poincaré, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (2000).
- R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer and F.H. Lin [1], The variety of configurations of static liquid crystals, in *Variational Methods* (H. Berestycki, J.-M. Coron and I. Ekeland eds), Birkhauser 1990.
- R. Ignat, V. Lie and A. Ponce [1], paper in preparation.
- N. Korevaar and R. Schoen [1], Sobolev spaces and harmonic maps for metric space targets, Comm. in Anal. and Geom., 1 (1993), 561–659.
- V. Maz'ya and T. Shaposhnikova [1], On the Bourgain, Brezis and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces, J. Funct. Anal. (to appear). E. Stein [1], personal communication.

Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions Université Pierre et Marie Curie Boîte courrier 187 4 place Jussieu 75252 Paris cedex 05

email: brezis@ann.jussieu.fr, brezis@ccr.jussieu.fr