

# On the structure of the Sobolev space $H^{1/2}$ with values into the circle

Jean BOURGAIN<sup>a</sup>, Haïm BREZIS<sup>b</sup>, Petru MIRONESCU<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute for Advanced Study, Olden Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA  
E-mail: bourgain@math.ias.edu

<sup>b</sup> Analyse numérique, Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie, B.C. 187, 4, place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France  
E-mail: brezis@ccr.jussieu.fr

<sup>c</sup> Département de mathématiques, Université Paris-Sud, bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay cedex, France  
E-mail: Petru.Mironescu@math.u-psud.fr

(Reçu et accepté le 14 mai 2000)

---

**Abstract.** We are concerned with properties of  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  where  $\Omega$  is the boundary of a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . To every  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  we associate a distribution  $T(u)$  which, in some sense, describes the location and the topological degree of singularities of  $u$ . The closure  $Y$  of  $C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)$  in  $H^{1/2}$  coincides with the  $u$ 's such that  $T(u) = 0$ . Moreover, every  $u \in Y$  admits a unique (mod.  $2\pi$ ) lifting in  $H^{1/2} + W^{1,1}$ . We also discuss an application to the 3-d Ginzburg–Landau problem. © 2000 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

*Sur la structure de l'espace de Sobolev  $H^{1/2}$  à valeurs dans le cercle*

**Résumé.** On s'intéresse aux propriétés des fonctions de  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  où  $\Omega$  est le bord d'un domaine de  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . À tout  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  on associe une distribution  $T(u)$  qui décrit l'emplacement et le degré topologique des singularités de  $u$ . La fermeture  $Y$  de  $C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)$  dans  $H^{1/2}$  coincide avec l'ensemble des  $u$  tels que  $T(u) = 0$ . De plus, tout  $u \in Y$  s'écrit de manière unique (mod.  $2\pi$ ) sous la forme  $u = e^{i\varphi}$  avec  $\varphi \in H^{1/2} + W^{1,1}$ . On présente aussi une application au problème de Ginzburg–Landau en 3-d. © 2000 Académie des sciences/Éditions scientifiques et médicales Elsevier SAS

---

## Version française abrégée

Soit  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  un domaine borné régulier tel que  $\Omega = \partial G$  soit simplement connexe. On s'intéresse aux propriétés des fonctions de  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$ . Par analogie avec les résultats de [8] et [3], on associe à tout  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  une distribution  $T(u)$  qui agit sur  $C^1(\Omega)$ . Lorsque  $u$  admet seulement un nombre fini de singularités ( $a_j$ ) de degré ( $d_j$ ), on a  $T(u) = 2\pi \sum_j d_j \delta_{a_j}$ . On sait alors que  $\sup\{\langle T(u), \varphi \rangle; \|\varphi\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1\}$  est la longueur de la connexion minimale (au sens de [8]) associée aux singularités de  $u$ . On montre que  $u \in Y$

---

Note présentée par Haïm BREZIS.

si et seulement si  $T(u) = 0$  (ceci est l'analogue  $H^{1/2}$  d'un résultat de Bethuel [1] concernant les fonctions de  $H^1(B^3; S^2)$ ). On prouve que toute fonction  $u \in Y$  s'écrit (de manière unique mod.  $2\pi$ ) sous la forme  $u = e^{i\varphi}$  avec  $\varphi \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) + W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . De plus, on a l'estimation  $\|\varphi\|_{H^{1/2} + W^{1,1}} \leq C(1 + \|u\|_{H^{1/2}}^2)$ . La preuve de cette estimée utilise la théorie des paraproducts au sens de J.-M. Bony et Y. Meyer.

Enfin, on considère l'énergie de Ginzburg–Landau  $E_\varepsilon$  définie par (11), où  $g_\varepsilon$  est une approximation de  $g$  au sens de (10). On suppose que  $g \in Y$  et on écrit  $g = e^{i\varphi_0}$  avec  $\varphi_0 \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) + W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . Alors les minimiseurs  $u_\varepsilon$  de (11) convergent vers  $u_* = e^{i\varphi}$ , où  $\varphi$  est la solution de (12).

---

Let  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth bounded domain with  $\Omega = \partial G$  simply connected. We are concerned with the properties of the space

$$H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1) = \{u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2); |u| = 1 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega\}.$$

Recall (see [5]) that there are functions in  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  which cannot be written in the form  $u = e^{i\varphi}$  with  $\varphi \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . For example, we may assume that locally, near a point on  $\Omega$ , say 0,  $\Omega$  is a disc  $B_1$ ; then take

$$u(x, y) = (x, y)/(x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} \quad \text{on } B_1. \tag{1}$$

Recall also (see [12]) that there are functions in  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  which cannot be approximated in the  $H^{1/2}$ -norm by functions in  $C^\infty(\Omega, S^1)$ . Consider, for example, again a function  $u$  which is the same as in (1) near 0.

It is therefore natural to introduce the classes

$$X = \{u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1); u = e^{i\varphi} \text{ for some } \varphi \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})\}$$

and

$$Y = \overline{C^\infty(\Omega; S^1)}^{H^{1/2}}.$$

Clearly, we have

$$X \subset Y \subset H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1).$$

Moreover, these inclusions are strict. Any function  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  which satisfies (1) does not belong to  $Y$ . On the other hand the function

$$u(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{2i\pi/r^\alpha} & \text{on } B_1, \\ 1 & \text{on } \Omega \setminus B_1, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

with  $r = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$  and  $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$ , belongs to  $Y$ , but not to  $X$  (see [5]).

To every function  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$  we associate a distribution  $T = T(u) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . When  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  the distribution  $T(u)$  describes the location and the topological degree of its singularities.

Given  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $\varphi \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  consider any  $U \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}^2)$  and any  $\Phi \in C^1(\overline{G}; \mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$U|_\Omega = u \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi|_\Omega = \varphi.$$

Set

$$H = 2(U_y \wedge U_z, U_z \wedge U_x, U_x \wedge U_y);$$

this  $H$  is independent of the choice of direct orthonormal bases in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (to compute derivatives) and in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (to compute  $\wedge$ -products). Next, consider

$$\int_G H \cdot \nabla \Phi. \quad (3)$$

It is not difficult to show (*see* [6]) that (3) is independent of the choice of  $U$  and  $\Phi$ ; it depends only on  $u$  and  $\varphi$ . We may thus define the distribution<sup>1</sup>  $T(u) \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  by:

$$\langle T(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_G H \cdot \nabla \Phi.$$

If there is no ambiguity we will simply write  $T$  instead of  $T(u)$ .

When  $u$  has a little more regularity we may also express  $T$  in a simpler form.

LEMMA 1. – If  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , then

$$\langle T(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_\Omega (u \wedge u_x) \varphi_y - (u \wedge u_y) \varphi_x, \quad \forall \varphi \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}),$$

for any choice of local orthonormal coordinates  $(x, y)$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $(x, y, n)$  is direct, where  $n$  is the outward normal to  $G$ .

By analogy with the results of [8] and [3] we introduce, for every  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , the number

$$L(u) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) \\ \|\varphi\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq 1}} \langle T(u), \varphi \rangle,$$

where  $\|\varphi\|_{\text{Lip}}$  refers to a given metric on  $\Omega$ . There are three (equivalent) metrics on  $\Omega$  which are of interest:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x, y) &= |x - y|, \\ d_G(x, y) &= \text{the geodesic distance in } \overline{G}, \text{ and} \\ d_\Omega(x, y) &= \text{the geodesic distance in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It is easy to see that

$$|L(u)| \leq C \|u\|_{H^{1/2}}^2, \quad \forall u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \quad (5)$$

and

$$|L(u) - L(v)| \leq C \|u - v\|_{H^{1/2}} (\|u\|_{H^{1/2}} + \|v\|_{H^{1/2}}), \quad \forall u, v \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2). \quad (6)$$

When  $u$  takes its values in  $S^1$  and has only a finite number of singularities there are very simple expressions for  $T(u)$  and  $L(u)$ :

LEMMA 2. – If  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1) \cap H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^k \{a_j\}; S^1)$ , then

$$T(u) = 2\pi \sum_{j=1}^k d_j \delta_{a_j},$$

where  $d_j = \deg(u, a_j)$  and  $L(u)$  is the length of the minimal connection associated to the configuration  $(a_j, d_j)$  and to the specific metric on  $\Omega$  (in the sense of [8]; see also [13]).

*Remark 1.* – Here  $\deg(u, a_j)$  denotes the topological degree of  $u$  restricted to any small circle around  $a_j$ , positively oriented with respect to the outward normal. It is well defined using the degree theory for maps in  $H^{1/2}(S^1; S^1)$  (see [7] and [10]).

We will also make use of a density result of T. Rivière which is the  $H^{1/2}$  analogue of a result of Bethuel and Zheng [4] concerning  $H^1$  maps from  $B^3$  to  $S^2$  (see also a related result of Bethuel [2] in fractional Sobolev spaces). Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the class of maps in  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  which are  $C^\infty$  on  $\Omega$  except at a finite number of points.

LEMMA 3 (T. Rivière [19]). – *The class  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$ .*

Still some further elementary facts about  $T$  and  $L$ :

LEMMA 4. – *For every  $u, v \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} T(uv) &= T(u) + T(v), \\ L(u\bar{v}) &\leq C\|u - v\|_{H^{1/2}} (\|u\|_{H^{1/2}} + \|v\|_{H^{1/2}}), \\ L(uv) &\leq L(u) + L(v). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have identified  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $uv$  denotes complex multiplication.

Using Lemmas 3 and 4 we may extend the representation formula of Lemma 2 to general functions in  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$ :

THEOREM 1. – *Given any  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  there are two sequences of points  $(P_i)$  and  $(N_i)$  in  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\sum_i |P_i - N_i| < \infty, \quad (7)$$

$$\langle T(u), \zeta \rangle = 2\pi \sum_i (\zeta(P_i) - \zeta(N_i)). \quad (8)$$

In addition, for any metric  $d$  in (4)

$$L(u) = \inf \sum_i d(P_i, N_i),$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible sequences  $(P_i)$ ,  $(N_i)$  satisfying (7), (8). In case the distribution  $T$  is a measure (of finite total mass) then

$$T(u) = 2\pi \sum_{\text{finite}} d_j \delta_{a_j}$$

with  $d_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_j \in \Omega$ .

The last assertion in Theorem 1 is the  $H^{1/2}$ -analogue of a result of Jerrard and Soner [15,16] (see also Hang and Lin [14]) concerning maps in  $W^{1,1}(\Omega; S^1)$ . In Theorem 1, the last assertion can be derived from the first assertion via a direct abstract argument (see Smets [21]).

Maps in  $Y$  can be characterized in terms of the distribution  $T$ :

THEOREM 2 (T. Rivière [19]). – *Let  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$ , then  $T(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u \in Y$ .*

This result is the  $H^{1/2}$ -counterpart of a well-known result of Bethuel [1] characterizing the closure of smooth maps in  $H^1(B^3; S^2)$  (see also Demengel [11]).

As was mentioned earlier, functions in  $Y$  need not belong to  $X$ , i.e., they need not have a lifting in  $H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . However we have:

**THEOREM 3.** – For every  $u \in Y$  there exists  $\varphi \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) + W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ , which is unique (modulo  $2\pi$ ) such that  $u = e^{i\varphi}$ . Conversely, if  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  can be written as  $u = e^{i\varphi}$  with  $\varphi \in H^{1/2} + W^{1,1}$  then  $u \in Y$ .

The existence is proved with the help of paraproducts (in the sense of J.-M. Bony and Y. Meyer) (see [6]). The heart of the matter is the estimate

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^{1/2} + W^{1,1}} \leq C(1 + \|u\|_{H^{1/2}}^2), \quad (9)$$

which holds for smooth  $\varphi$ . The uniqueness (modulo  $2\pi$ ) of  $\varphi$  is established as in [9]. Theorem 3 is still valid for domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  (see [6]).

*Remark 2.* – Using Theorem 3 and the basic estimate (9) one may prove that for every  $u \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  there exists  $\varphi \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}) + BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $u = e^{i\varphi}$ . Of course this  $\varphi$  is not unique, but there are some “distinguished”  $\varphi$ ’s (see [6]).

The link between the Ginzburg–Landau energy and minimal connections has been first pointed out in the important work of T. Rivière [18] (see also [17] and [20]) in the case of boundary data with a finite number of singularities. We are concerned here with a general boundary condition  $g$  in  $H^{1/2}$ .

Given  $g \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  we may always approximate it by a sequence  $g_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \|g_\varepsilon - g\|_{L^2} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}, & \|\nabla g_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C/\varepsilon, & \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1, \text{ and} \\ g_\varepsilon \rightarrow g & \text{in } H^{1/2}. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Set

$$E_\varepsilon = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_G |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_G (|u|^2 - 1)^2; u \in H^1(G; \mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and } u = g_\varepsilon \text{ on } \Omega \right\}. \quad (11)$$

**THEOREM 4.** – We have, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$E_\varepsilon = \pi L(g) \log(1/\varepsilon) + o(\log(1/\varepsilon)),$$

where  $L(g)$  corresponds to the metric  $d_G$  on  $\Omega$ .

Finally, we study the convergence of minimizers  $(u_\varepsilon)$  of (11). If  $g \in X$  we may write  $g = e^{i\varphi_0}$  with  $\varphi_0 \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ . A natural choice for  $g_\varepsilon$  is  $g_\varepsilon = e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon}$  where  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -regularization of  $\varphi$  as in (10). In this case it is easy to prove that

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_* = e^{i\varphi} \quad \text{in } H^1(G),$$

where  $\varphi$  is the solution of

$$\Delta\varphi = 0 \quad \text{in } G, \quad \varphi = \varphi_0 \quad \text{on } \Omega. \quad (12)$$

When  $g \in Y$  we prove (see [6]):

**THEOREM 5.** – For every  $g \in Y$  write (as in Theorem 3)  $g = e^{i\varphi_0}$  with  $\varphi_0 \in H^{1/2} + W^{1,1}$ . Then (for any choice of  $g_\varepsilon$  satisfying (10)) we have

$$u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u_* = e^{i\varphi} \quad \text{in } W^{1,p}(G) \cap C^\infty(G), \quad \forall p < 3/2,$$

where  $\varphi$  is defined in (12).

*Remark 3.* – We shall also present in [6] results concerning the convergence of  $u_\varepsilon$  when  $g \in H^{1/2}(\Omega; S^1)$  does not belong to  $Y$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> The distribution  $T(u)$  and the corresponding number  $L(u)$  were originally introduced, for a general  $u \in H^{1/2}$ , by the authors in 1996 and these concepts were presented in various lectures.

## References

- [1] Bethuel F., A characterization of maps in  $H^1(B^3, S^2)$  which can be approximated by smooth maps, *Ann. Inst. Henri-Poincaré, Anal. Non linéaire* 7 (1990) 269–286.
- [2] Bethuel F., Approximations in trace spaces defined between manifolds, *Nonlinear Anal., Theory, Methods and Appl.* 24 (1995) 121–130.
- [3] Bethuel F., Brezis H., Coron J.-M., Relaxed energies for harmonic maps, in: *Variational Problems*, Berestycki H., Coron J.-M., Ekeland I. (Eds.), Birkhäuser, 1990, pp. 37–52.
- [4] Bethuel F., Zheng X., Density of smooth functions between two manifolds in Sobolev spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* 80 (1988) 60–75.
- [5] Bourgain J., Brezis H., Mironescu P., Lifting in Sobolev spaces, *J. d'Anal.* 80 (2000) 37–86.
- [6] Bourgain J., Brezis H., Mironescu P., (in preparation).
- [7] Boutet de Monvel A., Georgescu V., Purice R., A boundary value problem related to the Ginzburg–Landau model, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 142 (1991) 1–23.
- [8] Brezis H., Coron J.-M., Lieb E., Harmonic maps with defects, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 107 (1986) 649–705.
- [9] Brezis H., Li Y.Y., Mironescu P., Nirenberg L., Degree and Sobolev spaces, *Topol. Meth. in Nonlin. Anal.* 13 (1999) 181–190.
- [10] Brezis H., Nirenberg L., Degree theory and BMO, Part I: Compact manifolds without boundaries, *Selecta Math.* 1 (1995) 197–263.
- [11] Demengel F., Une caractérisation des fonctions de  $W^{1,1}(B^n, S^1)$  qui peuvent être approchées par des fonctions régulières, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* 310 (1990) 553–557.
- [12] Escobedo M., Some remarks on the density of regular mappings in Sobolev classes of  $S^M$ -valued functions, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* 1 (1988) 127–144.
- [13] Giaquinta M., Modica G., Soucek J., *Cartesian Currents in the Calculus of Variations, Vol. II*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [14] Hang F.B., Lin F.H., A remark on the Jacobians, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* (to appear).
- [15] Jerrard R.L., Soner H.M., Rectifiability of the distributional Jacobian for a class of functions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I* 329 (1999) 683–688.
- [16] Jerrard R.L., Soner H.M., Functions of bounded higher variation, Preprint, 1999.
- [17] Lin F.H., Rivière T., Complex Ginzburg–Landau equations in high dimensions and codimension two area minimizing currents, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 1 (1999) 237–311. Erratum, 2 (2000) 87–91.
- [18] Rivière T., Line vortices in the  $U(1)$ -Higgs model, *Control, Optim. and Calc. of Var.* 1 (1996) 77–167.
- [19] Rivière T., Dense subsets of  $H^{1/2}(S^2; S^1)$ , *Ann. of Global Anal. and Geom.* (to appear).
- [20] Sandier E., Ginzburg–Landau minimizers from  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and minimal connections, Preprint, 1999.
- [21] Smets D., (in preparation).