## Homework, Math 311:03, Fall 2009

Sample Solutions to Various Problems from Chapter 0
0.1\#6 TASK Suppose that $A, B, C$ are sets.
(a) Prove that

$$
\text { If } A \subseteq B \text {, then } C-B \subseteq C-A \text {. }
$$

(b) Either prove the converse or provide a counterexample.

## PROOF

(a) Assume that $A \subseteq B$. [Note: Our book uses $\subset$ for the weak inclusion. I am using $\subseteq$ to emphasize that we are not limiting ourselves to the strong inclusion, the one that rules out equality.] I must show that

$$
\text { for all } x, x \in C-B \Longrightarrow x \in C-A \text {. }
$$

So consider an arbitrary $x$ and assume $x \in C-B$. This means $x \in C$ and $x \notin B$. Since $A \subseteq B$ and $x \notin B$, we learn that $x \notin A$. Thus $x \in C-A$.
(b) The converse says

$$
\text { If } C-B \subseteq C-A \text {, then } A \subseteq B \text {. }
$$

This need not be true. Consider the example

$$
A=\{1\}, B=C=\phi
$$

Then we have

$$
C-A=\phi \text { and } C-B=\phi, \text { so } C-B \subseteq C-A \text { but } A \varsubsetneqq B
$$

0.1\#10 TASK b. Give a concise description of the set $B \doteqdot \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}(-n, n)$.

RESULT $B=\mathbb{R}$
REASONING By definition

$$
B=\{x: \exists n \text { in } \mathbb{N}, x \in(-n, n)\}
$$

$B$ is a union of subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, so $B$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. To show the reverse inclusion, consider an arbitrary real $r$. We can find a positive integer $n_{r}$ such that $-n_{r}<r<n_{r}$. So $r$ belongs to the interval $\left(-n_{r}, n_{r}\right)$. Thus there is an index $n$, namely $n=n_{r}$, such that $r \in(-n, n)$. This shows that $r \in B$.

TASK d. Give a concise description of the set $D \doteqdot \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{1}{n}, 2+\frac{1}{n}\right)$.
RESULT

$$
D=\left(-\frac{1}{1}, 2+\frac{1}{1}\right)=(-1,3)
$$

REASONING By definition

$$
D=\left\{x: \exists n, x \in\left(-\frac{1}{n}, 2+\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\} .
$$

Suppose that $x \in D$. Then we can and do pick a positive integer, call it $n_{o}$,

$$
x \in\left(-\frac{1}{n_{o}}, 2+\frac{1}{n_{0}}\right)
$$

Since $n_{o} \geq 1$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{n_{o}} \leq 1 \text { so }-1 \leq-\frac{1}{n_{o}}<x<2+\frac{1}{n_{o}}<2+1 \text { and } x \in(-1,3)
$$

This shows that

$$
D \subseteq(-1,3)
$$

Now suppose that $x \in(-1,3)$. Then with $n=n_{1}=1$ we get

$$
x \in(-1,3)=\left(-\frac{1}{n_{1}}, 2+\frac{1}{n_{1}}\right) \quad \text { so } \quad x \in D .
$$

0.3 \#20 TASK Prove that

$$
\forall n \text { in } \mathbb{N}, \quad 1+3+\ldots+(2 n-1)=n^{2}
$$

PROOF This calls for a proof by induction. For each positive integer $n$, let $P(n)$ denote the assertion

The sum of the first $n$ odd integers is $n^{2}$
Base Step. Consider $n=1$. The sum of the first $n$ odd integers is just 1 . Also $n^{2}=1$. So the assertion $P(1)$ is true.

Induction Step. Suppose that $n$ is an arbitrary positive integer and that $P(n)$ is true. We must deduce the truth of $P(n+1)$.

The sum of the first $n+1$ odd integers is the sum of the first $n$ odd integers plus the $(n+1)^{\text {st }}$. This sum is, by the induction hypothesis just $n^{2}+(2 n+1)$. But

$$
n^{2}+(2 n+1)=(n+1)^{2}
$$

and this gives us
The sum of the first $n+1$ odd integers is $(n+1)^{2}$
which is exactly $P(n+1)$.
0.3 \# 24 TASK: Define a function $f$ from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\mathbb{N}$ by

$$
f(1)=1 \quad f(2)=2 \quad f(3)=3 \quad \text { and }
$$

whenever $n \geq 4, f(n)=f(n-1)+f(n-2)+f(n-3)$.
Show that

$$
\text { for all } n \text { in } \mathbb{N}, f(n) \leq 2^{n}
$$

EXPLORATION We check the assertion for several values of $n$
when $n=1, f(n)=f(1)=1 \leq 2=2^{1}=2^{n}$
when $n=2, f(n)=f(2)=2 \leq 4=2^{2}=2^{n}$
when $n=3, f(n)=f(3)=3 \leq 8=2^{3}=2^{n}$
when $n=4, f(n)=f(4)=f(3)+f(2)+f(1)=3+2+1 \leq 16=2^{4}=2^{n}$
when $n=5, f(n)=f(5)=f(4)+f(3)+f(2)=6+3+2 \leq 32=2^{5}=2^{n}$
PROOF
For each $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$, let $P(n)$ denote the assertion

$$
\text { for all positive integers } k \text { with } k \leq n, f(k) \leq 2^{k} \text {. }
$$

We have already seen that $P(n)$ is true whenever $n \in\{1,2,3,4\}$.
I now prove by induction that for all integers $n$ with $n \geq 4$ that $P(n)$ is true.

The base case is now the case $n=4 . P(4)$ was proved in the exploration. Suppose the $n \in\{k$ in $\mathbb{N}: k \geq 4\}$ and $P(n)$ is true. Note that since $n \geq 4, n-1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n-2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n-3 \in \mathbb{N}$. We will deduce that $P(n+1)$ is also true. By $P(n)$

$$
f(n) \leq 2^{n} \quad f(n-1) \leq 2^{n-1} \text { and } f(n-2) \leq 2^{n-2}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(n+1) & =f(n)+f(n-1)+f(n-2) \\
& \leq 2^{n}+2^{n-1}+2^{n-2}=2^{n-2}\left(2^{2}+2^{1}+1\right) \\
& \leq 2^{n-2}(7)<2^{n-2} \cdot 8=2^{(n-2)+3}=2^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the induction hypothesis $P(n)$ we know that $f(k) \leq 2^{k}$ whenever $k \leq n$. We have just shown that $f(k) \leq 2^{k}$ whenever $k=n+1$. Thus $P(n+1)$ follows.
0.4 \#32 TASK: Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $P_{n}$ denote the set of all polynomials of degree exactly $n$ and integer coefficients. Show that $P_{n}$ is countable. EXPLORATION We will try to use the results of Section 0.4 to avoid doing hard work. So we know that
(Cor 0.15 ) any subset of a countable set is countable;
(Thm 0.16) the Cartesian product of two countable sets is countable, and thus by a simple induction the cartesian product of any finite number of countable sets is countable;
(Thm 0.17) a countable union of countable sets is countable.
PROOF A polynomial of degree $n$ with integer coefficients is a function of the form

$$
g(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} x^{k}
$$

where each $c_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_{n} \neq 0$. Thus there is a one-one function $f$ from $P_{n}$ onto $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z} \times(\mathbb{Z}-\{0\})$ where we have $n$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. This $f$ is given by

$$
f\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} c_{k} x^{k}\right)=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)
$$

Two polynomials are equal if and only if their ordered strings of coefficients are equal. So this function $f$ is indeed one to one. By definition of degree $n$ the function $f$ is onto. Now the Cartesian product of $n$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}$ and one copy of $\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$ is a product of a finite number of countable sets, so $P_{n} \sim$ a countable set and is thus countable.
$0.4 \# 38$ TASK Suppose that $a<b$ and $c<d$. Show that $[a, b]^{\sim}[c, d]$.
REMARK The statement is not true in the generality used in the text. The interval $[0,0]$ is certainly not equivalent to the interval $[0,1]$ - the first contains one and only one element, namely 0 ; the second is infinite since it contains the subset $\{1 / k: k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which is not finite.
PROOF It is easy to construct a polynomial function of degree 1 that maps $[a, b]$ one to one onto $[c, d]$. The graph of this polynomial is the straight line segment with endpoints $(a, c)$ and $(b, d)$. Take

$$
m=\frac{d-c}{b-a} \quad \text { and } \quad f(x)=b+m(x-a)
$$

Since $m>0$ it is easy to see that
whenever $a \leq r<s \leq b$ then $c=f(a) \leq f(r)<f(s) \leq f(b)=d$
and thus that $f$ maps $[a, b]$ one-to-one into $[c, d]$. It remains to show that $f$ is onto. Consider an arbitrary $y$ in $[c, d]$. I need to show that there is an $x$ in $[a, b]$ such that $f(x)=y$. Now for any real $x$

$$
f(x)=y \Longleftrightarrow m(x-a)+c=y \Longleftrightarrow \frac{y-c}{m}=x-a \Longleftrightarrow x=a+\frac{y-c}{m}
$$

We are done as soon as we see why $a+(y-c) / m \in[a, b]$. Since $y \leq[c, d]$ and $m>0$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & \leq y \leq d \text { and so } 0 \leq \frac{y-c}{m} \leq \frac{d-c}{m}=b-a \\
\text { and so } a & \leq a+\frac{y-c}{m} \leq b \text { which means } a+\frac{y-c}{m} \in[a, b] .
\end{aligned}
$$

0.5 \#41 TASK Suppose that $0<a<b$. Show that $0<a^{2}<b^{2}$ and $0<\sqrt{a}<\sqrt{b}$.

REMARK For this problem we will assume that every positive real $r$ have a unique positive real square root denoted by $\sqrt{r}$.

## PROOF

Step 1. Show that $0<a^{2}$. This follows by the order axiom that says the product of positive reals is positive.
Step 2. Show that $a^{2}<b^{2}$. By hypothesis, $b-a$ is positive. Now

$$
b^{2}=[a+(b-a)]^{2}=a^{2}+2 \cdot a \cdot(b-a)+(b-a)^{2}
$$

Note that both $2 \cdot a \cdot(b-a)$ and $(b-a)^{2}$ are positive since they are products of positive reals. Thus

$$
2 \cdot a \cdot(b-a)+(b-a)^{2}>0
$$

and

$$
b^{2}=a^{2}+2 \cdot a \cdot(b-a)+(b-a)^{2}>a^{2} .
$$

Step 3 Show that $0<\sqrt{a}<\sqrt{b}$. By the meaning of $\sqrt{a}$ we know $\sqrt{a}>0$. To get the second inequality we appeal to trichotomy.

Suppose $\sqrt{a}=\sqrt{b}$. Then

$$
a=(\sqrt{a})^{2}=(\sqrt{b})^{2}=b, \text { which is false. }
$$

So we learn that $\sqrt{a} \neq \sqrt{b}$.
Suppose that $\sqrt{b}<\sqrt{a}$. Then by the argument of Step 2 we would learn that

$$
b=(\sqrt{b})^{2}<(\sqrt{a})^{2}=a, \text { which is false. }
$$

So we learn that $\sqrt{b} \nless \sqrt{a}$.
We must conclude then that $\sqrt{a}<\sqrt{b}$.
0.5 \#44 TASK Suppose that $x=\operatorname{lub}(S)$. Show that for each positive $\varepsilon$ there is an element $s$ in $S$ such that $x-\varepsilon<s \leq x$.

REMARK Implicit in the hypothesis are the assumptions that $\phi \neq S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

PROOF Consider and arbitrary positive $\varepsilon$. Since $x=\min (\mathcal{U B}(S))$ and $x-\varepsilon<x$ we know that $x-\varepsilon$ is not an upper bound for $S$. Thus there must be an $s$ with the two properties $s \in S$ and $x-\varepsilon<s$. Pick one such and call it $s_{o}$. Since $s_{o} \in S$, we also know that $s_{o}$ has the property that $s_{o} \leq x$. Thus there is an element in $S$, namely $s_{o}$, such that $x-\varepsilon<s_{o} \leq x$.

