

# Sobolev Inequalities with Remainder Terms

HAÏM BREZIS

Département de Mathématiques, Université Paris VI,  
4, Place Jussieu, 75230 Paris, Cedex 05, France

AND

ELLIOTT H. LIEB\*

Departments of Mathematics and Physics, Princeton University,  
Princeton, New Jersey 08544

Communicated by the Editors

Received September 14, 1984

The usual Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , asserts that  $\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2$ , with  $S_n$  being the sharp constant. This paper is concerned, instead, with functions restricted to bounded domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Two kinds of inequalities are established: (i) If  $f = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + C(\Omega) \|f\|_{p,w}^2$  with  $p = 2^*/2$  and  $\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + D(\Omega) \|\nabla f\|_{q,w}^2$  with  $q = n/(n-1)$ . (ii) If  $f \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $\|\nabla f\|_2^2 + C(\Omega) \|f\|_{q,\partial\Omega} \geq S_n^{1/2} \|f\|_{2^*}^2$  with  $q = 2(n-1)/(n-2)$ . Some further results and open problems in this area are also presented.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The usual Sobolev inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , for the  $L^2$  norm of the gradient is

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla f\|_2^2 &\geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2, \\ 2^* &= 2n/(n-2), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for all functions  $f$  with  $\nabla f \in L^2$  and with  $f$  vanishing at infinity in the weak sense that  $\text{meas}\{x \mid |f(x)| > a\} < \infty$  for all  $a > 0$  (see [12]). The sharp constant  $S_n$  is known to be

$$S_n = \pi n(n-2)[\Gamma(n/2)/\Gamma(n)]^{2/n}. \tag{1.2}$$

\* Work partially supported by U.S. National Science Foundation Grant PHY-8116101-A02.

The constant  $S_n$  is achieved in (1.1) if and only if

$$f(x) = a[\epsilon^2 + |x - y|^2]^{(2-n)/2} \quad (1.3)$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\epsilon \neq 0$ , and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  [1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 11].

In this paper we consider appropriate modifications of (1.1) when  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is replaced by a *bounded* domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . There are two main problems:

**PROBLEM A.** If  $f = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , then (1.1) still holds (with  $L^p$  norms in  $\Omega$ , of course), since  $f$  can be extended to be zero outside of  $\Omega$ . In this case (1.1) becomes a strict inequality when  $f \neq 0$  (in view of (1.3)). However,  $S_n$  is still the sharp constant in (1.1) (since  $\|\nabla f\|_2/\|f\|_{2^*}$  is scale invariant). Our goal, in this case, is to give a lower bound to the difference of the two sides in (1.1) for  $f \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . In Section II we shall prove the following inequalities (1.4) and (1.6):

$$\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + C(\Omega) \|f\|_{p,w}^2, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $C(\Omega)$  depends on  $\Omega$  (and  $n$ ),  $p = n/(n-2) = 2^*/2$ , and  $w$  denotes the weak  $L^p$  norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{p,w} = \sup_A |A|^{-1/p'} \int_A |f(x)| dx,$$

with  $A$  being a set of finite measure  $|A|$ .

The inequality (1.4) was motivated by the weaker inequality in [3],

$$\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + C_p(\Omega) \|f\|_p^2, \quad (1.5)$$

which holds for all  $p < n/(n-2)$  (with  $C_p(\Omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow n/(n-2)$ ). The proof of (1.5) in [3] was very indirect compared to the proof of (1.4) given here. Inequality (1.4) is best possible in the sense that (1.5) cannot hold with  $p = n/(n-2)$ ; this can be shown by taking the  $f$  in (1.3), applying a cutoff function to make  $f$  vanish on the boundary, and then expanding the integrals (as in [3]) near  $\epsilon = 0$ .

An inequality stronger than (1.4), and involving the gradient norm is

$$\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + D(\Omega) \|\nabla f\|_{q,w}^2, \quad (1.6)$$

with  $q = n/(n-1)$ . (The reason that (1.6) is stronger than (1.4) is that the Sobolev inequality has an extension to the weak norms, by Young's inequalities in weak  $L^p$  spaces.)

Among the open questions concerning (1.4)–(1.6) are the following:

(a) What are the sharp constants in (1.4)–(1.6)? Are they achieved? Except in one case, they are not known, even for a ball. If  $n = 3$ ,  $\Omega$  is a ball of radius  $R$  and  $p = 2$  in (1.5), then  $C_2(\Omega) = \pi^2/(4R^2)$ ; however, this constant is not achieved [3].

(b) What can replace the right side of (1.4)–(1.6) when  $\Omega$  is unbounded, e.g., a half-space?

(c) Is there a natural way to bound  $\|\nabla f\|_2^2 - S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2$  from below in terms of the “distance” of  $f$  from the set of optimal functions (1.3)?

**PROBLEM B.** If  $f \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , then (1.1) does not hold in  $\Omega$  (simply take  $f = 1$  in  $\Omega$ ). Let us assume now that  $\Omega$  is not only bounded but that  $\partial\Omega$  (the boundary of  $\Omega$ ) has enough smoothness. Then (1.1) might be expected to hold if suitable boundary integrals are added to the left side. In Section III we shall prove that for  $f = \text{constant} \equiv f(\partial\Omega)$  on  $\partial\Omega$

$$\|\nabla f\|_2^2 + E(\Omega) |f(\partial\Omega)|^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2. \quad (1.7)$$

On the other hand, if  $f$  is not constant on  $\partial\Omega$ , then the following two inequalities hold.

$$\|\nabla f\|_2^2 + F(\Omega) \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\|\nabla f\|_2 + G(\Omega) \|f\|_{q,\partial\Omega} \geq S_n^{1/2} \|f\|_{2^*}, \quad (1.9)$$

with  $q = 2(n-1)/(n-2)$ , which is sharp. (Note the absence of the exponent 2 in (1.9).)

In addition to the obvious analogues of questions (a)–(c) for Problem B, one can also ask whether (1.9) can be improved to

$$\|\nabla f\|_2^2 + H(\Omega) \|f\|_{q,\partial\Omega}^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2. \quad (1.10)$$

We do not know.

If  $\Omega$  is a ball of radius  $R$ , we shall establish that the sharp constant in (1.7) is  $E(\Omega) = \sigma_n R^{n-2}/(n-2)$ , where  $\sigma_n$  is the surface area of the ball of unit radius in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . With this  $E(\Omega)$ , (1.7) is a strict inequality. Given this fact, one suspects (in view of the solution to Problem A) that some term could be added to the right side of (1.7). However, such a term cannot be any  $L^p(\Omega)$  norm of  $f$ , as will be shown.

To conclude this Introduction, let us mention two related inequalities. First, if one is willing to replace  $S_n$  on the right side of (1.10) by the smaller constant  $2^{-2/n}S_n$ , then for a ball one can obtain the inequality

$$\int |\nabla f|^2 + I(\Omega) \|f\|_{2,\partial\Omega}^2 \geq 2^{-2/n} S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2. \quad (1.11)$$

This is proved in Section III. Inequalities related to (1.11) were derived by Cherrier [4] for general manifolds.

Second, one can consider the doubly weighted Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality [7, 10] which in some sense is the dual of (1.1), namely,

$$\left| \iint f(x) f(y) |x - y|^{-\lambda} |x|^{-\alpha} |y|^{-\alpha} dx dy \right| \leq P_{\alpha, \lambda, n} \|f\|_p^2, \quad (1.12)$$

with  $p' = 2n/(\lambda + 2\alpha)$ ,  $0 < \lambda < n$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < n/p'$ . If  $f$  is restricted to have support in a bounded domain  $\Omega$  and if  $P$  is (by definition) the sharp constant in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , one should expect to be able to add some additional term to the left side of (1.12). When  $p = 2$  this is indeed possible, and the additional term is

$$J_n |\Omega|^{-\lambda/n} \left\{ \int f(x) |x|^{-\alpha} dx \right\}^2. \quad (1.13)$$

This was proved in [5] for  $n = 3$ ,  $\lambda = 2$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\Omega$  being a ball, but the method easily extends (for a ball) to other  $n, \lambda$ . The result (1.13) further extends to general  $\Omega$  (with the same constant  $J_n$ ) by using the Riesz rearrangement inequality. On the other hand, when  $p \neq 2$ , it does not seem to be easy to find the additional term on the left side of (1.12): at least we have not succeeded in doing so. This is an open problem. In particular, in Section III we prove that when  $p = \frac{6}{5}$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ , one cannot even add  $\|f\|_1^2$  to the left side of (1.12).

## II. PROOF OF INEQUALITIES (1.4) AND (1.6)

*Proof of Inequality (1.4).* By the rearrangement inequality for the  $L^2$  norm of the gradient we have

$$\|\nabla f^*\|_2 \leq \|\nabla f\|_2 \quad (2.1)$$

(see, e.g., [8]); in addition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^*\|_{2^*} &= \|f\|_{2^*}, \\ \|f^*\|_{p,w} &= \|f\|_{p,w}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here,  $f^*$  denotes the symmetric decreasing rearrangement of the function  $f$  extended to be zero outside  $\Omega$ . Therefore, it suffices to consider the case in which  $\Omega$  is a ball of radius  $R$  (chosen to have the same volume as the original domain) and  $f$  is symmetric decreasing.

Let  $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and define  $u$  to be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= g && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) + u(x) + \|u\|_\infty & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \|u\|_\infty (R/|x|)^{n-2} & \text{in } \Omega^c. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The Sobolev inequality in all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  applied to  $\phi$  yields

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(f+u)|^2 + \|u\|_\infty^2 R^{n-2}(n-2) \sigma_n \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 \quad (2.5)$$

since  $f \geq 0$  and  $u + \|u\|_\infty \geq 0$ . Here

$$\sigma_n = 2(\pi)^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$$

is the surface area of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Therefore, we find

$$\int |\nabla f|^2 - 2 \int fg + \int |\nabla u|^2 + k \|u\|_\infty^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $k = R^{n-2}(n-2) \sigma_n$ . Replacing  $g$  by  $\lambda g$  and  $u$  by  $\lambda u$  and optimizing with respect to  $\lambda$  we obtain

$$\int |\nabla f|^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + \left( \int fg \right)^2 / \left[ \int |\nabla u|^2 + k \|u\|_\infty^2 \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

In inequality (2.7) we can obviously maximize the right side with respect to  $g$ . In view of the definition of the weak norm we shall in fact restrict our attention to  $g = 1_A$ , namely, the characteristic function of some set  $A$  in  $\Omega$ . We shall now establish some simple estimates for all the quantities in (2.7) in which  $C_n$  generically denotes constants depending only on  $n$ ,

$$\int fg = \int_A f, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\int |\nabla u|^2 \leq C_n |A|^{1+2/n}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C_n |A|^{2/n}. \quad (2.10)$$

Indeed we have, by multiplying (2.3) by  $u$  and using Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A |\nabla u|^2 &= -\int_A u \leq \|u\|_{2^*} |A|^{(1/2)+(1/n)} \\ &\leq S_n^{-1/2} \|\nabla u\|_2 |A|^{(1/2)+(1/n)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

which implies (2.9). Next we have, by comparison with the solution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |u| &\leq C_n |x|^{-n+2} * (1_A) \\ &\leq C'_n |A|^{2/n} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

since the function  $|x|^{-n+2}$  belongs to  $L_w^{n/(n-2)}$ . Since  $|A| \leq |\Omega| = \sigma_n R^n / n$  we obtain

$$\int_A |\nabla u|^2 + k \|u\|_\infty^2 \leq C_n |A|^{4/n} R^{n-2}. \quad (2.13)$$

Hence (1.4) has been proved (for all  $\Omega$ ) with a constant

$$C(\Omega) = C_n |\Omega|^{(2-n)/n}. \quad (2.14)$$

*Proof of Inequality (1.6).* To a certain extent the previous proof can be imitated except for one important ingredient, namely, the rearrangement technique cannot be used since it is not true that  $\|\nabla f\|_{q,w} \leq \|\nabla f^*\|_{q,w}$ . (However, it is still true that we can replace  $f$  by  $|f|$  without changing any of the norms in (1.6), and thus we may and still assume that  $f \geq 0$ .) Consequently we have to use a direct approach and the constant  $D(\Omega)$  in (1.6) will not depend only on  $|\Omega|$ ; it will in fact depend on the capacity of  $\Omega$ . It is an open question whether (1.6) holds with  $D(\Omega)$  depending only on  $|\Omega|$ . Our result is that

$$D(\Omega) = C_n / \text{cap}(\Omega). \quad (2.15)$$

We begin as before with (2.3), but (2.4) is replaced by

$$\phi = \begin{cases} f + u + \|u\|_\infty & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \|u\|_\infty v & \text{in } \Omega^c, \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

where  $v$  is the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega^c, \\ v &= 1 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

with  $v \rightarrow 0$  at infinity. By definition,

$$\text{cap}(\Omega) = \int |\nabla v|^2. \quad (2.18)$$

Inequality (2.7) still holds but with the constant  $k$  replaced by  $k = \text{cap}(\Omega)$ . Also we note that (2.7) can be written as

$$\int |\nabla f|^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + \left( \int \nabla f \cdot \nabla u \right)^2 / \left[ \int |\nabla u|^2 + k \|u\|_\infty^2 \right], \quad (2.19)$$

which holds for any  $u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ . By density, (2.19) still holds for every  $u$  in  $H_0^1 \cap L^\infty$  (the reason is that for every such  $u$  there is a sequence  $u_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $u_j \rightarrow u$  in  $H_0^1$  and  $\|u_j\|_\infty \rightarrow \|u\|_\infty$ ).

We now choose  $u$  to be the solution of (2.3) with

$$g = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[ \left( \text{sgn} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) 1_A \right]. \quad (2.20)$$

This function  $u$  is in  $L^\infty$  as we now verify. We can write

$$u = w + h,$$

where  $w$  satisfies  $\Delta w = g$  in all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , namely,

$$w = C_n |x|^{2-n} * g. \quad (2.21)$$

Clearly  $h$  is harmonic and  $h = -w$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Therefore  $\|h\|_\infty \leq \|w\|_{\infty, \partial\Omega} \leq \|w\|_\infty$  and hence  $\|u\|_\infty \leq 2 \|w\|_\infty$ . On the other hand,

$$w = C_n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} |x|^{2-n} \right) * \left[ \left( \text{sgn} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) 1_A \right],$$

and thus

$$|w| \leq C_n(n-2) |x|^{1-n} * 1_A. \quad (2.22)$$

Since  $|x|^{1-n} \in L_w^{n/(n-1)}$  we obtain

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq 2 \|w\|_\infty \leq C'_n |A|^{1/n}. \quad (2.23)$$

Next, let us estimate  $\int |\nabla u|^2$ . Multiplying (2.3) by  $u$  we have

$$\int |\nabla u|^2 = \int (\text{sgn } \partial f / \partial x_i) 1_A (\partial u / \partial x_i) \leq \left[ \int |\nabla u|^2 \right]^{1/2} |A|^{1/2}$$

and thus

$$\int |\nabla u|^2 \leq |A|. \quad (2.24)$$

Finally, since  $f = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$\int \nabla f \cdot \nabla u = - \int f \Delta u = \int |\partial f / \partial x_i| 1_A. \quad (2.25)$$

Using these estimates in (2.19) we find

$$\int |\nabla f|^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + C_n \left( \int_A |\partial f / \partial x_i| \right)^2 / (\text{cap}(\Omega) |A|^{2/n}),$$

since  $|A|^{1-(2/n)} \leq |\Omega|^{1-(2/n)} \leq S_n^{-1} \text{cap}(\Omega)$  by Sobolev's inequality applied to the function  $\tilde{v} = v$  in  $\Omega^c$  and  $\tilde{v} = 1$  in  $\Omega$ . This completes the proof of (1.6) with the constant given in (2.15).

### III. PROOFS OF (1.7)–(1.9) AND RELATED MATTERS

*Proof of (1.8).* Let us define

$$\phi = \begin{cases} f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w & \text{in } \Omega^c, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $w$  is the harmonic function that vanishes at infinity and agrees with  $f$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Using  $\phi$  in (1.1) we find

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 + \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla w|^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla w|^2 \sim \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}^2. \quad (3.3)$$

This concludes the proof of (1.8).

*Proof of (1.7).* Now suppose that  $f$  is a constant on  $\partial\Omega$ . We shall first investigate the case that  $\Omega$  is a ball of radius  $R$  centered at zero. In this case  $w(x) = f(\partial\Omega) R^{n-2} |x|^{2-n}$ . Inequality (3.2) then yields (1.7) with

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Omega) &= \text{cap}(\Omega) = \sigma_n R^{n-2}/(n-2) \\ &= \frac{n |\Omega|}{n-2} \left\{ \frac{\sigma_n}{n |\Omega|} \right\}^{2/n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Furthermore, (1.7) is a strict inequality with this  $E(\Omega)$  because the function  $\phi$  in (3.1) is not of the form (1.3). Also,  $E(\Omega)$  given by (3.4) is the sharp constant in (3.4). To see this we apply (1.7) with  $f = f_\varepsilon$  given by (1.3) with  $a = 1$  and  $y = 0 = \text{center of the ball}$ . We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 = S_n \|f_\varepsilon\|_{2^*, \mathbb{R}^n}^2. \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 + \int_{\Omega^c} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 + \text{cap}(\Omega) |f_\varepsilon(\partial\Omega)|^2 + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Here we have to note that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for  $|x| > R$

$$f_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow |x|^{2-n}$$

in the appropriate topologies. On the other hand,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_\varepsilon|^{2^*} - \int_{\Omega} |f_\varepsilon|^{2^*} = \int_{\Omega^c} |f_\varepsilon|^{2^*} \rightarrow C.$$

Thus

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_{2^*, \mathbb{R}^n}^2 = \|f_\varepsilon\|_{2^*, \Omega}^2 + o(1). \quad (3.7)$$

This proves that  $E(\Omega)$  in (1.7) is greater than or equal to  $\text{cap}(\Omega)$  when  $\Omega$  is a ball, and thus that (3.4) is sharp.

The same calculation with  $f_\varepsilon$  as above shows that if  $\Omega$  is a ball there is no inequality of the type

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 + \text{cap}(\Omega) |f(\partial\Omega)|^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2 + d \|f\|_1^2 \quad (3.8)$$

with  $d > 0$ , because the additional term  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_1 = O(1)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Now we consider a general domain with  $f(\partial\Omega) = \text{constant} = C$ . We can assume  $C \geq 0$  and note that we can also assume  $f \geq C$  in  $\Omega$ . (This is so because replacing  $f$  by  $|f - C| + C \geq f$  does not decrease the  $L^{2^*}$  norm and leaves  $\|\nabla f\|_2$  invariant.) Consider the function  $g = f - C \geq 0$  which vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$  and hence can be extended to be zero on  $\Omega^c$ . Apply to  $g$  the rearrangement inequality for the  $L^2$  norm of the gradient, as was done in

Section II. Finally consider  $\tilde{f} \equiv g^* + C$  in the ball  $\Omega^*$  whose volume is  $|\Omega|$ . Since  $\tilde{f}(\partial\Omega^*) = C = f(\partial\Omega)$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega^*} |\nabla \tilde{f}|^2 + E(\Omega^*) |f(\partial\Omega)|^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*,\Omega^*}^2.$$

As we remarked,  $\|\nabla f\|_2 \geq \|\nabla \tilde{f}\|_2$ . Also since  $f \geq C$ , it is easy to check that  $\|f\|_{2^*} = \|\tilde{f}\|_{2^*}$ .

The conclusion to be drawn from this exercise is that (1.7) holds for general  $\Omega$  with  $E(\Omega)$  given by (3.4), namely,  $\text{cap}(\Omega^*)$ . We also note that (1.7), with this  $E(\Omega)$ , is strict, since it is strict for a ball.

**QUESTION.** Is  $E(\Omega)$  given by (3.4) the sharp constant in general?

*Proof of (1.9).* Given  $f$  in  $\Omega$  we consider the harmonic function  $h$  in  $\Omega$  which equals  $f$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . We write

$$f = h + u \quad (3.9)$$

with  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and thus

$$\int |\nabla u|^2 \geq S_n \|u\|_{2^*}^2. \quad (3.10)$$

On the one hand

$$\int |\nabla u|^2 = \int |\nabla(f - h)|^2 = \int |\nabla f|^2 - \int |\nabla h|^2 \quad (3.11)$$

(note that  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^2 = \int_{\partial\Omega} h(\partial h / \partial n) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\partial h / \partial n) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla f \cdot \nabla h$ ). On the other hand, by the triangle inequality,

$$\|u\|_{2^*} \geq \|f\|_{2^*} - \|h\|_{2^*}. \quad (3.12)$$

Inserting (3.11) and (3.12) in (3.10) we obtain

$$\|\nabla f\|_2 + \|h\|_{2^*} \geq S_n^{1/2} \|f\|_{2^*}. \quad (3.13)$$

Next we claim that

$$\|h\|_{2^*} \leq G(\Omega) \|f\|_{q,\partial\Omega} \quad (3.14)$$

with  $q = 2(n-1)/(n-2)$ , which will complete the proof of (1.9). The proof of (3.14) is a standard duality argument. Indeed, let  $\psi$  be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \psi &= Y && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \psi &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where  $Y$  is some arbitrary function in  $L'$ . We have, by multiplying by  $h$  and integrating by parts,

$$\int_{\Omega} h Y = \int_{\partial\Omega} f \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n}. \quad (3.16)$$

However, the  $L^p$  regularity theory shows that  $\psi \in W^{2,t}$  with  $\|\psi\|_{W^{2,t}(\Omega)} \leq C \|Y\|_t$ . In particular,  $\|\nabla\psi\|_{W^{1,t}(\Omega)} \leq C \|Y\|_t$ , and, by trace inequalities,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial n} \right\|_{r,\partial\Omega} \leq C \|Y\|_t, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{n-t}{t(n-1)}. \quad (3.18)$$

Therefore, by (3.16) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} h Y \right| \leq C \|f\|_{q,\partial\Omega} \|Y\|_t, \quad (3.19)$$

where  $1/r + 1/q = 1$ . Since (3.19) holds for all  $Y$  we conclude that

$$\|h\|_t \leq C \|f\|_{q,\partial\Omega},$$

which coincides with (3.14) since  $t' = 2^*$  when  $q = 2(n-1)/(n-2)$ .

Finally, we claim that there is no inequality of the type (1.9) with  $q < 2(n-1)/(n-2)$ . Indeed, suppose (1.9) holds with some such  $q$ . We choose  $f = f_\varepsilon$  as in (1.3) with  $a = 1$  and  $y \in \partial\Omega$ . It is obvious that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 / \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 = 1/2 + o(1),$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_\varepsilon|^{2^*} / \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_\varepsilon|^{2^*} = 1/2 + o(1),$$

while

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 = S_n \|f_\varepsilon\|_{2^*,\mathbb{R}^n}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_\varepsilon\|_{q,\partial\Omega} / \|f_\varepsilon\|_{2^*} = o(1).$$

This contradicts (1.9).

*Remark.* The last exercise with  $f_\varepsilon$  given above shows that it is not possible to apply rearrangement techniques when  $f$  is not constant on  $\partial\Omega$ ,

even if  $\Omega$  is a ball. It also shows that there is *no* inequality for all  $f \in H^1$  of the type

$$\|\nabla f\|_2^2 + C \|f\|_{q,\Omega}^2 \geq S_n \|f\|_{2^*}^2$$

with  $q < 2^*$ .

*Proof of (1.11).* Let  $\Omega$  be a ball of radius  $R$  centered at zero. For simplicity, assume  $R = 1$ . Define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & |x| \leq 1, \\ |x|^{2-n} f(x|x|^{-2}), & |x| \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.20)$$

and apply the usual Sobolev inequality (1.1) to  $g$ . We note (by a change of variables) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} g^{2^*} &= \int_{\Omega'} g^{2^*}, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla g|^2 &= \int_{\Omega'} |\nabla g|^2 - (n-2) \|f\|_{2,\partial\Omega}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Inserting (3.21) into (1.1) yields (1.11) with  $I(\Omega) = (n-2)/2$ .

#### REMARK ON THE HARDY–LITTLEWOOD–SOBOLEV INEQUALITY

Consider the inequality (in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ )

$$I(f) \leq P \|f\|_{6/5}^2, \quad (3.22)$$

with

$$I(f) = \iint f(x) f(y) |x-y|^{-1} dx dy \geq 0. \quad (3.23)$$

The sharp constant  $P$  is known to be [7]

$$P = 4^{5/3} / [3\pi^{1/3}]. \quad (3.24)$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a ball of radius one centered at zero and assume that  $f = 0$  outside  $\Omega$ . In this case, (3.22) is strict because the only functions that give equality in (3.22) are of the form [7]

$$f_\epsilon(x) = a[\epsilon^2 + |x-y|^2]^{-5/2}. \quad (3.25)$$

For  $f=0$  outside  $\Omega$ , we ask whether (3.22) can be improved to

$$C \|f\|_1^2 + I(f) \leq P \|f\|_{6/5}^2. \quad (3.26)$$

Our conclusion is that (3.26) fails for any  $C > 0$ .

Take  $f = \tilde{f}_\varepsilon \equiv f_\varepsilon 1_\Omega$  with  $f_\varepsilon$  given by (3.25) and with  $y = 0$  and with  $a = a_\varepsilon$  chosen so that  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{6/5, \mathbb{R}^3} = 1$ . The function  $f_\varepsilon$  satisfies the following (Euler) equation on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|x|} * f_\varepsilon = Pf_\varepsilon^{1/5}. \quad (3.27)$$

However, for  $|x| < 1$

$$\left( \frac{1}{|x|} * \tilde{f}_\varepsilon \right)(x) + K_\varepsilon = \left( \frac{1}{|x|} * f_\varepsilon \right)(x), \quad (3.28)$$

where  $K_\varepsilon$  is a constant bounded above by  $D_\varepsilon = \int_{|x| > 1} f_\varepsilon$ . Multiply (3.27) by  $\tilde{f}_\varepsilon$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ . Then

$$I(\tilde{f}_\varepsilon) + T_\varepsilon \|\tilde{f}_\varepsilon\|_1^2 \geq I(\tilde{f}_\varepsilon) + K_\varepsilon \int \tilde{f}_\varepsilon = P \|\tilde{f}_\varepsilon\|_{6/5}^{6/5} \geq P \|\tilde{f}_\varepsilon\|_{6/5}^2, \quad (3.29)$$

where  $T_\varepsilon = D_\varepsilon / \int \tilde{f}_\varepsilon$ . From (3.29), we see that (3.26) fails if  $C > T_\varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . However, it is obvious that  $T_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

## REFERENCES

1. T. AUBIN, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **280A** (1975), 279–281; *J. Diff. Geom.* **11** (1976), 573–598.
2. G. A. BLISS, An integral inequality, *J. London Math. Soc.* **5** (1930), 40–46.
3. H. BREZIS AND L. NIRENBERG, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36** (1983), 437–477.
4. P. CHERRIER, Problèmes de Neumann nonlinéaires sur les variétés Riemanniennes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57** (1984), 154–206.
5. I. DAUBECHIES AND E. LIEB, One-electron relativistic molecules with Coulomb interaction, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **90** (1983), 497–510.
6. B. GIDAS, W. M. NI, AND L. NIRENBERG, Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , in “Mathematical Analysis and Applications” (L. Nachbin, Ed.), pp. 370–401, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
7. E. LIEB, Sharp constants in the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev and related inequalities, *Ann. of Math.* **118** (1983), 349–374.
8. E. LIEB, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard’s nonlinear equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **57** (1977), 93–105.
9. G. ROSEN, Minimum value for  $c$  in the Sobolev inequality  $\|\phi\|_6 \leq c \|\nabla \phi\|_2$ , *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **21** (1971), 30–32.

10. E. STEIN AND G. WEISS, Fractional integrals in  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space, *J. Math. Mech.* **7** (1958), 503–514. See also, G. HARDY AND J. LITTLEWOOD, Some properties of fractional integrals (1), *Math. Z.* **27** (1928), 565–606.
11. G. TALENTI, Best constant in Sobolev inequality, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **110** (1976), 353–372.
12. H. BREZIS AND E. LIEB, Minimum action solution of some vector field equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **96** (1984), 97–113. See Remark 3 on p. 100.