

**CORRIGENDUM TO “ALMOST PRIME  
COORDINATES FOR ANISOTROPIC AND THIN  
PYTHAGOREAN ORBITS”**

JIUZU HONG AND ALEX KONTOROVICH

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a ternary indefinite integral quadratic form, and let

$$G := \mathrm{SO}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{SO}_{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$$

be its group of real automorphisms. Let  $\Gamma < G(\mathbb{Z})$  be a finitely-generated subgroup of the integer points of  $G$ , and assume that the critical exponent  $\delta$  of  $\Gamma$  exceeds  $1/2$ . For a fixed primitive vector  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ , we consider the orbit

$$\mathcal{O} := \mathbf{y} \cdot \Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^3.$$

Let  $f$  be a polynomial which is integral on  $\mathcal{O}$ , and assume that it is “strongly primitive,” that is, for all  $q \geq 1$ , there is an  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}$  so that  $f(\mathbf{x}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . For an integer  $R \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{O}(f, R)$  denote the set of points  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}$  for which  $f(\mathbf{x})$  has at most  $R$  prime divisors. The “saturation number”  $R_0(\mathcal{O}, f)$  is the least  $R$  (and  $\infty$  if none exists) for which  $\mathrm{Zcl}(\mathcal{O}(f, R)) = \mathrm{Zcl}(\mathcal{O})$ , where  $\mathrm{Zcl}$  refers to Zariski closure in affine space  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^3$ . The main Theorems 1.10 and 1.19 of [HK15] claim to improve the values of  $R_0(\mathcal{O}, f)$  for the settings of “Thin Pythagorean” and “Anisotropic” Orbits, resp; unfortunately, while Theorem 1.10 is correct, the proof of Theorem 1.19 suffers an elementary but fatal flaw and must be retracted.

The main idea, as explained in [HK15, §3.2], is that, when  $f$  is homogeneous (as is the case in most natural applications, including these), one may use a “larger” stabilizer group to count more efficiently. In particular, one defines

$$\Gamma_{\langle y \rangle}(q) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \mathbf{y} \cdot \gamma \in \langle y \rangle \pmod{q}\},$$

where  $\langle y \rangle$  denotes the linear span of  $\mathbf{y}$ ; equivalently,  $\Gamma_{\langle y \rangle}(q)$  contains those  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  for which there exists  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$  for which

$$\mathbf{y} \cdot \gamma \equiv ay \pmod{q}. \tag{1}$$

This group replaces the group  $\Gamma_y(q) := \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \mathbf{y} \cdot \gamma \equiv y \pmod{q}\}$  used previously in applications. This is all correct, up to the top of page

418 of [HK15], where it is claimed that the index

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma_{\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle}(q)] \tag{2}$$

is of size  $q^{1+o(1)}$ . This index indeed has this size when  $\mathbf{y}$  lies on the cone  $\mathcal{Q} = 0$ . But as pointed out to us by Wenjia Zhao (to whom we are grateful), when  $\mathbf{y}$  lies on a quadric  $\mathcal{Q} = t$  with  $t \neq 0$ , the condition (1) implies that  $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{y} \cdot \gamma) \equiv a^2 t \pmod{q}$ , and hence  $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  (at least for  $q$  coprime to  $t$ ). Therefore the index (2) in this case is  $q^{2+o(1)}$ , and there is no extra gain from using  $\Gamma_{\langle \mathbf{y} \rangle}(q)$  for the stabilizer in place of  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{y}}(q)$ . Hence the proof of [HK15, Theorem 1.19] is flawed; the record bound towards the saturation number in this setting remains [HK15, Theorem 1.15] (which is due to Liu-Sarnak [LS10]).

#### REFERENCES

- [HK15] Jiuzu Hong and Alex Kontorovich. Almost prime coordinates for anisotropic and thin pythagorean orbits. *Israel J. Math.*, 209(1):397–420, 2015.
- [LS10] Jianya Liu and Peter Sarnak. Integral points on quadrics in three variables whose coordinates have few prime factors. *Israel J. Math.*, 178:393–426, 2010.

*Email address:* `jiuzu@email.unc.edu`

*Email address:* `alex.kontorovich@rutgers.edu`